RESEARCH Open Access

Check for updates

Existence results of nonlocal Robin boundary value problems for fractional (p,q)-integrodifference equations

Jarunee Soontharanon¹ and Thanin Sitthiwirattham^{2*}

*Correspondence: thanin_sit@dusit.ac.th 2 Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science and Technology, Suan Dusit University, Bangkok, Thailand Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

The existence results of a fractional (p,q)-integrodifference equation with nonlocal Robin boundary condition are investigated by using Banach's and Schauder's fixed point theorems. Moreover, we study some properties of (p,q)-integral that will be used as a tool for our calculations.

MSC: 39A10: 39A13: 39A70

Keywords: Fractional (p,q)-integral; Fractional (p,q)-difference; Robin boundary

value problems; Existence

1 Introduction

Along with the evolution of the theory and application of classical calculus, quantum calculus (calculus without limit) has also received more intense attention in the last three decades. In this article, we study the development of q-calculus which is one type of quantum calculus. The q-calculus was first introduced by Jackson [1, 2] in 1910. In recent years, the extension of this topic has been studied by many researchers and has many new results in [3–9] and their references. The knowledge of q-calculus was used in physical problems, see [10–27] and the references cited therein.

Later, the study of quantum calculus based on two-parameter (p,q)-integer was presented. The (p,q)-calculus was presented by Chakrabarti and Jagannathan [27]. The extension of studies of (p,q)-calculus was given in [28–39]. In addition, it is used in many branches such as physical sciences, hypergeometric series, Lie group, special functions, approximation theory, Bézier curves and surfaces, etc. [40–47].

Then, the study of fractional quantum calculus was initiated [48–50]. Agarwal [48] and Al-Salam [49] studied fractional q-calculus, whilst Díaz and Osler [50] proposed fractional difference calculus. In 2017, Brikshavana and Sitthiwirattham [51] introduced fractional Hahn difference calculus. Recently, Patanarapeelert and Sitthiwirattham [52] studied fractional symmetric Hahn difference calculus. Presently, Soontharanon and Sitthiwirattham [53] introduced the fractional (p,q)-difference operators and their properties.

There are some recent papers studying the boundary value problem for (p,q)-difference equations [54–56]. However, the boundary value problem for fractional (p,q)-difference



© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

equations has not been studied since fractional (p,q)-operators have been defined lately. These motivate the authors for this research. This article investigates the existence results of a fractional (p,q)-integrodifference equation with nonlocal Robin boundary value conditions of the form

$$\begin{split} &D_{p,q}^{\alpha}u(t) = F\Big[t, u(t), \Psi_{p,q}^{\gamma}u(t), D_{p,q}^{\nu}u(t)\Big], \quad t \in I_{p,q}^{T}, \\ &\lambda_{1}u(\eta) + \lambda_{2}D_{p,q}^{\beta}u(\eta) = \phi_{1}(u), \quad \eta \in I_{p,q}^{T} - \left\{0, \frac{T}{p}\right\}, \\ &\mu_{1}u\left(\frac{T}{p}\right) + \mu_{2}D_{p,q}^{\beta}u\left(\frac{T}{p}\right) = \phi_{2}(u), \end{split} \tag{1.1}$$

where $I_{p,q}^T := \{\frac{q^k}{p^{k+1}}T : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \cup \{0\}; \ 0 < q < p \le 1 \ \alpha \in (1,2], \ \beta, \gamma, \nu \in (0,1], \ \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+; \ F \in C(I_{p,q}^T \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \ \text{is a given function;} \ \phi_1, \phi_2 : C(I_{p,q}^T, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R} \ \text{are given functionals;} \ \text{and for} \ \varphi \in C(I_{p,q}^T \times I_{p,q}^T, [0,\infty)), \ \text{we define an operator of the } (p,q)\text{-integral of the product of functions } \varphi \ \text{and } u \ \text{as}$

$$\Psi_{p,q}^{\gamma}u(t):=\left(\mathcal{I}_{p,q}^{\gamma}\varphi u\right)(t)=\frac{1}{p^{\binom{\gamma}{2}}\Gamma_{p,q}(\gamma)}\int_{0}^{t}(t-qs)_{p,q}^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}\varphi(t,s)u\left(\frac{s}{p^{\gamma-1}}\right)d_{p,q}s.$$

We aim to prove the existence and uniqueness of a solution for this problem by using Banach's fixed point theorem, and the existence of at least one solution by using Schauder's fixed point theorem. In addition, we provide an example to illustrate our results.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic definitions, notations, and lemmas. Letting $0 < q < p \le 1$, we define the notations

$$\begin{split} [k]_q &:= \begin{cases} \frac{1-q^k}{1-q}, & k \in \mathbb{N} \\ 1, & k = 0, \end{cases} \\ [k]_{p,q} &:= \begin{cases} \frac{p^k-q^k}{p-q} = p^{k-1}[k]_{\frac{q}{p}}, & k \in \mathbb{N} \\ 1, & k = 0, \end{cases} \\ [k]_{p,q}! &:= \begin{cases} [k]_{p,q}[k-1]_{p,q} \cdots [1]_{p,q} = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{p^i-q^i}{p-q}, & k \in \mathbb{N} \\ 1, & k = 0. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

The (p,q)-forward jump and the (p,q)-backward jump operators are defined as

$$\sigma_{p,q}^k(t)\coloneqq \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^k t \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{p,q}^k(t)\coloneqq \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^k t \quad \text{for } k\in\mathbb{N} \text{, respectively}.$$

The *q*-analogue of the power function $(a - b)^n_q$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ is given by

$$(a-b)^{\underline{0}}_{\overline{q}} := 1, \qquad (a-b)^{\underline{n}}_{\overline{q}} := \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (a-bq^i), \quad a,b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The (p,q)-analogue of the power function $(a-b)^n_{p,q}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is given by

$$(a-b)_{\overline{p},q}^0 := 1, \qquad (a-b)_{\overline{p},q}^n := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (ap^k - bq^k), \quad a,b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we define a general form:

$$(a-b)^{\frac{\alpha}{q}} = a^{\alpha} \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - (\frac{b}{a})q^{i}}{1 - (\frac{b}{a})q^{\alpha+i}}, \quad a \neq 0.$$

$$(a-b)^{\frac{\alpha}{p,q}} = p^{\binom{\alpha}{2}} (a-b)^{\frac{\alpha}{q}}_{\frac{q}{p}} = a^{\alpha} \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{\alpha}} \left[\frac{1 - \frac{b}{a}(\frac{q}{p})^{i}}{1 - \frac{b}{a}(\frac{q}{p})^{i+\alpha}} \right], \quad a \neq 0.$$

Note that $a_{\overline{q}}^{\underline{\alpha}} = a^{\alpha}$, $a_{p,q}^{\underline{\alpha}} = (\frac{a}{p})^{\alpha}$ and $(0)_{\overline{q}}^{\underline{\alpha}} = (0)_{p,q}^{\underline{\alpha}} = 0$ for $\alpha > 0$. The (p,q)-gamma and (p,q)-beta functions are defined by

$$\begin{split} & \varGamma_{p,q}(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{(p-q)^{\frac{x-1}{p,q}}}{(p-q)^{x-1}} = \frac{(1-\frac{q}{p})^{\frac{x-1}{p,q}}}{(1-\frac{q}{p})^{x-1}}, & x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0,-1,-2,\ldots\} \\ [x-1]_{p,q}!, & x \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases} \\ & B_{p,q}(x,y) := \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-qt)^{\frac{y-1}{p,q}} d_{p,q}t = p^{\frac{1}{2}(y-1)(2x+y-2)} \frac{\varGamma_{p,q}(x) \varGamma_q p, q(y)}{\varGamma_{p,q}(x+y)}, \end{split}$$

respectively.

Lemma 2.1 ([53]) *For* α , β , γ , $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

(a)
$$(\gamma \beta - \gamma \lambda) \frac{\alpha}{p,q} = \gamma^{\alpha} (\beta - \lambda) \frac{\alpha}{p,q}$$
,

(b)
$$(\beta - \gamma)_{p,q}^{\alpha + \gamma} = \frac{1}{n^{\alpha \gamma}} (\beta - \gamma)_{p,q}^{\alpha} (p^{\alpha} \beta - q^{\alpha} \lambda)_{p,q}^{\gamma}$$

(c)
$$(t-s)\frac{\alpha}{n,a} = 0$$
, $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}_0, t \geq s$, and $t, s \in I_{n,a}^T$.

Lemma 2.2 ([53]) *For* $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and $0 < q < p \le 1$,

(a)
$$(t - \sigma_{p,q}^n(t))\frac{\alpha}{p,q} = t^{\alpha} \left(1 - \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^n\right)_{n,q}^{\alpha}$$
,

$$\text{(b)} \ \left(\sigma_{p,q}^m(t) - \sigma_{p,q}^n(t)\right)_{p,q}^{\underline{\alpha}} = \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{m\alpha} t^{\alpha} \left(1 - \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{n-m}\right)_{p,q}^{\underline{\alpha}}.$$

Definition 2.1 For $0 < q < p \le 1$ and $f : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$, we define the (p, q)-difference of f as

$$D_{p,q}f(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(pt)-f(qt)}{(p-q)(t)}, & \text{for } t \neq 0\\ f'(0), & \text{for } t = 0 \end{cases}$$

provided that f is differentiable at 0. f is called (p,q)-differentiable on $I_{p,q}^T$ if $D_{p,q}f(t)$ exists for all $t \in I_{p,q}^T$.

Lemma 2.3 ([31]) Let f, g be (p,q)-differentiable on $I_{p,q}^T$. The properties of (p,q)-difference operator are as follows:

(a)
$$D_{p,q}[f(t) + g(t)] = D_{p,q}f(t) + D_{p,q}g(t)$$
,

(b)
$$D_{p,q}[\alpha f(t)] = \alpha D_{p,q} f(t)$$
 for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,

(c)
$$D_{p,q}[f(t)g(t)] = f(pt)D_{p,q}g(t) + g(qt)D_{p,q}f(t) = g(pt)D_{p,q}f(t) + f(qt)D_{p,q}g(t),$$

Lemma 2.4 ([53]) *Let* $t \in I_{p,q}^T$, $0 < q < p \le 1$, $\alpha \ge 1$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

(a)
$$D_{p,q}(t-a)\frac{\alpha}{p,q} = [\alpha]_{p,q}(pt-a)\frac{\alpha-1}{p,q}$$
,

(b)
$$D_{p,q}(a-t)\frac{\alpha}{p,q} = -[\alpha]_{p,q}(a-qt)\frac{\alpha-1}{p,q}$$
.

Definition 2.2 Let *I* be any closed interval of \mathbb{R} containing *a*, *b*, and 0. Assuming that $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is a given function, we define (p,q)-integral of f from a to b by

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d_{p,q}t := \int_{0}^{b} f(t) d_{p,q}t - \int_{0}^{a} f(t) d_{p,q}t,$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_{p,q}f(x) = \int_0^x f(t) \, d_{p,q}t = (p-q)x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^k}{p^{k+1}} f\left(\frac{q^k}{p^{k+1}}x\right), \quad x \in I,$$

provided that the series converges at x = a and x = b. f is called (p, q)-integrable on [a, b] if it is (p, q)-integrable on [a, b] for all $a, b \in I$.

Next, we define an operator $\mathcal{I}_{p,q}^N$ as

$$\mathcal{I}_{p,q}^0f(x)=f(x)\quad\text{and}\quad\mathcal{I}_{p,q}^Nf(x)=\mathcal{I}_{p,q}\mathcal{I}_{p,q}^{N-1}f(x),\quad N\in\mathbb{N}.$$

The relations between (p,q)-difference and (p,q)-integral operators are given by

$$D_{p,q}\mathcal{I}_{p,q}f(x) = f(x)$$
 and $\mathcal{I}_{p,q}D_{p,q}f(x) = f(x) - f(0)$.

Lemma 2.5 ([31]) Let $0 < q < p \le 1$, $a, b \in I_{p,q}^T$, and f, g be (p,q)-integrable on $I_{p,q}^T$. Then the following formulas hold:

(a)
$$\int_{-a}^{a} f(t) d_{p,q}t = 0,$$

(b)
$$\int_a^b \alpha f(t) d_{p,q} t = \alpha \int_a^b f(t) d_{p,q} t, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R},$$

(c)
$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d_{p,q}t = -\int_{b}^{a} f(t) d_{p,q}t$$
,

(d)
$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d_{p,q} t = \int_{c}^{b} f(t) d_{p,q} t + \int_{a}^{c} f(t) d_{p,q} t, \quad c \in I_{p,q}^{T}, a < c < b,$$

(e)
$$\int_{a}^{b} [f(t) + g(t)] d_{p,q}t = \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d_{p,q}t + \int_{a}^{b} g(t) d_{p,q}t$$
,

(f)
$$\int_{a}^{b} [f(pt)D_{p,q}g(t)] d_{p,q}t = [f(t)g(t)]_{a}^{b} - \int_{a}^{b} [g(qt)D_{p,q}f(t)] d_{p,q}t.$$

Lemma 2.6 ([31], Fundamental theorem of (p,q)-calculus) Letting $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous at 0 and

$$F(x) := \int_0^x f(t) d_{p,q}t, \quad x \in I,$$

then F is continuous at 0 and $D_{p,q}F(x)$ exists for every $x \in I$ where

$$D_{p,q}F(x) = f(x).$$

Conversely,

$$\int_{a}^{b} D_{p,q} f(t) d_{p,q} t = f(b) - f(a) \quad \text{for all } a, b \in I.$$

Lemma 2.7 ([53], Leibniz formula of (p,q)-calculus) Letting $f: I_{p,q}^T \times I_{p,q}^T \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$D_{p,q} \left[\int_0^t f(t,s) \, d_{p,q} s \right] = \int_0^{qt} {}_t D_{p,q} f(t,s) \, d_{p,q} s + f(pt,t),$$

where $_tD_{p,q}$ is (p,q)-difference with respect to t.

Next we introduce fractional (p, q)-integral and fractional (p, q)-difference of Riemann–Liouville type as follows.

Definition 2.3 For $\alpha > 0$, $0 < q < p \le 1$, and f defined on $I_{p,q}^T$, the fractional (p,q)-integral is defined by

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}_{p,q}f(t) &\coloneqq \frac{1}{p^{\binom{\alpha}{2}}\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} (t-qs)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{p,q}} f\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha-1}}\right) d_{p,q}s \\ &= \frac{(p-q)t}{p^{\binom{\alpha}{2}}\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k}}{p^{k+1}} \left(t - \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{k+1} t\right)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{p,q}} f\left(\frac{q^{k}}{p^{k+\alpha}} t\right), \end{split}$$

and $(\mathcal{I}_{p,q}^0 f)(t) = f(t)$.

Definition 2.4 For $\alpha > 0$, $0 < q < p \le 1$, and f defined on $I_{p,q}^T$, the fractional (p,q)-difference operator of Riemann–Liouville type of order α is defined by

$$\begin{split} D^{\alpha}_{p,q}f(t) &:= D^{N}_{p,q}\mathcal{I}^{N-\alpha}_{p,q}f(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^{\binom{-\alpha}{2}}\Gamma_{p,q}(-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} (t-qs)^{\frac{-\alpha-1}{p,q}}f\left(\frac{s}{p^{-\alpha-1}}\right)d_{p,q}s, \end{split}$$

and $D_{p,q}^0 f(t) = f(t)$, where $N - 1 < \alpha < N$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.8 ([53]) Letting $\alpha \in (N-1,N)$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < q < p \le 1$, and $f : I_{p,q}^T \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{I}_{p,q}^{\alpha} D_{p,q}^{\alpha} f(t) = f(t) + C_1 t^{\alpha - 1} + C_2 t^{\alpha - 2} + \dots + C_N t^{\alpha - N}$$

for some $C_i \in \mathbb{R}$, i = 1, 2, ..., N.

Lemma 2.9 ([53]) Letting $0 < q < p \le 1$ and $f: I_{p,q}^T \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous at 0,

$$\int_0^x \int_0^s f(\tau) \, d_{p,q} \tau \, d_{p,q} s = \int_0^{\frac{x}{p}} \int_{pa\tau}^x f(\tau) \, d_{p,q} s \, d_{p,q} \tau.$$

Lemma 2.10 ([53]) *Let* $\alpha, \beta > 0, 0 < q < p \le 1$. *Then*

(a)
$$\int_0^t (t-qs) \frac{\alpha-1}{p,q} s^{\beta} d_{p,q} s = t^{\alpha+\beta} B_{p,q} (\beta+1,\alpha),$$

(b)
$$\int_0^t \int_0^x (t - qx) \frac{\alpha - 1}{p,q} (x - qs) \frac{\beta - 1}{p,q} d_{p,q} s d_{p,q} x = \frac{B_{p,q} (\beta + 1, \alpha)}{\lceil \beta \rceil_{p,q}} t^{\alpha + \beta}.$$

Lemma 2.11 Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $0 < q < p \le 1$, and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

(a)
$$\int_0^t (t - qs) \frac{\alpha - 1}{p,q} d_{p,q} s = p^{\binom{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha + 1)} t^{\alpha}$$

(b)
$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\frac{x}{p^{-\beta-1}}} (t-qx)_{p,q}^{-\beta-1} \left(\frac{x}{p^{-\beta-1}} - qs\right)_{p,q}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} d_{p,q} s \, d_{p,q} x = p^{\binom{\alpha}{2} + \binom{-\beta}{2}} \frac{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha+1)} t^{\alpha+\beta},$$

(c)
$$\int_{0}^{t} (t-qs) \frac{-\beta-1}{p,q} \left(\frac{s}{p^{-\beta-1}}\right)^{\alpha-n} d_{p,q} s = p^{\binom{-\beta}{2}} \frac{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha-n+1)\Gamma_{p,q}(-\beta)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha-\beta-n+1)} t^{\alpha-\beta-n}.$$

Proof From Lemma 2.10(a) and the definition of (p,q)-beta function, we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{t}(t-qs)_{p,q}^{\alpha-1}\,d_{p,q}s = t^{\alpha}B_{p,q}(1,\alpha) = p^{\binom{\alpha}{2}}\frac{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha+1)}t^{\alpha},\\ &\int_{0}^{t}\int_{0}^{\frac{x}{p^{-\beta-1}}}(t-qx)_{p,q}^{-\beta-1}\left(\frac{x}{p^{-\beta-1}}-qs\right)_{p,q}^{\alpha-1}\,d_{p,q}s\,d_{p,q}x\\ &=\frac{B_{p,q}(1,\alpha)}{p^{-\alpha(\beta+1)}}\int_{0}^{t}(t-qx)_{p,q}^{-\beta-1}x^{\alpha}\,d_{p,q}x\\ &=\frac{B_{p,q}(1,\alpha)}{p^{-\alpha(\beta+1)}}B_{p,q}(\alpha+1,-\beta)t^{\alpha-\beta}\\ &=p^{\binom{\alpha}{2}+\binom{-\beta}{2}}\frac{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha)\Gamma_{p,q}(-\beta)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha-\beta+1)}t^{\alpha-\beta}. \end{split}$$

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{t} (t-qs)_{p,q}^{\frac{-\beta-1}{p,q}} \left(\frac{s}{p^{-\beta-1}}\right)^{\alpha-n} d_{p,q} s &= \frac{B_{p,q}(\alpha,-\beta)}{p^{(\alpha-n)(-\beta-1)}} t^{\alpha-\beta-n+1} \\ &= p^{\binom{-\beta}{2}} \frac{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha-n+1)\Gamma_{p,q}(-\beta)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha-\beta-n+1)} t^{\alpha-\beta-n}. \end{split}$$

The proof is complete.

We next provide a lemma showing a result of the linear variant of problem (1.1).

Lemma 2.12 Let $\Omega \neq 0$, $\alpha \in (1,2]$, $\beta \in (0,1]$, $0 < q < p \le 1$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $h \in C(I_{p,q}^T, \mathbb{R})$ is a given function; $\phi_1, \phi_2 : C(I_{p,q}^T, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ are given functionals. The linear variant problem of (1.1)

$$D_{p,q}^{\alpha}u(t) = h(t), \quad t \in I_{p,q}^{T},$$

$$\lambda_{1}u(\eta) + \lambda_{2}D_{p,q}^{\beta}u(\eta) = \phi_{1}(u), \quad \eta \in I_{p,q}^{T} - \left\{\omega_{0}, \frac{T}{p}\right\},$$

$$\mu_{1}u\left(\frac{T}{p}\right) + \mu_{2}D_{p,q}^{\beta}u\left(\frac{T}{p}\right) = \phi_{2}(u)$$
(2.1)

has the unique solution

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{p^{\binom{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - qs)_{p,q}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} h\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha-1}}\right) d_{p,q}s$$

$$-\frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Omega} \left\{ \mathbf{B}_T \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\eta}[\phi_1, h] - \mathbf{B}_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_T[\phi_2, h] \right\}$$

$$+\frac{t^{\alpha-2}}{\Omega} \left\{ \mathbf{A}_T \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\eta}[\phi_1, h] - \mathbf{A}_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_T[\phi_2, h] \right\}, \tag{2.2}$$

where the functionals $\Phi_{\eta}[\phi_1, h]$, $\Phi_T[\phi_2, h]$ are defined by

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\eta}[\phi_{1},h] &:= \phi_{1}(u) - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{p^{\binom{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\eta} (\eta - qs) \frac{\alpha - 1}{p,q} h\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right) d_{p,q}s \\ &- \frac{\lambda_{2}}{p^{\binom{\alpha}{2} + \binom{-\beta}{2}} \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha) \Gamma_{p,q}(-\beta)} \\ &\times \int_{0}^{\eta} \int_{0}^{\frac{x}{p^{-\beta - 1}}} (\eta - qx) \frac{-\beta - 1}{p,q} \left(\frac{x}{p^{-\beta - 1}} - qs\right) \frac{\alpha - 1}{p,q} h\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right) d_{p,q}s d_{p,q}x, \end{split} \tag{2.3}$$

$$\Phi_{T}[\phi_{2},h] := \phi_{2}(u) - \frac{\mu_{1}}{p^{\binom{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\frac{T}{p}} \left(\frac{T}{p} - qs\right) \frac{\alpha - 1}{p,q} h\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right) d_{p,q}s \\ &- \frac{\mu_{2}}{p^{\binom{\alpha}{2} + \binom{-\beta}{2}} \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha) \Gamma_{p,q}(-\beta)} \\ &\times \int_{0}^{\frac{T}{p}} \int_{0}^{\frac{x}{p^{-\beta - 1}}} \left(\frac{T}{p} - qx\right) \frac{-\beta - 1}{p,q} \left(\frac{x}{p^{-\beta - 1}} - qs\right) \frac{\alpha - 1}{p,q} \\ &\times h\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right) d_{p,q}s d_{p,q}x, \end{split} \tag{2.4}$$

and the constants \mathbf{A}_{η} , \mathbf{A}_{T} , \mathbf{B}_{η} , \mathbf{B}_{T} , and Ω are defined by

$$\mathbf{A}_{\eta} := \lambda_{1} \eta^{\alpha - 1} + \frac{\lambda_{2}}{p^{\binom{-\beta}{2}} \Gamma_{p,q}(-\beta)} \int_{0}^{\eta} (\eta - qs)_{p,q}^{\frac{-\beta - 1}{2}} \left(\frac{s}{p^{-\beta - 1}}\right)^{\alpha - 1} d_{p,q} s$$

$$= \eta^{\alpha - 1} \left(\lambda_{1} + \frac{\lambda_{2} \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha - \beta)} \eta^{-\beta}\right), \tag{2.5}$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{T} := \mu_{1} \left(\frac{T}{p}\right)^{\alpha-1} + \frac{\mu_{2}}{p^{\left(\frac{-\beta}{2}\right)} \Gamma_{p,q}(-\beta)} \int_{0}^{\frac{T}{p}} \left(\frac{T}{p} - qs\right)_{p,q}^{\frac{-\beta-1}{2}} \left(\frac{s}{p^{-\beta-1}}\right)^{\alpha-1} d_{p,q}s$$

$$= \left(\frac{T}{p}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\mu_{1} + \frac{\mu_{2} \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha - \beta)} \left(\frac{T}{p}\right)^{-\beta}\right), \tag{2.6}$$

$$\mathbf{B}_{\eta} := \lambda_1 \eta^{\alpha-2} + \frac{\lambda_2}{p^{\binom{-\beta}{2}} \Gamma_{p,q}(-\beta)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta - qs)_{p,q}^{-\beta-1} \left(\frac{s}{p^{-\beta-1}}\right)^{\alpha-2} d_{p,q} s$$

$$= \eta^{\alpha-2} \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{\lambda_2 \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha - 1)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha - \beta - 1)} \eta^{-\beta} \right), \tag{2.7}$$

$$\mathbf{B}_{T} := \mu_{1} \left(\frac{T}{p}\right)^{\alpha-2} + \frac{\mu_{2}}{p^{\binom{-\beta}{2}} \Gamma_{p,q}(-\beta)} \int_{0}^{\frac{T}{p}} \left(\frac{T}{p} - qs\right)_{p,q}^{\frac{-\beta-1}{2}} \left(\frac{s}{p^{-\beta-1}}\right)^{\alpha-2} d_{p,q} s$$

$$= \left(\frac{T}{p}\right)^{\alpha-2} \left(\mu_1 + \frac{\mu_2 \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha - 1)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha - \beta - 1)} \left(\frac{T}{p}\right)^{-\beta}\right),\tag{2.8}$$

$$\Omega := \mathbf{A}_T \mathbf{B}_{\eta} - \mathbf{A}_{\eta} \mathbf{B}_T. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof To obtain the solution, we first take a fractional (p,q)-integral of order α for (2.1). Then we have

$$u(t) = C_1 t^{\alpha - 1} + C_2 t^{\alpha - 2} + \mathcal{I}_{p,q}^{\alpha} h(t)$$

$$= C_1 t^{\alpha - 1} + C_2 t^{\alpha - 2} + \frac{1}{p^{\binom{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - qs) \frac{s}{p,q} h\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right) d_{p,q} s.$$
(2.10)

Next, we take fractional p, q-difference of order β for (2.10) to get

$$D_{p,q}^{\beta}u(t) = \frac{1}{p^{\binom{-\beta}{2}}\Gamma_{p,q}(-\beta)}(t - qs)_{p,q}^{\alpha - 1} \left[C_{1}\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right)^{\alpha - 1} + C_{2}\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right)^{\alpha - 2}\right]d_{p,q}s$$

$$+ \frac{1}{p^{\binom{\alpha}{2} + \binom{-\beta}{2}}\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha)\Gamma_{p,q}(-\beta)}$$

$$\times \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\frac{x}{p^{-\beta - 1}}}(t - qx)_{p,q}^{-\beta - 1}\left(\frac{x}{p^{-\beta - 1}} - qs\right)_{p,q}^{\alpha - 1}h\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right)d_{p,q}s d_{p,q}x. \tag{2.11}$$

Substituting $t = \eta$ into (2.10) and (2.11) and employing the first condition of (2.1), we have

$$\mathbf{A}_{n}C_{1} + \mathbf{B}_{n}C_{2} = \Phi_{n}[\phi_{1}, h]. \tag{2.12}$$

Taking t = T into (2.10) and (2.11) and employing the second condition of (2.1), we have

$$\mathbf{A}_T C_1 + \mathbf{B}_T C_2 = \Phi_T [\phi_2, h]. \tag{2.13}$$

Solving (2.12) - (2.13), we find that

$$C_1 = \frac{\mathbf{B}_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_T - \mathbf{B}_T \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\eta}}{\Omega}$$
 and $C_2 = \frac{\mathbf{A}_T \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\eta} - \mathbf{A}_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_T}{\Omega}$,

where $\Phi_{\eta}[\phi_1, h]$, $\Phi_T[\phi_2, h]$, \mathbf{A}_{η} , \mathbf{A}_T , \mathbf{B}_{η} , \mathbf{B}_T , Ω are defined by (2.3)–(2.9), respectively.

Substituting the constants C_1 , C_2 into (2.10), we obtain (2.2). This completes the proof.

3 Existence and uniqueness result

In this section, we use Banach's fixed point theorem to prove the existence and uniqueness result for problem (1.1). Let $C = C(I_{p,q}^T, \mathbb{R})$ be a Banach space of all function u with the norm defined by

$$||u||_{\mathcal{C}} = \max_{t \in I_{p,q}^T} \{ \left| u(t) \right|, \left| D_{p,q}^{\nu} u(t) \right| \}$$

where $\alpha \in (1,2]$, $\beta, \gamma, \nu \in (0,1]$, $0 < q < p \le 1$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Define an operator $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ by

$$(\mathcal{F}u)(t) := \frac{1}{p^{\binom{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} (t - qs)^{\frac{\alpha - 1}{p,q}} \times F\left[\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}, u\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right), \Psi_{p,q}^{\gamma} u\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right), D_{p,q}^{\nu} u\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right)\right] d_{p,q}s$$

$$- \frac{t^{\alpha - 1}}{\Omega} \left\{ \mathbf{B}_{T} \Phi_{\eta}^{*} [\phi_{1}, F_{u}] - \mathbf{B}_{\eta} \Phi_{T}^{*} [\phi_{2}, F_{u}] \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{t^{\alpha - 2}}{\Omega} \left\{ \mathbf{A}_{T} \Phi_{\eta}^{*} [\phi_{1}, F_{u}] - \mathbf{A}_{\eta} \Phi_{T}^{*} [\phi_{2}, F_{u}] \right\}, \tag{3.1}$$

where the functionals $\Phi_{\eta}^*[\phi_1, F_u]$, $\Phi_T^*[\phi_2, F_u]$ are defined by

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\eta}^{*}[\phi_{1},F_{u}] &:= \phi_{1}(u) - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{p^{\binom{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\eta} (\eta - qs) \frac{\alpha - 1}{p_{p,q}} \\ &\times F\left[\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}, u\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right), \Psi_{p,q}^{\gamma} u\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right), D_{p,q}^{\gamma} u\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right)\right] d_{p,q}s \\ &- \frac{\lambda_{2}}{p^{\binom{\alpha}{2} + \binom{-\beta}{2}} \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha) \Gamma_{p,q}(-\beta)} \\ &\times \int_{0}^{\eta} \int_{0}^{\frac{s}{p^{-\beta - 1}}} (\eta - qx) \frac{-\beta - 1}{p_{p,q}} \left(\frac{x}{p^{-\beta - 1}} - qs\right) \frac{\alpha - 1}{p_{p,q}} \\ &\times F\left[\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}, u\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right), \Psi_{p,q}^{\gamma} u\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right), D_{p,q}^{\gamma} u\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right)\right] d_{p,q}s d_{p,q}x, \quad (3.2) \\ \Phi_{T}^{*}[\phi_{2}, F_{u}] &:= \phi_{2}(u) - \frac{\mu_{1}}{p^{\binom{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\frac{T}{p}} \left(\frac{T}{p} - qs\right) \frac{\alpha - 1}{p_{p,q}} \\ &\times F\left[\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}, u\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right), \Psi_{p,q}^{\gamma} u\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right), D_{p,q}^{\gamma} u\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right)\right] d_{p,q}s \\ &- \frac{\mu_{2}}{p^{\binom{\alpha}{2} + \binom{-\beta}{2}} \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha) \Gamma_{p,q}(-\beta)} \\ &\times \int_{0}^{\frac{T}{p}} \int_{0}^{\frac{x}{p^{-\beta - 1}}} \left(\frac{T}{p} - qx\right) \frac{-\beta - 1}{p_{p,q}} \left(\frac{x}{p^{-\beta - 1}} - qs\right) \frac{\alpha - 1}{p_{p,q}} \\ &\times F\left[\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}, u\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right), \Psi_{p,q}^{\gamma} u\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right), D_{p,q}^{\gamma} u\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}}\right)\right] d_{p,q}s d_{p,q}x, \quad (3.3) \end{split}$$

and the constants A_{η} , A_{T} , B_{η} , B_{T} , Ω are defined by (2.5)–(2.9), respectively.

Theorem 3.1 Assume that $F: I_{p,q}^T \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, $\varphi: I_{p,q}^T \times I_{p,q}^T \to [0,\infty)$ is continuous with $\varphi_0 = \max\{\varphi(t,s): (t,s) \in I_{p,q}^T \times I_{p,q}^T\}$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(H₁) There exist constants $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 > 0$ such that, for each $t \in I_{p,q}^T$ and $u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{R}$, i = 1, 2, 3,

$$|F[t, u_1, u_2, u_3] - F[t, v_1, v_2, v_3]| < \ell_1 |u_1 - v_1| + \ell_2 |u_2 - v_2| + \ell_3 |u_3 - v_3|.$$

(H_2) There exist constants $\omega_1, \omega_2 > 0$ such that, for each $u, v \in C$,

$$|\phi_1(u) - \phi_1(v)| \le \omega_1 ||u - v||_{\mathcal{C}}$$
 and $|\phi_2(u) - \phi_2(v)| \le \omega_2 ||u - v||_{\mathcal{C}}$.

 (H_3) $\mathcal{X} := (\mathcal{L} + \ell_3)\Theta + \omega_1 \Upsilon_T + \omega_2 \Upsilon_\eta < 1,$ where

$$\mathcal{L} := \ell_1 + \ell_2 \varphi_0 \frac{(\frac{T}{p})^{\gamma}}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\gamma + 1)},\tag{3.4}$$

$$\Theta := \frac{\left(\frac{T}{p}\right)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha+1)} + \mathcal{O}_1 \Upsilon_T + \mathcal{O}_2 \Upsilon_{\eta}. \tag{3.5}$$

$$\Upsilon_T := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \left[|\mathbf{B}_T| \left(\frac{T}{p} \right)^{\alpha - 1} + |\mathbf{A}_T| \left(\frac{T}{p} \right)^{\alpha - 2} \right],\tag{3.6}$$

$$\Upsilon_{\eta} := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \left[|\mathbf{B}_{\eta}| \left(\frac{T}{p} \right)^{\alpha - 1} + |\mathbf{A}_{\eta}| \left(\frac{T}{p} \right)^{\alpha - 2} \right], \tag{3.7}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_1 := \frac{\lambda_1 \eta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha+1)} + \frac{\lambda_2 \eta^{\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha-\beta+1)},\tag{3.8}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_2 := \frac{\lambda_1 (\frac{T}{p})^{\alpha}}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha+1)} + \frac{\lambda_2 (\frac{T}{p})^{\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha-\beta+1)}.$$
(3.9)

Then problem (1.1) has a unique solution in $I_{p,q}^T$.

Proof For each $t \in I_{p,q}^T$ and $u, v \in \mathcal{C}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \left|\Psi_{p,q}^{\gamma}u - \Psi_{p,q}^{\gamma}v\right| &\leq \frac{\varphi_{0}}{p^{\binom{\gamma}{2}}\Gamma_{p,q}(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} (t - qs)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{p,q}} \left|u\left(\frac{s}{p^{\gamma-1}}\right) - v\left(\frac{s}{p^{\gamma-1}}\right)\right| d_{p,q}s. \\ &\leq \frac{\varphi_{0}}{p^{\binom{\gamma}{2}}\Gamma_{p,q}(\gamma)} |u - v| \int_{0}^{\frac{T}{p}} \left(\frac{T}{p} - qs\right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{p,q}} d_{p,q}s. \\ &= \frac{\varphi_{0}(\frac{T}{p})^{\gamma}}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\gamma+1)} |u - v|. \end{split}$$

Denote that

$$\mathcal{H}|u-v|(t):=\Big|F\Big[t,u(t),\Psi_{p,q}^{\gamma}u(t),D_{p,q}^{\nu}u(t)\Big]-F\Big[t,v(t),\Psi_{p,q}^{\gamma}v(t),D_{p,q}^{\nu}v(t)\Big]\Big|.$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{split} \left| \Phi_{\eta}^{*}[\phi_{1}, F_{u}] - \Phi_{\eta}^{*}[\phi_{1}, F_{v}] \right| \\ & \leq \left| \phi_{1}(u) - \phi_{1}(v) \right| + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{p^{\binom{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\eta} (\eta - qs)_{p,q}^{\alpha - 1} \mathcal{H} |u - v| \left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}} \right) d_{p,q} s \\ & + \frac{\lambda_{2}}{p^{\binom{\alpha}{2} + \binom{-\beta}{2}} \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha) \Gamma_{p,q}(-\beta)} \int_{0}^{\eta} \int_{0}^{\frac{x}{p^{-\beta - 1}}} (\eta - qx)_{p,q}^{\frac{-\beta - 1}{p,q}} \left(\frac{x}{p^{-\beta - 1}} - qs \right)_{p,q}^{\frac{\alpha - 1}{p,q}}, \\ \mathcal{H} |u - v| \left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha - 1}} \right) d_{p,q} s \, d_{p,q} x \\ & \leq \omega_{1} ||u - v||_{\mathcal{C}} + \left(\ell_{1} |u - v| + \ell_{2} |\Psi_{p,q}^{\gamma} u - \Psi_{p,q}^{\gamma} v| + \ell_{3} |D_{p,q}^{\nu} u - D_{p,q}^{\nu} v| \right) \\ & \times \left| \frac{\lambda_{1} \eta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha + 1)} + \frac{\lambda_{2} \eta^{\alpha - \beta}}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha - \beta + 1)} \right| \\ & \leq \omega_{1} ||u - v||_{\mathcal{C}} + \left(\left[\ell_{1} + \ell_{2} \varphi_{0} \frac{\left(\frac{T}{p} \right)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\gamma + 1)} \right] |u - v| + \ell_{3} |D_{p,q}^{\nu} u - D_{p,q}^{\nu} v| \right) \mathcal{O}_{1} \\ & \leq \left[\omega_{1} + (\mathcal{L} + \ell_{3}) \mathcal{O}_{1} \right] ||u - v||_{\mathcal{C}}. \end{split}$$

Similarly,

$$|\Phi_T^*[\phi_2, F_u] - \Phi_T^*[\phi_2, F_v]| \le [\omega_2 + (\mathcal{L} + \ell_3)\mathcal{O}_2] ||u - v||_{\mathcal{C}}.$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| (\mathcal{F}u)(t) - (\mathcal{F}v)(t) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p^{\binom{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\frac{T}{p}} \left(\frac{T}{p} - qs \right)_{p,q}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \mathcal{H} |u - v| \left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha-1}} \right) d_{p,q} s \\ &+ \frac{\left(\frac{T}{p} \right)^{\alpha-1}}{|\Omega|} \left\{ |\mathbf{B}_{T}| \left| \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\eta}^{*} [\phi_{1}, F_{u}] - \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\eta}^{*} [\phi_{1}, F_{v}] \right| + |\mathbf{B}_{\eta}| \left| \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{T}^{*} [\phi_{2}, F_{u}] - \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{T}^{*} [\phi_{2}, F_{v}] \right| \right\} \\ &+ \frac{\left(\frac{T}{p} \right)^{\alpha-2}}{|\Omega|} \left\{ |\mathbf{A}_{T}| \left| \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\eta}^{*} [\phi_{1}, F_{u}] - \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\eta}^{*} [\phi_{1}, F_{v}] \right| + |\mathbf{A}_{\eta}| \left| \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{T}^{*} [\phi_{2}, F_{u}] - \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{T}^{*} [\phi_{2}, F_{v}] \right| \right\} \\ &\leq \left[\frac{(\mathcal{L} + \ell_{3})(\frac{T}{p})^{\alpha}}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha+1)} + \frac{[\omega_{1} + (\mathcal{L} + \ell_{3})\mathcal{O}_{1}]}{\Omega} \left\{ |\mathbf{B}_{T}| \left(\frac{T}{p} \right)^{\alpha-1} + |\mathbf{A}_{T}| \left(\frac{T}{p} \right)^{\alpha-2} \right\} \right] \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{C}} \\ &+ \frac{[\omega_{2} + (\mathcal{L} + \ell_{3})\mathcal{O}_{2}]}{|\Omega|} \left\{ |\mathbf{B}_{\eta}| \left(\frac{T}{p} \right)^{\alpha-1} + |\mathbf{A}_{\eta}| \left(\frac{T}{p} \right)^{\alpha-2} \right\} \right] \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{C}} \\ &= \mathcal{X} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{C}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Taking fractional (p, q)-difference of order ν for (3.1), we get

$$(D_{p,q}^{\nu} \mathcal{F} u)(t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{p^{\binom{\alpha}{2} + \binom{-\nu}{2}} \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha) \Gamma_{p,q}(-\nu)} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\frac{x}{p^{-\nu-1}}} (t - qx)_{p,q}^{\frac{-\nu-1}{2}} \left(\frac{x}{p^{-\nu-1}} - qs\right)_{p,q}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}$$

$$\times F\left[\frac{s}{p^{\alpha-1}}, u\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha-1}}\right), \Psi_{p,q}^{\gamma} u\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha-1}}\right), D_{p,q}^{\nu} u\left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha-1}}\right)\right] d_{p,q} s d_{p,q} x
- \frac{1}{\Omega p^{\binom{-\nu}{2}} \Gamma_{p,q}(-\nu)} \left\{ \mathbf{B}_{T} \Phi_{\eta}^{*} [\phi_{1}, F_{u}] - \mathbf{B}_{\eta} \Phi_{T}^{*} [\phi_{2}, F_{u}] \right\}
\times \int_{0}^{t} (t - q s) \frac{\nu - 1}{p, q} \left(\frac{s}{p^{-\nu - 1}}\right)^{\alpha - 1} d_{p,q} s
+ \frac{1}{\Omega p^{\binom{-\nu}{2}} \Gamma_{p,q}(-\nu)} \left\{ \mathbf{A}_{T} \Phi_{\eta}^{*} [\phi_{1}, F_{u}] - \mathbf{A}_{\eta} \Phi_{T}^{*} [\phi_{2}, F_{u}] \right\}
\times \int_{0}^{t} (t - q s) \frac{\nu - 1}{p, q} \left(\frac{s}{p^{-\nu - 1}}\right)^{\alpha - 2} d_{p,q} s.$$
(3.11)

Similarly, we have

$$\left| \left(D_{p,q}^{\nu} \mathcal{F} u \right)(t) - \left(D_{p,q}^{\nu} \mathcal{F} \nu \right)(t) \right| < \mathcal{X} \| u - \nu \|_{\mathcal{C}}. \tag{3.12}$$

From (3.10) and (3.12), we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{F}u - \mathcal{F}v\|_{\mathcal{C}} \leq \mathcal{X}\|u - v\|_{\mathcal{C}}.$$

By (H_3) we can conclude that \mathcal{F} is a contraction. Therefore, by using Banach's fixed point theorem, \mathcal{F} has a fixed point which is a unique solution of problem (1.1) on $I_{n,q}^T$.

4 Existence of at least one solution

In this section, we present the existence of a solution to (1.1) by using Schauder's fixed point theorem.

Lemma 4.1 ([57]) (Arzelá-Ascoli theorem) A collection of functions in C[a,b] with the sup norm is relatively compact if and only if it is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous on [a,b].

Lemma 4.2 ([57]) *If a set is closed and relatively compact, then it is compact.*

Lemma 4.3 ([58] (Schauder's fixed point theorem)) Let (D,d) be a complete metric space, U be a closed convex subset of D, and $T:D\to D$ be the map such that the set $Tu:u\in U$ is relatively compact in D. Then the operator T has at least one fixed point $u^*\in U$: $Tu^*=u^*$.

Theorem 4.1 Suppose that (H_1) and (H_3) hold. Then problem (1.1) has at least one solution on $I_{p,q}^T$.

Proof We organize the proof into three steps as follows.

Step I. Verify that \mathcal{F} maps bounded sets into bounded sets in $B_L = \{u \in \mathcal{C} : ||u||_{\mathcal{C}} \le L\}$. Set $\max_{t \in I_{n,q}^T} |F(t,0,0,0)| = M$, $\sup_{u \in \mathcal{C}} |\phi_1(u)| = N_1$, $\sup_{u \in \mathcal{C}} |\phi_2(u)| = N_2$ and choose a constant

$$L \ge \frac{M\Theta + N_1 \Upsilon_T + N_2 \Upsilon_\eta}{1 - (\mathcal{L} + \ell_3)\Theta}.$$
(4.1)

Denote that $|S(t, u, 0)| = |F[t, u(t), \Psi_{p,q}^{\gamma}u(t), D_{p,q}^{\nu}u(t)] - F[t, 0, 0, 0]| + |F[t, 0, 0, 0]|$. For each $t \in I_{p,q}^T$ and $u \in B_L$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \left| \Phi_{\eta}^{*}[\phi_{1}, F_{u}] \right| \\ &\leq N_{1} + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{p^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\eta} (\eta - qs)_{p,q}^{\alpha - 1} \left| \mathcal{S}(s, u, 0) \right| d_{p,q}s + \frac{\lambda_{2}}{p^{\frac{\alpha}{2} + (-\frac{\beta}{2})} \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha) \Gamma_{p,q}(-\beta)} \\ &\times \int_{0}^{\eta} \int_{0}^{\frac{x}{p^{-\beta - 1}}} (\eta - qx)_{p,q}^{-\beta - 1} \left(\frac{x}{p^{-\beta - 1}} - qs \right)_{p,q}^{\alpha - 1} \left| \mathcal{S}(s, u, 0) \right| d_{p,q}s d_{p,q}x \\ &\leq N_{1} + \left(\left[\ell_{1} + \ell_{2} \varphi_{0} \frac{(\frac{T}{p})^{\gamma}}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\gamma + 1)} \right] |u| + \ell_{3} |D_{p,q}^{\nu} u| + M \right) \mathcal{O}_{1} \\ &\leq N_{1} + M \mathcal{O}_{1} + (\mathcal{L} + \ell_{3}) \mathcal{O}_{1} ||u||_{\mathcal{C}} \\ &\leq N_{1} + \left[M + (\mathcal{L} + \ell_{3}) \mathcal{L} \right] \mathcal{O}_{1}. \end{split} \tag{4.2}$$

Similarly,

$$\left|\Phi_T^*[\phi_2, F_u]\right| \le N_2 + \left[M + (\mathcal{L} + \ell_3)L\right] \mathcal{O}_2. \tag{4.3}$$

From (4.2)–(4.3), we find that

$$|(\mathcal{F}u)(t)| \leq \frac{1}{p^{\binom{\alpha}{2}}} \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha) \int_{0}^{\frac{T}{p}} \left(\frac{T}{p} - qs\right)_{p,q}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \left| \mathcal{S}(t,u,0) \right| \left(\frac{s}{p^{\alpha-1}}\right) d_{p,q}s$$

$$+ \frac{\left(\frac{T}{p}\right)^{\alpha-1}}{|\Omega|} \left\{ |\mathbf{B}_{T}| \left| \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\eta}^{*}[\phi_{1}, F_{u}] \right| + |\mathbf{B}_{\eta}| \left| \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{T}^{*}[\phi_{2}, F_{u}] \right| \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{\left(\frac{T}{p}\right)^{\alpha-2}}{|\Omega|} \left\{ |\mathbf{A}_{T}| \left| \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\eta}^{*}[\phi_{1}, F_{u}] \right| + |\mathbf{A}_{\eta}| \left| \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{T}^{*}[\phi_{2}, F_{u}] \right| \right\}$$

$$\leq \mathcal{O} \left[L(\mathcal{L} + \ell_{3}) + M \right] + N_{1} \Upsilon_{T} + N_{2} \Upsilon_{\eta}$$

$$\leq L. \tag{4.4}$$

In addition, we obtain

$$\left| \left(D_{p,q}^{\nu} \mathcal{F} u \right) (t) \right| < L. \tag{4.5}$$

Therefore, $\|\mathcal{F}u\|_{\mathcal{C}} \leq L$, which implies that \mathcal{F} is uniformly bounded.

Step II. The operator \mathcal{F} is continuous on B_L because of the continuity of F.

Step III. We examine that \mathcal{F} is equicontinuous on B_L . For any $t_1, t_2 \in I_{p,q}^T$ with $t_1 < t_2$, we have

$$|(\mathcal{F}u)(t_{2}) - (\mathcal{F}u)(t_{1})| \leq \frac{\|F\|}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha+1)} |t_{2}^{\alpha} - t_{1}^{\alpha}|$$

$$+ \frac{|t_{2}^{\alpha-1} - t_{1}^{\alpha-1}|}{|\Omega|} \{ |\mathbf{B}_{T}| \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\eta}^{*}[\phi_{1}, F_{u}] + |\mathbf{B}_{\eta}| \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{T}^{*}[\phi_{2}, F_{u}] \}$$

$$+ \frac{|t_{2}^{\alpha-2} - t_{1}^{\alpha-2}|}{|\Omega|} \{ |\mathbf{A}_{T}| \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\eta}^{*}[\phi_{1}, F_{u}] + |\mathbf{A}_{\eta}| \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{T}^{*}[\phi_{2}, F_{u}] \}$$

$$(4.6)$$

and

$$\left| \left(D_{p,q}^{\nu} \mathcal{F} u \right) (t_{2}) - \left(D_{p,q}^{\nu} \mathcal{F} u \right) (t_{1}) \right| \\
\leq \frac{\|F\|}{\Gamma_{p,q} (\alpha - \nu + 1)} \left| t_{2}^{\alpha - \nu} - t_{1}^{\alpha - \nu} \right| \\
+ \frac{\Gamma_{p,q} (\alpha)}{|\Omega| \Gamma_{p,q} (\alpha - \nu)} \left\{ |\mathbf{B}_{T}| \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\eta}^{*} [\boldsymbol{\phi}_{1}, F_{u}] + |\mathbf{B}_{\eta}| \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{T}^{*} [\boldsymbol{\phi}_{2}, F_{u}] \right\} \left| t_{2}^{\alpha - \nu - 1} - t_{1}^{\alpha - \nu - 1} \right| \\
+ \frac{\Gamma_{p,q} (\alpha - 1)}{|\Omega| \Gamma_{p,q} (\alpha - \nu - 1)} \left\{ |\mathbf{A}_{T}| \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\eta}^{*} [\boldsymbol{\phi}_{1}, F_{u}] + |\mathbf{A}_{\eta}| \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{T}^{*} [\boldsymbol{\phi}_{2}, F_{u}] \right\} \left| t_{2}^{\alpha - \nu - 2} - t_{1}^{\alpha - \nu - 2} \right|. \tag{4.7}$$

Since the right-hand side of (4.6) and (4.7) tends to be zero when $|t_2 - t_1| \to 0$, \mathcal{F} is relatively compact on B_L .

This implies that $\mathcal{F}(B_L)$ is an equicontinuous set. From Steps I to III together with the Arzelá–Ascoli theorem, we see that $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is completely continuous. By Schauder's fixed point theorem, we can conclude that problem (1.1) has at least one solution.

5 An example

Consider the following fractional (p,q)-integrodifference equation:

$$\begin{split} D_{\frac{3}{3},\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{4}{3}}u(t) &= \frac{1}{(2000e^3+t^3)(1+|u(t)|)} \Big[e^{-2t} \Big(u^2+2|u| \Big) + e^{-(2\pi+\cos^2\pi t)} \Big| \Psi_{\frac{3}{3},\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t) \Big| \\ &+ e^{-(2+\sin^2\pi t)} \Big| D_{\frac{2}{3},\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{2}{5}}u(t) \Big| \Big], \quad t \in I_{\frac{3}{3},\frac{1}{2}}^{10} &= \left\{ \frac{10(\frac{1}{2})^k}{(\frac{2}{3})^{k+1}} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\} \cup \{0\} \end{split}$$

with the nonlocal Robin boundary condition

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{20e}u\left(\frac{1215}{256}\right) + 200eD_{\frac{3}{4},\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}}u\left(\frac{1215}{256}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{C_{i}|u(t_{i})|}{1+|u(t_{i})|}, \quad t_{i} = 10\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{i}}{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{i+1}},\\ &100\pi u(15) + \frac{1}{10\pi}D_{\frac{3}{4},\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}}u(15) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{D_{i}|u(t_{i})|}{1+|u(t_{i})|}, \quad t_{i} = 10\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{i}}{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{i+1}}, \end{split}$$

where $\varphi(t,s) = \frac{e^{-|s-t|}}{(t+20)^3}$ and C_i , D_i are given constants with $\frac{1}{500} \le \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i \le \frac{\pi}{1000}$ and $\frac{1}{1000} \le \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} D_i \le \frac{\pi}{2000}$.

Letting
$$\alpha = \frac{4}{3}$$
, $\beta = \frac{3}{4}$, $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$, $v = \frac{2}{5}$, $p = \frac{2}{3}$, $q = \frac{1}{2}$, $T = 10$, $\eta = 10\frac{(\frac{1}{2})^4}{(\frac{2}{3})^5} = \frac{1215}{256}$, $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{20e}$, $\lambda_2 = 200e$, $\mu_1 = 100\pi$, $\mu_2 = \frac{1}{10\pi}$, $\phi_1(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_i |u(t_i)|}{1 + |u(t_i)|}$, $\phi_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{D_i |u(t_i)|}{1 + |u(t_i)|}$ and $F[t, u(t), \Psi_{p,q}^{\gamma} u(t), D_{p,q}^{\gamma} u(t)] = \frac{1}{(2000e^3 + t^3)(1 + |u(t)|)} [e^{-2t}(u^2 + 2|u|) + e^{-(2\pi + \cos^2 \pi t)} |\Psi_{\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t)| + e^{-(2+\sin^2 \pi t)} |D_{\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{2}{3}} u(t)|]$, we find that

$$|\mathbf{A}_{\eta}|$$
 = 574.6570, $|\mathbf{A}_{T}|$ = -23.8344, $|\mathbf{B}_{\eta}|$ = 774.8145, $|\mathbf{B}_{T}|$ = 51.6518, φ_0 = 0.000125, and $|\Omega|$ = -48,149.3072.

For all $t \in I_{\frac{2}{3},\frac{1}{2}}^{10}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{split} & \left| F \left[t, u, \Psi_{p,q}^{\gamma} u, D_{p,q}^{\upsilon} u \right] - F \left[t, v, \Psi_{p,q}^{\gamma} v, D_{p,q}^{\upsilon} v \right] \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2000e^{2}} |u - v| + \frac{1}{2000e^{2\pi + 2}} \left| \Psi_{p,q}^{\gamma} u - \Psi_{p,q}^{\gamma} v \right| + \frac{1}{2000e^{4}} \left| D_{p,q}^{\upsilon} u - D_{p,q}^{\upsilon} v \right|. \end{split}$$

Thus, (H_1) holds with $\ell_1 = 6.767 \times 10^{-5}$, $\ell_2 = 1.264 \times 10^{-7}$, and $\ell_3 = 9.158 \times 10^{-6}$. For all $u, v \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$|\phi_1(u) - \phi_1(v)| \le \frac{\pi}{1000} ||u - v||_{\mathcal{C}},$$

 $|\phi_2(u) - \phi_2(v)| \le \frac{e}{2000} ||u - v||_{\mathcal{C}}.$

So, (H_2) holds with $\omega_1 = 0.003142$ and $\omega_2 = 0.001571$. Since

$$\mathcal{L} = 0.0000677$$
, $\mathcal{O}_1 = 1415.89969$, $\mathcal{O}_2 = 2770.8547$, $\Upsilon_T = 0.005291$, $\Upsilon_\eta = 0.003183$, and $\Theta = 51,3459$,

 (H_3) holds with

$$\mathcal{X} \approx 0.00397 < 1.$$

Hence, by Theorem 3.1 this problem has a unique solution. Moreover, by Theorem 4.1 this problem has at least one solution.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the anonymous referee for very helpful suggestions and comments which led to improvements of our original manuscript.

Funding

This research was funded by King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok. Contract no. KMUTNB-61-KNOW-026.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

The authors declare that they carried out all the work in this manuscript and read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Applied Science, King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok, Bangkok, Thailand. ²Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science and Technology, Suan Dusit University, Bangkok, Thailand.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 17 April 2020 Accepted: 29 June 2020 Published online: 08 July 2020

References

- 1. Jackson, F.H.: On *q*-difference equations. Am. J. Math. **32**, 305–314 (1910)
- 2. Jackson, F.H.: On *q*-difference integrals. Q. J. Pure Appl. Math. **41**, 193–203 (1910)
- 3. Carmichael, R.D.: The general theory of linear q-difference equations. Am. J. Math. 34, 147–168 (1912)
- Mason, T.E.: On properties of the solutions of linear q-difference equations with entire function coefficients. Am. J. Math. 37, 439–444 (1915)
- 5. Adams, C.R.: On the linear ordinary q-difference equation. Am. Math. Ser. II 30, 195–205 (1929)
- 6. Trjitzinsky, W.J.: Analytic theory of linear q-difference equations. Acta Math. 62(1), 167–226 (1933)
- 7. Kac, V., Cheung, P.: Quantum Calculus. Springer, New York (2002)
- 8. Ernst, T.: A new notation for *q*-calculus and a new *q*-Taylor formula. U.U.D.M. Report 1999:25, ISSN 1101-3591, Department of Mathematics, Uppsala University, (1999)
- 9. Floreanini, R., Vinet, L.: *q*-gamma and *q*-beta functions in quantum algebra representation theory. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **68**, 57–68 (1996)
- 10. Finkelstein, R.J.: Symmetry group of the hydrogen atom. J. Math. Phys. 8(3), 443-449 (1967)
- 11. Gavrilik, A.M.: q-Serre relations in $U_q(u_n)$ and q-deformed meson mass sum rules. J. Phys. A, Math. Gen. **27**(3), 91–94 (1994)
- 12. Finkelstein, R.J.: *q*-Gauge theory. Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **11**(4), 733–746 (1996)
- Kaniadakis, G., Lavagno, A., Quarati, P.: Kinetic model for q-deformed bosons and fermions. Phys. Lett. A 227(3–4), 227–231 (1997)
- 14. Ilinski, K.N., Kalinin, G.V., Stepanenko, A.S.: *q*-functional field theory for particles with exotic statistics. Phys. Lett. A **232**(6), 399–408 (1997)
- 15. Finkelstein, R.J.: q-field theory. Lett. Math. Phys. 34(2), 169-176 (1995)
- 16. Chan, F.L., Finkelstein, R.J.: q-deformation of the Coulomb problem. J. Math. Phys. 35(7), 3273-3284 (1994)
- 17. Cadavid, A.C., Finkelstein, R.J.: The q-Coulomb problem in configuration space. J. Math. Phys. 37(8), 3675–3683 (1996)
- 18. Finkelstein, R.J.: The *q*-Coulomb problem. J. Math. Phys. **37**(6), 2628–2636 (1996)
- 19. Feigenbaum, J., Freund, P.G.: A q-deformation of the Coulomb problem. J. Math. Phys. 37(4), 1602–1616 (1996)
- 20. Cheng, H.: Canonical Quantization of Yang–Mills Theories, Perspectives in Mathematical Physics. International Press, Somerville (1996)
- 21. Finkelstein, R.J.: q-gravity. Lett. Math. Phys. 38(1), 53–62 (1996)
- 22. Kamata, M., Nakamula, A.: One-parameter family of selfdual solutions in classical Yang–Mills theory. Phys. Lett. B 463(2–4), 257–262 (1999)
- 23. Negadi, T., Kibler, M.: A *q*-deformed Aufbau Prinzip. J. Phys. A, Math. Gen. **25**(4), 157–160 (1992)
- 24. Marinova, L.P., Raychev, P.P., Maruani, J.: Molecular backbending in AgH and its description in terms of *q*-algebras. Mol. Phys. **82**(6), 1115–1129 (1994)
- Monteiro, M.R., Rodrigues, L.M.C.S., Wulck, S.: Quantum algebraic nature of the photon spectrum in ₄He. Phys. Rev. Lett. 76(7), 1098–1100 (1996)
- Siegel, W.: Introduction to String Field Theory. Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics, vol. 8. World Scientific Publishing, Teaneck (1988)
- 27. Chakrabarti, R., Jagannathan, R.: A (p,q)-oscillator realization of two-parameter quantum algebras. J. Phys. A, Math. Gen. 24(24), 5683–5701 (1991)
- 28. Jagannathan, R., Rao, K.S.: Two-parameter quantum algebras, twin-basic number, and associated generalized hypergeometric series. Differ. Equ. Appl. 2006, 27 (2006)
- Sahai, V., Yadav, S.: Representations of two parameter quantum algebras and (p,q)-special functions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 335(1), 268–279 (2007)
- 30. Burban, I.: Two-parameter deformation of the oscillator algebra and (*p*, *q*)-analog of two-dimensional conformal field theory. J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. **2**(3–4), 384–391 (1995)
- 31. Sadjang, P.N.: On the fundamental theorem of (*p*, *q*)-calculus and some (*p*, *q*)-Taylor formulas. Results Math. **73**, 39 (2018)
- 32. Hounkonnou, M.N., Kyemba, J.D.: R(p,q)-calculus: differentiation and integration. SUT J. Math. **49**(2), 145–167 (2013)
- 33. Mursaleen, M., Ansari, K.J., Khan, A.: On (*p*, *q*)-analogue of Bernstein operators. Appl. Math. Comput. **266**, 874–882 (2015)
- 34. Araci, S., Duran, U.G., Acikgoz, M., Srivastava, H.M.: A certain (*p*, *q*)-derivative operator and associated divided differences. J. Inequal. Appl. **2016**, 301 (2016)
- 35. Duran, U.: Post Quantum Calculus, [Master Thesis]. University of Gaziantep, (2016)
- 36. Kamsrisuk, N., Promsakon, C., Ntouyas, S.K., Tariboon, J.: Nonlocal boundary value problems for (*p*, *q*)-difference equations. Differ. Equ. Appl. **10**(2), 183–195 (2018)
- 37. Promsakon, C., Kamsrisuk, N., Ntouyas, S.K., Tariboon, J.: On the second-order quantum (*p*, *q*)-difference equations with separated boundary conditions. Adv. Math. Phys. **2018**, Article ID 9089865 (2018)
- 38. Milovanovic, G.V., Gupta, V., Malik, N.: (p, q)-Beta functions and applications in approximation. Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex. 24, 219–237 (2018)
- 39. Cheng, W.T., Zhang, W.H., Cai, Q.B.: (p,q)-gamma operators which preserve x². J. Inequal. Appl. **2019**, 108 (2019)
- Mursaleen, M., Ansari, K.J., Khan, A.: Some approximation results by (p, q)-analogue of Bernstein-Stancu operators. Appl. Math. Comput. 264, 392–402 (2015) [Corrigendum: Appl. Math. Comput. 269, 744–746 (2015)]
- 41. Mursaleen, M., Nasiruzzaman, M., Khan, A., Ansari, K.J.: Some approximation results on Bleimann–Butzer–Hahn operators defined by (p, q)-integers. Filomat **30**(3), 639–648 (2016)
- Mursaleen, M., Khan, F., Khan, A.: Approximation by (p, q)-Lorentz polynomials on a compact disk. Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 10(8), 1725–1740 (2016)
- 43. Rahman, S., Mursaleen, M., Alkhaldi, A.H.: Convergence of iterates of *q*-Bernstein and (*p*, *q*)-Bernstein operators and the Kelisky–Rivlin type theorem. Filomat **32**(12), 4351–4364 (2018)
- 44. Jebreen, H.B., Mursaleen, M., Ahasan, M.: On the convergence of Lupaş (*p,q*))-Bernstein operators via contraction principle. J. Inequal. Appl. **2019**, 34 (2019)
- Nasiruzzaman, M., Mukheimer, A., Mursaleen, M.: Some Opial-type integral inequalities via (p, q)-calculus. J. Inequal. Appl. 2019, 5 (2019)

- Khan, A., Sharma, V.: Statistical approximation by (p,q)-analogue of Bernstein–Stancu operators. Azerb. J. Math. 8(2), 100–121 (2018)
- 47. Khan, K., Lobiyal, D.K.: Beézier curves based on Lupaş (p, q)-analogue of Bernstein functions in CAGD. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 317, 458–477 (2017)
- 48. Agarwal, R.P.: Certain fractional q-integrals and q-derivatives. Proc. Camb. Philol. Soc. 66, 365–370 (1969)
- 49. Al-Salam, W.A.: Some fractional q-integrals and q-derivatives. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 15, 135–140 (1966)
- 50. Díaz, J.B., Osler, T.J.: Differences of fractional order. Math. Compet. 28, 185-202 (1974)
- 51. Brikshavana, T., Sitthiwirattham, T.: On fractional Hahn calculus. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2017, 354 (2017)
- 52. Patanarapeelert, N., Sitthiwirattham, T.: On fractional symmetric Hahn calculus. Mathematics 7, 873 (2019)
- 53. Soontharanon, J., Sitthiwirattham, T.: On fractional (p, q)-calculus. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2020, 35 (2020)
- 54. Promsakon, C., Kamsrisuk, N., Ntouyas, S.K., Tariboon, J.: On the second-order (*p*, *q*)-difference equations with separated boundary conditions. Adv. Math. Phys. **2018**, 9089865 (2018)
- 55. Promsakon, C., Kamsrisuk, N., Ntouyas, S.K., Tariboon, J.: Nonlocal boundary value problems for (*p*, *q*)-difference equations. Differ. Equ. Appl. **10**, 183–195 (2018)
- Nuntigrangjana, T., Putjuso, S., Ntouyas, S.K., Tariboon, J.: Impulsive quantum (p, q)-difference equations. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2020, 98 (2020)
- 57. Griffel, D.H.: Applied Functional Analysis. Ellis Horwood Publishers, Chichester (1981)
- 58. Guo, D., Lakshmikantham, V.: Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cone. Academic Press, Orlando (1988)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com