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#### Abstract

In this article, we investigate a class of impulsive Hamiltonian systems with a p-Laplacian operator. By establishing a series of new sufficient conditions, the existence of homoclinic solutions to such type of systems is revealed. We show the existence of homoclinic orbit induced by impulses by introducing some conditions. To illustrate the applications of the main results in this article, we create an example.
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## 1 Introduction

The aim of the article is to investigate the impulsive Hamiltonian systems with $p$-Laplacian operator of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\Phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}-\nabla F(t, u(t))=0, \quad t \neq t_{i}, t \in R,  \tag{1}\\
& -\Delta\left(\Phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\left(t_{i}\right)\right)\right)=g_{i}\left(u\left(t_{i}\right)\right), \quad i \in Z . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we are particularly interested in the existence of homoclinic orbits for such systems. In the system, $u \in R, \Delta\left(\Phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\left(t_{i}\right)\right)\right)=\left|u^{\prime}\left(t_{i}^{+}\right)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\left(t_{i}^{+}\right)-\left|u^{\prime}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)$with $u^{\prime}\left(t_{i}^{ \pm}\right)=$ $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{i}^{ \pm}} u^{\prime}(t), \nabla F(t, u)=\operatorname{grad}_{u} F(t, u), g_{i}(u)=\operatorname{grad}_{u} G_{i}(u), G_{i} \in C^{1}\left(R^{n}, R^{n}\right)$ for each $i \in Z$. There exist an $m \in N$ and a $T>0$ such that $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{m}=T, t_{i+m}=t_{i}+T$ and $g_{i+m}=g_{i}$ for all $i \in Z$.

The existence and multiplicity of homoclinic orbits attracted the attention of researchers from all over the world and as such have been extensively investigated in the literature [1-8]. Mathematical techniques such as the dual variational method [9], concentration compactness method and Ekeland variational principle [10, 11], and the approximation method [12] have been used in evaluating the existence of homoclinic orbits for Hamiltonian systems.
Real-world systems display a variety of abrupt changes, and such changes can be modeled using impulsive differential equations. Impulsive effects have been integrated into different types of differential equations to describe the consequences of abrupt changes. Such systems have been investigated in the literature [13-24].

A special case of system (1)-(2) where $p=2$ has been considered by Zhang and Li [20]. The authors get the following result.

Theoerem 1 ([20]) Assume that, for $j=1,2, \ldots, m, g_{j}$ is continuous and m-periodic in $j$, and $F$ and $g_{j}$ satisfy the following conditions:
$\left(H_{1}\right) F: R \times R^{N} \rightarrow R$ is continuously differentiable and $T$-periodic, and there exist positive constants $r_{1}, r_{2}>0$ such that

$$
r_{1}|u|^{2} \leq F(t, u) \leq r_{2}|u|^{2}, \quad \forall(t, u) \in[0, T] \times R^{n} ;
$$

$\left(H_{2}\right) F(t, u) \leq(\nabla F(t, u), u) \leq 2 F(t, u), \forall(t, u) \in[0, T] \times R^{n} ;$
$\left(H_{3}\right)$ there exists $\mu>2$ such that

$$
0<-\mu G_{j}(u) \leq-u g_{j}(u), \quad \text { for } u \in R^{n} \backslash\{0\}, j=1,2, \ldots, m ;
$$

$\left(H_{4}\right) \lim _{|u| \rightarrow 0} \frac{g_{j}(u)}{|u|}=0$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, m$.
Then the second order impulsive Hamiltonian system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{\prime \prime}(t)-\nabla F(t, u(t))=0, \quad t \neq t_{i}, t \in R, \\
& -\Delta u^{\prime}\left(t_{i}\right)=g_{i}\left(u\left(t_{i}\right)\right), \quad i \in Z,
\end{aligned}
$$

has at least one nonzero homoclinic solution generated by impulses.

For the general case of $p \neq 2$, due to the complex structure of problem (1) and (2), it is challenging to construct an appropriate functional such that the existence of its critical point implies a homoclinic orbit of the system. Since the domain under consideration is unbounded, the Sobolev embedding might not be compact. In order to complete the proof, we show that the homoclinic orbit $u$ is obtained as the limit of $2 k T$-periodic solutions $u_{k}$ of (1)-(2) as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Due to the impulsive perturbation, the velocity is no longer continuous. Besides, if $p \neq 2$, the Sobolev space $W_{2 k T}^{1, p}$ is not a Hilbert space. When we use the mountain pass theorem to prove the main results of the paper, it is necessary to guarantee that constants $\rho$ and $\alpha$ are required to be independent of $k$. We also need to show that the approximating solution sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ has a bound, which is independent of $k$.

Before introducing the main results, we make the following assumptions.

Theoerem 2 Assume that $F, g_{i}$ satisfies the following conditions:
(A1) $F \in C^{1}\left(R \times R^{N}, R\right), F(t, 0) \equiv 0$ and $F$ is $T$-periodic in its first variable;
(A2) $F(t, u)=\frac{1}{p}|u|^{p}+H(t, u)$, where $H \in C^{1}\left(R \times R^{N}, R\right)$;
(A3) for every $t \in R$ and $u \in R^{n} \backslash\{0\}$, there exists $\mu>p$ such that

$$
p H(t, u) \geq u \nabla H(t, u)>0 ;
$$

(A4) there exists $\mu>p$, such that $g_{i}(u) u \leq \mu G_{i}(u) \leq 0, u \in R^{n} \backslash\{0\}, i=1,2, \ldots, m$.
Then the system (1)-(2) possesses at least one nonzero homoclinic solution generated by impulses.

Here, a solution of problem (1)-(2) is said to be generated from the impulse if this solution emerges when the impulse is not zero, and on the other hand, the solution disappears when the impulse is zero.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we present some preliminaries and statements, which will be used in proving our main results. The proof of the main results of this article is given in Sect. 3. We then present an example to illustrate the applicability of our results in Sect. 4.

## 2 Preliminaries and statements

For each $k \in N$, set

$$
E_{k}=\left\{u: R \rightarrow R^{n} \mid u, u^{\prime} \in L^{p}\left([-k T, k T], R^{n}\right), u(t)=u(t+2 k T), t \in R\right\} .
$$

Thus, $E_{k}$ is a Hilbert space with the norm defined by

$$
\|u\|_{E_{k}}=\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}+|u(t)|^{p}\right) d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Let $L_{2 k T}^{p}\left(R, R^{n}\right)$ denote the Hilbert space of $2 k T$-periodic functions on $R$ with values in $R^{n}$ under the norm

$$
\|u\|_{L_{2 k T}^{p}\left(R, R^{n}\right)}=\left(\int_{-k T}^{k T}|u(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

and $L_{2 k T}^{\infty}\left(R, R^{n}\right)$ be a space of $2 k T$-periodic essentially bounded measurable functions from $R$ to $R^{n}$ under the norm

$$
\|u\|_{L_{2 k T}^{\infty}\left(R, R^{n}\right)}=\operatorname{esssup}\{|u(t)|: t \in[-k T, k T]\} .
$$

Set $\Omega_{k}=\{-k m+1,-k m+2, \ldots, 0,1,2, \ldots, k m-1, k m\}$ and define

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{k}(u) & =\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left[\frac{1}{p}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}+F(t, u(t))\right] d t+\sum_{i \in \Omega_{k}} G_{i}\left(u\left(t_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{E_{k}}^{p}+\int_{-k T}^{k T} H(t, u(t)) d t+\sum_{i \in \Omega_{k}} G_{i}\left(u\left(t_{i}\right)\right) . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that $I_{k}$ is Frechet differentiable at any $u \in E_{k}$. For any $u \in E_{k}$, we thus have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{k}^{\prime}(u) v= & \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left[\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(t) v^{\prime}(t)+\nabla F(t, u(t)) v(t)\right] d t \\
& +\sum_{i \in \Omega_{k}} g_{i}\left(u\left(t_{i}\right)\right) v\left(t_{i}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

The above analysis shows that the critical points of the functional $I_{k}$ are classical $2 k T$ periodic solutions of system (1)-(2).

Lemma 1 ([25]) There exists a positive constant $C$ independent of $k$ such that, for each $k \in N$ and $u \in E_{k}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L_{2 k T}\left(R, R^{n}\right)} \leq C\|u\|_{E_{k}} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2 ([26]) Let $u: R \rightarrow R^{n}$ be a continuous mapping such that $u^{\prime} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p}\left(R, R^{n}\right)$. For every $t \in R$, the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(t)| \leq 2^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\int_{t-\frac{1}{2}}^{t+\frac{1}{2}}\left(|u(s)|^{p}+\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|^{p}\right) d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3 ([27]) Let $X$ be a real Banach space and $I \in C^{\prime}(X, R)$ satisfying the Palais-Smale $(P S)$-condition. Suppose that I satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $I(0)=0$;
(ii) there exist constants $\rho, \alpha>0$ such that $\left.I\right|_{\partial B_{\rho}(0)} \geq \alpha$;
(iii) there exists $e \in X \backslash \bar{B}_{\rho}(0)$ such that $I(e) \leq 0$.

Then I possesses a critical value $c \geq \alpha$ given by

$$
c=\inf _{g \in \Gamma} \max _{s \in[0,1]} I(g(s))
$$

where $B_{\rho}(0)$ is an open ball in $X$ of radius $\rho$ centered at 0 , and

$$
\Gamma=\{g \in C([0,1], X): g(0)=0, g(1)=e\} .
$$

## 3 Proof of Theorem 2

Before starting the proof of Theorem 2, we recall some properties of the function $H(t, u)$.

Remark 1 If (A3) holds, then, for every $t \in[0, T]$, there exist $a_{1}, a_{2}>0$ such that:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H(t, u) \geq a_{1}|u|^{p}, & \text { if } 0<|u|<1, \\
H(t, u) \leq a_{2}|u|^{p}, & \text { if }|u| \geq 1 \tag{8}
\end{array}
$$

where $a_{1}=\min _{t \in[0, T],|u|=1} H(t, u), a_{2}=\max _{t \in[0, T],|u|=1} H(t, u)$.

Proof To prove this fact, it suffices to show that, for every $u \neq 0$ and $t \in[0, T]$ the function $\zeta \rightarrow H\left(t, \zeta^{-1} u\right) \zeta^{p}$ (where $\zeta \in(0,+\infty)$ ) is nonincreasing, which is an immediate consequence of (A3).

Remark 2 Assume that (A4) hold, then there exist $b_{1}, b_{2}>0$, such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
G_{i}(u) \geq-b_{1}|u|^{\mu}, & \text { if } 0<|u|<1, \\
G_{i}(u) \leq-b_{2}|u|^{\mu}, & \text { if }|u| \geq 1 \tag{10}
\end{array}
$$

Proof Since the proof is similar to that of Fact 2.2 of [11], here it is omitted.

We divide the proof of Theorem 2 into several lemmas.

Lemma 4 If $F, g_{i}$ satisfies (A1)-(A4), then,for all $k \in N$, system (1)-(2) has a $2 k T$-periodic solution.

Proof By (A1) and (A4), we get $I_{k}(0)=0$.
We first show that $I_{k}, g_{i}$ satisfies the (PS)-condition. Assume that $\left\{u_{j}\right\}_{j \in N}$ in $E_{k}$ is a sequence such that $\left\{I_{k}\left(u_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in N}$ is bounded and $I_{k}^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow+\infty$. Then, for every $j \in N$, there is a constant $C_{k}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|I_{k}\left(u_{j}\right)\right| \leq C_{k},  \tag{11}\\
& \left\|I_{k}^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right)\right\|_{E_{k}^{*}} \leq C_{k} . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (4) that

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{k}^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) u_{j}= & \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left[\left|u_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}+\nabla F\left(t, u_{j}(t)\right) u_{j}(t)\right] d t+\sum_{i \in \Omega_{k}} g_{i}\left(u_{j}\left(t_{i}\right)\right) u_{j}\left(t_{i}\right) \\
= & \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left[\left|u_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}+\left|u_{j}(t)\right|^{p}\right] d t+\int_{-k T}^{k T} \nabla H\left(t, u_{j}(t)\right) u_{j}(t) d t \\
& +\sum_{i \in \Omega_{k}} g_{i}\left(u_{j}\left(t_{i}\right)\right) u_{j}\left(t_{i}\right) . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

By (A3), (A4), (3), (11), (12) and (13) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 C_{k} \geq & I_{k}\left(u_{j}\right)-\frac{1}{\mu} I_{k}^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) u_{j} \\
= & \int_{-k T}^{k T} \frac{1}{p}\left|u_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}+F\left(t, u_{j}(t)\right) d t+\sum_{i \in \Omega_{k}} G_{i}\left(u\left(t_{i}\right)\right) \\
& -\int_{-k T}^{k T} \frac{1}{\mu}\left|u_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\mu}-\frac{1}{\mu} \nabla F\left(t, u_{j}(t)\right) u_{j}(t) d t-\sum_{i \in \Omega_{k}} g_{i}\left(u_{j}\left(t_{i}\right)\right) u_{j}\left(t_{i}\right) \\
\geq & \int_{-k T}^{k T} \frac{1}{p}\left|u_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}+\frac{1}{p}\left|u_{j}(t)\right|^{p}-\frac{1}{\mu}\left|u_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\mu}-\frac{1}{\mu}\left|u_{j}(t)\right|^{\mu} d t \\
& +\int_{-k T}^{k T} H\left(t, u_{j}(t)\right)-\frac{1}{\mu} \nabla H\left(t, u_{j}(t)\right) u_{j}(t) d t \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\mu}\right)\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{E_{k}}^{p}+\int_{-k T}^{k T} H\left(t, u_{j}(t)\right)-\frac{1}{p} \nabla H\left(t, u_{j}(t)\right) u_{j}(t) d t \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\mu}\right)\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{E_{k}}^{p} . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\mu>p$, we know that $\left\{u_{j}\right\}_{j \in N}$ is bounded in $E_{k}$. Using the method proposed in [27], we can show that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has a convergent subsequence. It thus follows that $I_{k}$ satisfies the (PS)-condition.

We now show that the functional $I_{k}$ satisfies Assumption (ii) in Lemma 3. Choose $0<$ $\delta<1$ such that

$$
\min \left\{\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}+a_{1}\right\} \frac{\delta^{p}}{C^{p}}-\frac{b_{1} \delta^{\mu}}{C^{\mu}}>0
$$

If $\|u\|_{E_{k}}=\frac{\delta}{C}:=\rho$, then it follows from (5) that $|u(t)| \leq \delta<1$ for $t \in[-k T, k T]$. From (3), (7) and (9) we have

$$
I_{k}(u) \geq \int_{-k T}^{k T} \frac{1}{p}\left|u_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}+\frac{1}{p}\left|u_{j}(t)\right|^{p} d t+\int_{-k T}^{k T} H(t, u(t)) d t+\sum_{i \in \Omega_{k}} G_{i}\left(u\left(t_{i}\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \geq \int_{-k T}^{k T} \frac{1}{p}\left|u_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}+\frac{1}{p}\left|u_{j}(t)\right|^{p}+a_{1}|u(t)|^{p} d t-b_{1} \sum_{i \in \Omega_{k}}|u|^{\mu} \\
& \geq \min \left\{\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}+a_{1}\right\}\|u\|_{E_{k}}^{p}-b_{1}\|u\|_{E_{k}}^{\mu} \\
& =\min \left\{\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}+a_{1}\right\} \frac{\delta^{p}}{C^{p}}-\frac{b_{1} \delta^{\mu}}{C^{\mu}} \\
& :=\alpha>0 . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (15) that $\|u\|_{E_{k}}=\frac{\delta}{C}=\rho$, which implies that $I_{k}(u) \geq \alpha$.
It remains to prove that the functional $I_{k}$ satisfies Assumption (iii) of Lemma 3. From (3), (8) and (10) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{k}(\zeta u) & =\frac{|\zeta|^{p}}{p}\|u\|_{E_{k}}^{p}+\int_{-k T}^{k T} H(t, \zeta u(t)) d t+\sum_{i \in \Omega_{k}} G_{i}\left(\zeta u\left(t_{i}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{|\zeta|^{p}}{p}\|u\|_{E_{k}}^{p}+a_{2}|\zeta|^{p}\|u\|_{E_{k}}^{p}-b_{2}|\zeta|^{\mu} \sum_{i \in \Omega_{k}}\left|u\left(t_{i}\right)\right|^{\mu} . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Take $U \in E_{1}$ such that $U( \pm T)=0$. Since $\mu>p$ and $b_{1}>0$, then by (16) there exists $\xi \in$ $R \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\|\xi U\|_{E_{1}}>\rho$ and $I_{1}(\xi U)<0$. For $k>1$, set $e_{1}(t)=\xi U(t)$ and

$$
e_{k}(t)= \begin{cases}e_{1}(t), & |t| \leq T  \tag{17}\\ 0, & T<|t| \leq k T\end{cases}
$$

Then $e_{k} \in E_{k},\left\|e_{k}\right\|_{E_{k}}=\left\|e_{1}\right\|_{E_{1}}>\rho$ and $I_{k}\left(e_{k}\right)=I_{1}\left(e_{1}\right)<0$ for every $k \in N$.
By Lemma 3, $I_{k}$ possesses a critical value $c_{k} \geq \alpha>0$. Then, for every $k \in N$, there exists $u_{k} \in E_{k}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}\left(u_{k}\right)=c_{k}, \quad I_{k}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)=0 . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, system (1)-(2) has a nontrivial $2 k T$-periodic solution $u_{k}$.
Lemma 5 Let $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ be the sequence given by (18). There exist a subsequence $\left\{u_{j, j}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ and a function $u_{0} \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, p} \cap L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(R, R^{n}\right)$ such that $\left\{u_{j, j}\right\}$ converges to $u_{0}$ weakly in $W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, p}$ and strongly in $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(R, R^{n}\right)$.

Proof Our first step is to show that the sequence $\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{k \in N}$ is bounded. For each $k \in N$, let $g_{k}:[0,1] \rightarrow E_{k}$ be a curve given by $g_{k}(s)=s e_{k}$, where $e_{k}$ is defined by (17). Then $g_{k} \in \Gamma_{k}$ and $I_{k}\left(g_{k}(s)\right)=I_{1}\left(g_{1}(s)\right)$ for all $k \in N$ and $s \in[0,1]$. Therefore, it follows from the mountain pass theorem that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k} \leq \max _{s \in[0,1]} I_{1}\left(g_{1}(s)\right) \equiv C_{0} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is independent of $k \in N$. Since $I_{k}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right)=0$, from (A3), (A4) and (3) we obtain

$$
c_{k}=I_{k}\left(u_{j}\right)-\frac{1}{\mu} I_{k}^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) u_{j}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \int_{-k T}^{k T} \frac{1}{p}\left|u_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}+F\left(t, u_{j}(t)\right) d t+\sum_{i \in \Omega_{k}} G_{i}\left(u\left(t_{i}\right)\right) \\
& -\int_{-k T}^{k T} \frac{1}{\mu}\left|u_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\mu}-\frac{1}{\mu} \nabla F\left(t, u_{j}(t)\right) u_{j}(t) d t-\sum_{i \in \Omega_{k}} g_{i}\left(u_{j}\left(t_{i}\right)\right) u_{j}\left(t_{i}\right) \\
\geq & \int_{-k T}^{k T} \frac{1}{p}\left|u_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}+\frac{1}{p}\left|u_{j}(t)\right|^{p}-\frac{1}{\mu}\left|u_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\mu}-\frac{1}{\mu}\left|u_{j}(t)\right|^{\mu} d t \\
& +\int_{-k T}^{k T} H\left(t, u_{j}(t)\right)-\frac{1}{\mu} \nabla H\left(t, u_{j}(t)\right) u_{j}(t) d t \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\mu}\right)\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{E_{k}}^{p}+\int_{-k T}^{k T} H\left(t, u_{j}(t)\right)-\frac{1}{p} \nabla H\left(t, u_{j}(t)\right) u_{j}(t) d t \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\mu}\right)\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{E_{k}}^{p} . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\mu>p$ and all the constants in (20) are independent of $k$, then there exists a constant $L_{1}>0$ independent of $k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{E_{k}} \leq L_{1} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundedness of $\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{E_{k}}$ implies the boundedness of the set

$$
\left\{\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{W^{1, p}\left((-k T, k T), R^{n}\right)}\right\}
$$

for each positive integer $k$. In particular, when $k=1$, since $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence in

$$
W^{1, p}\left((-T, T), R^{n}\right)
$$

we can pick a subsequence $\left\{u_{1, k}\right\}$ such that $\left\{u_{1, k}\right\}$ converges weakly in

$$
W^{1, p}\left((-T, T), R^{n}\right)
$$

and strongly in $L^{\infty}\left((-T, T), R^{n}\right)$. For $k=2$, since $\left\{u_{1, k}\right\}$ is bounded in $W^{1, p}\left((-2 T, 2 T), R^{n}\right)$, we can pick a subsequence $\left\{u_{2, k}\right\}$ such that $\left\{u_{2, k}\right\}$ converges weakly in $W^{1, p}\left((-2 T, 2 T), R^{n}\right)$ and strongly in $L^{\infty}\left((-2 T, 2 T), R^{n}\right)$. We can repeat this process for $k=3,4, \ldots$ and see that, for any positive integer $m$, there is a sequence $\left\{u_{m, k}\right\}$ which converges weakly in $W^{1, p}\left((-m T, m T), R^{n}\right)$ and strongly in $L^{\infty}\left((-m T, m T), R^{n}\right)$, and

$$
\left\{u_{k}\right\} \supset\left\{u_{1, k}\right\} \supset\left\{u_{2, k}\right\} \supset \cdots \supset\left\{u_{m, k}\right\} \supset \cdots
$$

It follows that the sequence $\left\{u_{k, k}\right\}$ converges weakly in $W^{1, p}\left((-k T, k T), R^{n}\right)$ and strongly in $L^{\infty}\left((-k T, k T), R^{n}\right)$. Hence, there exists a function

$$
u \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, p}\left(R, R^{n}\right) \cap L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(R, R^{n}\right)
$$

such that the sequence $\left\{u_{k, k}\right\}$ converges weakly in $W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p}\left(R, R^{n}\right)$ and strongly in $L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}\left(R, R^{n}\right)$.

Lemma 6 The function $u_{0}$ determined by Lemma 5 is a nonzero homoclinic solution of the system (1)-(2).

## Proof The proof is divided into four steps.

First we show that $u_{0}$ is a solution of system (1)-(2). For convenience, we denote $\left\{u_{k, k}\right\}$ by $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$. For any given interval $(a, b) \subset(-k T, k T)$ and any $v \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left((a, b), R^{n}\right)$, define

$$
v_{1}(t)= \begin{cases}v(t), & t \in(a, b),  \tag{22}\\ 0, & t \in(-k T, k T) \backslash(a, b) .\end{cases}
$$

Then, for any $v \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left((a, b), R^{n}\right)$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{a}^{b}\left(u_{0}^{\prime} v^{\prime}-\nabla F\left(t, u_{0}\right) v\right) d t+\sum_{t_{i} \in(a, b)} g_{i}\left(u_{0}\left(t_{i}\right)\right) v\left(t_{i}\right) \\
& \quad=\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left[\int_{a}^{b}\left(u_{k}^{\prime} v^{\prime}-\nabla F\left(t, u_{k}\right) v\right) d t+\sum_{t_{i} \in(a, b)} g_{i}\left(u_{k}\left(t_{i}\right)\right) v\left(t_{i}\right)\right]=0 . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $k_{1}$ be a positive integer and set $a=-k_{1} T$ and $b=k_{1} T$. By a similar method to the one proposed in [20], using (23), we can show that $\left.u_{0}\right|_{\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right)}$ satisfies (1) in classical sense for $i=-k_{1} m+1, \ldots, k_{1} m$, and $u_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\left|u_{0}^{\prime}\left(t_{i}^{+}\right)\right|^{p-2} u_{0}^{\prime}\left(t_{i}^{+}\right)=\left|u_{0}^{\prime}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)\right|^{p-2} u_{0}^{\prime}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)+g_{i}\left(u_{0}\left(t_{i}\right)\right), \quad i=-k_{1} m+1, \ldots, k_{1} m-1 .
$$

Since $v \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\left(-k_{1} T, k_{1} T\right), R^{n}\right)$, we cannot show that

$$
\left|u_{0}^{\prime}\left(t_{k_{1} m}^{+}\right)\right|^{p-2} u_{0}^{\prime}\left(t_{k_{1} m}^{+}\right)=\left|u_{0}^{\prime}\left(t_{k_{1} m}^{-}\right)\right|^{p-2} u_{0}^{\prime}\left(t_{k_{1} m}^{-}\right)+g_{k_{1} m}\left(u_{0}\left(t_{k_{1} m}\right)\right)
$$

from (23). Therefore, $u_{0}$ is a solution of (1)-(2) in $\left(-k_{1} T, k_{1} T\right)$. Since $k_{1}$ is arbitrary, $u_{0}$ is a solution of system (1)-(2) in $R$.
Next, we prove that $u_{0}(t) \rightarrow 0$, as $t \rightarrow \pm \infty$. Since $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ is weakly continuous, it is weakly lower semicontinuous, and thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\left|u_{0}(t)\right|^{p}+\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}\right) d t & =\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left(\left|u_{0}(t)\right|^{p}+\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}\right) d t \\
& \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \inf \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left(\left|u_{j}(t)\right|^{p}+\left|u_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}\right) d t \\
& \leq L_{1}^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|t| \geq r}\left(\left|u_{0}(t)\right|^{p}+\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}\right) d t \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow+\infty \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6) and (24), we get $u_{0}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \pm \infty$.

In the third step we show that $u_{0}^{\prime}\left(t^{ \pm}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $t \rightarrow \pm \infty$. Since $u_{0}(t)$ is a solution of system (1)-(2), we obtain

$$
\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \mid\left(\left.\Phi_{p}\left(u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t=\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left|\nabla F\left(t, u_{0}(t)\right)\right|^{p} d t\right.
$$

Since $\nabla F(t, 0)=0$ for all $t \in R, u_{0}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \pm \infty$, we have

$$
\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \mid\left(\left.\Phi_{p}\left(u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right|^{p} d t \rightarrow 0\right.
$$

as $i \rightarrow \pm \infty$. Then, from the definition of $\Phi_{p}(u)$, there exists $L_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left|u_{0}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t<L_{2}
$$

By (6), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} & \leq 2^{p-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left(\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}+\left|u_{0}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{p}\right) d t \\
& \leq 2^{p-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left(\left|u_{0}(t)\right|^{p}+\left|u_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}\right) d t+2^{p-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left|u_{0}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t, \quad t \in\left(t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have $u_{0}^{\prime}\left(t^{ \pm}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \pm \infty$.
Next, we show that $u_{0} \neq 0 . I_{k}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right) u_{k}=0$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left[\left|u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}+\nabla F\left(t, u_{k}(t)\right) u_{k}(t)\right] d t=-\sum_{i \in \Omega_{k}} g_{i}\left(u_{k}\left(t_{i}\right)\right) u_{k}\left(t_{i}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\underline{\theta}=\min _{i \in Z}\left\{t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right\}$ and $\bar{\theta}=\max _{i \in Z}\left\{t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right\}$. Then, by the Hölder inequality and $u_{k}\left(t_{i}\right)=$ $u_{k}(\tau)+\int_{\tau}^{t_{i}} u_{k}^{\prime}(t) d t, \tau \in\left[t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right], i \in \Omega_{k}$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i \in \Omega_{k}}\left|u_{k}\left(t_{i}\right)\right|^{p} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{\underline{\theta}} \sum_{i \in \Omega_{k}} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left|u_{k}\left(t_{i}\right)\right|^{p} d \tau \\
& \quad \leq \frac{2^{p-1}}{\underline{\theta}} \sum_{i \in \Omega_{k}} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left(\left|u_{k}(\tau)\right|^{p}+\left|\int_{\tau}^{t_{i}} u_{k}^{\prime}(s) d s\right|^{p}\right) d \tau \\
& \quad \leq \frac{2^{p-1}}{\underline{\theta}} \int_{-k T}^{k T}\left|u_{k}(\tau)\right|^{p} d \tau+\frac{2^{p-1}}{\underline{\theta}} \sum_{i \in \Omega_{k}} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left[\left(t_{i}-\tau\right) \int_{\tau}^{t_{i}}\left|u_{k}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{p} d s\right] d \tau \\
& \quad \leq \frac{2^{p-1}}{\underline{\theta}}\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{L_{2 k T}^{2}}^{p}+\frac{2^{p-1} \bar{\theta}^{p}}{\underline{\theta}}\left\|u_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2 k T}^{2}}^{p} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{2^{p-1}}{\underline{\theta}} \max \left\{1, \bar{\theta}^{p}\right\}\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{E_{k}}^{p} . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that $\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \rightarrow 0$, then, when $k$ sufficiently large, by (A4), (9), (25) and (26), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{1} \mu \sum_{i \in \Omega_{k}}\left|u_{k}\left(t_{i}\right)\right|^{\mu} & \geq-\sum_{i \in \Omega_{k}} g_{i}\left(u_{k}\left(t_{i}\right)\right) u_{k}\left(t_{i}\right) \\
& =\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left[\left|u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}+\nabla F\left(t, u_{k}(t)\right) u_{k}(t)\right] d t \\
& =\int_{-k T}^{k T}\left[\left|u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}+\left|u_{k}(t)\right|^{p}\right] d t+\int_{-k T}^{k T} \nabla H\left(t, u_{k}(t)\right) u_{k}(t) d t \\
& \geq\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{E_{k}}^{p} \\
& \geq \frac{\underline{\theta}}{2^{p-1} \max \left\{1, \bar{\theta}^{p}\right\}} \sum_{i \in \Omega_{k}}\left|u_{k}\left(t_{i}\right)\right|^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is impossible when $\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \rightarrow 0$. Hence, the system (1)-(2) has a nontrivial homoclinic solution.

Lemma 7 The $u_{0}$ given in Lemma 6 is generated by impulses.

Proof In order to complete the proof, we only need to show that under the conditions of Theorem 2, the system $\left(\Phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}-\nabla F(t, u(t))=0$ has no nontrivial homoclinic solution.
If $u$ is a homoclinic solution of $\left(\Phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}-\nabla F(t, u(t))=0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} u(t)=0, \\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \rightarrow \pm \infty} u^{\prime}(t)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =-\int_{a}^{b}\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} u(t)-\nabla F(t, u(t)) u(t) d t \\
& =\int_{a}^{b}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}+\nabla F(t, u(t)) u(t) d t-\left.\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(t) u(t)\right|_{a} ^{b} \\
& =\int_{a}^{b}\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}+|u(t)|^{p}\right) d t+\int_{a}^{b} H(t, u(t)) u(t) d t-\left.\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(t) u(t)\right|_{a} ^{b},
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{b}\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}+|u(t)|^{p}\right) d t+\int_{a}^{b} H(t, u(t)) u(t) d t \\
& \quad \leq\left.\lim _{a \rightarrow-\infty} \lim _{b \rightarrow \infty}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(t) u(t)\right|_{a} ^{b}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, by (A3), we know that

$$
\int_{a}^{b} H(t, u(t)) u(t) d t \geq 0
$$

Then

$$
\int_{a}^{b}\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}+|u(t)|^{p}\right) d t+\int_{a}^{b} H(t, u(t)) u(t) d t \geq 0 .
$$

Therefore, $u=0$. It thus follows that the system $\left(\Phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}-\nabla F(t, u(t))=0$ has only a trivial homoclinic solution.

## 4 Example

In this section, we present an example to illustrate the application of the main results obtained in precious sections.

## Example 1 Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& p=6, \quad H(t, u)=(2+\sin t) u^{2}, \\
& G_{i}\left(u\left(t_{i}\right)\right)=-\left|\cos \frac{t_{i}}{2}\right| u^{10}\left(t_{i}\right), \quad g_{i}\left(u\left(t_{i}\right)\right)=-10\left|\cos \frac{t_{i}}{2}\right| u^{9}\left(t_{i}\right), \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t_{i}=\frac{2 \pi i}{m}, i \in Z$.
For $\mu=7$ and $p=6$, we have $F(t, u)=\frac{1}{6}|u|^{6}+(2+\sin t) u^{2}$. It is easy to see that $F(t, 0)=0$. We notice that (A1), (A2) are satisfied.
By $H(t, u)=(2+\sin t) u^{2}$, one has $\nabla H(t, u)=2(2+\sin t) u$. Therefore,

$$
0<u \nabla H(t, u)=2(2+\sin t) u^{2}<6(2+\sin t) u^{2}=p H(t, u),
$$

which implies that condition (A3) is satisfied.
By $G_{i}\left(u\left(t_{i}\right)\right)=-\left|\cos \frac{t_{i}}{2}\right| u^{10}\left(t_{i}\right), g_{i}\left(u\left(t_{i}\right)\right)=-10\left|\cos \frac{t_{i}}{2}\right| u^{9}\left(t_{i}\right)$, we have

$$
g_{i}\left(u\left(t_{i}\right)\right) u\left(t_{i}\right)=-10\left|\cos \frac{t_{i}}{2}\right| u^{10}\left(t_{i}\right)<-7\left|\cos \frac{t_{i}}{2}\right| u^{10}\left(t_{i}\right)=\mu G_{i}\left(u\left(t_{i}\right)\right)<0 .
$$

Thus, condition (A4) is satisfied.
By Theorem 2, system (1)-(2) with $F, H, G_{i}$ and $g_{i}$ defined in (27) has a nontrivial homoclinic solution.
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