

RESEARCH

Open Access



Convergence of solutions for functional integro-differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions

Peiguang Wang¹, Yameng Wang¹, Cuimei Jiang² and Tongxing Li^{3,4*}

*Correspondence:

litongx2007@163.com

³LinDa Institute of Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Network Based Intelligent Computing, Linyi University, Linyi, P.R. China

⁴School of Control Science and Engineering, Shandong University, Jinan, P.R. China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

This paper is concerned with the convergence of solutions for a class of functional integro-differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions. New comparison principles are obtained. By using the comparison principles and quasilinearization method, we present two monotone iterative sequences uniformly and monotonically converging to the unique solution with rate of order 2. Meanwhile, an example is given to demonstrate applications of the result reported.

MSC: 34B15

Keywords: Functional integro-differential equations; Monotone iterative; Quasilinearization; Nonlinear boundary conditions; Coupled lower and upper solutions

1 Introduction

Integro-differential equations are widely used in many fields such as control theory, biology, and mechanics, and the qualitative theory of integro-differential equations creates an important branch of nonlinear analysis; see, for instance, the monographs [2, 6, 7] and the papers [5, 10–12, 16, 24, 26, 31, 33, 34]. For the results of existence of solutions and existence of extremal solutions for such equations under different boundary conditions, we refer the reader to the monographs by Guo et al. [13] and Lakshmikantham and Rama Mohana Rao [23], the related literature for integro-differential equations [1, 4, 8, 15, 27, 30], and for functional integro-differential equations [3, 14, 17, 20, 21, 28, 35, 36], and the references cited therein.

Recently, various classes of differential/difference equations with nonlinear boundary conditions have attracted extensive attention of researchers. For instance, Franco et al. [9] discussed the existence conditions of solutions for first-order differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions; Jankowski [19] obtained the existence conditions of first-order advanced differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions; Mahdavi [29] investigated the nonlinear boundary value problems involving abstract Volterra operators; Wang et al. [36] presented the existence conditions of extreme solutions for first-order functional difference equations with nonlinear boundary conditions; Wang et

© The Author(s) 2019. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

al. [37] proved uniform convergence approximate solutions for second-order functional differential equations with periodic boundary conditions; Wang [38] and Wang and Tian [39] established the existence conditions of extreme solutions for causal differential equations and impulsive differential equations with causal operators, respectively. However, we noticed that the previous studies mostly focused on the existence of solutions and extremal solutions as well as the uniform convergence approximate solutions via the method of upper and lower solutions coupled with the monotone iterative technique; see [22, 31]. There are few results of rapid convergence for integro-differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions. From the perspective of application, the convergence rate of the solution is both important and meaningful. In [18], by using the quasilinearization method [25], Jankowski obtained the quadratic approximation of solutions for differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions. In [32], Sun et al. presented quadratic approximation of solutions for boundary value problems with nonlocal boundary conditions. Inspired by [18, 25, 32], in this paper, we consider the following functional integro-differential equation with nonlinear boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = f(t, x(t), x(\theta(t)), (Sx)(t)), & t \in J, \\ 0 = g(x(0), x(T)), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $f \in C(J \times R^3, R)$, $g \in C(R \times R, R)$, $J = [0, T]$, $\theta \in C(J, R_+)$, $\theta(t) \leq t$, $(Sx)(t) = \int_0^t k(t, s)x(s)ds$, $k \in C(D, R_+)$, $D = \{(t, s) \in J \times J : 0 \leq s \leq t, t \in J\}$, $k_0 = \max_{(t,s) \in D} k(t, s)$.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the problem of the convergence of solutions for Eq. (1.1). By employing the comparison principles and the quasilinearization method, we obtain two monotone sequences of iterates converging uniformly and quadratically to the unique solution of the problem. Meanwhile, an example is given to demonstrate applications of the result established. Equation (1.1) contains many special types. In addition, the nonlinear boundary conditions of Eq. (1.1) contain a lot of special types. For instance, Eq. (1.1) can be reduced to initial value problems for $g(x(0), x(T)) = x(0) - c$, that is, $x(0) = c$; Eq. (1.1) reduces to anti-periodic boundary value problems for $g(x(0), x(T)) = x(0) + x(T)$, that is, $x(0) = -x(T)$.

2 Preliminaries

We introduce the following definitions and lemmas which are used throughout this paper.

Definition 2.1 We say that a function $\alpha \in C^1(J, R)$ is a lower solution of Eq. (1.1) if

$$\begin{cases} \alpha'(t) \leq f(t, \alpha(t), \alpha(\theta(t)), (S\alpha)(t)), & t \in J, \\ g(\alpha(0), \alpha(T)) \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.2 We say that a function $\beta \in C^1(J, R)$ is an upper solution of Eq. (1.1) if

$$\begin{cases} \beta'(t) \geq f(t, \beta(t), \beta(\theta(t)), (S\beta)(t)), & t \in J, \\ g(\beta(0), \beta(T)) \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.3 We say that the functions $\alpha, \beta \in C^1(J, R)$ are coupled quasisolutions of Eq. (1.1) if

$$\begin{cases} \alpha'(t) = f(t, \alpha(t), \alpha(\theta(t)), (S\alpha)(t)), & t \in J, \\ g(\alpha(0), \beta(T)) = 0, \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} \beta'(t) = f(t, \beta(t), \beta(\theta(t)), (S\beta)(t)), & t \in J, \\ g(\beta(0), \alpha(T)) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.4 We say that the functions $\alpha, \beta \in C^1(J, R)$ are coupled lower solution and upper solution of Eq. (1.1) if

$$\begin{cases} \alpha'(t) \leq f(t, \alpha(t), \alpha(\theta(t)), (S\alpha)(t)), & t \in J, \\ g(\alpha(0), \beta(T)) \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \beta'(t) \geq f(t, \beta(t), \beta(\theta(t)), (S\beta)(t)), & t \in J, \\ g(\beta(0), \alpha(T)) \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

respectively.

Lemma 2.1 Assume that the following condition holds.

(H_{2.1}) There exist integrable functions $h_i(t) < 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ such that

$$\int_0^T \{h_1(t) + h_2(t) + k_0 Th_3(t)\} dt \geq -1. \quad (2.3)$$

If there exists a function $p \in C^1(J, R)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} p'(t) \leq h_1(t)p(t) + h_2(t)p(\theta(t)) + h_3(t)(Sp)(t), & t \in J, \\ p(0) \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

then $p(t) \leq 0$.

Proof Suppose, to the contrary, that there exists a $t^* \in (0, T]$ such that $p(t^*) > 0$. Let $t_* \in [0, t^*]$ be such that $p(t_*) = \inf p(t) = -b$, $b \geq 0$. By virtue of (2.4), we have

$$p'(t) \leq -b[h_1(t) + h_2(t) + k_0 Th_3(t)].$$

Integrating both sides of the above inequality, we get

$$p(t^*) - p(t_*) \leq -b \int_{t_*}^{t^*} \{h_1(t) + h_2(t) + k_0 Th_3(t)\} dt,$$

and so

$$0 < p(t^*) \leq p(t_*) - b \int_{t_*}^{t^*} \{h_1(t) + h_2(t) + k_0 Th_3(t)\} dt.$$

Furthermore, we obtain

$$b < -b \int_0^T \{h_1(t) + h_2(t) + k_0 Th_3(t)\} dt, \quad (2.5)$$

which contradicts (2.3), and thus $p(t) \leq 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.2 Assume that condition $(H_{2.1})$ is satisfied. If there exist functions $p \in C^1(J, R)$ and $q \in C^1(J, R)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} p'(t) \leq h_1(t)p(t) + h_2(t)p(\theta(t)) + h_3(t)(Sp)(t), & p(0) \leq Aq(T), t \in J, \\ q'(t) \leq h_1(t)q(t) + h_2(t)q(\theta(t)) + h_3(t)(Sq)(t), & q(0) \leq Ap(T), t \in J, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

where $0 < A \leq 1$ is a constant, then $p(t) \leq 0$ and $q(t) \leq 0$, $t \in J$.

Proof Without loss of generality, we prove one case of $p(t) \leq 0$. Suppose that the conclusion is not true. We consider the following two cases where $p(0) \leq 0$ and $p(0) > 0$, respectively.

Case 1. Let $p(0) \leq 0$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we arrive at (2.5), which contradicts (2.3).

Case 2. Let $p(0) > 0$. There are two cases where for all $t \in J$, $p(t) > 0$ and there exist \bar{t} , \underline{t} such that $p(\underline{t}) \leq 0$, $p(\bar{t}) > 0$, respectively.

Case 2.1. When $p(t) > 0$, $t \in J$, if $q(0) \leq 0$, Lemma 2.1 implies that $q(T) \leq 0$, then we have $p(0) \leq Aq(T) \leq 0$, which is a contradiction.

If $q(0) > 0$, by $0 < p(0) \leq Aq(T)$, then $q(T) > 0$. Hence, there are two cases where for all $t \in J$, $q(t) > 0$ and there exist \tilde{t} , \hat{t} such that $q(\tilde{t}) \leq 0$, $q(\hat{t}) > 0$, respectively.

Case 2.1.1. First, when $q(t) > 0$ for all $t \in J$, condition (2.6) implies that $q'(t) < 0$, and so q is decreasing. The inequalities $p(t) > 0$ and (2.6) yield $p'(t) < 0$, and hence p is decreasing and $A^2 q(0) > A^2 q(T) > Ap(0) > Ap(T) > q(0)$, which is a contradiction.

Case 2.1.2. Then there exist \tilde{t} , \hat{t} such that $q(\tilde{t}) \leq 0$, $q(\hat{t}) > 0$, and we obtain $q(\tilde{t}_*) = \inf q(t) = -b$, where $\tilde{t}_* \in (0, T)$, $b \geq 0$. Condition (2.6) implies that

$$q'(t) \leq -b[h_1(t) + h_2(t) + k_0 Th_3(t)].$$

Integrating the above inequality from \tilde{t}_* to T , we deduce that

$$0 < q(T) \leq q(\tilde{t}_*) - b \int_{\tilde{t}_*}^T \{h_1(t) + h_2(t) + k_0 Th_3(t)\} dt,$$

and thus

$$b < -b \int_0^T \{h_1(t) + h_2(t) + k_0 Th_3(t)\} dt,$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 2.2. Next, we consider the following case, there exist \bar{t}, \underline{t} such that $p(\underline{t}) \leq 0, p(\bar{t}) > 0$. If $q(0) \leq 0$, Lemma 2.1 yields $q(t) \leq 0$, then $p(0) \leq Aq(T) \leq 0$, it is a contradiction.

If $q(0) > 0$ and $0 < p(0) \leq Aq(T)$, then $q(T) > 0$, and so $q(\bar{t}_*) = \inf q(t) = -b$, where $\bar{t}_* \in (0, T)$, $b \geq 0$. Similarly to the proof of Case 2.1.2, we can get a contradiction. Therefore, we conclude that $p(t) \leq 0$.

Similarly, the case of $q(t) \leq 0$ can be proved. The proof is complete. \square

Remark 2.1 When $p(T) = q(T)$, Lemma 2.2 is also valid.

Lemma 2.3 Assume that condition $(H_{2.1})$ is satisfied and

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, \bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}(\theta), T\bar{\gamma}) - f(t, \gamma, \gamma(\theta), T\gamma) &\geq h_1(t)[\bar{\gamma} - \gamma] + h_2(t)[\bar{\gamma}(\theta) - \gamma(\theta)] \\ &\quad + h_3(t)[(S\bar{\gamma})(t) - (S\gamma)(t)], \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where $\gamma(t) \leq \bar{\gamma}(t)$, $\gamma(\theta) \leq \bar{\gamma}(\theta)$, $(S\gamma)(t) \leq (S\bar{\gamma})(t)$, $t \in J$, $h_i(t) < 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

$(H_{2.2})$ There exist two constants $0 < N_2 < N_1$ such that

$$g(\bar{\alpha}, \beta) - g(\alpha, \bar{\beta}) \leq N_1[\bar{\alpha} - \alpha] - N_2[\bar{\beta} - \beta], \quad (2.8)$$

where $\alpha(0) \leq \bar{\alpha}(0)$, $\beta(T) \leq \bar{\beta}(T)$.

Moreover, let $u, v \in C^1(J, R)$ be coupled lower and upper solutions of problem (1.1). If $y, z \in C^1(J, R)$ and

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) = f(t, u(t), u(\theta(t)), (Su)(t)) + h_1(t)[y(t) - u(t)] + h_2(t)[y(\theta) - u(\theta)] \\ \quad + h_3(t)[(Sy)(t) - (Su)(t)], \quad t \in J, \\ 0 = g(u(0), v(T)) + N_1[y(0) - u(0)] + N_2[z(T) - v(T)], \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

$$\begin{cases} z'(t) = f(t, v(t), v(\theta(t)), (Sv)(t)) + h_1(t)[z(t) - v(t)] + h_2(t)[z(\theta) - v(\theta)] \\ \quad + h_3(t)[(Sz)(t) - (Sv)(t)], \quad t \in J, \\ 0 = g(v(0), u(T)) + N_1[z(0) - v(0)] + N_2[y(T) - u(T)], \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

then $u(t) \leq y(t) \leq z(t) \leq v(t)$, and y, z are coupled lower and upper solutions of (1.1), respectively.

Proof First, we need to prove that the inequalities $u(t) \leq y(t)$ and $z(t) \leq v(t)$ hold. Set

$$p(t) = u(t) - y(t) \quad \text{and} \quad q(t) = z(t) - v(t).$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} p'(t) &\leq f(t, u(t), u(\theta(t)), (Su)(t)) - f(t, u(t), u(\theta(t)), (Su)(t)) \\ &\quad - h_1(t)[y(t) - u(t)] - h_2(t)[y(\theta) - u(\theta)] - h_3(t)[(Sy)(t) - (Su)(t)] \\ &= h_1(t)p(t) + h_2(t)p(\theta) + h_3(t)(Sp)(t). \end{aligned}$$

Condition (2.9) implies that $0 = g(u(0), v(T)) - N_1p(0) + N_2q(T)$. By virtue of (2.1), we obtain $p(0) \leq (N_2/N_1)q(T)$.

Similarly, we can conclude that

$$\begin{cases} q'(t) \leq h_1(t)q(t) + h_2(t)q(\theta) + h_3(t)(Sq)(t), & t \in J, \\ q(0) \leq \frac{N_2}{N_1}p(T). \end{cases}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that $p(t) \leq 0$, $q(t) \leq 0$, that is, $u(t) \leq y(t)$, $z(t) \leq v(t)$.

Now, we prove that $y(t) \leq z(t)$. Letting $m(t) = y(t) - z(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m'(t) &\leq f(t, u(t), u(\theta(t)), (Su)(t)) - f(t, v(t), v(\theta(t)), (Sv)(t)) \\ &\quad + h_1(t)[y(t) - u(t)] + h_2(t)[y(\theta) - u(\theta)] + h_3(t)[(Sy)(t) - (Su)(t)] \\ &\quad - h_1(t)[z(t) - v(t)] - h_2(t)[z(\theta) - v(\theta)] - h_3(t)[(Sz)(t) - (Sv)(t)] \\ &\leq -h_1(t)[v(t) - u(t)] - h_2(t)[v(\theta) - u(\theta)] - h_3(t)[(Sv)(t) - (Su)(t)] \\ &\quad + h_1(t)[v(t) - u(t) + m(t)] + h_2(t)[v(\theta) - u(\theta) + m(\theta)] \\ &\quad + h_3(t)[(Sv)(t) - (Su)(t) + (Sm)(t)] \\ &= h_1(t)m(t) + h_2(t)m(\theta) + h_3(t)(Sm)(t). \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.9) and (2.10), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= g(u(0), v(T)) - g(v(0), u(T)) + N_1[y(0) - u(0)] + N_2[z(T) - v(T)] \\ &\quad - N_1[z(0) - v(0)] - N_2[y(T) - u(T)] \\ &\geq -N_1[v(0) - u(0)] + N_2[v(T) - u(T)] + N_1[v(0) - u(0) + m(0)] \\ &\quad + N_2[m(T) - v(T) + u(T)] \\ &= N_1m(0) - N_2m(T), \end{aligned}$$

which finally gives $m(0) \leq (N_2/N_1)m(T)$. It follows now from Lemma 2.2 that $m(t) \leq 0$.

Now, we need to prove that y and z are coupled lower and upper solutions of Eq. (1.1).

In fact, by (2.7) and (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} y'(t) &= f(t, u(t), u(\theta(t)), (Su)(t)) - f(t, y(t), y(\theta(t)), (Sy)(t)) \\ &\quad + f(t, y(t), y(\theta(t)), (Sy)(t)) + h_1(t)[y(t) - u(t)] \\ &\quad + h_2(t)[y(\theta) - u(\theta)] + h_3(t)[(Sy)(t) - (Su)(t)] \\ &\leq f(t, y(t), y(\theta(t)), (Sy)(t)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(y(0), z(T)) - g(u(0), v(T)) &\leq N_1[y(0) - u(0)] - N_2[v(T) - z(T)] \\ &\leq g(u(0), v(T)) + N_1[y(0) - u(0)] - N_2[v(T) - z(T)] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we deduce that $z'(t) \geq f(t, z(t), z(\theta(t)), (Sz)(t))$ and $g(z(0), y(T)) \geq 0$. This proves that y and z are coupled lower and upper solutions of Eq. (1.1). \square

3 Main result

In this section, the quadratic convergence of successive approximation sequences is proved by the quasilinearization method.

Theorem 3.1 *Set $\Omega = \{(t, u) \in J \times R : y_0(t) \leq u(t) \leq z_0(t)\}$, $\Omega_1 = [y_0(0), z_0(0)]$, and $\Omega_2 = [y_0(T), z_0(T)]$. Assume that the following conditions hold.*

(A_{3.1}) y_0, z_0 are coupled lower and upper solutions of Eq. (1.1), and $y_0(t) \leq z_0(t)$ on J ;

(A_{3.2}) $f_x \in C(\Omega, R)$, $g_x, g_y \in C(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, R)$, $f_x < 0$, $f_y < 0$, $f_z < 0$, $0 < g_y < g_x < 1$;

(A_{3.3}) $f_{xx}, f_{xy}, f_{yy} \in C(\Omega, R)$, $g_{xx}, g_{xy}, g_{yy} \in C(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, R)$, $f_{xx} \geq 0$, $f_{xy} \geq 0$, $f_{xz} \geq 0$, $f_{yy} \geq 0$, $f_{zz} \geq 0$, $f_{yz} \geq 0$, $g_{xx} \leq 0$, $g_{xy} \leq 0$, $g_{yy} \leq 0$.

If

$$\int_0^T \left\{ f_x(t, y_0, y_0(\theta), (Sy_0)(t)) + f_y(t, y_0, y_0(\theta), (Sy_0)(t)) + k_0 Tf_z(t, y_0, y_0(\theta), (Sy_0)(t)) \right\} dt \geq -1, \quad (3.1)$$

then there exist the monotone sequences $\{y_n(t)\}$ and $\{z_n(t)\}$ converging uniformly to the unique solution x of Eq. (1.1) and the convergence is quadratic, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{t \in J} |x(t) - y_{n+1}(t)| &\leq d_1 \max_{t \in J} |x(t) - y_n(t)|^2 + d_2 \max_{t \in J} |x(t) - z_n(t)|^2, \\ \max_{t \in J} |x(t) - z_{n+1}(t)| &\leq d_3 \max_{t \in J} |x(t) - y_n(t)|^2 + d_4 \max_{t \in J} |x(t) - z_n(t)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients d_1, d_2, d_3 , and d_4 are nonnegative constants.

Proof Consider the following problems:

$$\begin{cases} y'_{n+1}(t) = f(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), (Sy_n)(t)) + f_x(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), (Sy_n)(t)) [y_{n+1}(t) - y_n(t)] \\ \quad + f_y(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), (Sy_n)(t)) [y_{n+1}(\theta) - y_n(\theta)] \\ \quad + f_z(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), (Sy_n)(t)) [(Sy_{n+1})(t) - (Sy_n)(t)], \quad t \in J, \\ 0 = g(y_n(0), z_n(T)) + g_x(y_n(0), y_n(T)) [y_{n+1}(0) - y_n(0)] \\ \quad + g_y(y_n(0), z_n(T)) [z_{n+1}(T) - z_n(T)], \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\begin{cases} z'_{n+1}(t) = f(t, z_n(t), z_n(\theta(t)), (Sz_n)(t)) + f_x(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), (Sy_n)(t)) [z_{n+1}(t) - z_n(t)] \\ \quad - z_n(t)] + f_y(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), (Sy_n)(t)) [z_{n+1}(\theta) - z_n(\theta)] \\ \quad + f_z(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), (Sy_n)(t)) [(Sz_{n+1})(t) - (Sz_n)(t)], \quad t \in J, \\ 0 = g(z_n(0), y_n(T)) + g_x(y_n(0), y_n(T)) [z_{n+1}(0) - z_n(0)] \\ \quad + g_y(y_n(0), z_n(T)) [y_{n+1}(T) - y_n(T)], \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

in which $n = 0, 1, \dots$. By the mean value theorem, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} g(\bar{\alpha}, \beta) - g(\alpha, \bar{\beta}) &= g(\bar{\alpha}, \beta) - g(\alpha, \beta) + g(\alpha, \beta) - g(\alpha, \bar{\beta}) \\ &\leq g_x(\delta_1, \beta) [\bar{\alpha} - \alpha] - g_y(\alpha, \delta_2) [\bar{\beta} - \beta], \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha(0) \leq \delta_1 \leq \bar{\alpha}(0)$, $\beta(T) \leq \delta_2 \leq \bar{\beta}(T)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & f(t, \bar{\gamma}(t), \bar{\gamma}(\theta), (S\bar{\gamma})(t)) - f(t, \gamma(t), \gamma(\theta), (S\gamma)(t)) \\ &= f_x(t, \delta_3, \bar{\gamma}(\theta), (S\bar{\gamma})(t))[\bar{\gamma}(t) - \gamma(t)] + f_y(t, \gamma(t), \delta_4, (S\bar{\gamma})(t))[\bar{\gamma}(\theta) - \gamma(\theta)] \\ & \quad + f_z(t, \gamma(t), \gamma(\theta), \delta_5)[(S\bar{\gamma})(t) - (S\gamma)(t)] \\ & \geq f_x(t, \gamma(t), \gamma(\theta), (S\gamma)(t))[\bar{\gamma}(t) - \gamma(t)] + f_y(t, \gamma(t), \gamma(\theta), (S\gamma)(t))[\bar{\gamma}(\theta) - \gamma(\theta)] \\ & \quad + f_z(t, \gamma(t), \gamma(\theta), (S\gamma)(t))[(S\bar{\gamma})(t) - (S\gamma)(t)], \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma(t) \leq \delta_3 \leq \bar{\gamma}(t)$, $\gamma(\theta) \leq \delta_4 \leq \bar{\gamma}(\theta)$, $(S\gamma)(t) \leq \delta_5 \leq (S\bar{\gamma})(t)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \{f_x(t, z_n, z_n(\theta), (Sz_n)(t)) + f_y(t, z_n, z_n(\theta), (Sz_n)(t)) + k_0 Tf_z(t, z_n, z_n(\theta), (Sz_n)(t))\} dt \\ & \geq \int_0^T \{f_x(t, y_n, y_n(\theta), (Sy_n)(t)) + f_y(t, y_n, y_n(\theta), (Sy_n)(t)) \\ & \quad + k_0 Tf_z(t, y_n, y_n(\theta), (Sy_n)(t))\} dt \\ & \geq \int_0^T \{f_x(t, y_0, y_0(\theta), (Sy_0)(t)) + f_y(t, y_0, y_0(\theta), (Sy_0)(t)) \\ & \quad + k_0 Tf_z(t, y_0, y_0(\theta), (Sy_0)(t))\} dt \\ & \geq -1. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.3 and mathematical induction, we can deduce that

$$y_0(t) \leq y_1(t) \leq \cdots \leq y_n(t) \leq z_n(t) \leq \cdots \leq z_1(t) \leq z_0(t), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, t \in J.$$

Thus, the sequences $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are uniformly bounded and equicontinuous on J .

$$\begin{aligned} |y_n(t) - y_n(s)| &= \left| y_n(0) + \int_0^t \{f(\varphi, y_n(\varphi), y_n(\theta(\varphi)), (Sy_n)(\varphi)) \right. \\ & \quad + f_x(\varphi, y_n(\varphi), y_n(\theta(\varphi)), (Sy_n)(\varphi)) [y_{n+1}(\varphi) \\ & \quad - y_n(\varphi)] + f_y(\varphi, y_n(\varphi), y_n(\theta(\varphi)), (Sy_n)(\varphi)) [y_{n+1}(\theta) - y_n(\theta)] \\ & \quad \left. + f_z(\varphi, y_n(\varphi), y_n(\theta(\varphi)), (Sy_n)(\varphi)) [(Sy_{n+1})(\varphi) - (Sy_n)(\varphi)]\} d\varphi \right. \\ & \quad - \left\{ y_n(0) + \int_0^s \{f(\varphi, y_n(\varphi), y_n(\theta(\varphi)), (Sy_n)(\varphi)) \right. \\ & \quad + f_x(\varphi, y_n(\varphi), y_n(\theta(\varphi)), (Sy_n)(\varphi)) [y_{n+1}(\varphi) \\ & \quad - y_n(\varphi)] + f_y(\varphi, y_n(\varphi), y_n(\theta(\varphi)), (Sy_n)(\varphi)) [y_{n+1}(\theta) - y_n(\theta)] \\ & \quad \left. + f_z(\varphi, y_n(\varphi), y_n(\theta(\varphi)), (Sy_n)(\varphi)) [(Sy_{n+1})(\varphi) - (Sy_n)(\varphi)]\} d\varphi \right\} \\ &= \left| \int_s^t \{f(\varphi, y_n(\varphi), y_n(\theta(\varphi)), (Sy_n)(\varphi)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + f_x(\varphi, y_n(\varphi), y_n(\theta(\varphi)), (Sy_n)(\varphi)) [y_{n+1}(\varphi) \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -y_n(\varphi)] + f_y(\varphi, y_n(\varphi), y_n(\theta(\varphi)), (Sy_n)(\varphi)) [y_{n+1}(\theta) - y_n(\theta)] \\
& + f_z(\varphi, y_n(\varphi), y_n(\theta(\varphi)), (Sy_n)(\varphi)) [(Sy_{n+1})(\varphi) - (Sy_n)(\varphi)] \} d\varphi \Big| \\
& \leq \int_s^t |f(\varphi, y_n(\varphi), y_n(\theta(\varphi)), (Sy_n)(\varphi)) \\
& + f_x(\varphi, y_n(\varphi), y_n(\theta(\varphi)), (Sy_n)(\varphi)) [y_{n+1}(\varphi) \\
& - y_n(\varphi)] + f_y(\varphi, y_n(\varphi), y_n(\theta(\varphi)), (Sy_n)(\varphi)) [y_{n+1}(\theta) - y_n(\theta)] \\
& + f_z(\varphi, y_n(\varphi), y_n(\theta(\varphi)), (Sy_n)(\varphi)) [(Sy_{n+1})(\varphi) - (Sy_n)(\varphi)]| d\varphi \\
& \leq M|t - s|.
\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of Arzelà–Ascoli theorem, there exist the subsequences $\{y_{n_k}\}$ and $\{z_{n_k}\}$ converging uniformly on J to some continuous functions y and z , respectively, and

$$\begin{cases}
y'_{n_{k+1}}(t) = f(t, y_{n_k}(t), y_{n_k}(\theta(t)), (Sy_{n_k})(t)) + f_x(t, y_{n_k}(t), y_{n_k}(\theta(t)), (Sy_{n_k})(t)) [y_{n_{k+1}}(t) \\
\quad - y_{n_k}(t)] + f_y(t, y_{n_k}(t), y_{n_k}(\theta(t)), (Sy_{n_k})(t)) [y_{n_{k+1}}(\theta) - y_{n_k}(\theta)] \\
\quad + f_z(t, y_{n_k}(t), y_{n_k}(\theta(t)), (Sy_{n_k})(t)) [(Sy_{n_{k+1}})(t) - (Sy_{n_k})(t)], \quad t \in J, \\
0 = g(y_{n_k}(0), z_{n_k}(T)) + g_x(y_{n_k}(0), y_{n_k}(T)) [y_{n_{k+1}}(0) - y_{n_k}(0)] \\
\quad + g_y(y_{n_k}(0), z_{n_k}(T)) [z_{n_{k+1}}(T) - z_{n_k}(T)], \\
z'_{n_{k+1}}(t) = f(t, z_{n_k}(t), z_{n_k}(\theta(t)), (Sz_{n_k})(t)) + f_x(t, y_{n_k}(t), y_{n_k}(\theta(t)), (Sy_{n_k})(t)) [z_{n_{k+1}}(t) \\
\quad - z_{n_k}(t)] + f_y(t, y_{n_k}(t), y_{n_k}(\theta(t)), (Sy_{n_k})(t)) [z_{n_{k+1}}(\theta) - z_{n_k}(\theta)] \\
\quad + f_z(t, y_{n_k}(t), y_{n_k}(\theta(t)), (Sy_{n_k})(t)) [(Sz_{n_{k+1}})(t) - (Sz_{n_k})(t)], \quad t \in J, \\
0 = g(z_{n_k}(0), y_{n_k}(T)) + g_x(y_{n_k}(0), y_{n_k}(T)) [z_{n_{k+1}}(0) - z_{n_k}(0)] \\
\quad + g_y(y_{n_k}(0), z_{n_k}(T)) [y_{n_{k+1}}(T) - y_{n_k}(T)],
\end{cases}$$

when $n_k \rightarrow \infty$, y and z satisfy the equations

$$\begin{cases}
y'(t) = f(t, y(t), y(\theta(t)), (Sy)(t)), \quad t \in J, \\
0 = g(y(0), z(T)),
\end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases}
z'(t) = f(t, z(t), z(\theta(t)), (Sz)(t)), \quad t \in J, \\
0 = g(z(0), y(T)).
\end{cases}$$

Thus, $y, z \in C^1(J, R)$ are coupled solutions of Eq. (1.1).

Now, we prove that $y = z$ is a unique solution of Eq. (1.1). Clearly, $y(t) \leq z(t)$. Let $p(t) = z(t) - y(t)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
p'(t) &= f(t, z(t), z(\theta(t)), (Sz)(t)) - f(t, y(t), y(\theta(t)), (Sy)(t)) \\
&= f_x(t, \xi_1, z(\theta(t)), (Sz)(t)) p(t) + f_y(t, y(t), \xi_2, (Sz)(t)) p(\theta) \\
&\quad + f_z(t, y(t), y(\theta(t)), \xi_3) (Sp)(t),
\end{aligned}$$

where $y(t) \leq \xi_1 \leq z(t)$, $y(\theta(t)) \leq \xi_2 \leq z(\theta(t))$, $(Sy)(t) \leq \xi_3 \leq (Sz)(t)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} g(z(0), y(T)) - g(y(0), z(T)) &\leq N_1[z(0) - y(0)] - N_2[z(T) - y(T)] \\ &= N_1p(0) - N_2p(T). \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.1), we get $p(0) \leq (N_2/N_1)p(T)$. An application of Lemma 2.2 yields $p(t) \leq 0$, that is, $z(t) \leq y(t)$. Hence, we have $y(t) = z(t)$.

Let $x \in [y_0, z_0]$ be any solution of Eq. (1.1). It is not difficult to prove that $y_n(t) \leq x(t) \leq z_n(t)$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $y(t) = z(t) = x(t)$, it means that $\{y_{n_k}\}$ and $\{z_{n_k}\}$ converge to the unique solution x of Eq. (1.1).

Finally, we prove the quadratic convergence of $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ to x . Let $p_{n+1}(t) = x(t) - y_{n+1}(t) \geq 0$ and $q_{n+1}(t) = z_{n+1}(t) - x(t) \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} p'_{n+1}(t) &= f_x(t, \rho_1, x(\theta(t)), (Sx)(t))p_n(t) + f_y(t, y_n(t), \rho_2, (Sy_n)(t))p_n(\theta) \\ &\quad + f_z(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), \rho_3)(Sp_n)(t) \\ &\quad - f_x(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), (Sy_n)(t))p_n(t) \\ &\quad - f_y(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), (Sy_n)(t))p_n(\theta) \\ &\quad - f_z(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), (Sy_n)(t))(Sp_n)(t) \\ &\quad + f_x(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), (Sy_n)(t))p_{n+1}(t) \\ &\quad + f_y(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), (Sy_n)(t))p_{n+1}(\theta) \\ &\quad + f_z(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), (Sy_n)(t))(Sp_{n+1})(t) \\ &\leq f_{xx}(t, \rho_4, x(\theta(t)), (Sx)(t))p_n^2(t) \\ &\quad + f_{xy}(t, y_n(t), \rho_5, (Sx)(t))p_n(t)p_n(\theta) \\ &\quad + f_{xz}(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), \rho_6)p_n(t)(Sp_n)(t) \\ &\quad + f_{yy}(t, y_n(t), \rho_7, (Sx)(t))p_n^2(\theta) \\ &\quad + f_{yz}(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), \rho_8)p_n(\theta)(Sp_n)(t) \\ &\quad + f_{zz}(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), (Sy_n)(t))(Sp_n)^2(t) \\ &\quad + f_x(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), (Sy_n)(t))p_{n+1}(t) \\ &\quad + f_y(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), (Sy_n)(t))p_{n+1}(\theta) \\ &\quad + f_z(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), (Sy_n)(t))(Sp_{n+1})(t) \\ &\leq f_{xx}(t, \rho_4, x(\theta(t)), (Sx)(t))p_n^2(t) + \frac{1}{2}f_{xy}(t, y_n(t), \rho_5, (Sx)(t))[p_n^2(t) \\ &\quad + p_n^2(\theta)] + \frac{1}{2}f_{xz}(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), \rho_6)[p_n^2(t) + (Sp_n)^2(t)] \\ &\quad + f_{yy}(t, y_n(t), \rho_7, (Sx)(t))p_n^2(\theta) + \frac{1}{2}f_{yz}(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), \rho_8)[p_n^2(\theta) \\ &\quad + (Sp_n)^2(t)] + f_{zz}(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), (Sy_n)(t))(Sp_n)^2(t) \\ &\leq \left\{ f_{xx}(t, \rho_4, x(\theta(t)), (Sx)(t)) + \frac{1}{2}f_{xy}(t, y_n(t), \rho_5, (Sx)(t)) \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} f_{xz}(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), \rho_6) \Big\} p_n^2(t) + \Big\{ f_{yy}(t, y_n(t), \rho_7, (Sx)(t)) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} f_{xy}(t, y_n(t), \rho_5, (Sx)(t)) + \frac{1}{2} f_{yz}(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), \rho_8) \Big\} p_n^2(\theta) \\
& + \Big\{ f_{zz}(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), (Sy_n)(t)) + \frac{1}{2} f_{xz}(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), \rho_6) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} f_{yz}(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), \rho_8) \Big\} (Sp_n)^2(t) \\
& \leq D_0 p_n^2(t) + D_1 p_n^2(\theta) + D_2 (Sp_n)^2(t),
\end{aligned}$$

where $y_n(t) \leq \rho_4 \leq \rho_1 \leq x(t)$, $y_n(\theta) \leq \rho_5 \leq x(\theta)$, $(Sy_n)(t) \leq \rho_3$, $\rho_6, \rho_8 \leq (Sx)(t)$, $y_n(\theta) \leq \rho_7 \leq \rho_2 \leq x(\theta)$. Hence, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{n+1}(t) & \leq p_{n+1}(0) + \int_0^t \{D_0 p_n^2(s) + D_1 p_n^2(\theta) + D_2 (Sp_n)^2(s)\} ds \\
& \leq p_{n+1}(0) + \int_0^T \{D_0 p_n^2(s) + D_1 p_n^2(\theta) + D_2 (Sp_n)^2(s)\} ds \\
& \leq p_{n+1}(0) + C_0 \max_{t \in J} p_n^2(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where $C_0 = T[D_0 + D_1 + D_2 T^2 k_0^2]$. Moreover, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & = g(x(0), x(T)) - g(y_n(0), z_n(T)) - g_x(y_n(0), y_n(T))[-p_{n+1}(0) + p_n(0)] \\
& \quad - g_y(y_n(0), z_n(T))[q_{n+1}(T) - q_n(T)] \\
& = g_x(\delta_1, x(T))p_n(0) - g_y(y_n(0), \delta_2)q_n(T) - g_x(y_n(0), y_n(T))[-p_{n+1}(0) \\
& \quad + p_n(0)] - g_y(y_n(0), z_n(T))[q_{n+1}(T) - q_n(T)]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_x(y_n(0), y_n(T))p_{n+1}(0) \\
& = [g_y(y_n(0), \delta_2) - g_y(y_n(0), z_n(T))]q_n(T) \\
& \quad + [g_x(y_n(0), y_n(T)) - g_x(\delta_1, x(T))]p_n(0) + g_y(y_n(0), z_n(T))q_{n+1}(T) \\
& = -g_{yy}(y_n(0), \delta_3)q_n^2(T) - g_{xx}(\delta_4, x(T))p_n^2(0) - g_{xy}(y_n(0), \delta_5)p_n(T)p_n(0) \\
& \quad + g_y(y_n(0), z_n(T))q_{n+1}(T),
\end{aligned}$$

where $y_n(0) \leq \delta_4 \leq \delta_1 \leq x(0)$, $x(T) \leq \delta_2 \leq \delta_3 \leq z_n(T)$. Therefore, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{n+1}(0) & \leq B_0 q_n^2(T) + B_1 p_n^2(0) + B_2 p_n^2(T) + g_y(y_n(0), z_n(T))q_{n+1}(T) \\
& \leq B_0 \max_{t \in J} q_n^2(t) + B_1 \max_{t \in J} p_n^2(t) + B_2 \max_{t \in J} p_n^2(t) + \frac{g_y(y_n(0), z_n(T))}{g_x(y_n(0), y_n(T))} q_{n+1}(T) \\
& \leq C_1 \max_{t \in J} p_n^2(t) + C_2 \max_{t \in J} q_n^2(t) + C_1^0 q_{n+1}(T),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 &= -\frac{g_{yy}(y_n(0), \delta_3)}{g_x(y_n(0), y_n(T))}, & B_1 &= -\frac{g_{xx}(\delta_4, x(T))}{g_x(y_n(0), y_n(T))}, \\ B_2 &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{g_{xy}(y_n(0), \delta_5)}{g_x(y_n(0), y_n(T))}, & C_1 &= B_1 + B_2, & C_2 &= B_0, & C_1^0 &= \frac{g_y(y_n(0), z_n(T))}{g_x(y_n(0), y_n(T))}. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, we can arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} q'_{n+1}(t) &\leq f_{xx}(t, \xi_4, z_n(\theta(t)), (Sz_n)(t)) q_n(t) (q_n(t) + p_n(t)) \\ &\quad + f_{xy}(t, y_n(t), \xi_5, (Sz_n)(t)) q_n(t) (q_n(t) + p_n(t)) \\ &\quad + f_{xz}(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), \xi_6) q_n(t) [(Sq_n)(t) + (Sp_n)(t)] \\ &\quad + f_{yx}(t, \xi_7, \xi_2, (Sz_n)(t)) q_n(t) p_n(t) \\ &\quad + f_{yy}(t, y_n(t), \xi_8, (Sz_n)(t)) q_n(t) [p_n(t) + q_n(t)] \\ &\quad + f_{yz}(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), \xi_9) q_n(t) [(Sp_n)(t) + (Sq_n)(t)] \\ &\quad + f_{zx}(t, \xi_{10}, x(\theta(t)), \xi_3) p_n(t) (Sq_n)(t) \\ &\quad + f_{zy}(t, y_n(t), \xi_{11}, \xi_3) p_n(t) (Sq_n)(t) \\ &\quad + f_{zz}(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), \xi_{12}) [(Sp_n)(t) + (Sq_n)(t)] (Sq_n)(t) \\ &\leq \left\{ \frac{3}{2} f_{xx}(t, \xi_4, z_n(\theta(t)), (Sz_n)(t)) + f_{xy}(t, y_n(t), \xi_5, (Sz_n)(t)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + f_{xz}(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), \xi_6) \right\} q_n^2(t) + \frac{1}{2} \{ f_{xx}(t, \xi_4, z_n(\theta(t)), (Sz_n)(t)) \\ &\quad + f_{yx}(t, \xi_7, \xi_2, (Sz_n)(t)) + f_{zx}(t, \xi_{10}, x(\theta(t)), \xi_3) \} p_n^2(t) \\ &\quad + \left\{ \frac{1}{2} f_{xy}(t, y_n(t), \xi_5, (Sz_n)(t)) + \frac{1}{2} f_{yx}(t, \xi_7, \xi_2, (Sz_n)(t)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{3}{2} f_{yy}(t, y_n(t), \xi_8, (Sz_n)(t)) + f_{yz}(t, \xi_9, \xi_2, (Sz_n)(t)) \right\} q_n^2(\theta) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \{ f_{xy}(t, y_n(t), \xi_5, (Sz_n)(t)) + f_{yy}(t, y_n(t), \xi_8, (Sz_n)(t)) \\ &\quad + f_{zy}(t, y_n(t), \xi_{11}, \xi_3) \} p_n^2(\theta) + \frac{1}{2} \{ f_{xz}(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), \xi_6) \\ &\quad + f_{yz}(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), \xi_9) + f_{zx}(t, \xi_{10}, x(\theta(t)), \xi_3) \\ &\quad + f_{zy}(t, y_n(t), \xi_{11}, \xi_3) + 3f_{zz}(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), \xi_{12}) \} (Sq_n)^2(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \{ f_{xz}(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), \xi_6) + f_{yz}(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), \xi_9) \\ &\quad + f_{zz}(t, y_n(t), y_n(\theta(t)), \xi_{12}) \} (Sp_n)^2(t) \\ &\leq D_3 q_n^2(t) + D_4 p_n^2(t) + D_5 q_n^2(\theta) + D_6 p_n^2(\theta) + D_7 (Sq_n)^2(t) + D_8 (Sp_n)^2(t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_{n+1}(t) &\leq q_{n+1}(0) + \int_0^T \{D_3 q_n^2(s) + D_4 p_n^2(s) + D_5 q_n^2(\theta) + D_6 p_n^2(\theta) \\
 &\quad + D_7 (S q_n)^2(s) + D_8 (S p_n)^2(s)\} ds \\
 &\leq q_{n+1}(0) + \max_{t \in J} p_n^2(t) T [D_4 + D_6 + D_8 T^2 k_0^2] + \max_{t \in J} q_n^2(t) T [D_3 \\
 &\quad + D_5 + D_7 T^2 k_0^2] \\
 &= q_{n+1}(0) + C_3 \max_{t \in J} p_n^2(t) + C_4 \max_{t \in J} q_n^2(t),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where $C_3 = T[D_4 + D_6 + D_8 T^2 k_0^2]$, $C_4 = T[D_3 + D_5 + D_7 T^2 k_0^2]$, $y_n(t) \leq \xi_4 \leq \xi_1$, $y_n(\theta) \leq \xi_5 \leq z_n(\theta)$, $x(t) \leq \xi_1 \leq z_n(t)$, $(S y_n)(t) \leq \xi_6, \xi_9 \leq (S z_n)(t)$, $x(\theta) \leq \xi_2 \leq z_n(\theta)$, $y_n(t) \leq \xi_7, \xi_{10} \leq x(t)$, $(S x)(t) \leq \xi_3 \leq (S z_n)(t)$, $y_n(\theta) \leq \xi_8 \leq \xi_2$, $y_n(\theta) \leq \xi_{11} \leq x(\theta)$, $(S y_n)(t) \leq \xi_{12} \leq \xi_3$. Meanwhile, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= -g(x(0), x(T)) + g(z_n(0), y_n(T)) + g_x(y_n(0), y_n(T)) [q_{n+1}(0) - q_n(0)] \\
 &\quad + g_y(y_n(0), z_n(T)) [-p_{n+1}(T) + p_n(T)] \\
 &= g_x(\alpha_1, y_n(T)) q_n(0) - g_y(x(0), \alpha_2) p_n(T) \\
 &\quad + g_x(y_n(0), y_n(T)) [q_{n+1}(0) - q_n(0)] + g_y(y_n(0), z_n(T)) [-p_{n+1}(T) + p_n(T)]
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &g_x(y_n(0), y_n(T)) q_{n+1}(0) \\
 &\leq -g_{xx}(\alpha_3, y_n(T)) q_n(0) [q_n(0) + p_n(0)] \\
 &\quad - g_{yy}(y(0), \alpha_5) p_n(T) [q_n(T) + p_n(T)] + g_{yx}(\alpha_4, \alpha_2(T)) p_n(T) p_n(0) \\
 &\quad + g_y(y_n(0), z_n(T)) p_{n+1}(T) \\
 &\leq -g_{xx}(\alpha_3, y_n(T)) q_n(0) [q_n(0) + p_n(0)] - g_{yy}(y(0), \alpha_5) p_n(T) [q_n(T) + p_n(T)] \\
 &\quad + g_y(y_n(0), z_n(T)) p_{n+1}(T).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_{n+1}(0) &\leq B_3 q_n^2(T) + B_4 q_n^2(0) + B_5 p_n^2(0) + B_6 p_n^2(T) + g_y(y_n(0), z_n(T)) p_{n+1}(T) \\
 &\leq B_3 \max_{t \in J} q_n^2(t) + B_4 \max_{t \in J} q_n^2(t) + B_5 \max_{t \in J} p_n^2(t) + B_6 \max_{t \in J} p_n^2(t) \\
 &\quad + \frac{g_y(y_n(0), z_n(T))}{g_x(y_n(0), y_n(T))} p_{n+1}(T) \\
 &\leq C_5 \max_{t \in J} p_n^2(t) + C_6 \max_{t \in J} q_n^2(t) + C_2^0 p_{n+1}(T),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_3 &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{g_{yy}(y(0), \alpha_5)}{g_x(y_n(0), y_n(T))}, & B_4 &= -\frac{3}{2} \frac{g_{xx}(\alpha_3, y_n(T))}{g_x(y_n(0), y_n(T))}, \\ B_5 &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{g_{xx}(\alpha_3, y_n(T))}{g_x(y_n(0), y_n(T))}, & B_6 &= -\frac{3}{2} \frac{g_{yy}(y(0), \alpha_5)}{g_x(y_n(0), y_n(T))}, \\ C_5 &= B_5 + B_6, & C_6 &= B_3 + B_4, & C_2^0 &= \frac{g_y(y_n(0), z_n(T))}{g_x(y_n(0), y_n(T))}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.4)–(3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} p_{n+1}(0) &\leq C_1^1 p_n^2(t) + C_2^1 q_n^2(t), \\ q_{n+1}(0) &\leq C_3^1 p_n^2(t) + C_4^1 q_n^2(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_1^1 &= \frac{1}{(1 - C_2^0)(1 - C_1^0)} [C_1^0 C_2^0 C_0 + C_1^0 C_5 + C_1^0 C_3 + C_1], \\ C_2^1 &= \frac{1}{(1 - C_2^0)(1 - C_1^0)} [C_1^0 C_2 + C_1^0 C_4 + C_6], \\ C_3^1 &= C_5 + C_2^1 C_2^0 + C_0 C_2^0, & C_4^1 &= C_6 + C_2^1 C_2^0. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (3.4), (3.6), and (3.8), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{t \in J} |x(t) - y_{n+1}(t)| &\leq d_1 \max_{t \in J} |x(t) - y_n(t)|^2 + d_2 \max_{t \in J} |x(t) - z_n(t)|^2, \\ \max_{t \in J} |x(t) - z_{n+1}(t)| &\leq d_3 \max_{t \in J} |x(t) - y_n(t)|^2 + d_4 \max_{t \in J} |x(t) - z_n(t)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $d_1 = C_1^1 + C_0$, $d_2 = C_2^1$, $d_3 = C_3^1 + C_3$, $d_4 = C_4^1 + C_4$. This completes the proof. \square

4 Example

To illustrate the validity of the theoretical result obtained in the previous section, we give the following example.

Example 4.1 Consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = \frac{1}{10}x^2(t) - \frac{1}{4}x\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{80} \int_0^t x(s) ds - \frac{1}{10}x(t), & t \in [0, 1], \\ \frac{1}{2}x(0) - \frac{1}{12}x^2(1) + \frac{1}{12}x(1) + \frac{1}{4} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x(t), x(\theta(t)), (Sx)(t)) &= \frac{1}{10}x^2(t) - \frac{1}{4}x\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{80} \int_0^t x(s) ds - \frac{1}{10}x(t), & t \in [0, 1], \\ g(x(0), x(1)) &= \frac{1}{2}x(0) - \frac{1}{12}x^2(1) + \frac{1}{12}x(1) + \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $y_0(t) = -1$, $z_0(t) = 0$, $t \in [0, 1]$, then $y_0(t) < z_0(t)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, y_0(t), y_0(\theta(t)), (Sy_0)(t)) &\geq y_0'(t), \quad t \in [0, 1], & g(y_0(0), z_0(1)) &= -\frac{1}{4}, \\ f(t, z_0(t), z_0(\theta(t)), (Sz_0)(t)) &= 0 = z_0'(t), \quad t \in [0, 1], & g(z_0(0), y_0(1)) &= \frac{1}{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, y_0 and z_0 are coupled lower and upper solutions of Eq. (4.1), and $y_0(t) \leq x(t) \leq z_0(t)$, that is, $-1 \leq x(t) \leq 0$. Moreover, by Eq. (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 \{f_x(t, y_0, y_0(\theta), (Sy_0)(t)) + f_y(t, y_0, y_0(\theta), (Sy_0)(t)) + f_z(t, y_0, y_0(\theta), (Sy_0)(t))k_0T\} dt \\ &= -\frac{9}{16} \geq -1. \end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to verify that all conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Therefore, there exist the monotone sequences $\{y_n(t)\}$ and $\{z_n(t)\}$ converging uniformly to the unique solution x of equation (4.1) and the convergence is quadratic.

Acknowledgements

The authors express their sincere gratitude to the editors and two anonymous referees for the careful reading of the original manuscript and useful comments that helped to improve the presentation of the results and accentuate important details.

Funding

This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of P.R. China (Grant Nos. 11771115 and 11271106) and Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (Grant No. ZR2016JL021).

Availability of data and materials

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All four authors contributed equally to this work. They all read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Author details

¹College of Mathematics and Information Science, Hebei University, Baoding, P.R. China. ²School of Mathematics and Statistics, Qilu University of Technology (Shandong Academy of Sciences), Jinan, P.R. China. ³LinDa Institute of Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Network Based Intelligent Computing, Linyi University, Linyi, P.R. China. ⁴School of Control Science and Engineering, Shandong University, Jinan, P.R. China.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 30 September 2019 Accepted: 9 December 2019 Published online: 16 December 2019

References

1. Aftabizadeh, A.R., Leela, S.: Existence results for boundary value problems of integro-differential equations. In: Proc. Colloquia on Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations at Bolyai Institute, pp. 23–35 (1984)
2. Burton, T.A.: Volterra Integral and Differential Equations. Academic Press, New York (1983)
3. Cabada, A., Pouso, R.L., Liz, E.: A generalization of the method of upper and lower solutions for discontinuous first order problems with nonlinear boundary conditions. Appl. Math. Comput. **114**, 135–148 (2000)
4. Chen, L.J., Sun, J.T.: Nonlinear boundary value problem for first order impulsive integro-differential equations of mixed type. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **325**, 830–842 (2007)
5. Corduneanu, C.: Some control problems for abstract Volterra functional-differential equations. In: Proc. Int. Symp. MTNS-89, vol. III, pp. 331–338. Birkhäuser, Basel (1990)
6. Corduneanu, C.: Equations with Abstract Volterra Operators as Modelling Tools in Science and Engineering. Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series. Longman, London (1994)
7. Cushing, J.M.: Integrodifferential Equations and Delay Models in Population Dynamics. Springer, New York (1977)

8. Dhakne, M.B., Kendre, S.D.: On a nonlinear Volterra integrodifferential equation in Banach spaces. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **9**, 725–738 (2006)
9. Franco, D., Nieto, J.J., O'Regan, D.: Existence of solutions for first order ordinary differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **153**, 793–802 (2004)
10. Grace, S.R., Graef, J.R., Tunç, E.: On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of certain integro-differential equations. *J. Appl. Anal. Comput.* **9**, 1305–1318 (2019)
11. Graef, J.R., Grace, S.R., Tunç, E.: On the oscillation of certain integral equations. *Publ. Math. (Debr.)* **90**, 195–204 (2017)
12. Graef, J.R., Grace, S.R., Tunç, E.: Asymptotic behavior of solutions of certain integro-differential equations. *Panam. Math. J.* **29**, 45–60 (2019)
13. Guo, D.J., Lakshmikantham, V., Liu, X.Z.: *Nonlinear Integral Equations in Abstract Spaces*. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (1996)
14. Han, J.F., Liu, Y.L., Zhao, J.: Integral boundary value problems for first order nonlinear impulsive functional integro-differential equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**, 5002–5009 (2012)
15. He, Z.M., He, X.M.: Monotone iterative technique for impulsive integro-differential equations with periodic boundary conditions. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **48**, 73–84 (2004)
16. Hristova, S., Tunç, C.: Stability of nonlinear Volterra integro-differential equations with Caputo fractional derivative and bounded delays. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **2019**, Article ID 30 (2019)
17. Jankowski, T.: Existence of solutions of boundary value problems for differential equations with delayed argument. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **156**, 239–252 (2003)
18. Jankowski, T.: Quadratic approximation of solutions for differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **47**, 1619–1626 (2004)
19. Jankowski, T.: Advanced differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **304**, 490–503 (2005)
20. Jankowski, T.: First-order impulsive functional differential equations. *Commun. Appl. Anal.* **11**, 359–378 (2007)
21. Kwapisz, M.: Remarks on the existence and uniqueness of solutions of Volterra functional equations in L^p -spaces. *J. Integral Equ. Appl.* **3**, 383–397 (1991)
22. Ladde, G.S., Lakshmikantham, V., Vatsala, A.S.: *Monotone Iterative Techniques for Nonlinear Differential Equations*. Pitman, London (1985)
23. Lakshmikantham, V., Rama Mohana Rao, M.: *Theory of Integro-Differential Equations*. Gordon & Breach, New York (1995)
24. Lakshmikantham, V., Shahzad, N., Nieto, J.J.: Methods of generalized quasilinearization for periodic boundary value problems. *Nonlinear Anal.* **27**, 143–151 (1996)
25. Lakshmikantham, V., Vatsala, A.S.: *Generalized Quasilinearization for Nonlinear Problems*. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (1998)
26. Li, T., Pintus, N., Viglialoro, G.: Properties of solutions to porous medium problems with different sources and boundary conditions. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **70**, Article ID 86 (2019)
27. Liu, X.Z., Guo, D.J.: Method of upper and lower solutions for second-order impulsive integro-differential equations in a Banach space. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **38**, 213–223 (1999)
28. Liu, Z.H., Liang, J.T.: A class of boundary value problems for first-order impulsive integro-differential equations with deviating arguments. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **237**, 477–486 (2013)
29. Mahdavi, M.: Nonlinear boundary value problems involving abstract Volterra operators. *Libertas Math.* **13**, 17–26 (1993)
30. Meehan, M., O'Regan, D.: Existence theory for nonlinear Volterra integrodifferential and integral equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **31**, 317–341 (1998)
31. Nieto, J.J.: An abstract monotone iterative technique. *Nonlinear Anal.* **28**, 1923–1933 (1997)
32. Sun, L., Zhou, M.R., Wang, G.W.: Quadratic approximation of solutions for boundary value problems with nonlocal boundary conditions. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **61**, 1547–1558 (2011)
33. Tunç, C., Tunç, O.: New qualitative criteria for solutions of Volterra integro-differential equations. *Arab J. Basic Appl. Sci.* **25**, 158–165 (2018)
34. Tunç, C., Tunç, O.: A note on the qualitative analysis of Volterra integro-differential equations. *J. Taibah Univ. Sci.* **13**, 490–496 (2019)
35. Wang, G.T.: Boundary value problems for systems of nonlinear integro-differential equations with deviating arguments. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **234**, 1356–1363 (2010)
36. Wang, P.G., Tian, S.H., Wu, Y.H.: Monotone iterative method for first-order functional difference equations with nonlinear boundary value conditions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **203**, 266–272 (2008)
37. Wang, W.B., Shen, J.H., Nieto, J.J.: Periodic boundary value problems for second order functional differential equations. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **36**, 173–186 (2011)
38. Wang, W.L.: Monotone iterative technique for causal differential equations with upper and lower solutions in the reversed order. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2016**, Article ID 140 (2016)
39. Wang, W.L., Tian, J.F.: Nonlinear boundary value problems for impulsive differential equations with causal operators. *Differ. Equ. Appl.* **9**, 161–170 (2017)