# RESEARCH

# **Open Access**



# Oscillation theorems for three classes of conformable fractional differential equations

Limei Feng<sup>1</sup> and Shurong Sun<sup>1\*</sup>

\*Correspondence: sshrong@163.com <sup>1</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Jinan, Jinan, P.R. China

### Abstract

In this paper, we consider the oscillation theory for fractional differential equations. We obtain oscillation criteria for three classes of fractional differential equations of the forms

$$T_{\alpha}^{t_0} x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(t) x(\tau_i(t)) = 0, \quad t \ge t_0,$$
  
$$T_{\alpha}^{t_0} (r(t) (T_{\alpha}^{t_0} (x(t) + p(t) x(\tau(t))))^{\beta}) + q(t) x^{\beta} (\sigma(t)) = 0, \quad t \ge t_0,$$

and

$$T^{t_0}_{\alpha}(r_2 T^{t_0}_{\alpha}(r_1 (T^{t_0}_{\alpha} y)^{\beta}))(t) + p(t) (T^{t_0}_{\alpha} y(t))^{\beta} + q(t)f(y(g(t))) = 0, \quad t \ge t_0,$$

where  $T_{\alpha}$  denotes the conformable differential operator of order  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ .

MSC: 34C10; 26A33; 65Q10

Keywords: Oscillation; Conformable fractional calculus; Differential equation

## **1** Introduction

Fractional differential equations have been of great interest recently. Apart from diverse areas of mathematics, fractional differential equations arise in rheology, dynamical processes in self-similar and porous structures, fluid flows, electrical networks, chemical physics, and many other branches of science.

The oscillation of fractional differential equations as a new research field has received significant attention, and some interesting results have already been obtained. We refer to [1-11] and the references therein. The definition of the fractional-order derivative used is either the Caputo or the Riemann–Liouville fractional-order derivative involving an integral expression and the gamma function. Because of the definition, the oscillation of these types of fractional equations cannot be studied by regular methods, for example, by the Riccati transformation. It can only be studied by transforming it into an integer-order equation. In 2012, Chen et al. [4] studied the oscillation behavior of the following fractional differential equation:

$$\left[r(t)\left(D_{-}^{\alpha}\right)\eta(t)\right]'-q(t)f\left(\int_{t}^{\infty}(v-t)^{-\alpha}y(v)\,dv\right)=0\quad\text{for }t>0,$$

© The Author(s) 2019. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



where  $D_{-}^{\alpha}y$  denotes the Liouville right-sided fractional derivative of order  $\alpha$ ,

$$\left(D_{-}^{\alpha}y\right)(t):=-\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{t}^{\infty}(\nu-t)^{-\alpha}y(\nu)\,d\nu\quad\text{for }t\in\mathbb{R}_{+}:=(0,\infty).$$

By the Riccati transformation the authors obtained some sufficient conditions.

Recently, Khalil et al. [12] introduced a new well-behaved definition of local fractional derivative, called the conformable fractional derivative, depending just on the basic limit definition of the derivative. This new theory is improved by Abdeljawad [13]. For recent results on conformable fractional derivatives, we refer the reader to [14–23]. This new definition satisfies formulas of the derivatives of the product and quotient of two functions and has a simpler chain rule. In addition to the definition of conformable fractional derivative, a definition of conformable fractional integral, the Rolle theorem, and the mean value theorem for conformable fractional differentiable functions were given. These properties are more conducive to the study of the oscillation of fractional-order equations.

In fact, some works in this field have shown the significance of conformable fractional derivative. For example, [24] discusses the potential conformable quantum mechanics, [25] discusses the conformable Maxwell equations, and [26, 27] show that the conformable fractional derivative models present good agreements with experimental data, but there are less oscillation results.

In the paper, we study oscillation criteria of conformable fractional differential equations. Our main goal is to generalize the oscillatory criteria in [28-37] to the conformable fractional derivative. The three equations represent three classes of equations of different orders. For example, in 2016, Akca et al. [33] studied the equation

$$x'(t)+\sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t)xig( au_i(t)ig)=0,\quad t\geq 0,$$

and obtained the following:

**Theorem 1.1** Assume that  $0 < \varsigma := \liminf_{t\to\infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(s) ds \le \frac{1}{e}$  and for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\int_{h(t)}^t (\zeta-t_0)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\zeta)a_r(h(t),\tau_i(\zeta))\,d\zeta>\frac{1+\ln\lambda_0}{\lambda_0},$$

where  $h(t) = \max_{1 \le i \le m} h_i(t)$ ,  $h_i(t) = \sup_{0 \le s \le t} \tau_i(s)$ ,  $a_1(t,s) := \exp\{\int_s^t \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\zeta) d\zeta\}$ ,  $a_{r+1}(t,s) := \exp\{\int_s^t \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\zeta) a_r(\zeta, \tau_i(\zeta)) d\zeta\}$ , and  $\lambda_0$  is the smaller root of the equation  $e^{\varsigma\lambda} = \lambda$ . Then the above equation oscillates.

From this we can unify the oscillation theory of integral-order and fractional-order differential equations. Through the inequality principle, iterative sequences, and the Riccati transformation method this can be extended to the conformable fractional derivatives by Lemma 2.2.

A solution *x* is called oscillatory if it is eventually neither positive nor negative. Otherwise, the solution is said to be nonoscillatory. An equation is oscillatory if all its solutions oscillate. In this paper, *x* is differentiable on  $[t_0, \infty)$ . This paper is organized as follows. In

Sect. 2, we introduce some notation and definitions on conformable fractional integrals. In Sect. 3, we present the main theorems on  $\alpha$ -order equations. Section 4 is devoted to the oscillatory results on  $2\alpha$ -order equation. In Sect. 5, we demonstrate the oscillatory results for  $3\alpha$ -order equations. In each section, we give examples to illustrate the significance of the results.

### 2 Conformable fractional calculus

For the convenience of the reader, we give some background from fractional calculus theory. These materials can be found in the recent literature, see [12, 13, 23].

**Definition 2.1** ([13]) The (left) fractional derivative of a function  $f : [a, \infty) \to R$  of order  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  starting from *a* is defined by

$$(T^a_{\alpha}f)(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{f(t + \varepsilon(t - a)^{1 - \alpha}) - f(t)}{\varepsilon}$$

When a = 0, we write  $T_{\alpha}$ .

Note that if *f* is differentiable, then  $(T^a_{\alpha}f)(t) = (t - a)^{1-\alpha}f'(t)$ .

**Definition 2.2** ([13]) The left fractional integral of order  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  starting at *a* is defined by

$$(I^a_{\alpha}f)(t) = \int_a^t f(x) \, d\alpha(x,a) = \int_a^t (x-a)^{\alpha-1} f(x) \, dx.$$

**Definition 2.3** ([13]) Let  $f : [a, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function, and let  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . Then, for all t > a, we have

$$T^a_{\alpha}I^a_{\alpha}f(t) = f(t).$$

**Definition 2.4** ([13]) Let  $f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  be let a differentiable function, and let  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . Then, for all t > a, we have

$$I^a_{\alpha}T^a_{\alpha}(f)(t) = f(t) - f(a).$$

**Proposition 2.1** ([13]) Let  $f : (a, \infty) \to \infty \to \mathbb{R}$  be a twice differentiable function, and let  $0 < \alpha, \beta \le 1$  be such that  $1 < \alpha + \beta \le 2$ . Then

$$\left(T^a_{\alpha}T^a_{\beta}\right)(t) = T^a_{\alpha+\beta}f(t) + (1-\beta)(t-a)^{-\beta}T^a_{\alpha}f(t).$$

**Proposition 2.2** ([23]) Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , and let f and g be  $\alpha$ -differentiable at a point t > 0 on  $[a, \infty)$ . Then

- (1)  $T^a_{\alpha}(af + bg) = aT^a_{\alpha}(f) + bT^a_{\alpha}(g)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- (2)  $T^a_{\alpha}(\lambda) = 0$  for all constant functions  $f(t) = \lambda$ ,
- (3)  $T^a_\alpha(fg) = fT^a_\alpha(g) + gT^a_\alpha(f)$ ,
- (4)  $T^a_{\alpha}(\frac{f}{g}) = \frac{gT^a_{\alpha}(f) fT^a_{\alpha}(g)}{g^2},$

- (5)  $T^a_{\alpha}(t^n) = nt^{n-\alpha}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{R}$ , and
- (6)  $T^a_{\alpha}(f \circ g)(t) = f'(g(t))T^a_{\alpha}(g)(t)$  for f differentiable at g(t).

**Lemma 2.1** ([13]) Let  $f,g:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be two functions such that fg is differentiable, and let  $\alpha \in (0,1]$ . Then

$$\int_a^b f(x)T^a_\alpha(g)(x)\,d\alpha(x,a)=fg\bigg|_a^b-\int_a^b g(x)T^a_\alpha(f)(x)\,d\alpha(x,a).$$

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $f : (t_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable, and let  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . If  $T^{t_0}_{\alpha}f(t) = M(t)$ , then for all  $t > s > t_0$ , we have

$$f(t) - f(s) = I_{\alpha}^{t_0} M(t).$$

*Proof* We can conclude from  $T_{\alpha}^{t_0}f(t) = M(t)$  that

$$\left(\frac{t-t_0}{t-s}\right)^{1-\alpha}T^s_{\alpha}f(t)=M(t),$$

that is,

$$T^{s}_{\alpha}f(t) = \left(\frac{t-t_{0}}{t-s}\right)^{\alpha-1}M(t).$$

Then applying  $I_{\alpha}$  to the latter from *s* to *t*, we have

$$I_{\alpha}^{s}T_{\alpha}^{s}f(t)=I_{\alpha}^{s}\left[\left(\frac{t-t_{0}}{t-s}\right)^{\alpha-1}M(t)\right],$$

that is,

$$f(t) - f(s) = I_{\alpha}^{t_0} M(t).$$

The proof of Lemma 2.2 is complete.

# 3 $\alpha$ -Order conformable fractional differential equations with finite nonmonotone delay arguments

In this section, we deal with the differential equations of the form

$$T_{\alpha}^{t_0} x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(t) x(\tau_i(t)) = 0, \quad t \ge t_0,$$
(3.1)

where  $T_{\alpha}$  denotes the conformable differential operator of order  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  $p_i(t)$ ,  $1 \le i \le m$ , are nonnegative functions,  $\tau_i(t)$ ,  $1 \le i \le m$ , are nonmonotone functions of positive real numbers such that

$$au_i(t) \leq t, \qquad t \geq t_0, \qquad \lim_{t \to \infty} au_i(t) = \infty, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

To prove our main results, we establish some fundamental results in this section.

**Lemma 3.1** Assume that x(t) is an eventually positive solution of (3.1) and  $a_r(t,s)$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , *is defined as* 

$$a_{1}(t,s) = \exp\left\{\int_{s}^{t} (\zeta - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(\zeta) d\zeta\right\},$$

$$a_{r+1}(t,s) = \exp\left\{\int_{s}^{t} (\zeta - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(\zeta) a_{r}(\zeta, \tau_{i}(\zeta))\right\}.$$
(3.2)

Then

$$x(t)a_r(t,s) \le x(s), \quad 0 \le s \le t, r \in \mathbb{N}^+.$$

$$(3.3)$$

*Proof* Let x(t) be an eventually positive solution of equation (3.1). Then there exists  $t_1 > t_0$  such that x(t) > 0 and  $x(\tau_i(t)) > 0$ ,  $1 \le i \le m$ , for all  $t \ge t_1$ , so

$$T^{t_0}_{lpha} x(t) = -\sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t) x(\tau_i(t)) \le 0, \quad t \ge t_1.$$

This means that x(t) is monotonically decreasing, that is,  $x(\tau_i(t)) \ge x(t)$ ,  $1 \le i \le m$ , and it is easy to put it into the original equation:

$$T^{t_0}_{\alpha} x(t) + x(t) \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t) \le 0, \quad t \ge t_1.$$

Dividing this equation by x(t), we get

$$rac{T^{t_0}_lpha x(t)}{x(t)} \leq -\sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t), \quad t\geq t_1;$$

that is,

$$(t-t_0)^{1-lpha} \frac{x'(t)}{x(t)} \leq -\sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t), \quad t \geq t_1.$$

Integrating the last inequality from *s* to *t*,  $0 \le s \le t$ , we get

$$\ln x(\zeta)\Big|_{s}^{t} \leq \int_{s}^{t} \left( (\zeta - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \left( -\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(\zeta) \right) \right) d\zeta,$$

that is,

$$\ln x(t) \le \ln x(s) - \int_{s}^{t} (\zeta - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(\zeta) d\zeta.$$

So

$$x(s) \geq x(t) \exp\left\{\int_{s}^{t} (\zeta - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(\zeta) d\zeta\right\},\$$

that is, estimate (3.3) is valid for r = 1. Supposing that (3.3) is established for r = n, we obtain

$$x(t)a_n(t,s)\leq x(s),$$

so

$$T^{t_0}_{\alpha}x(t)+\sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t)x(t)a_n(t,\tau_i(t))\leq 0.$$

Repeating these steps can, we obtain

$$x(s) \geq x(t) \exp\left\{\int_{s}^{t} (\zeta - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(\zeta) a_n(\zeta, \tau_i(\zeta)) d\zeta\right\},\$$

that is,  $x(t)a_{n+1}(t,s) \le x(s)$ . So Lemma 3.1 is proved by mathematical induction.

**Lemma 3.2** Assume that x(t) is an eventually positive solution of (3.1) and

$$0 < \beta := \liminf_{t \to \infty} \int_{h(t)}^{t} (\zeta - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(\zeta) \, d\zeta \le \frac{1}{e}, \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$h(t) = \max_{1 \le i \le m} h_i(t), \qquad h_i(t) = \max_{0 \le s \le t} \tau_i(s), \quad t \ge 0.$$
(3.5)

Then

$$\gamma = \liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{x(h(t))}{x(t)} \ge \lambda_0, \tag{3.6}$$

where  $\lambda_0$  is the smaller root of the equation  $\lambda = e^{\beta\lambda}$ .

*Proof* Let x(t) be an eventually positive solution of equation (3.1). Then there exists  $t_1 > t_0$  such that x(t) > 0 and  $x(\tau_i(t)) > 0$ ,  $1 \le i \le m$ , for all  $t \ge t_1$ . Thus we can conclude from (3.1) that

$$T^{t_0}_{lpha}x(t)=-\sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t)xig( au_i(t)ig)\leq 0,\quad t\geq t_1.$$

This means that x(t) is monotonically decreasing and positive.

By (3.4), for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \beta)$ , there is  $t_{\varepsilon}$  such that

$$\int_{h(t)}^t (\zeta - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\zeta) \, d\zeta \ge \beta - \varepsilon, \quad t \ge t_\varepsilon \ge t_1.$$

We will show that

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{x(h(t))}{x(t)} \ge \lambda_1, \tag{3.7}$$

where  $\lambda_1$  is the smaller root of the equation

 $e^{(\beta-\varepsilon)\lambda} = \lambda.$ 

For contradiction, we assume that

$$\gamma = \liminf_{t\to\infty} \frac{x(h(t))}{x(t)} < \lambda_1.$$

Therefore

$$e^{(\beta-\varepsilon)\gamma} > \gamma.$$
 (3.8)

Then for any  $\delta \in (0, \gamma)$ , there exists  $t_{\delta}$  such that  $\frac{x(h(t))}{x(t)} \ge \gamma - \delta$  for  $t \ge t_{\delta}$ . Dividing both sides of (3.1) by x(t), we have

$$-\frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_0}x(t)}{x(t)} = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t)\frac{x(\tau_i(t))}{x(t)} \ge \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t)\frac{x(h(t))}{x(t)} \ge (\gamma - \delta)\sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t).$$

Integrating the latter from h(t) to t, we obtain

$$-\int_{h(t)}^{t} \frac{x'(s)}{x(s)} \, ds \ge \int_{h(t)}^{t} (s-t_0)^{\alpha-1} \left( (\gamma-\delta) \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(s) \right) \, ds,$$

or

$$-\int_{h(t)}^{t} \frac{x'(s)}{x(s)} ds \ge (\gamma - \delta)(\beta - \varepsilon),$$

so

$$rac{x(h(t))}{x(t)} \geq e^{(\gamma-\delta)(eta-arepsilon)}.$$

Therefore

$$\gamma = \liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{x(h(t))}{x(t)} \ge e^{(\gamma - \delta)(\beta - \varepsilon)},$$

which implies

$$\gamma \geq e^{(eta - arepsilon) \gamma}$$
 ,

which is a contradiction to hypothesis (3.8). So (3.7) is true. Since (3.7) implies (3.6), the proof of Lemma 3.2 is complete.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.1** Assume that (3.4) holds and for some r, we have

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{h(t)}^{t} (\zeta - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(\zeta) a_r \big( h(t), \tau_i(\zeta) \big) d\zeta > \frac{1 + \ln \lambda_0}{\lambda_0}, \tag{3.9}$$

where h(t) is defined by (3.5),  $a_r(t,s)$  is defined by (3.2), and  $\lambda_0$  is the smaller root of the equation  $e^{\beta\lambda} = \lambda$ . Then equation (3.1) oscillates.

*Proof* If equation (3.1) has a solution x(t), then -x(t) is also a solution of equation (3.1), so we only consider the situation where a solution of (3.1) is eventually positive, that is, there is an integer  $t_1 \ge t_0$  such that x(t) > 0 and  $x(\tau_i(t)) > 0$ ,  $1 \le i \le m$ , for all  $t \ge t_1$ . By (3.1) we have

$$T^{t_0}_lpha x(t) = -\sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t) xig( au_i(t)ig) \leq 0, \quad t\geq t_1.$$

It is shown that x(t) is an eventually decreasing function.

By Lemma 3.2 inequality (3.6) holds. It can be easily seen that  $\lambda_0 > 1$ , so for any real number  $0 < \varepsilon \le \lambda_0 - 1$ , we have

$$rac{x(h(t))}{x(t)} \ge \lambda_0 - \varepsilon, \quad t \ge t_2 \ge t_1.$$

Then there is  $t^* \in (h(t), t)$  satisfying

$$\frac{x(h(t))}{x(t^*)} = \lambda_0 - \varepsilon, \quad t \ge t_2.$$
(3.10)

Then integrating from  $t^*$  to t equation (3.1) and substituting into (3.3), we have

$$x(t)-x(t^*)+x(h(t))\int_{t^*}^t(\zeta-t_0)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\zeta)a_r(h(t),\tau_i(\zeta))\,d\zeta\leq 0.$$

Combining this with (3.10), we have

$$\int_{t^*}^t (\zeta - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\zeta) a_r(h(t), \tau_i(\zeta)) d\zeta \le \frac{x(t^*)}{x(h(t))} = \frac{1}{\lambda_0 - \varepsilon}.$$
(3.11)

Dividing (3.1) by x(t), substituting into (3.3), and then integrating from h(t) to  $t^*$ , we have

$$-\int_{h(t)}^{t^*}\frac{x'(\zeta)}{x(\zeta)}\,d\zeta\geq\int_{h(t)}^{t^*}(\zeta-t_0)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{i=1}^mp_i(\zeta)\frac{x(h(t))}{x(\zeta)}a_r\big(h(t),\tau_i(\zeta)\big)\,d\zeta,$$

and because of  $T_{\alpha}^{t_0} x(t) < 0$ , we have

$$\begin{split} &(\lambda_0-\varepsilon)\int_{h(t)}^{t^*}(\zeta-t_0)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{i=1}^mp_i(\zeta)a_r\big(h(t),\tau_i(\zeta)\big)\,d\zeta\\ &\leq \int_{h(t)}^{t^*}(\zeta-t_0)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{i=1}^mp_i(\zeta)\frac{x(h(t))}{x(t)}a_r\big(h(t),\tau_i(\zeta)\big)\,d\zeta\\ &\leq -\int_{h(t)}^{t^*}\frac{x'(\zeta)}{x(\zeta)}\,d\zeta\,, \end{split}$$

that is,

$$\int_{h(t)}^{t^*} (\zeta - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\zeta) a_r(h(t), \tau_i(\zeta)) \, d\zeta \le \frac{1}{\lambda_0 - \varepsilon} \ln \frac{x(h(t))}{x(t^*)}. \tag{3.12}$$

Adding (3.12) to (3.11), we get

$$\int_{h(t)}^t (\zeta - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\zeta) a_r \big( h(t), \tau_i(\zeta) \big) \, d\zeta \leq \frac{1 + \ln(\lambda_0 - \varepsilon)}{\lambda_0 - \varepsilon}.$$

This inequality holds for all  $0 < \varepsilon \le \lambda_0 - 1$ , so as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we obtain

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\int_{h(t)}^t (\zeta-t_0)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\zeta)a_r\big(h(t),\tau_i(\zeta)\big)\,d\zeta\leq \frac{1+\ln\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}.$$

This is a contradiction to (3.9). The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

**Lemma 3.3** Assume that x(t) is an eventually positive solution of (3.1) and that  $\beta$  and h(t) are defined by (3.4) and (3.5). Then

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{x(t)}{x(h(t))} \ge \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \beta - \sqrt{1 - 2\beta - \beta^2} \right) := A(\beta).$$
(3.13)

*Proof* Assume that x(t) > 0 for  $t > T_1 \ge t_0$ . Then there exists  $T_2 \ge T_1$  such that  $x(\tau_i(t)) > 0$ , i = 1, 2, ..., m. In view of (3.1),  $T_{\alpha}^{t_0} x(t) \le 0$  on  $[T_2, \infty)$ . Clearly, (3.13) holds for  $\beta = 0$ . If  $0 < \beta \le \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ , then for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \beta)$ , there exists  $N_{\varepsilon}$  such that

$$\int_{h(t)}^{t} (\zeta - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(\zeta) \, d\zeta > \beta - \varepsilon, \quad t > N_{\varepsilon}.$$
(3.14)

For fixed  $\varepsilon$ , we will show that for each  $t > N_{\varepsilon}$ , there exists  $\lambda_t$  such that  $h(\lambda_t) < t < \lambda_t$  and

$$\int_{t}^{\lambda_{t}} (\zeta - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(\zeta) d\zeta = \beta - \varepsilon.$$
(3.15)

In fact, for a given  $t > N_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $f(\lambda) := \int_{t}^{\lambda} (\zeta - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(\zeta) d\zeta$  is continuous. Because of  $\lim_{t\to\infty} h(t) = \infty$  and (3.14), we have  $\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} f(\lambda) > \beta - \varepsilon > 0$ . Hence there exists  $\lambda_{t} > t$  such that  $f(\lambda) = \beta - \varepsilon$ , that is, (3.15) holds. From (3.14) we have

$$\int_{h(\lambda_t)}^{\lambda_t} (\zeta - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\zeta) \, d\zeta > \beta - \varepsilon = \int_t^{\lambda_t} (\zeta - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\zeta) \, d\zeta,$$

and therefore  $h(\lambda_t) < t$ .

Integrating (3.1) from  $t (> T_3 = \max\{T_2, N_{\varepsilon}\})$  to  $\lambda_t$ , we have

$$x(t) - x(\lambda_t) \ge \int_t^{\lambda_t} (\zeta - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\zeta) x(\tau_i(\zeta)) d\zeta.$$
(3.16)

We see that  $h(t) \le h(\zeta) \le h(\lambda_t) < t$  for  $t \le y \le \lambda_t$ . Integrating (3.1) from  $\tau_i(\zeta)$  to t, we have that for  $t \le \zeta \le \lambda_t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x(\tau_{i}(\zeta)) - x(t) \\ &\geq \int_{\tau_{i}(\zeta)}^{t} (u - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(u) x(\tau_{i}(u)) du \\ &\geq x(h(t)) \int_{\tau_{i}(\zeta)}^{t} (u - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(u) du \\ &> x(h(t)) \left( \int_{h(\zeta)}^{\zeta} (u - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(u) du - \int_{t}^{\zeta} (u - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(u) du \right) \\ &> x(h(t)) \left( (\beta - \varepsilon) - \int_{t}^{\zeta} (u - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(u) du \right). \end{aligned}$$
(3.17)

From (3.16) and (3.17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &\geq x(\lambda_t) + \int_t^{\lambda_t} (\zeta - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\zeta) x(\tau_i(\zeta)) d\zeta \\ &> x(\lambda_t) + \int_t^{\lambda_t} (\zeta - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} \\ &\qquad \times \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\zeta) \bigg[ x(t) + x(h(t)) \bigg( (\beta - \varepsilon) - \int_t^{\zeta} (u - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(u) du \bigg) \bigg] d\zeta \\ &= x(\lambda_t) + x(t) (\beta - \varepsilon) \\ &\qquad + x(h(t)) \bigg[ (\beta - \varepsilon)^2 \\ &\qquad - \int_t^{\lambda_t} (\zeta - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\zeta) \int_t^{\zeta} (u - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(u) du \bigg] d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$
(3.18)

Noting the known formula

$$\int_{t}^{\lambda_{t}} (\zeta - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(\zeta) \int_{t}^{\zeta} (u - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(u) \, du \, d\zeta$$
$$= \int_{t}^{\lambda_{t}} (u - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(u) \int_{u}^{\lambda_{t}} (\zeta - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(\zeta) \, d\zeta \, du,$$

or

$$\int_{t}^{\lambda_{t}} (\zeta - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(\zeta) \int_{t}^{\zeta} (u - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(u) \, du \, d\zeta$$
$$= \int_{t}^{\lambda_{t}} (\zeta - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(\zeta) \int_{\zeta}^{\lambda_{t}} (u - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(u) \, du \, d\zeta,$$

we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{t}^{\lambda_{t}} (\zeta - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(\zeta) \int_{t}^{\zeta} (u - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(u) \, du \, d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{\lambda_{t}} (\zeta - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(\zeta) \int_{t}^{\lambda_{t}} (u - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(u) \, du \, d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_{t}^{\lambda_{t}} (\zeta - t_{0})^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(\zeta) \, d\zeta \right]^{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\beta - \varepsilon)^{2}. \end{split}$$

Substituting this into (3.18), we have

$$x(t) > x(\lambda_t) + x(t)(\beta - \varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2}(\beta - \varepsilon)^2 x(h(t)).$$
(3.19)

Hence

$$\frac{x(t)}{x(h(t))} > \frac{(\beta - \varepsilon)^2}{2(1 - \beta + \varepsilon)} := d_1,$$

and then

$$x(\lambda_t) > \frac{(\beta - \varepsilon)^2}{2(1 - \beta + \varepsilon)} x(h(\lambda_t)) = d_1 x(h(\lambda_t)) \ge d_1 x(t).$$

Substituting this into (3.19), we obtain

$$x(t) > x(t)(m+d_1-\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2}(m-\varepsilon)^2 x(h(t)),$$

and hence

$$\frac{x(t)}{x(h(t))} > \frac{(\beta - \varepsilon)^2}{2(1 - \beta - d_1 + \varepsilon)} := d_2.$$

In general, we have

$$\frac{x(t)}{x(h(t))} > \frac{(\beta - \varepsilon)^2}{2(1 - \beta - d_n + \varepsilon)} := d_{n+1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

It is not difficult to see that if  $\varepsilon$  is small enough, then  $1 \ge d_n > d_{n-1}$ , n = 2, 3, ... Hence  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_n = d$  exists and satisfies

$$-2d^2 + 2d(1 - \beta + \varepsilon) = (\beta - \varepsilon)^2,$$

that is,

$$d = \frac{1 - \beta + \varepsilon \pm \sqrt{1 - 2(\beta - \varepsilon) - (\beta - \varepsilon)^2}}{2}.$$

Because of  $T_{\alpha}^{t_0} \leq 0$ , we have d < 1. Therefore, for all large *t*,

$$\frac{x(t)}{x(h(t))} > \frac{1-\beta+\varepsilon-\sqrt{1-2(\beta-\varepsilon)-(\beta-\varepsilon)^2}}{2}.$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we obtain that

$$\frac{x(t)}{x(h(t))} > \frac{1-\beta-\sqrt{1-2\beta-\beta^2}}{2} = A(\beta).$$

This shows that (3.13) holds.

**Theorem 3.2** Assume (3.4) holds and that for some r, we have

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{h(t)}^{t} (\zeta - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(\zeta) a_r(h(t), \tau_i(\zeta)) d\zeta$$
  
>  $1 - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \beta - \sqrt{1 - 2\beta - \beta^2}),$  (3.20)

where h(t) is defined by (3.5),  $a_r(t,s)$  is defined by (3.2), and  $\lambda_0$  is the smaller root of the equation  $e^{\beta\lambda} = \lambda$ . Then equation (3.1) oscillates.

*Proof* If equation (3.1) has a solution x(t), then -x(t) is also a solution of equation (3.1), so we only consider the situation where a solution of (3.1) is eventually positive, that is, x(t) > 0 and  $x(\tau_i(t)) > 0$ ,  $1 \le i \le m$ , for all  $t \ge T_3$ . By (3.1) we have

$$x'(t) - x(h(t))(t-t_0)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t) \le 0, \quad t \ge T_3.$$

Integrating from h(t) to t the latter and substituting into (3.3), we have

$$x(t)-x\big(h(t)\big)+x\big(h(t)\big)\int_{h(t)}^t (\zeta-t_0)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\zeta)a_r\big(h(t),\tau_i(\zeta)\big)\,d\zeta\leq 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{h(t)}^t (\zeta - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\zeta) a_r \big( h(t), \tau_i(\zeta) \big) d\zeta \leq 1 - \frac{x(t)}{x(h(t))},$$

which gives

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\int_{h(t)}^t(\zeta-t_0)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{i=1}^mp_i(\zeta)a_r\big(h(t),\tau_i(\zeta)\big)\,d\zeta\leq 1-\liminf_{t\to\infty}\frac{x(t)}{x(h(t))},$$

and by (3.13) the last inequality leads to

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\int_{h(t)}^t (\zeta-t_0)^{\alpha-1}\sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\zeta)a_r\big(h(t),\tau_i(\zeta)\big)\,d\zeta\leq 1-\frac{1}{2}\big(1-\beta-\sqrt{1-2\beta-\beta^2}\big),$$

which contradicts (3.20). The proof of the theorem is complete.

*Example* 3.1 We consider the delay differential equation

$$T_{\frac{1}{2}}x(t) + p_1(t)x(\tau_1(t)) + p_2(t)x(\tau_2(t)) = 0, \quad t \ge 0,$$
(3.21)

where

$$\tau_1(t) = \begin{cases} t - 1, & t \in [3k, 3k + 1], \\ -3t + 12k + 3, & t \in [3k + 1, 3k + 2], \\ 5t - 12k - 3, & t \in [3k + 2, 3k + 3], \end{cases}$$
$$k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } \tau_2(t) = \tau_1(t) - 1,$$
$$p_i(t) = \frac{1}{8}t^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

By (3.5) we obtain

$$h_1(t) = \max_{0 \le s \le t} \tau_1(s) = \begin{cases} t - 1, & t \in [3k, 3k + 1], \\ 3k, & t \in [3k + 1, 3k + 2], \\ 5t - 12k - 13, & t \in [3k + 2, 3k + 3], \end{cases}$$
$$k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } h_2(t) = h_1(t) - 1.$$

So  $h(t) = \max_{1 \le i \le 2} \{h_i(t)\} = h_1(t)$ .

The functions  $F_r : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$  are defined as  $F_r(t) = \int_{h(t)}^t \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\zeta) a_r(h(t), \tau_i(\zeta)) d\zeta$ . When  $t = 3k + 2.6, t \in \mathbb{N}$ , for any  $r \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , the function  $F_r(t)$  attains its maximum. In particular,

$$F_1(t = 3k + 2.6) = \int_{3k}^{3k+2.6} \zeta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^2 p_i(\zeta) a_r(h(t), \tau_i(\zeta)) d\zeta$$

where

$$\begin{split} a_r(h(t),\tau_i(\zeta)) &= \exp\left\{\int_{\tau_i(\zeta)}^{h(t)} (\xi - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\xi) \, d\xi\right\} = \exp\left\{\int_{\tau_i(\zeta)}^{h(t)} \xi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{4} \xi^{\frac{1}{2}} \, d\xi\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{\frac{1}{4} \big(h(t) - \tau_i(\zeta)\big)\right\}, \end{split}$$

so

$$\begin{split} F_1(t = 3k + 2.6) &= \int_{3k}^{3k+2.6} \zeta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{8} \zeta^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{4} \left(h(t) - \tau_i(\zeta)\right)\right\} d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{3k}^{3k+2.6} \left(\exp\left\{\frac{1}{4} \left(h(t) - \tau_1(\zeta)\right)\right\} + \exp\left\{\frac{1}{4} \left(h(t) - \tau_2(\zeta)\right)\right\}\right) d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{3k}^{3k+1} \left(\exp\left\{\frac{1}{4} \left(h(t) - \tau_1(\zeta)\right)\right\} + \exp\left\{\frac{1}{4} \left(h(t) - \tau_2(\zeta)\right)\right\}\right) d\zeta \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \int_{3k+1}^{3k+2} \left(\exp\left\{\frac{1}{4} \left(h(t) - \tau_1(\zeta)\right)\right\} + \exp\left\{\frac{1}{4} \left(h(t) - \tau_2(\zeta)\right)\right\}\right) d\zeta \end{split}$$

$$+\frac{1}{4}\int_{3k+2}^{3k+2.6} \left(\exp\left\{\frac{1}{4}(h(t)-\tau_1(\zeta))\right\} + \exp\left\{\frac{1}{4}(h(t)-\tau_2(\zeta))\right\}\right) d\zeta$$

pprox 1.5052,

and therefore

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} F_1(t) \ge 1.5052.$$

Now we see that

$$\beta = \liminf_{t \to \infty} \int_{h(t)}^{t} \zeta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(\zeta) \, d\zeta = \frac{1}{4} \big( t - h(t) \big) = \frac{1}{4} \le \frac{1}{e}.$$

The solution of  $\lambda = e^{\beta\lambda}$  is  $\lambda_0 = 1.435$ , so we get

$$1.5052 > \frac{1 + \ln \lambda_0}{\lambda_0} \approx 0.9485,$$
  
1.5052 > 1 >  $A(\beta)$ .

Therefore equation (3.21) satisfies the conditions of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, and thus equation (3.21) oscillates.

**4** Oscillation of  $2\alpha$ -order neutral conformable fractional differential equation In this section, we deal with differential equations of the form

$$T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}\left(r(t)\left(T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}\left(x(t)+p(t)x(\tau(t))\right)\right)^{\beta}\right)+q(t)x^{\beta}(\sigma(t))=0, \quad t\geq t_{0},$$
(4.1)

where  $T_{\alpha}$  denotes the conformable differential operator of order  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\beta \ge 1$  is a quotient of odd positive integers, and the functions r, p, q,  $\tau$ ,  $\sigma$  are such that r, p, q,  $\tau$ ,  $\sigma \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ . We also assume that, for all  $t \ge t_0$ ,  $\tau(t) \le t$ ,  $\sigma(t) \le t$ ,  $T_{\alpha}^{t_0}\sigma(t) > 0$ ,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \tau(t) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \sigma(t) = \infty$ ,  $0 \le p(t) < 1$ ,  $q(t) \ge 0$ , and q does not vanish eventually.

We further use the following notation:

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon &:= \left(\beta/(\beta+1)\right)^{\beta+1}, \qquad Q(t) := q(t) \left(1 - p(\sigma(t))\right)^{\beta}, \\ z(t) &= x(t) + p(t) x(\tau(t)) < \infty, \qquad \pi(t) := \int_t^\infty (s - t_0)^{\alpha-1} r(s)^{-1/\beta} \, ds. \end{split}$$

**Lemma 4.1** Let  $\beta \ge 1$  be a ratio of two odd numbers. Then

$$A^{(\beta+1)/\beta} - (A-B)^{(\beta+1)/\beta} \le \frac{4}{2} B^{1/\beta} \beta [(1+\beta)A - B], \quad AB \ge 0.$$
  
$$-C \nu^{(\beta+1)/\beta} + D\nu \le \frac{\beta^{\beta}}{(\beta+1)^{\beta+1}} \frac{D^{\beta+1}}{C^{\beta}}, \quad C > 0.$$
 (4.2)

**Theorem 4.1** Assume that  $\pi(t) = \int_t^\infty (s-t_0)^{\alpha-1} r(s)^{-1/\beta} ds < \infty$  and there exists a function  $\rho \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$  such that

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \left( \rho(t) Q(t) - \left( \sigma(t) - t_0 \right)^{(1-\alpha)\beta} \frac{(T_{\alpha}^{t_0} \rho_+(t))^{\beta+1} r(\sigma(t))}{(\beta+1)^{\beta+1} \rho^{\beta(t)} (T_{\alpha}^{t_0} \sigma(t))^{\beta}} \right) = \infty.$$
(4.3)

Suppose that there exists a function  $\delta \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$  such that

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \left[ \psi(t) - \frac{\delta(t)r(t)((\varphi(t))_+)^{\beta+1}}{(\beta+1)^{\beta+1}} \right] = \infty,$$
(4.4)

where

$$\begin{split} \psi(t) &:= \delta(t) \Bigg[ q(t) \Bigg( 1 - p \Big( \sigma(t) \Big) \frac{\pi(\tau(\sigma(t)))}{\pi(\sigma(t))} \Bigg)^{\beta} + \frac{1 - \beta}{r^{1/\beta}(t)\pi^{\beta+1}(t)} \Bigg], \\ p(t) &< \pi(t) / \pi\left(\tau(t)\right), \qquad \varphi(t) := \frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_0} \delta(t)}{\delta(t)} + \frac{1 + \beta}{r^{1/\beta}(t)\pi(t)}, \end{split}$$

and  $(\varphi(t))_+ := \max\{0, \varphi(t)\}$ . Then equation (4.1) oscillates.

*Proof* Let x(t) be a nonoscillating solution of (4.1) on  $[t_0, \infty)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists  $t_1 \ge t_0$  such that x(t) > 0,  $x(\tau(t)) > 0$ , and  $x(\sigma(t)) > 0$  for all  $t \ge t_1$ . Then  $z(t) \ge x(t) > 0$ , and since

$$T^{t_0}_{\alpha}\left\{r(t)\left[T^{t_0}_{\alpha}\left(z(t)\right)\right]^{\beta}\right\} = -q(t)x^{\beta}\left(\sigma\left(t\right)\right) \le 0,\tag{4.5}$$

the function  $[r(t)T_{\alpha}^{t_0}z(t)]^{\beta}$  is nonincreasing for all  $t \ge t_1$ . Therefore  $T_{\alpha}^{t_0}z(t)$  does not change sign eventually, that is, there exists  $t_2 \ge t_1$  such that either  $T_{\alpha}^{t_0}z(t) > 0$  or  $T_{\alpha}^{t_0}z(t) < 0$  for all  $t \ge t_2$ .

Case I. Assume first that  $T^{t_0}_{\alpha}z(t) > 0$  for all  $t \ge t_2$ . Note that  $T^{t_0}_{\alpha}z(t)|_{t=\sigma(t)} = T^{t_0}_{\alpha}(z(\sigma(t)))$ . Then

$$r(t) \big( T^{t_0}_{\alpha} \big( z(t) \big) \big)^{\beta} \leq r \big( \sigma(t) \big) \big( T^{t_0}_{\alpha} z \big( \sigma(t) \big) \big)^{\beta},$$

from which it follows that

$$T_{\alpha}^{t_0}(z(\sigma(t))) \ge \left(T_{\alpha}^{t_0}(z(t))\right) \left(\frac{r(t)}{r(\sigma(t))}\right)^{1/\beta}.$$
(4.6)

Since  $x(t) \le z(t)$ , we see that

$$x(t) \ge [1 - p(t)]z(t), \quad t \ge t_2.$$
 (4.7)

In view of (4.7) and (4.1),

$$T^{t_0}_{\alpha}\left(r(t)\left(T^{t_0}_{\alpha}\left(x(t)+p(t)x(\tau(t))\right)\right)^{\beta}\right)+Q(t)z^{\beta}(\sigma(t))\leq 0, \quad t\geq t_2.$$

$$(4.8)$$

Put

$$w(t) = \rho(t) \frac{r(t)(T_{\alpha}^{t_0} z(t))^{\beta}}{z^{\beta}(\sigma(t))}, \quad t \ge t_2.$$
(4.9)

Clearly, w(t) > 0. Applying  $T_{\alpha}^{t_0}$  to (4.9) and using (4.6) and (4.8), we obtain

$$\begin{split} T^{t_0}_{\alpha}(w(t)) \\ &= \frac{T^{t_0}_{\alpha}\rho_+(t)}{\rho(t)}w(t) + \rho(t)\frac{T^{t_0}_{\alpha}(r(t)(T^{t_0}_{\alpha}z(t))^{\beta})}{z^{\beta}(\sigma(t))} - \rho(t)\frac{r(t)(T^{t_0}_{\alpha}z(t))^{\beta}\beta z'(\sigma(t))T^{t_0}_{\alpha}\sigma(t)}{z^{\beta+1}(\sigma(t))} \\ &\leq \frac{T^{t_0}_{\alpha}\rho_+(t)}{\rho(t)}w(t) - \rho(t)Q(t) - \frac{\beta T^{t_0}_{\alpha}\sigma(t)}{(\rho(t)r(\sigma(t)))^{\frac{1}{\beta}}(\sigma(t) - t_0)^{1-\alpha}}w^{\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}}(t), \end{split}$$

where  $T_{\alpha}^{t_0} \rho_+(t) = \max\{T_{\alpha}^{t_0} \rho(t), 0\}$ . Set

$$F(\nu)=\frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_0}\rho_+(t)}{\rho(t)}\nu-\frac{\beta T_{\alpha}^{t_0}\sigma(t)}{(\rho(t)r(\sigma(t)))^{\frac{1}{\beta}}(\sigma(t)-t_0)^{1-\alpha}}\nu^{\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}},\quad\nu>0.$$

By calculation letting  $\nu_0 = (\sigma(t) - t_0)^{(1-\alpha)\beta} \frac{1}{(\beta+1)^{\beta}} \frac{(T_{\alpha}^{t_0}\rho_+(t))^{\beta}}{\rho^{\beta-1}(t)} \frac{r(\sigma(t))}{(T_{\alpha}^{t_0}\sigma(t))^{\beta}}$ , we have that when

 $\nu = \nu_0,$ 

the function F(v) attains its maximum  $F(v_0)$ . So

$$F(\nu) \le F(\nu_0) = \left(\sigma(t) - t_0\right)^{(1-\alpha)\beta} \frac{(T_{\alpha}^{t_0} \rho_+(t))^{\beta+1} r(\sigma(t))}{(\beta+1)^{\beta+1} \rho^{\beta}(t) (T_{\alpha}^{t_0} \sigma(t))^{\beta}}.$$

Therefore

$$T_{\alpha}^{t_0}(w(t)) \leq -\rho(t)Q(t) + (\sigma(t) - t_0)^{(1-\alpha)\beta} \frac{(T_{\alpha}^{t_0}\rho_+(t))^{\beta+1}r(\sigma(t))}{(\beta+1)^{\beta+1}\rho^{\beta}(t)(T_{\alpha}^{t_2}\sigma(t))^{\beta}}.$$

Applying  $I_{\alpha}$  to the last inequality from  $t_0$  to t, we have

$$0 < w(t) \le w(t_0) - I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \left( \rho(t)Q(t) - \left(\sigma(t) - t_0\right)^{(1-\alpha)\beta} \frac{(T_{\alpha}^{t_0}\rho_+(t))^{\beta+1}r(\sigma(t))}{(\beta+1)^{\beta+1}\rho^{\beta(t)}(T_{\alpha}^{t_0}\sigma(t))^{\beta}} \right).$$

Letting  $t \to \infty$  in this inequality, we get a contradiction to (4.3).

Case II. Assume now that  $T_{\alpha}^{t_0}z(t) < 0$  for all  $t \ge t_0$ . It follows from (4.1) that  $T_{\alpha}^{t_0}(r(T_{\alpha}^{t_0}z)^{\beta}) < 0$  for all  $s \ge t \ge t_2$ , and thus

$$T_{\alpha}^{t_0} z(s) \le \left(\frac{r(t)}{r(s)}\right)^{1/\beta} T_{\alpha}^{t_0} z(t).$$
(4.10)

Dividing (4.10) by  $(s - t_0)^{1-\alpha}$  and then integrating from *t* to *l*,  $l \ge t \ge t_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} z(l) - z(t) &\leq \int_{t}^{l} (s - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} \left\{ \left( \frac{r(t)}{r(s)} \right)^{1/\beta} T_{\alpha}^{t_0} (z(t)) \right\} ds \\ &= r(t)^{1/\beta} T_{\alpha}^{t_0} (z(t)) \int_{t}^{l} (s - t_0)^{\alpha - 1} r(s)^{-1/\beta} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $l \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$z(t) \ge -\pi(t)r^{1/\beta}(t)T_{\alpha}^{t_0}(z(t)),$$
(4.11)

$$\begin{split} T_{\alpha}^{t_0}\bigg(\frac{z(t)}{\pi(t)}\bigg) &= \frac{\pi(t)T_{\alpha}^{t_0}z(t) - z(t)T_{\alpha}^{t_0}\pi(t)}{\pi^2(t)} = \frac{\pi(t)T_{\alpha}^{t_0}z(t) + z(t)r^{-1/\beta}(t))}{\pi^2(t)} \\ &\geq \frac{\pi(t)T_{\alpha}^{t_0}z(t) - \pi(t)T_{\alpha}^{t_0}z(t)}{\pi^2(t)} = 0. \end{split}$$

Hence we conclude that

$$x(t) = z(t) - p(t)x(\tau(t)) \ge z(t) - p(t)z(\tau(t)) \ge \left(1 - p(t)\frac{\pi(\tau(t))}{\pi(t)}\right)z(t).$$
(4.12)

Using (4.12) in (4.5), we have

$$T_{\alpha}^{t_0}\left\{r(t)\left[T_{\alpha}^{t_0}\left(z(t)\right)\right]^{\beta}\right\} \le -q(t)\left(1-p(\sigma(t))\frac{\pi(\tau(\sigma(t)))}{\pi(\sigma(t))}\right)^{\beta}z^{\beta}(\sigma(t)) \le 0.$$

$$(4.13)$$

Define a generalized Riccati substitution by

$$w(t) := \delta(t) \left[ \frac{r(t) (T_{\alpha}^{t_0} z(t))^{\beta}}{z^{\beta}(t)} + \frac{1}{\pi^{\beta}(t)} \right].$$
(4.14)

By (4.11),  $w(t) \ge 0$  for all  $t \ge t_2$ . Applying  $T_{\alpha}^{t_0}$  to (4.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}w(t) &= \frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}\delta(t)}{\delta(t)}w(t) \\ &+ \delta(t) \bigg( \frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}(r(t)(T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}z(t))^{\beta})}{z^{\beta}} - \frac{\beta r(t)(T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}z(t))^{\beta+1}}{z^{\beta+1}(t)} - \beta \pi^{-(\beta+1)}T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}\pi(t) \bigg) \\ &= \frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}\delta(t)}{\delta(t)}w(t) \\ &+ \delta(t) \frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}(r(t)(T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}z(t))^{\beta})}{z^{\beta}} - \beta \delta(t)r(t) \bigg( \frac{w(t)}{\delta(t)r(t)} - \frac{1}{r(t)\pi^{\beta}(t)} \bigg)^{(\beta+1)/\beta} \\ &+ \frac{\beta \delta(t)}{r^{1/\beta}(t)\pi^{1+\beta}(t)}. \end{aligned}$$
(4.15)

Let  $A := w(t)/(\delta(t)r(t))$  and  $B = 1/(r(t)\pi^{\beta}(t))$ . Using Lemma 4.1, we conclude that

$$\begin{split} &\left(\frac{w(t)}{\delta(t)r(t)} - \frac{1}{r(t)\pi^{\beta}(t)}\right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{w(t)}{\delta(t)r(t)}\right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}} - \frac{1}{\beta r^{1/\beta}(t)\pi(t)} \bigg[ (1+\beta)\frac{w(t)}{\delta(t)r(t)} - \frac{1}{r(t)\pi^{\beta}(t)} \bigg]. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, we get by (4.13) that  $T_{\alpha}^{t_0}z < 0$  and from  $\sigma(t) \le t$  that

$$\frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_0}\{r(t)[T_{\alpha}^{t_0}(z(t))]^{\beta}\}}{z^{\beta}(t)} \leq -q(t) \bigg(1-p\big(\sigma(t)\big)\frac{\pi(\tau(\sigma(t)))}{\pi(\sigma(t))}\bigg)^{\beta}.$$

## Thus (4.15) yields

$$\begin{split} T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}w(t) &= \frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}\delta(t)}{\delta(t)}w(t) + \delta(t)\frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}(r(t)(T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}z(t))^{\beta})}{z^{\beta}} \\ &- \beta\delta(t)r(t)\bigg(\frac{w(t)}{\delta(t)r(t)} - \frac{1}{r(t)\pi^{\beta}(t)}\bigg)^{(\beta+1)/\beta} + \frac{\beta\delta(t)}{r^{1/\beta}(t)\pi^{1+\beta}(t)} \\ &\leq \frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}\delta(t)}{\delta(t)}w(t) - \delta(t)q(t)\bigg(1 - p(\sigma(t))\frac{\pi(\tau(\sigma(t)))}{\pi(\sigma(t))}\bigg)^{\beta} + \frac{\beta\delta(t)}{r^{1/\beta}(t)\pi^{1+\beta}(t)} \\ &- \beta\delta(t)r(t)\bigg(\bigg(\frac{w(t)}{\delta(t)r(t)}\bigg)^{\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}} - \frac{1}{\beta r^{1/\beta}(t)\pi(t)}\bigg[(1 + \beta)\frac{w(t)}{\delta(t)r(t)} - \frac{1}{r(t)\pi^{\beta}(t)}\bigg]\bigg) \\ &= -\delta(t)\bigg[q(t)\bigg(1 - p(\sigma(t))\frac{\pi(\tau(\sigma(t)))}{\pi(\sigma(t))}\bigg)^{\beta} + \frac{1 - \beta}{r^{1/\beta}(t)\pi^{\beta+1}(t)}\bigg] \\ &+ \bigg[\frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}\delta(t)}{\delta(t)} + \frac{1 + \beta}{r^{1/\beta}(t)\pi(t)}\bigg]w(t) - \frac{\beta}{(\delta(t)r(t))^{1/\beta}}w^{(\beta+1)/\beta}(t), \end{split}$$

that is,

$$T_{\alpha}^{t_0}w(t) \le -\psi(t) + (\varphi(t))_+ w(t) - \frac{\beta}{(\delta(t)r(t))^{1/\beta}} w^{(\beta+1)/\beta}(t).$$
(4.16)

Denote  $C := \beta/(\delta(t)r(t))^{1/\beta}$ ,  $D := (\varphi(t))_+$ , and v := w(t). Applying inequality (4.2), we obtain

$$\left(\varphi(t)\right)_{+}w(t) - \frac{\beta}{(\delta(t)r(t))^{1/\beta}}w^{(\beta+1)/\beta}(t) \le \frac{\delta(t)r(t)((\varphi(t))_{+})^{\beta+1}}{(\beta+1)^{\beta+1}}.$$
(4.17)

By (4.16) and (4.17) we have

$$T^{t_0}_{\alpha}w(t) \leq -\psi(t) + rac{\delta(t)r(t)((\varphi(t))_+)^{eta+1}}{(eta+1)^{eta+1}}.$$

Applying  $I_{\alpha}$  to the latter inequality from  $t_0$  to t, we have

$$I_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}\left[\psi(t) - \frac{\delta(t)r(t)((\varphi(t))_{+})^{\beta+1}}{(\beta+1)^{\beta+1}}\right] \leq -w(t) + w(t_{0}),$$

which contradicts (4.4). Therefore (4.1) oscillates.

*Example* 4.1 We consider the equation

$$T_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left(t^{2}T_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left(x(t)+p(t)x\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right)\right)+q(t)x(t)=0, \quad t\geq 0,$$
(4.18)

where  $p(t) = \frac{1}{5}$  and  $q(t) = (2 + \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{5})t$ . Let  $\rho(t) = 1$  and  $\delta(t) = 1/t$ . Then we have

$$\begin{split} I_{\alpha}^{t_{0}} & \left(\rho(t)Q(t) - \left(\sigma(t) - t_{0}\right)^{(1-\alpha)\beta} \frac{(T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}\rho_{+}(t))^{\beta+1}r(\sigma(t))}{(\beta+1)^{\beta+1}\rho^{\beta(t)}(T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}\sigma(t))^{\beta}}\right) \\ & = I_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}Q(t) = I_{\alpha}^{t_{0}} \left(\frac{4}{5}\left(2 + \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{5}\right)t\right), \end{split}$$

and it is obvious that (4.3) holds. Because of  $\varphi(t) = 2/\sqrt{t}$ ,  $\psi(t) = (q_0(1-2\sqrt{2}p_0))/t = \frac{34}{25}$ . So

$$I_{\alpha}^{t_0}\left[\psi(t) - \frac{\delta(t)r(t)((\varphi(t))_+)^{\beta+1}}{(\beta+1)^{\beta+1}}\right] = I_{\alpha}^{t_0}\left[\frac{34}{25} - \frac{\frac{1}{t}t^2(\frac{2}{\sqrt{t}})^2}{2^2}\right] = I_{\alpha}^{t_0}\frac{9}{25},$$

and we can conclude that condition (4.4) is satisfied. Hence by Theorem 4.1 we deduce that (4.18) oscillates.

#### 5 Oscillation of $3\alpha$ -order damped conformable fractional differential equation

This section deals with oscillatory behavior of all solutions of the  $3\alpha$ -order nonlinear delay damped equation of the form

$$T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}\left(r_{2}T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}\left(r_{1}\left(T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}y\right)^{\beta}\right)\right)(t) + p(t)\left(T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}y(t)\right)^{\beta} + q(t)f\left(y(g(t))\right) = 0, \quad t \ge t_{0},$$
(5.1)

where  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ , and  $\beta \ge 1$  is the ratio of positive odd integers. We further assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (H1)  $r_1, r_2, p, q \in C(I, \mathbb{R}^+)$ , where  $I = [t_0, \infty)$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^+ = (0, \infty)$ ;
- (H2)  $g \in C^1(I, \mathbb{R}), T^{t_0}_{\alpha}g(t) \ge 0 \text{ and } g(t) \to \infty \text{ as } t \to \infty;$
- (H3)  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  is such that xf(x) > 0 for  $x \neq 0$ , and  $f(x)/x^{\gamma} \ge k > 0$ , where  $\gamma$  is the ratio of positive odd integers.

We define

$$R_1(t,t_0) = I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \frac{1}{r_1^{1/\beta}(t)}, \qquad R_2(t,t_0) = I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \frac{1}{r_2(t)}, \quad \text{and} \quad R^*(t,t_0) = I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \left(\frac{R_2(t,t_0)}{r_1(t)}\right)^{1/\beta}$$

for  $t_0 \le t_1 \le t \le \infty$  and assume that

$$R_1(t,t_0) \to \infty, \quad t \to \infty,$$
 (5.2)

and

$$R_2(t,t_0) \to \infty, \quad t \to \infty.$$
 (5.3)

A function *y* is called a solution of (5.1) if  $y, r_1(T^{t_0}_{\alpha}y)^{\beta}, r_2(r_1(T^{t_0}_{\alpha}y)^{\beta}) \in C^1([t_y, \infty), \mathbb{R})$  and *y* satisfies (5.1) for  $[t_y, \infty)$  for some  $t_y \ge t_0$ .

For brevity, we define

$$L_0 y(t) = y(t), \qquad L_1 y(t) = r_1(t) \left( T_{\alpha}^{t_0}(L_0 y) \right)^{\beta}(t),$$
  
$$L_2 y(t) = r_2(t) T_{\alpha}^{t_0}(L_1 y)(t), \qquad L_3 y(t) = T_{\alpha}^{t_0}(L_2 y)(t)$$

on *I*. Then (5.1) can be written as

$$L_{3}y(t) + \frac{p(t)}{r_{1}(t)}L_{1}y(t) + q(t)f(y(g(t))) = 0.$$

The purpose of this section is to ensure that any solution of (5.1) oscillates when the related second-order linear ordinary fractional differential equation without delay

$$T_{\alpha}^{t_0}\left\{r_2(t)T_{\alpha}^{t_0}z(t)\right\} + \frac{p(t)}{r_1(t)}z(t) = 0$$
(5.4)

is nonoscillatory.

Next, we state and prove the following lemmas.

**Lemma 5.1** Let y be a nonoscillatory solution of (5.1) on I. Suppose (5.4) is nonoscillatory. Then there exists  $t_2 \in [t_1, \infty)$  such that  $y(t)L_1y(t) > 0$  or  $y(t)L_1y(t) < 0$ ,  $t \ge t_2$ .

*Proof* Let *y* be a nonoscillatory solution of (5.1) on  $[t_1, \infty)$ , say y(t) > 0 and y(g(t)) > 0 for  $t \ge t_1 \ge t_0$ . Let  $x = -L_1y(t)$ . By (5.1) we have

$$T^{t_0}_{\alpha}(r_2 T^{t_0}_{\alpha} x)(t) + \frac{p(t)}{r_1(t)} x(t) = q(t) f(y(g(t))) > 0, \quad t \ge t_1.$$

Let u(t) be a positive solution of (5.4), say u(t) > 0 for  $t \ge t_1 \ge t_0$ . If x is oscillatory, then x has consecutive zeros at a and b ( $t_1 < a < b$ ) such that  $T^{t_0}_{\alpha}x(a) \ge 0$ ,  $T^{t_0}_{\alpha}x(b) \le 0$ , and x(t) > 0 for  $t \in (a, b)$ . Then we obtain

$$\begin{split} 0 &< \int_{a}^{b} \left[ T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}} \left( r_{2} T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}} x \right)(t) + \frac{p(t)}{r_{1}(t)} x(t) \right] u(t) d\alpha(t, a) \\ &= \int_{a}^{b} (t-a)^{1-\alpha} \left( r_{2} T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}} x \right)'(t) \left( \frac{t-t_{0}}{t-a} \right)^{1-\alpha} u(t) d\alpha(t, a) + \int_{a}^{b} \frac{p(t)}{r_{1}(t)} x(t) u(t) d\alpha(t, a) \\ &= r_{2}(t) T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}} x(t) \left( \frac{t-t_{0}}{t-a} \right)^{1-\alpha} u(t) \Big|_{a}^{b} - \int_{a}^{b} \left( r_{2} T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}} x \right)(t) T_{\alpha}^{a} \left[ \left( \frac{t-t_{0}}{t-a} \right)^{1-\alpha} u(t) \right] d\alpha(t, a) \\ &+ \int_{a}^{b} \frac{p(t)}{r_{1}(t)} x(t) u(t) d\alpha(t, a) \\ &= r_{2}(t) T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}} x(t) \left( \frac{t-t_{0}}{t-a} \right)^{1-\alpha} u(t) \Big|_{a}^{b} + \int_{a}^{b} \frac{p(t)}{r_{1}(t)} x(t) u(t) d\alpha(t, a) \\ &- \int_{a}^{b} r_{2}(t) \left( \frac{t-t_{0}}{t-a} \right)^{1-\alpha} T_{\alpha}^{a} \left[ \left( \frac{t-t_{0}}{t-a} \right)^{1-\alpha} u(t) \right] (t-a)^{1-\alpha} x'(t) d\alpha(t, a) \\ &= r_{2}(t) T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}} x(t) \left( \frac{t-t_{0}}{t-a} \right)^{1-\alpha} u(t) \Big|_{a}^{b} - \left\{ r_{2}(t) \left( \frac{t-t_{0}}{t-a} \right)^{1-\alpha} T_{\alpha}^{a} \left[ \left( \frac{t-t_{0}}{t-a} \right)^{1-\alpha} u(t) \right] \right\} x(t) \right|_{a}^{b} \\ &+ \int_{a}^{b} T_{\alpha}^{a} \left\{ r_{2}(t) \left( \frac{t-t_{0}}{t-a} \right)^{1-\alpha} T_{\alpha}^{a} \left[ \left( \frac{t-t_{0}}{t-a} \right)^{1-\alpha} u(t) \right] \right\} x(t) d\alpha(t, a) \\ &+ \int_{a}^{b} \frac{p(t)}{r_{1}(t)} u(t) x(t) d\alpha(t, a) \\ &= r_{2}(t) T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}} x(t) \left( \frac{t-t_{0}}{t-a} \right)^{1-\alpha} u(t) \Big|_{a}^{b} + \int_{a}^{b} \left\{ T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}} \left\{ r_{2}(t) T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}} u(t) \right\} + \frac{p(t)}{r_{1}(t)} u(t) \right\} x(t) d\alpha(t, a) \\ &= r_{2}(t) T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}} x(t) \left( \frac{t-t_{0}}{t-a} \right)^{1-\alpha} u(t) \Big|_{a}^{b} + \int_{a}^{b} \left\{ T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}} \left\{ r_{2}(t) T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}} u(t) \right\} + \frac{p(t)}{r_{1}(t)} u(t) \right\} x(t) d\alpha(t, a) \\ &= r_{2} T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}} x(t) \left( \frac{t-t_{0}}{t-a} \right)^{1-\alpha} u(t) \Big|_{a}^{b} \le 0, \end{split}$$

which yields a contradiction. This completes the proof.

**Lemma 5.2** If y is a nonoscillatory solution of (5.1) and  $y(t)L_1y(t) > 0$ ,  $t \ge t_1 \ge t_0$ , then

$$L_1 y(t) \ge R_2(t, t_0) L_2 y(t) \quad for all \ t \ge t_1$$
 (5.5)

and

$$y(t) \ge R^*(t, t_0)(L_2 y)^{1/\beta}(t) \quad \text{for all } t \ge t_1.$$
 (5.6)

*Proof* If *y* is a nonoscillatory solution of (5.1), then y(t) > 0, y(g(t)) > 0, and  $L_1y(t) > 0$  for  $t \ge t_1 \ge t_0$ . It is easy to see that

$$L_3 y(t) = -\frac{p(t)}{r_1(t)} L_1 y(t) - q(t) f\left(y(g(t))\right) \leq 0,$$

which implies that  $L_2 y(t)$  is nonincreasing on  $[t_1, \infty)$ . Applying  $I_\alpha$  to  $T_\alpha^{t_0} L_1 y(t) = \frac{L_2 y(t)}{r_2(t)}$  from  $t_1$  to t and Lemma 2.2, we get

$$L_1 y(t) = L_1 y(t_1) + I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \left[ \frac{L_2 y(t)}{r_2(t)} \right] \ge L_2 y(t) I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \frac{1}{r_2(t)} = L_2 y(t) R_2(t, t_0) \quad \text{for any } t \ge t_1.$$

Then

$$T^{t_0}_{\alpha} y(t) \ge \left( \frac{R_2(t,t_0)}{r_1(t)} \right)^{1/\beta} (L_2 y)^{1/\beta}(t).$$

Now, applying  $I_{\alpha}$  to the last inequality from  $t_1$  to t, we can obtain from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{split} y(t) &\geq y(t_1) + I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \bigg[ \left( \frac{R_2(t,t_0)}{r_1(t)} \right)^{1/\beta} (L_2 y)^{1/\beta}(t) \bigg] \\ &\geq (L_2 y)^{1/\beta}(t) I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \left( \frac{R_2(t,t_0)}{r_1(t)} \right)^{1/\beta} = R^*(t,t_0) (L_2 y)^{1/\beta}(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

In the following two lemmas, we consider the second-order delay differential inequality

$$T^{t_0}_{\alpha}(r_2 T^{t_0}_{\alpha} x(t)) \ge Q(t) x(h(t)), \quad t > t_0,$$
(5.7)

where the function  $r_2$  is as in (5.1),  $Q(t) \in C(I, \mathbb{R}^+)$ , and  $h(t) \in C^1(I, \mathbb{R})$  is such that  $h(t) \leq t$ ,  $T^{t_0}_{\alpha}h(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ , and  $h(t) \to \infty$  as  $t \to \infty$ .

Lemma 5.3 If

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} R_2(h(t), t_0) I_\alpha^{t_0} Q(t) > 1,$$
(5.8)

then all bounded solutions of (5.7) are oscillatory.

*Proof* Let x(t) be a bounded nonoscillatory solution of (5.7), say x(t) > 0 and x(h(t)) > 0 for  $t \ge t_1$  for some  $t_1 \ge t_0$ . By (5.7),  $r_2 T_{\alpha}^{t_0} x(t)$  is strictly increasing on  $[t_1, \infty)$ . Hence, for any  $t_2 \ge t_1$ , applying  $I_{\alpha}$  from  $t_2$  to t in  $T_{\alpha}^{t_0} x(t) = \frac{r_2(t)T_{\alpha}^{t_0} x(t)}{r_2(t)}$  and Lemma 2.2 yield

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= x(t_2) + I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \left[ \frac{r_2(t) T_{\alpha}^{t_0} x(t)}{r_2(t)} \right] > x(t_2) + r_2(t_2) T_{\alpha}^{t_0} x(t_2) I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \frac{1}{r_2(t)} \\ &= x(t_2) + r_2(t_2) T_{\alpha}^{t_0} x(t_2) R_2(t, t_0), \end{aligned}$$

so  $T^{t_0}_{\alpha}x(t_2) < 0$ , as otherwise (5.3) would imply  $x(t) \to \infty$  as  $t \to \infty$ , a contradiction to the boundedness of *x*. Altogether,

 $x>0,\,T_{\alpha}^{t_0}x<0,\quad\text{and}\quad T_{\alpha}^{t_0}\big(r_2\,T_{\alpha}^{t_0}x\big)>0\quad\text{on}\;[t_1,\infty).$ 

Now, for  $v \ge u \ge t_1$ , repeating the previous steps, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(u) > x(u) - x(v) &= -I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \left[ \frac{r_2(v) T_{\alpha}^{t_0} x(v)}{r_2(v)} \right] \ge -r_2(v) T_{\alpha}^{t_0} x(v) I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \frac{1}{r_2(v)} \\ &= -r_2(v) T_{\alpha}^{t_0} x(v) R_2(v, t_0). \end{aligned}$$
(5.9)

For  $t \ge s \ge t_1$ , setting u = h(s) and v = h(t) in (5.9), we get

$$x(h(s)) > -r_2(h(t))T_{\alpha}^{t_0}x(h(t))R_2(h(t),t_0).$$

Applying  $I_{\alpha}$  to (5.7) from  $h(t) \ge t_1$  to t, we obtain from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} -r_{2}(h(t))T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}x(h(t)) &> r_{2}(t)T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}x(t) - r_{2}(h(t))T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}x(h(t)) \\ &\geq I_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}(Q(t)x(h(t))) \\ &> -r_{2}(h(t))T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}x(h(t))R_{2}(h(t),t_{0})I_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}Q(t), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$1 > R_2(h(t), t_0)I_\alpha^{t_0}Q(t).$$

Taking lim sup as  $t \to \infty$  on both sides of this inequality yields a contradiction to (5.8). This completes the proof.

Lemma 5.4 If

$$\lim_{t \to \infty, u \to \infty} \sup R_2(u, t_0) I_{\alpha}^{t_0} Q(t) > 1,$$
(5.10)

then all bounded solutions of (5.7) are oscillatory.

*Proof* Let *x* be a bounded nonoscillatory solution of (5.7), say x(t) > 0 and x(h(t)) > 0 for  $t \ge t_1$  for some  $t_1 \ge t_0$ . As in Lemma 5.1, we obtain

$$x > 0,$$
  $T_{\alpha}^{t_0} x < 0,$  and  $T_{\alpha}^{t_0} (r_2 T_{\alpha}^{t_0} x) > 0$  on  $[t_1, \infty).$ 

Applying  $I_{\alpha}$  to(5.7) from  $u \ge t_1$  to t, we obtain from the previous forms that

$$-r_{2}(u)T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}x(u) > r_{2}(t)T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}x(t) - r_{2}(u)T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}x(u) \ge I_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}(Q(t)x(h(t))) \ge x(h(t))I_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}Q(t),$$

so

$$-T^{t_0}_{\alpha}x(u) > \left(\frac{1}{r_2(u)}I^{t_0}_{\alpha}Q(t)\right)x(h(t)).$$
(5.11)

We obtain from (5.11) that

$$x(h(t)) > x(h(t)) - x(u) \ge x(h(t))I_{\alpha}^{t_0}\left[\left(\frac{1}{r_2(u)}I_{\alpha}^{t_0}Q(t)\right)\right],$$

that is,

$$1 > R_2(u, t_0) I_{\alpha}^{t_0} Q(t).$$

Taking lim sup as  $u, t \to \infty$  on both sides of this inequality yields a contradiction to (5.10). This completes the proof.

**Theorem 5.1** Assume that (5.2) and (5.3) hold and  $\beta \ge \gamma$ . Suppose that there exist two functions  $m, h \in C^1(I, \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$g(t) \le h(t) \le t$$
,  $T^{t_0}_{\alpha}h(t) \ge 0$ , and  $m(t) > 0$ ,  $t \in I$ ,

satisfying

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \left[ km(t)q(t) - \frac{A^2(t)}{4B(t)} \right] = \infty,$$
(5.12)

and for  $t \ge t_1$ ,

$$\begin{cases} A(t) = \frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_0} m(t)}{m(t)} - \frac{p(t)}{r_1(t)} R_2(t, t_0), \\ B(t) = c^* m^{-1}(t) T_{\alpha}^{t_0} g(t) (R^*(g(t), t_0))^{\gamma - 1} (\frac{R_2(g(t), t_0)}{r_1(g(t))})^{1/\beta} (t - t_0)^{\alpha - 1}, \end{cases}$$
(5.13)

and that (5.8) or (5.10) holds with

$$Q(t) = ckq(t) (R_1(h(t), t_0))^{\gamma} - \frac{p(t)}{r_1(t)} \ge 0, \quad t \ge t_1,$$

with  $c, c^* > 0$ . Then every solution y of (5.1) and  $L_2 y(t)$  are oscillatory.

*Proof* Let *y* be a nonoscillatory solution of (5.1) on  $[t_1, \infty)$ ,  $t_1 \ge t_0$ . We assume that y(t) > 0 and y(g(t)) > 0 for  $t \ge t_1$ . From Lemma 5.1 we have  $L_1y(t) < 0$  or  $L_1y(t) > 0$  for  $t \ge t_1$ .

Step 1. We assume that  $L_1y(t) > 0$  on  $[t_1, \infty)$ . By (5.1)  $L_2y$  is strictly decreasing. Hence, for any  $t_2 \ge t_1$ , we have from Lemma 2.2 that

$$L_1 y(t) = L_1 y(t_2) + I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \left[ \frac{L_2 y(t)}{r_2(t)} \right] \le L_1 y(t_2) + L_2 y(t_2) I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \frac{1}{r_2(t)} = L_1 y(t_2) + L_2 y(t_2) R_2(t, t_2).$$

So  $L_2 y(t_2) > 0$  as otherwise (5.3) would imply  $L_1 y(t) \to -\infty$  as  $t \to \infty$ , a contradiction to the positivity of  $L_1 y$ . Altogether,  $L_2 y > 0$  on  $[t_1, \infty)$ .

Define the following generalized Riccati transformation:

$$w(t) = m(t) \frac{L_2 y(t)}{y^{\gamma}(g(t))}, \quad t \in [t_1, \infty).$$
(5.14)

By the product and quotient rules,  $\alpha$ -differentiating *w*, we obtain

$$\begin{split} T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}w(t) &= T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}\left[m(t)\frac{L_{2}y(t)}{y^{\gamma}(g(t))}\right] \\ &= T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}m(t)\frac{L_{2}y(t)}{y^{\gamma}(g(t))} \\ &+ m(t)\frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}(L_{2}y(t))y^{\gamma}(g(t)) - \gamma[y^{\gamma-1}(g(t))]y'(g(t))T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}g(t)L_{2}y(t)}{y^{2\gamma}(g(t))} \\ &= \frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}m(t)}{m(t)}w(t) + m(t)\frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}(L_{2}y(t))}{y^{\gamma}(g(t))} - m(t)\frac{\gamma y'(g(t))T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}g(t)L_{2}y(t)}{y^{\gamma+1}(g(t))} \\ &= \frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}m(t)}{m(t)}w(t) + \frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}(L_{2}y)(t)}{L_{2}y(t)}w(t) - \gamma T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}g(t)\frac{y'(g(t))}{y(g(t))}w(t). \end{split}$$

Using (5.1), (5.5), and assumption (H3) on f, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_0}m(t)}{m(t)}w(t) &+ \frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_0}(L_2y)(t)}{L_2y(t)}w(t) \\ &= \frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_0}m(t)}{m(t)}w(t) - \frac{\frac{p(t)}{r_1(t)}L_1y(t) + q(t)f(y(g(t)))}{L_2y(t)}w(t) \\ &= \frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_0}m(t)}{m(t)}w(t) - \frac{\frac{p(t)}{r_1(t)}L_1y(t)}{L_2y(t)}w(t) - \frac{q(t)f(y(g(t)))}{L_2y(t)}w(t) \\ &\leq \frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_0}m(t)}{m(t)}w(t) - \frac{p(t)}{r_1(t)}R_2(t,t_0)w(t) - km(t)q(t) \\ &= \left[\frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_0}m(t)}{m(t)} - \frac{p(t)}{r_1(t)}R_2(t,t_0)\right]w(t) - km(t)q(t) \\ &= A(t)w(t) - km(t)q(t). \end{split}$$

By the definition of  $L_1 y(t)$  and (5.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (t-t_0)^{1-\alpha} \big( y\big(g(t)\big) \big)' &= T_{\alpha}^{t_0} y\big(g(t)\big) = \left(\frac{1}{r_1(g(t))} L_1 y\big(g(t)\big)\right)^{1/\beta} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{R_2(g(t), t_0)}{r_1(g(t))}\right)^{1/\beta} \left(L_2 y\big(g(t)\big)\right)^{1/\beta} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{R_2(g(t), t_0)}{r_1(g(t))}\right)^{1/\beta} \left(L_2 y(t)\right)^{1/\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{y'(g(t))}{y(g(t))} \ge (t-t_0)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{R_2(g(t),t_0)}{m(t)r_1(g(t))}\right)^{1/\beta} \frac{m^{1/\beta}(t)(L_2y)^{1/\beta}(t)}{y^{\gamma/\beta}(g(t))} y^{\gamma/\beta-1}(g(t))$$

$$\stackrel{(5.14)}{=} (t-t_0)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{R_2(g(t),t_0)}{m(t)r_1(g(t))}\right)^{1/\beta} w^{1/\beta}(t) y^{\gamma/\beta-1}(g(t)),$$

and we obtain

$$T^{t_0}_{\alpha}w(t) \leq A(t)w(t) - km(t)q(t) - \gamma T^{t_0}_{\alpha}g(t)(t-t_0)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{R_2(g(t),t_0)}{m(t)r_1(g(t))}\right)^{1/\beta} w^{1/\beta}(t)y^{\gamma/\beta-1}(g(t))w(t) \leq A(t)w(t) - km(t)q(t) - \gamma T^{t_0}_{\alpha}g(t)(t-t_0)^{\alpha-1}w^{1/\beta+1}(t)y^{\gamma/\beta-1}(g(t))\left(\frac{R_2(g(t),t_0)}{m(t)r_1(g(t))}\right)^{1/\beta}.$$
(5.15)

Since  $L_3 y(t) < 0$ , we have  $0 < L_2 y(t) \le L_2 y(t_1)$ ,  $L_2 y(t_1) = c_1$  for  $t \ge t_1$ . Then

$$r_2(t)T^{t_0}_{\alpha}(L_1y)(t) = L_2y(t) \le c_1, \quad t \ge t_1,$$

and thus we get from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} r_1(t) \big( T^{t_0}_{\alpha} y \big)^{\beta}(t) &= L_1 y(t) = L_1 y(t_1) + I^{t_0}_{\alpha} \bigg[ \frac{r_2(t) T^{t_0}_{\alpha}(L_1 y(t))}{r_2(t)} \bigg] &\leq L_1 y(t_1) + c_1 I^{t_0}_{\alpha} \frac{1}{r_2(t)} \\ &= L_1 y(t_1) + c_1 R_2(t, t_0) = \bigg[ \frac{L_1 y(t_1)}{R_2(t, t_0)} + c_1 \bigg] R_2(t, t_0) \\ &\leq \bigg[ \frac{L_1 y(t_1)}{R_2(t_2, t_0)} + c_1 \bigg] R_2(t, t_0) = \tilde{c}_1 R_2(t, t_0) \end{aligned}$$

(note that  $L_1 y(t_1) > 0$ ), where

$$\tilde{c}_1 = c_1 + \frac{L_1 y(t_1)}{R_2(t_2, t_0)}.$$

Therefore, we get for all  $t \ge t_2$  that

$$y(t) = y(t_2) + I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \left[ T_{\alpha}^{t_0} y(t) \right] \le y(t_2) + I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \left( \frac{\tilde{c}_1 R_2(t, t_0)}{r_1(t)} \right)^{1/\beta}$$
  
$$= y(t_2) + \tilde{c_1}^{1/\beta} R^*(t, t_0) = \left[ \frac{y(t_2)}{R^*(t, t_0)} + \tilde{c_1}^{1/\beta} \right] R^*(t, t_0)$$
  
$$\le \left[ \frac{y(t_2)}{R^*(t_2, t_0)} + \tilde{c_1}^{1/\beta} \right] R^*(t, t_0)$$
  
$$= c_2 R^*(t, t_0)$$

(note that  $y(t_2) > 0$ ), where

$$c_2 = \frac{y(t_2)}{R^*(t_2, t_0)} + \tilde{c_1}^{1/\beta}.$$

Then we get

$$y^{\gamma'\beta-1}(g(t)) \ge c_2^{\gamma'\beta-1}(R^*(g(t), t_0))^{\gamma'\beta-1}, \quad t \ge t_2.$$
(5.16)

By (5.14) and (5.6) we have

$$w(t) = m(t) \frac{L_2 y(t)}{y^{\gamma}(g(t))} \le m(t) \frac{L_2 y(g(t))}{y^{\gamma}(g(t))} \le m(t) \left( R^*(g(t), t_0) \right)^{-\beta} y^{\beta - \gamma}(g(t)), \quad t \ge t_2.$$
(5.17)

Using (5.16) in (5.17), we get

$$w(t) \leq c_2^{\beta-\gamma} m(t) \left( R^* \left( g(t), t_0 \right) \right)^{-\gamma}, \quad t \geq t_2.$$

Then

$$w^{1/\beta-1}(t) \ge c_2^{(1/\beta-1)(\beta-\gamma)} m^{1/\beta-1}(t) \left( R^*(g(t), t_0) \right)^{-\gamma(1/\beta-1)}, \quad t \ge t_2.$$
(5.18)

Using (5.16) and (5.18) in (5.15), we get

$$T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}w(t) \leq A(t)w(t) - km(t)q(t) -\gamma c_{2}^{-\beta+\gamma}m^{-1}T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}g(t)(R^{*}(g(t),t_{0}))^{\gamma-1}\left(\frac{R_{2}(g(t),t_{0})}{r_{1}(g(t))}\right)^{1/\beta}(t-t_{0})^{\alpha-1}w^{2}(t) = A(t)w(t) - km(t)q(t) - B(t)w^{2}(t) = -km(t)q(t) - \left(\sqrt{B(t)}w(t) - \frac{A(t)}{2\sqrt{B(t)}}\right)^{2} + \frac{A^{2}(t)}{4B(t)} \leq -km(t)q(t) + \frac{A^{2}(t)}{4B(t)}, \quad t \geq t_{2},$$
(5.19)

where  $c^* = \gamma c_2^{\gamma - \beta}$ , and *A* and *B* are as in (5.13). Applying  $I_{\alpha}$  to (5.19) from  $t_0$  to *t*, we get

$$I_{\alpha}^{t_0}\left[km(t)q(t) - \frac{A^2(t)}{4B(t)}\right] \le w(t_0) - w(t) \le w(t_0),$$

which contradicts (5.12).

Step 2. Let  $L_1y(t) < 0$  on  $[t_1, \infty)$ . We consider the function  $L_2y(t)$ . The case  $L_2y(t) \le 0$  cannot hold for all large t, say  $t \ge t_2 \ge t_1$ , since by double integration of

$$T_{\alpha}^{t_0}y(t) = \left(\frac{L_1y(t)}{r_1(t)}\right)^{1/\beta} \le \left(\frac{L_1y(t_2)}{r_1(t)}\right)^{1/\beta}, \quad t \ge t_2,$$

we get from (5.2) that  $y(t) \le 0$  for all large t, which is a contradiction. Thus we assume that y(t) > 0,  $L_1y(t) < 0$ , and  $L_2y(t) \ge 0$  for all large t, say  $t \ge t_3 \ge t_2$ . Now, for  $v \ge u \ge t_3$ ,

we have

$$y(u) > y(u) - y(v) = -I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \left[ \frac{r_1^{1/\beta}(v) T_{\alpha}^{t_0} y(v)}{r_1^{1/\beta}(v)} \right]$$
$$\geq -I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \left[ \frac{1}{r_1^{1/\beta}(v)} \right] r_1^{1/\beta}(v) T_{\alpha}^{t_0} y(v)$$
$$= R_1(v, t_0) (-L_1 y(v))^{1/\beta}.$$

Letting u = g(t) and v = h(t), we obtain

$$y(g(t)) \ge R_1(h(t), t_0) (-L_1 y(h(t)))^{1/\beta}$$
  
=  $R_1(h(t), t_0) x(h(t)), \text{ for } h(t) \ge g(t) \ge t_3,$ 

where  $x(t) = (-L_1y(t))^{1/\beta} > 0$  for  $t \ge t_3$ . By (5.1), since that x(t) is decreasing and  $g(t) \le h(t) \le t$ , we get

$$T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}(r_{2}T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}z)(t) + \frac{p(t)}{r_{1}(t)}z(h(t)) \geq kq(t)(R_{1}(h(t),t_{0}))^{\gamma}z(h(t))z^{\gamma/\beta-1}(h(t)),$$

where  $z(t) = x^{\beta}(t)$ . Because z(t) is decreasing and  $\beta \ge \gamma$ , there exists a constant  $c_4 > 0$  such that  $z^{\gamma/\beta-1}(t) \ge c_4$  for  $t \ge t_2$ . Then we have

$$T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}(r_{2}T_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}z)(t) \geq kq(t)(R_{1}(h(t),g(t)))^{\gamma}z(h(t))z^{\gamma/\beta-1}(h(t)) - \frac{p(t)}{r_{1}(t)}z(h(t))$$
$$\geq \left\lceil c_{4}kq(t)(R_{1}(h(t),g(t)))^{\gamma} - \frac{p(t)}{r_{1}(t)}\right\rceil z(h(t)).$$

Proceeding exactly as in the proofs of Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4, we arrive at the desired conclusion, thus completing the proof.  $\hfill \Box$ 

Example 5.1

$$T_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(T_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(t^{-\frac{3}{2}}T_{\frac{1}{2}}y(t)\right)\right) + t^{-\frac{5}{2}}T_{\frac{1}{2}}y(t) + \left[\frac{1}{2}(t-2)^{-2}t^{-\frac{1}{2}} + 2(t-2)^{-2}t^{-1} + 1\right]f\left(y(t-2)\right) = 0, \quad t > 0,$$
(5.20)

where  $r_1(t) = t^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ ,  $r_2(t) = 1$ ,  $q(t) = \frac{1}{2}(t-2)^{-2}t^{-\frac{1}{2}} + 2(t-2)^{-2}t^{-1} + 1$ ,  $p(t) = t^{-\frac{5}{2}}$ , g(t) = t-2, h(t) = t-2,  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\beta = 1$ ,  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $c^* = 1$ . By taking m(t) = 1 we get

$$\begin{split} R_1(t,t_0) &= I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \frac{1}{r_1^{1/\beta}(t)} = I_{\alpha} t^{\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} t^2 \to \infty \quad \text{as } t \to \infty, \\ R_2(t,t_0) &= I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \frac{1}{r_2(t)} = I_{\alpha} 1 = 2t^{\frac{1}{2}} \to \infty \quad \text{as } t \to \infty, \\ \begin{cases} A(t) &= \frac{T_{\alpha}^{t_0} m(t)}{m(t)} - \frac{p(t)}{r_1(t)} R_2(t,t_0) = -t^{-1} R_2(t,t_0) = -t^{-1} 2t^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2t^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \\ B(t) &= c^* m^{-1}(t) T_{\alpha}^{t_0} g(t) (R^*(g(t),t_0))^{\gamma-1} (\frac{R_2(g(t),t_0)}{r_1(g(t))})^{1/\beta} (t-t_0)^{\alpha-1} = 2(t-2)^2 t^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \bigg[ km(t)q(t) - \frac{A^2(t)}{4B(t)} \bigg] &= I_{\alpha} \bigg( \frac{1}{2} (t-2)^{-2} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} + 2(t-2)^{-2} t^{-1} + 1 - \frac{4t^{-1}}{8(t-2)^2 t^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \bigg) \\ &= I_{\alpha} \big( 2(t-2)^{-2} t^{-1} + 1 \big), \end{split}$$

so

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{t \to \infty} I_{\alpha}^{t_0} \bigg[ km(t)q(t) - \frac{A^2(t)}{4B(t)} \bigg] &= \infty. \\ Q(t) &= ckq(t) \big( R_1 \big( h(t), t_0 \big) \big)^{\gamma} - \frac{p(t)}{r_1(t)} \\ &= \bigg( \frac{1}{2} (t-2)^{-2} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} + 2(t-2)^{-2} t^{-1} + 1 \bigg) \bigg( \frac{1}{2} (t-2)^2 \bigg) - t^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} (t-2)^2 \ge 0, \end{split}$$

and we obtain that

$$\begin{split} I_{\alpha}^{t_0}Q(t) &= I_{\alpha}\frac{1}{4}t^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}(t-2)^2 = \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{4}s^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}(s-2)^2s^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)ds\\ &= \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{4}s^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}s^{\frac{3}{2}} - 2s^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2s^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)ds\\ &= \frac{1}{4}\ln t + \frac{1}{5}t^{\frac{5}{2}} - \frac{4}{3}t^{\frac{3}{2}} + 4t^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{4}\ln 0. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} I_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}Q(t) > I_{\alpha}^{t_{0}}Q(1) &= 0 + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{4}{3} + 4 - \frac{1}{4}\ln 0 > 1, \quad t > 1, \\ R_{2}(h(t), t_{0}) > R_{2}\left(h\left(\frac{9}{4}\right), t_{0}\right) &= 2\left(\frac{9}{4} - 2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1, \quad t > \frac{9}{4}, \\ R_{2}(u, t_{0}) > R_{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}, t_{0}\right) &= 2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1, \quad u > \frac{1}{4}. \end{split}$$

So

$$\begin{split} &\limsup_{t\to\infty}R_2\big(h(t),t_0\big)I_\alpha^{t_0}Q(t)>1,\\ &\limsup_{t\to\infty,u\to\infty}R_2(u,t_0)I_\alpha^{t_0}Q(t)>1, \end{split}$$

Then we see that (5.8) and (5.10) are clearly satisfied, and it is easy to verify that the equation

$$T_{\frac{1}{2}}(T_{\frac{1}{2}}z(t)) + t^{-1}z(t) = 0$$
(5.21)

is nonoscillatory, and one nonoscillatory solution of (5.21) is  $z(t) = 18t^{\frac{1}{3}}$ . Then we get that equation (5.20) is oscillatory.

Example 5.2

$$T_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_{\frac{1}{2}}y(t)\right)\right) + 2t^{-\frac{1}{2}}T_{\frac{1}{2}}y(t) + 3y(t) = 0, \quad t \ge 0,$$
(5.22)

where  $r_1(t) = r_2(t) = t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $p(t) = 2t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , q(t) = 3, k = 1, g(t) = t,  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\beta = \gamma = 1$ ,  $c^* = c = 1$ . Letting m(t) = 1 and h(t) = t, we can obtain

$$R_2(t, t_0) = t$$
,  $A(t) = -2t$ ,  $B(t) = t$ ,  $Q(t) = 3t - 2$ ,

so all conditions except (5.12) are satisfied.

Equation (5.22) can be rewritten as

$$y'''(t) + 2y'(t) + 3y(t) = 0.$$

It is obvious that the equation is nonoscillatory. It has a nonoscillatory solution  $x = e^{\frac{1}{2}t} \cos \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}t$ . We can obtain that condition (5.12) indispensable.

### 6 Conclusion

In this paper, we study three kinds of different order conformable fractional equations and obtain oscillatory results of three equations. Those results unify the oscillation theory of the integral-order and fractional-order differential equations.

#### Funding

This research is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (61703180, 61803176), and supported by Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation (ZR2016AM17, ZR2017MA043).

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

The authors declare that the study was realized in collaboration with the same responsibility. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Received: 11 April 2019 Accepted: 17 July 2019 Published online: 02 August 2019

#### References

- Grace, S.R., Agarwal, R.P., Wong, P.J.Y., Zafer, A.: On the oscillation of fractional differential equations. Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 2, 222–231 (2012)
- Chen, D.: Oscillatory behavior of a class of fractional differential equations with damping. Sci. Bull. "Politeh." Univ. Buchar., Ser. A, Appl. Math. Phys. 1, 107–118 (2013)
- Han, Z.L., Zhao, Y.G., Sun, Y., Zhang, C.: Oscillation for a class of fractional differential equation. Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc. 18, 1–6 (2013)
- 4. Chen, D.: Oscillation criteria of fractional differential equations. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2012, 33 (2012)
- Feng, Q., Meng, F.: Oscillation of solutions to nonlinear forced fractional differential equations. Electron. J. Differ. Equ. 169, 1 (2013)
- Liu, T.B., Zheng, B., Meng, F.W.: Oscillation on a class of differential equations of fractional order. Math. Probl. Eng. 2013, 1–13 (2013)
- Chen, D., Qu, P., Lan, Y.: Forced oscillation of certain fractional differential equations. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2013, 125, 1–10 (2013)
- Wang, Y.Z., Han, Z.L., Zhao, P., Sun, S.R.: On the oscillation and asymptotic behavior for a kind of fractional differential equations. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2014, 50, 1–11 (2014)
- Wang, P., Liu, X.: Rapid convergence for telegraph systems with periodic boundary conditions. J. Funct. Spaces 2017, 1–10 (2017)
- Shao, J., Zheng, Z., Meng, F.: Oscillation criteria for fractional differential equations with mixed nonlinearities. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2013, 323, 1–9 (2013)

- Wang, J., Meng, F.: Oscillatory behavior of a fractional partial differential equation. J. Appl. Anal. Comput. 8(3), 1011–1020 (2018)
- Khalil, R., Al Horani, M., Yousef, A., Sababheh, M.: A new definition of fractional derivative. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 264, 65–70 (2014)
- 13. Thabet, A.: On conformable fractional calculus. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 279, 57–66 (2015)
- 14. Anderson, D., Ulness, D.: Newly defined conformable derivatives. Adv. Dyn. Syst. Appl. 10, 109–137 (2015)
- Batarfi, H., Losada, J., Nieto, J.J., Shammakh, W.: Three-point boundary value problems for conformable fractional differential equations. J. Funct. Spaces 2015, Article ID 706383 1–6 (2015)
- 16. Abu Hammad, M., Khalil, R.: Fractional Fourier series with applications. Am. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 4, 187–191 (2014)
- 17. Abu Hammad, M., Khalil, R.: Abel's formula and Wronskian for conformable fractional differential equations. Int. J. Differ. Equ. Appl. 13, 177–183 (2014)
- Kareem, A.M.: Conformable fractional derivatives and it is applications for solving fractional differential equations. J. Math. 13, 81–87 (2017)
- Pospíšil, M., Pospíšilova Škripkova, L.: Sturm's theorems for conformable fractional differential equations. Math. Commun. 21, 273–282 (2016)
- 20. Zhao, D., Li, T.: On conformable delta fractional calculus on time scales. J. Math. Comput. Sci. 16, 324–335 (2016)
- 21. Tariboon, J., Ntouyas, S.K.: Oscillation of impulsive conformable fractional differential equations. Open Math. 14, 497–508 (2016)
- Abdalla, B.: Oscillation of differential equations in the frame of nonlocal fractional derivatives generated by conformable derivatives. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2018, 107, 1–15 (2018)
- Usta, F., Sarikaya, M.Z.: On generalization conformable fractional integral inequalities. RGMIA Res. Rep. Collect. 19, 1–7 (2016)
- 24. Anderson, D.R., Ulness, D.J.: Properties of the Katugampola fractional derivative with potential application in quantum mechanics. J. Math. Phys. **56**, 1–15 (2015)
- Zhao, D., Pan, X., Luo, M.: A new framework for multivariate general conformable fractional calculus and potential applications. Phys. A, Stat. Mech. Appl. 15, 271–280 (2018)
- Zhou, H.W., Yang, S., Zhang, S.Q.: Conformable derivative approach to anomalous diffusion. Phys. A, Stat. Mech. Appl. 491, 1001–1013 (2018)
- Yang, S., Wang, L., Zhang, S.: Conformable derivative: application to non-Darcian flow in low-permeability porous media. Appl. Math. Lett. 79, 105–110 (2018)
- Chatzarakis, G.E., Li, T.: Oscillation criteria for delay and advanced differential equations with nonmonotone arguments. Complexity 2018, 1–18 (2018)
- Grace, S.R., Dzurina, J., Jadlovska, I., Li, T.: An improved approach for studying oscillation of second-order neutral delay differential equations. J. Inequal. Appl. 2018, 193 1–13 (2018)
- 30. Zafer, A.: Oscillation criteria for even-order neutral differential equations. Sci. Technol. Inf. 61, 35–41 (2016)
- Li, T., Rogovchenko, Y.V.: Oscillation of second-order neutral differential equations. Math. Nachr. 288, 1150–1162 (2015)
- Li, T., Rogovchenko, Y.V.: Oscillation criteria for second-order superlinear Emden–Fowler neutral differential equations. Monatshefte Math. 184, 489–500 (2017)
- Akca, H., Chatzarakis, G.E., Stavroulakis, I.P.: An oscillation criterion for delay differential equations with several non-monotone arguments. Appl. Math. Lett. 59, 101–108 (2016)
- Chatzarakis, G.E., Philos, C.G., Stavroulakis, I.P.: On the oscillation of the solutions to linear difference equations with variable delay. Electron. J. Differ. Equ. 2008, 50, 1–15 (2008)
- Erbe, L., Kong, Q., Zhang, B.G.: Oscillation Theory for Functional Differential Equations, New York, Basel, Hong Kong (1995)
- Agarwal, R.P., Zhang, C.H., Li, T.X.: Some remarks on oscillation of second order neutral differential equations. Appl. Math. Comput. 274, 178–181 (2016)
- Bohner, M., Grace, S.R., Sager, I., Tunc, E.: Oscillation of third-order nonlinear damped delay differential equations. Appl. Math. Comput. 278, 21–32 (2016)

# Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com