RESEARCH

Open Access

A new class of operational matrices method for solving fractional neutral pantograph differential equations

Lei Shi*, Xiaohua Ding, Zhong Chen and Qiang Ma

*Correspondence:
slmath@hit.edu.cn
Department of Mathematics, Harbin
Institute of Technology at Weihai,
Weihai, China

Abstract

This paper uses new fractional integration operational matrices to solve a class of fractional neutral pantograph delay differential equations. A fractional-order function space is constructed where the exact solution lies in, and a set of orthogonal bases are given. Using them, we reduce the fractional delay differential equation to algebraic equations and get the approximate solution. Finally, we give the Legendre operational matrix of fractional integration to solve the equation as an example and show the efficiency of the method.

Keywords: Operational matrix; Neutral pantograph differential equations; Riemann–Liouville fractional integration; Caputo fractional differential

1 Introduction

Fractional calculus is a generalization of calculus to an arbitrary order. In recent years, it is extensively applied to various fields such as viscous elastic mechanics, power fractal networks, electronic circuits [1–3]. Due to the memory and non-local characters of fractional derivative, many scholars use fractional differential equations to simulate complex phenomenon in order to make it closer to the real problem. On the other hand, the operational matrices of fractional calculus, as linear transformations, have been widely concerned. Some operational matrices are obtained by approximating the integral of orthogonal polynomials. Some operational matrices are obtained indirectly by means of other operational matrices. With the help of these matrices and orthogonal polynomials, we can reduce a fractional differential or integral equation to algebraic equations, and get the approximate solution. Much research in this field has emerged, such as Legendre operational matrices [1–3], Chebyshev operational matrices [4], block pulse operational matrices [5].

Fractional delay differential equations arise in many applications, such as automatic control, long transmission lines, economy and biology [6]. The fractional pantograph equation, as a kind of fractional delay differential equations, plays an important role in explaining various phenomena [7, 8]. Therefore, it has attracted a great deal of attentions. The authors [9] studied the existence of solutions of nonlinear fractional pantograph equations when the order of the derivative is smaller than 1. Yang and Huang [10] studied spectral-collocation methods for fractional pantograph delay-integro-differential equations whereas Rahimkhani, Ordokhani and Babolian [7] gave the numerical solution

of fractional pantograph differential equations by using the generalized fractional-order Bernoulli wavelet. However, only few papers are devoted to the approximate solution of fractional neutral pantograph differential equations.

In this paper, we consider the fractional neutral pantograph differential equation

$$D_C^\alpha y(x) = a(x)y(px) + b(x)D_C^\gamma y(px) + d(x)y(x) + g(x), \quad (1)$$

subject to the initial condition

$$y(0) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where $0 < p < 1$, $0 < \gamma \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $x \in [0, 1]$. $y(x)$ is an unknown function; $a, b, d, g \in C[0, 1]$ are the known functions, the fractional derivatives are in the sense of Caputo. For simplicity of the proof, we set $y(0) = 0$, otherwise we let $v(x) = y(x) - y(0)$ and solve the fractional differential equation with the unknown function $v(x)$ and $v(0) = 0$.

This paper is devoted to obtaining a class of operational matrices based on different type of fractional orthogonal polynomials and solving the fractional neutral pantograph delay differential equations by the obtained operational matrices. As never before, we construct suitable fractional orthogonal polynomials and get the better operational matrices based on the order of the fractional differential or integral equation. Thus we can find approximate solutions with high accuracy.

We organize this paper as follows: In Sect. 2, we give some basic definitions and useful lemmas. In Sect. 3, we introduce operational matrices of fractional integration based on fractional orthogonal basis functions. In Sect. 4, we give the numerical algorithms. In Sect. 5, a fractional Legendre operational matrix is given. In Sect. 6, two numerical examples are given to illustrate the applicability and accuracy of the proposed method.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, some preliminary results about the fractional integral and the Caputo fractional differential operator are recalled [11]. Then we give the appropriate space where we find the approximate solution of fractional differential equations. Throughout the paper, we assume $\alpha > 0$.

Definition 2.1 The Riemann–Liouville (R–L) fractional integral operator J_0^α is given by

$$J_0^\alpha u(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-s)^{\alpha-1} u(s) ds,$$

where $\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x} dx$.

Lemma 2.1 For any $u(x) \in L^2[0, 1]$, $J_0^\alpha u(x) \in L^2[0, 1]$ holds.

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (J_0^\alpha u(x))^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} u(t) dt \right)^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} dt \right) \cdot \left(\int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} u^2(t) dt \right) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha \Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} u^2(t) dt \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $u \in L^2[0, 1]$, and we can derive that $u^2 \in L^1[0, 1]$ and $\int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} u^2(t) dt \in L^1[0, 1]$. Therefore,

$$\int_0^1 (J_0^\alpha u(x))^2 dx < +\infty.$$

So $J_0^\alpha u \in L^2[0, 1]$ holds. $\square$

Definition 2.2 The Caputo fractional differential operator D_C^α is given by

$$D_C^\alpha u(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-s)^{n-\alpha-1} u^{(n)}(s) ds, \quad n-1 < \alpha < n, n = [\alpha].$$

Definition 2.3 Defining the inner product and the norm $\|\cdot\|$ in the $C[0, 1]$ separately:

$$\begin{aligned} (u(x), v(x)) &= \int_0^1 u(x) \cdot v(x) \cdot \rho(x) dx, \\ \|u(x)\| &= \sqrt{(u(x), u(x))} = \left(\int_0^1 (u(x))^2 \cdot \rho(x) dx \right)^{1/2}, \quad \forall u(x), v(x) \in C[0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.4 Defining $C^\alpha[0, 1] \triangleq \{J_0^\alpha u(x) | u \in C[0, 1]\}$, its inner product and its norm $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$:

$$\begin{aligned} (u(x), v(x))_\alpha &= \int_0^1 D_C^\alpha u(x) \cdot D_C^\alpha v(x) \rho(x) dx, \\ \|u(x)\|_\alpha &= \sqrt{(u(x), u(x))_\alpha} = \left(\int_0^1 (D_C^\alpha u(x))^2 \cdot \rho(x) dx \right)^{1/2}, \quad \forall u(x), v(x) \in C^\alpha[0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

We can find that

$$(u(x), u(x))_\alpha = \int_0^1 (D_C^\alpha u(x))^2 \rho(x) dx \geq 0,$$

and $u(x) = 0$ when $(u(x), u(x))_\alpha = 0$. In fact, there exists a $u_1(x) \in C[0, 1]$ such that $u(x) = J_0^\alpha u_1(x)$. That is,

$$\int_0^1 (D_C^\alpha u(x))^2 \rho(x) dx = \int_0^1 u_1^2(x) \rho(x) dx = 0.$$

Therefore we get $u_1(x) \equiv 0$ and $u(x) = J_0^\alpha u_1(x) \equiv 0$. It is easy to see that the other conditions of the inner product definition are also true. Hence, $C^\alpha[0, 1]$ is an inner product space.

Denoting by X the set of all polynomials in the $[0, 1]$, then X is a dense subset of $C[0, 1]$. Denote $J_0^\alpha X = \{J_0^\alpha P | P \in X\}$. Let $\tilde{H}$ be the completion of the inner product space $C^\alpha[0, 1]$.

Lemma 2.2 (1) X is a dense subset of $(L^2[0, 1], \|\cdot\|)$;

(2) $J_0^\alpha X$ is a dense subset of $(\tilde{H}, \|\cdot\|_\alpha)$.

Proof (1) Taking any $u(x) \in C[0, 1]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $p \in X$, such that $\|u - p\|_C < \varepsilon$.

We have

$$\|u - p\| = \sqrt{(u - p, u - p)} = \left(\int_0^1 (u - p)^2 \cdot \rho(x) \, dx \right)^{1/2} \leq A \|u - p\|_C < A\varepsilon.$$

where $A = (\int_0^1 \rho(x) \, dx)^{1/2}$. Therefore X is a dense subset of $(C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|)$. Noting that $(C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|)$ is dense in the $(L^2[0, 1], \|\cdot\|)$, then X is a dense subset of $(L^2[0, 1], \|\cdot\|)$.

(2) For $u(x) \in C^\alpha[0, 1]$, there exists a $u_1(x) \in C[0, 1]$ such that $u(x) = J_0^\alpha u_1(x)$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $p \in X$ such that $\|u_1 - p\|_C < \varepsilon$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(x) - J_0^\alpha p\|_\alpha &= \left(\int_0^1 [D_C^\alpha (u - J_0^\alpha p)]^2 \cdot \rho(x) \, dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 [D_C^\alpha (J_0^\alpha u_1 - J_0^\alpha p)]^2 \cdot \rho(x) \, dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 (u_1 - p)^2 \cdot \rho(x) \, dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|u_1 - p\|_C \cdot \left(\int_0^1 \rho(x) \, dx \right)^{1/2} < A\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where $A = (\int_0^1 \rho(x) \, dx)^{1/2}$. Therefore $J_0^\alpha X$ is a dense subset of $(C^\alpha[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\alpha)$. Noting that $(C^\alpha[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\alpha)$ is dense in $(\tilde{H}, \|\cdot\|_\alpha)$, then $J_0^\alpha X$ is a dense subset of $(\tilde{H}, \|\cdot\|_\alpha)$. $\square$

Lemma 2.3 $\tilde{H} \subseteq L^2[0, 1]$.

Proof For any $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{H}$, there exists $f_i \in C[0, 1]$, so that $\tilde{f}_i \triangleq J_0^\alpha f_i \in C^\alpha[0, 1]$ and

$$\|\tilde{f}_i - \tilde{f}\|_\alpha \rightarrow 0 \quad (i \rightarrow \infty).$$

Therefore $\|\tilde{f}_i - \tilde{f}_j\|_\alpha \rightarrow 0 \quad (i, j \rightarrow \infty)$, that is,

$$\lim_{i, j \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 [D_C^\alpha (\tilde{f}_i - \tilde{f}_j)]^2 \rho(x) \, dx = \lim_{i, j \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 (f_i - f_j)^2 \rho(x) \, dx = 0.$$

Then $\lim_{i, j \rightarrow \infty} \|f_i - f_j\| = 0$. Because the space $L^2[0, 1]$ is complete, there exists a $f \in L^2[0, 1]$ so that $f = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f} &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}_i = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} J_0^\alpha f_i = J_0^\alpha \left(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i \right) = J_0^\alpha f, \\ \int_0^1 (\tilde{f})^2 \, dx &= \int_0^1 (J_0^\alpha f(x))^2 \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) \, dt \right)^2 \, dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} dt \right) \cdot \left(\int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} f^2(t) dt \right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha \Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^1 x^\alpha \cdot \left(\int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} f^2(t) dt \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $f \in L^2[0, 1]$, and we can derive that $f^2 \in L^1[0, 1]$ and $\int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} f^2(t) dt \in L^1[0, 1]$. Therefore,

$$\int_0^1 (J_0^\alpha f(x))^2 dx < +\infty$$

and

$$\tilde{f} = J_0^\alpha f \in L^2[0, 1].$$

Thus we get $\tilde{H} \subset L^2[0, 1]$. □

Remark 1 Because $C^\alpha = J_0^\alpha C[0, 1]$ is dense in $J_0^\alpha L^2[0, 1]$ and $J_0^\alpha L^2[0, 1]$ is complete, we can derive that $\tilde{H} = J_0^\alpha L^2[0, 1]$.

Lemma 2.4 Assume $h(x) \in \tilde{H}$. Then, for any $\gamma > 0$, we can derive that $J_0^\gamma h(x) \in \tilde{H}$.

Proof By the assumption, there exists a $h_1(x) \in L^2[0, 1]$ so that $h(x) = J_0^\alpha h_1(x)$. Therefore

$$J_0^\gamma h(x) = J_0^{\gamma+\alpha} h_1(x) = J_0^\alpha J_0^\gamma h_1(x).$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have $J_0^\gamma h_1(x) \in L^2[0, 1]$. So we can get $J_0^\alpha J_0^\gamma h_1(x) \in \tilde{H}$, that is, $J_0^\gamma h(x) \in \tilde{H}$. □

Lemma 2.5 Assume $\{u_n(x)\} \subset X$ is an orthogonal basis of $L^2[0, 1]$, then $\{\tilde{u}_n(x)\} = \{J_0^\alpha u_n(x)\}$ is an orthogonal basis of $\tilde{H}$. For any $g \in \tilde{H}$, we can derive that $g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n \tilde{u}_n$, where $C_n = \frac{(g, \tilde{u}_n)_\alpha}{\|\tilde{u}_n\|_\alpha^2}$.

Proof By the assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{u}_m)_\alpha &= \int_0^1 (D_C^\alpha \tilde{u}_n) \cdot (D_C^\alpha \tilde{u}_m) \cdot \rho(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^1 u_n(x) \cdot u_m(x) \cdot \rho(x) dx \begin{cases} = 0, & n \neq m; \\ \neq 0, & n = m. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

For any $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{H}$, according to the proof of the above lemma, there exists a $f \in L^2[0, 1]$ so that $\tilde{f} = J_0^\alpha f$.

Assume $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{u}_n)_\alpha = 0$, and we can derive that

$$\int_0^1 (D_C^\alpha \tilde{f})(D_C^\alpha \tilde{u}_n) \rho(x) dx = \int_0^1 f u_n \rho(x) dx = 0.$$

By the assumption that $\{u_n(x)\}$ is an orthogonal basis of $L^2[0, 1]$, so $f = 0$ a.e. Then we get $\tilde{f} = 0$, and $\{\tilde{u}_n(x)\}$ is a orthogonal basis of $\tilde{H}$.

For any $g \in \tilde{H}$, we assume $g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n \tilde{u}_n$. Therefore

$$(g, \tilde{u}_m)_\alpha = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n \tilde{u}_n, \tilde{u}_m \right)_\alpha = C_m (\tilde{u}_m, \tilde{u}_m)_\alpha = C_m \|\tilde{u}_m\|_\alpha^2.$$

So we have $C_m = \frac{(g, \tilde{u}_m)_\alpha}{\|\tilde{u}_m\|_\alpha^2}$. □

3 Operational matrices based on the orthogonal basis functions

An operational matrix can be obtained by using a set of orthogonal basis functions. Now, according to Lemma 2.5, we can get new orthogonal bases and their corresponding operational matrices.

Denoting

$$\tilde{U}_m(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u}_0(x) \\ \tilde{u}_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{u}_{m-1}(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\{u_n(x)\} \subset X$ is an orthogonal basis of $L^2[0, 1]$ and $\tilde{u}_n(x) = J_0^\alpha u(x) (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$. According to Lemma 2.5, $\{\tilde{u}_n(x)\}$ is an orthogonal basis of $\tilde{H}$.

Lemma 3.1 Assume $\tilde{U}_m(x) = (\tilde{u}_0(x), \tilde{u}_1(x), \dots, \tilde{u}_{m-1}(x))^T$ and $0 < p \leq 1$, then there exists an $m \times m$ matrix A such that

$$\tilde{U}_m(px) \simeq A \tilde{U}_m(x), \quad (3)$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{00} & a_{01} & \dots & a_{0m-1} \\ a_{10} & a_{11} & \dots & a_{1m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{m-10} & a_{m-11} & \dots & a_{m-1m-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $a_{ij} = \frac{(\tilde{u}_i(px), \tilde{u}_j(x))_\alpha}{\int_0^1 u_j^2(x) \rho(x) dx}$.

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U}_m(px) &= (\tilde{u}_0(px), \tilde{u}_1(px), \dots, \tilde{u}_{m-1}(px))^T \\ &\simeq \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_{0j} \tilde{u}_j(x), \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_{1j} \tilde{u}_j(x), \dots, \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_{m-1j} \tilde{u}_j(x) \right)^T \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{pmatrix} a_{00} & a_{01} & \cdots & a_{0m-1} \\ a_{10} & a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ a_{m-10} & a_{m-11} & \cdots & a_{m-1m-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u}_0(x) \\ \tilde{u}_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{u}_{m-1}(x) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= A \cdot \tilde{U}_m(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tilde{u}_i(px), \tilde{u}_j(x))_\alpha &\simeq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_{ik} \tilde{u}_k(x), \tilde{u}_j(x) \right)_\alpha \\
&= a_{ij} (\tilde{u}_j(x), \tilde{u}_j(x))_\alpha = a_{ij} \int_0^1 u_j^2(x) \rho(x) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

we can derive that

$$a_{ij} = \frac{(\tilde{u}_i(px), \tilde{u}_j(x))_\alpha}{\int_0^1 u_j^2(x) \rho(x) dx}.$$

□

Theorem 3.1 Let J_0^α be the R–L fractional integral operator. Then

$$J_0^\alpha \tilde{U}_m(x) \simeq K_m^\alpha \cdot \tilde{U}_m(x), \quad (4)$$

where

$$K_m^\alpha = (J_0^\alpha \tilde{U}_m(x), \tilde{U}_m^T(x))_\alpha \cdot D^{-1}$$

and

$$(J_0^\alpha \tilde{U}_m(x), \tilde{U}_m^T(x))_\alpha = ((J_0^\alpha \tilde{u}_i(x), \tilde{u}_j(x))_{i,j=0}^{m-1})^{m-1}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} v_0 & & & \\ & v_1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & v_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof Let K_m^α be the operational matrix of fractional integration for $\tilde{U}_m(x)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(J_0^\alpha \tilde{U}_m(x), \tilde{U}_m^T(x))_\alpha &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} J_0^\alpha \tilde{u}_0(x) \\ J_0^\alpha \tilde{u}_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ J_0^\alpha \tilde{u}_{m-1}(x) \end{pmatrix}, (\tilde{u}_0(x), \tilde{u}_1(x), \dots, \tilde{u}_{m-1}(x)) \right)_\alpha \\
&\simeq \left(\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} k_{0j} \tilde{u}_j(x) \\ \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} k_{1j} \tilde{u}_j(x) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} k_{m-1j} \tilde{u}_j(x) \end{pmatrix}, (\tilde{u}_0(x), \tilde{u}_1(x), \dots, \tilde{u}_{m-1}(x)) \right)_\alpha
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{pmatrix} k_{00}v_0 & k_{01}v_1 & \cdots & k_{0m-1}v_{m-1} \\ k_{10}v_0 & k_{11}v_1 & \cdots & k_{1m-1}v_{m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ k_{m-10}v_0 & k_{m-11}v_1 & \cdots & k_{m-1m-1}v_{m-1} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= K_m^\alpha \cdot \begin{pmatrix} v_0 & & & \\ & v_1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & v_{m-1} \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
K_m^\alpha &= \begin{pmatrix} k_{00} & k_{01} & \cdots & k_{0m-1} \\ k_{10} & k_{11} & \cdots & k_{1m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ k_{m-1,0} & k_{m-1,1} & \cdots & k_{m-1,m-1} \end{pmatrix}, \\
D &= \begin{pmatrix} v_0 & & & \\ & v_1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & v_{m-1} \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$v_j = (\tilde{u}_j(x), \tilde{u}_j(x))_\alpha = \int_0^1 u_j^2(x) \rho(x) \, dx.$$

Then

$$K_m^\alpha = (J_0^\alpha \tilde{U}_m(x), \tilde{U}_m^T(x))_\alpha \cdot D^{-1}.$$

□

4 Numerical algorithms

In this section, we consider the problem given in (1) and (2).

Lemma 4.1 *Assuming that $D_C^\alpha y(x)$ is absolute continuous and its derivative lies in $L^2[0, 1]$, then $D_C^\alpha y(x) \in \tilde{H}$.*

Proof Let $v(x) = D_C^\alpha y(x)$. Using $v'(x) \in L^2[0, 1]$ and Lemma 2.1, one has $D_C^\alpha v(x) = J_0^{1-\alpha} v'(x) \in L^2[0, 1]$. Therefore $v(x) = J_0^\alpha D_C^\alpha v(x) \in J_0^\alpha L^2[0, 1] = \tilde{H}$. □

Now we expand $D_C^\alpha y(x)$ by the fractional-order orthogonal polynomials $\{\tilde{u}_m(x)\}$, thus:

$$D_C^\alpha y(x) \simeq c_0 + C^T \tilde{U}_m(x), \quad (5)$$

where the coefficient vector C and $\tilde{U}_m(x)$ are given by

$$C = [c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m]^T, \quad \tilde{U}_m(x) = [\tilde{u}_0(x), \tilde{u}_1(x), \dots, \tilde{u}_{m-1}(x)]^T.$$

Based on (5), (4), (3) and the properties of the Caputo derivative, we have

$$y(x) \simeq J_0^\alpha c_0 + C^T J_0^\alpha \tilde{U}_m(x) \simeq \frac{c_0}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} x^\alpha + C^T K_m^\alpha \tilde{U}_m(x), \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_C^\gamma y(x) &= D_C^\gamma [J_0^\alpha D_C^\alpha y(x)] = J_0^{\alpha-\gamma} D_C^\alpha y(x) \simeq J_0^{\alpha-\gamma} [c_0 + C^T \tilde{U}_m(x)] \\ &\simeq \frac{c_0}{\Gamma(\alpha - \gamma + 1)} x^{\alpha-\gamma} + C^T K_m^{\alpha-\gamma} \tilde{U}_m(x) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_C^\gamma y(px) &\simeq \frac{c_0}{\Gamma(\alpha - \gamma + 1)} (px)^{\alpha-\gamma} + C^T K_m^{\alpha-\gamma} \tilde{U}_m(px) \\ &\simeq \frac{c_0}{\Gamma(\alpha - \gamma + 1)} (px)^{\alpha-\gamma} + C^T K_m^{\alpha-\gamma} A \tilde{U}_m(x). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

By using (6) and (3), we get

$$y(px) \simeq \frac{c_0}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} (px)^\alpha + C^T K_m^\alpha \tilde{U}_m(px) \simeq \frac{c_0}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} (px)^\alpha + C^T K_m^\alpha A \tilde{U}_m(x). \quad (9)$$

By replacing (5), (6), (8) and (9) in Eq. (1), we obtain a system of algebraic equations,

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 + C^T \tilde{U}_m(x) &= a(x) \left[\frac{c_0}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} (px)^\alpha + C^T K_m^\alpha A \tilde{U}_m(x) \right] + b(x) \left[\frac{c_0}{\Gamma(\alpha - \gamma + 1)} (px)^{\alpha-\gamma} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C^T K_m^{\alpha-\gamma} A \tilde{U}_m(x) \right] + d(x) \left[\frac{c_0}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} x^\alpha + C^T K_m^\alpha \tilde{U}_m(x) \right] + g(x). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Substituting the points $\{x | u_m(x) = 0\}$ into (10), the coefficient c_0 and the coefficient vector C can be simply computed and the approximate solution of (1) under the initial condition (2) can be obtained.

5 Fractional-order Legendre operational matrix

In this section, we derive a new fractional-order Legendre operational matrix basing on the research in Sect. 3, and we will solve two fractional-order equations using the operational matrix in Sect. 6.

Definition 5.1 The Legendre polynomials $\{L_k(x) : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ are the eigenfunctions of the Sturm–Liouville problem,

$$((1-x^2)L'_k(x))' + k(k+1)L_k(x) = 0, \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

Shifted Legendre polynomials can be defined on the interval $[0, 1]$ by introducing the variable $x = 2z - 1$. Let the shifted Legendre polynomials $L_k(2z - 1)$ be denoted by $R_k(z)$. It is easy to see that

$$\int_0^1 R_i(z) \cdot R_j(z) dz = \frac{1}{2i+1} \delta_{ij},$$

(a) The comparison of approximate solution curve and the exact solution curve when $m = 5$.

(b) The absolute error curve with $m = 5$.

Figure 1 The comparison of the approximate solution and the exact solution when $m = 5$

where

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq j, \\ 1, & i = j. \end{cases}$$

The analytic form of the shifted Legendre polynomials $R_i(z)$ of degree i is given by

$$R_i(z) = \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^{i+k} \frac{(i+k)!}{(i-k)! (k!)^2} z^k.$$

In order to solve the α th-order differential equations ($\alpha > 0$), we define a new fractional-order Legendre functions. Let

$$\tilde{U}_m(x) = \{J_0^\alpha R_0(x), J_0^\alpha R_1(x), \dots, J_0^\alpha R_{m-1}(x)\} = \{\tilde{u}_0(x), \tilde{u}_1(x), \dots, \tilde{u}_{m-1}(x)\},$$

(a) The comparison of approximate solution curve and the exact solution curve when $m = 8$.

(b) The absolute error curve with $m = 8$.

Figure 2 The comparison of the approximate solution and the exact solution when $m = 8$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{u}_i(x) &= J_0^\alpha R_i(x) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^{i+k} \frac{(i+k)!}{(i-k)! (k!)^2} J_0^\alpha x^k \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^{i+k} \frac{(i+k)!}{(i-k)! (k!)^2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(k+\alpha+1)} \cdot x^{\alpha+k} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^{i+k} \frac{(i+k)!}{(i-k)! (k!) \Gamma(k+\alpha+1)} \cdot x^{\alpha+k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(a) The comparison of approximate solution curve and the exact solution curve when $m = 10$.

(b) The absolute error curve with $m = 10$.

Figure 3 The comparison of the approximate solution and the exact solution when $m = 10$

By Lemma 3.1, we get $\tilde{U}_m(px) \simeq A\tilde{U}_m(x)$ and $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=0}^{m-1}$ with $a_{ij} = \frac{(\tilde{u}_i(px), \tilde{u}_j(x))_\alpha}{\int_0^1 u_j^2(x) \rho(x) dx}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= \frac{p^\alpha \int_0^1 R_i(px) R_j(x) dx}{\int_0^1 R_j^2(x) dx} \\ &= (2j+1)p^\alpha \int_0^1 R_i(px) R_j(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.1, we get

$$\nu_j = (\tilde{u}_j(x), \tilde{u}_j(x))_\alpha = \int_0^1 R_j^2(x) dx = \frac{1}{2j+1}$$

Figure 4 The absolute error curve with $m = 8$

and

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \frac{1}{3} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \frac{1}{2m-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $G = (J_0^\alpha \tilde{U}_m(x), \tilde{U}_m^T(x))_\alpha = ((J_0^\alpha \tilde{u}_i(x), \tilde{u}_j(x))_\alpha)_{i,j=0}^{m-1} = (g_{ij})_{i,j=0}^{m-1}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij} &= (J_0^\alpha \tilde{u}_i(x), \tilde{u}_j(x))_\alpha \\ &= \int_0^1 \tilde{u}_i(x) R_j(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^{i+k} \frac{(i+k)!}{(i-k)!(k!) \Gamma(k+\alpha+1)} \cdot \int_0^1 x^{\alpha+k} R_j(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 x^{\alpha+k} R_j(x) dx &= \sum_{l=0}^j (-1)^{j+l} \frac{(j+l)!}{(j-l)!(l!)^2} \int_0^1 x^{\alpha+k} \cdot x^l dx \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^j (-1)^{j+l} \frac{(j+l)!}{(j-l)!(l!)^2} \frac{1}{\alpha+k+l+1}; \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$g_{ij} = \sum_{k=0}^i \sum_{l=0}^j (-1)^{i+k+j+l} \frac{(i+k)!(j+l)!}{(i-k)!(k)!(j-l)!(l!)^2 (\alpha+k+l+1) \Gamma(k+\alpha+1)}.$$

(a) The comparison of approximate solution curve and the exact solution curve when $m = 5$.

(b) The absolute error curve with $m = 5$.

Figure 5 The comparison of the approximate solution and the exact solution when $m = 5$

Then we get the fractional-order Legendre operational matrix,

$$K_m^\alpha = G \cdot D^{-1}.$$

6 Numerical examples

Example 1 Consider the following fractional neutral pantograph differential equation [7]:

$$\begin{cases} D_C^\gamma y(x) = -y(x) + 0.1y(0.8x) + 0.5D_C^\gamma y(0.8x) + g(x), & x \in (0, 1], \\ y(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

with $0 < \gamma \leq 1$.

The exact solution of this problem for $\gamma = 0.8$ is $x^{3.8}$ with $g(x) = 2.211894885744887x^3 + 0.9571706039258614x^{3.8}$. By the present method we derive the corresponding approximate solutions and absolute errors between the exact solution and the approximate so-

(a) The comparison of approximate solution curve and the exact solution curve when $m = 10$.

(b) The absolute error curve with $m = 10$.

Figure 6 The comparison of the approximate solution and the exact solution when $m = 10$

lutions when $m = 5, 8, 10$, which are displayed in Figs. 1, 2 and 3. The maximum of the absolute errors between the exact solution and the approximate solutions on the interval $[0, 1]$ separately are 7.65934×10^{-6} , 2.69025×10^{-7} , 5.5087×10^{-8} when $m = 5, 8, 10$ which are obtained separately at points $x = 0.195015, 0.0932709$ and 0.0634485 .

Denote $Ly = D_C^\alpha y(x) - a(x)y(px) - b(x)D_C^\gamma y(px) - d(x)y(x)$. So (1) is equivalent to $Ly = g$. Hence $(Ly - g)(x_i) = 0$ where $\{x_i\}$ are nodes. The approximate solution y_n satisfies $(Ly_n - g)(x_i) = 0$. Thus $(L(y - y_n))(x_i) = 0$. Because $L(y - y_n)$ fluctuates, the fluctuation of $y - y_n$ is possible. The fluctuation times of absolute errors are related to the number of nodes $n + 1$. When n increases, the fluctuation times of absolute errors may grow. Therefore, the fluctuations of error in Figs. 1(b), 2(b) and 3(b) are possible.

When $\gamma = 1$ and $g(x) = (0.32x - 0.5)e^{-0.8x} + e^{-x}$, the exact solution is xe^{-x} . We derive the absolute errors which are displayed in Fig. 4, and compare the absolute errors with that from Ref. [7] in Table 1. These results show that our method has higher accuracy.

(a) The comparison of approximate solution curve and the exact solution curve when $m = 12$.

(b) The absolute error curve with $m = 12$.

Figure 7 The comparison of the approximate solution and the exact solution when $m = 12$

Table 1 The comparison of the absolute errors with Bernoulli wavelet method on the interval $[0, 1]$

x	Bernoulli wavelet method	Present method ($m = 8$)
0.1	1.98×10^{-8}	1.26039×10^{-10}
0.3	7.78×10^{-9}	4.42580×10^{-11}
0.5	6.34×10^{-5}	7.19732×10^{-12}
0.7	4.36×10^{-5}	5.64755×10^{-11}
0.9	2.80×10^{-5}	1.24056×10^{-10}

Example 2 Consider the following fractional neutral pantograph differential equation [7]:

$$\begin{cases} D_C^\gamma y(x) = 0.75y(x) + y(0.5x) + D_C^{\gamma_1} y(0.5x) + 0.5D_C^\gamma y(0.5x) + g(x), & x \in (0, 1], \\ y(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

with $0 < \gamma_1 < \gamma \leq 1$.

The exact solution of this problem for $\gamma = 0.7$ and $\gamma_1 = 0.3$ is $x^{3.1}$ with $g(x) = 2.06871x^{2.4} - 0.208387x^{2.8} - 0.866629x^{3.1}$. By the present method we derive the corresponding approximate solutions and absolute errors when $m = 5, 10, 12$, which are displayed in Figs. 5, 6 and 7. The maximum of the absolute errors between the exact solution and the approximate solutions on the interval $[0, 1]$ separately are 0.0031029, 0.0000298692 and 7.82099×10^{-6} when $m = 5, 10, 12$, which are obtained separately in the first case.

7 Conclusion

In this paper, a method for obtaining a kind of operational matrices of fractional integration is proposed. In this way, we can obtain different operational matrices K_m^α based on different orthogonal polynomials. Using the matrices together with the collocation method, we can obtain the approximate solutions of fractional neutral pantograph delay differential equations. As an example, we obtain a fractional Legendre operational matrix and solve two fractional neutral pantograph differential equations by the constructed method. The numerical computation indicates that the method is efficient.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11501150).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

They all read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 17 November 2017 Accepted: 23 February 2018 Published online: 15 March 2018

References

1. Abbasbandy, S., Kazem, S., Alhuthali, M., Alsulami, H.: Application of the operational matrix of fractional-order Legendre functions for solving the time-fractional convection-diffusion equation. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **266**, 31–40 (2015)
2. Kashkari, B.S.H., Syam, M.I.: Fractional-order Legendre operational matrix of fractional integration for solving the Riccati equation with fractional order. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **290**, 281–291 (2016)
3. Sahu, P.K., Ray, S.S.: Legendre spectral collocation method for Fredholm integro-differential-difference equation with variable coefficients and mixed conditions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **268**, 575–580 (2015)
4. Atabakzadeh, M.H., Akrami, M.H., Erjaee, G.H.: Chebyshev operational matrix method for solving multi-order fractional ordinary differential equations. *Appl. Math. Model.* **37**, 8903–8911 (2013)
5. Li, Y.L., Sun, N.: Numerical solution of fractional differential equations using the generalized block pulse operational matrix. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **62**, 1046–1054 (2011)
6. Magin, R.L.: Fractional calculus models of complex dynamics in biological tissues. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59**, 1586–1593 (2010)
7. Rahimkhani, P., Ordokhani, Y., Babolian, E.: Numerical solution of fractional pantograph differential equations by using generalized fractional-order Bernoulli wavelet. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **309**, 493–510 (2017)
8. Sedaghat, S., Ordokhani, Y., Dehghan, M.: Numerical solution of the delay differential equations of pantograph type via Chebyshev polynomials. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **17**, 4815–4830 (2012)
9. Balachandran, K., Kiruthika, S.: Existence of solutions of nonlinear fractional pantograph equations. *Acta Math. Sci.* **33**, 712–720 (2013)
10. Yang, Y., Huang, Y.Q.: Spectral-collocation methods for fractional pantograph delay-integrodifferential equations. *Adv. Math. Phys.* **2013**, 1–14 (2013)
11. Diethelm, K.: *The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations: An Application-Oriented Exposition Using Differential Operators of Caputo Type*. Springer, Heidelberg (2010)