

RESEARCH

Open Access

Positive solutions for boundary value problems of fractional difference equations depending on parameters

Shugui Kang^{1*}, Xiaohong Zhao² and Huiqin Chen¹

*Correspondence:
dtkangshugui@126.com

¹Applied of Mathematics Institute,
Shanxi Datong University, Datong,
Shanxi 037009, P.R. China
Full list of author information is
available at the end of the article

Abstract

We use the Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem to obtain the sufficient conditions of the existence of two positive solutions for the boundary value problem of fractional difference equations depending on parameters.

MSC: 26A33; 39A05; 39A12

Keywords: discrete boundary value problem; fixed point theory; cone; positive solution

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the boundary value problems of fractional difference equations depending on parameters of the form

$$-\Delta^{v_j} y_j(t) = \lambda_j f_j(y_1(t + v_1 - 1), \dots, y_n(t + v_n - 1)), \quad (1.1)$$

$$y_j(v_j - 2) = \psi_j(y_j), \quad y_j(v_j + b) = \phi_j(y_j), \quad (1.2)$$

where $t \in [0, b]_{\mathbb{N}_0} := \{0, 1, \dots, b\}$, $\lambda_j > 0$, $1 < v_j \leq 2$, $f_j : [0, +\infty) \times \dots \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are continuous functions. For each j , we have that $\psi_j, \phi_j : \mathbb{R}^{b+3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are given functions. We point out that fractional difference equations have been extensively studied in recent years. Systems of discrete fractional boundary value problems are also popular. In [1], the authors discussed the existence of positive solutions for coupled systems of nonlinear fractional difference equations:

$$\Delta^2 u(n-1) + \lambda a(n)f(u(n), v(n)) = 0,$$

$$\Delta^2 v(n-1) + \mu b(n)g(u(n), v(n)) = 0,$$

$$u(0) = \beta u(\eta), \quad u(N) = \alpha u(\eta), \quad v(0) = \beta v(\eta), \quad v(N) = \alpha v(\eta),$$

where $\eta \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$, $n \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$, $N \geq 4$, $\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \mu > 0$. In [2], Goodrich studied the following pair of discrete fractional boundary value problems:

$$-\Delta^{v_1} y_1(t) = \lambda_1 a_1(t + v_1 - 1) f_1(y_1(t + v_1 - 1), y_2(t + v_2 - 1)),$$

$$-\Delta^{v_2} y_2(t) = \lambda_2 a_2(t + v_2 - 1) f_2(y_1(t + v_1 - 1), y_2(t + v_2 - 1)),$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(\nu_1 - 2) &= \psi_1(y_1), & y_2(\nu_2 - 2) &= \psi_2(y_2), \\ y_1(\nu_1 + b) &= \phi_1(y_1), & y_2(\nu_2 + b) &= \phi_2(y_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $t \in [0, b]_{\mathbb{N}_0} := \{0, 1, \dots, b\}$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$, $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in (1, 2]$, it is the same as [1] when $\nu_1, \nu_2 = 2$. Goodrich obtained the existence of at least one positive solution to this problem by means of the Krasnosel'skii theorem for cones. We shall deduce the existence of at least two positive solutions to problem (1.1)-(1.2) in this paper. These results extend the results of [2].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present basic definitions and demonstrate some lemmas in order to prove our main results. In Section 3, we establish some results for the existence of at least two solutions to problem (1.1)-(1.2), and we present an example to illustrate our main results.

2 Preliminaries

For the convenience of the reader, we give some definitions and fundamental facts of the discrete fractional calculus, which can be found in [3–6] and their references.

Definition 2.1 [3] We define

$$t^{(\nu)} = \frac{\Gamma(t+1)}{\Gamma(t+1-\nu)}$$

for any t and ν , for which the right-hand side is defined. We also appeal to the convention that if $t+1-\nu$ is a pole of the gamma function and $t+1$ is not a pole, then $t^{(\nu)} = 0$.

Definition 2.2 [3] The ν th fractional sum of a function f defined on the set $\mathbb{N}_a := \{a, a+1, \dots\}$, for $\nu > 0$, is defined to be

$$\Delta^{-\nu} f(t) = \Delta^{-\nu} f(t; a) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \sum_{s=a}^{t-\nu} (t-s-1)^{(\nu-1)} f(s),$$

where $t \in \{a+\nu, a+\nu+1, \dots\} =: \mathbb{N}_{a+\nu}$. We also define the ν th fractional difference for $\nu > 0$ by

$$\Delta^{\nu} f(t) = \Delta^N \Delta^{\nu-N} f(t),$$

where $t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+\nu}$ and $0 \leq N-1 < \nu \leq N$.

Lemma 2.3 [3] Let t and ν be any numbers for which $t^{(\nu)}$ and $t^{(\nu-1)}$ are defined. Then

$$\Delta t^{(\nu)} = \nu t^{(\nu-1)}.$$

Lemma 2.4 [2] Let $0 \leq N-1 < \nu \leq N$. Then

$$\Delta^{-\nu} \Delta^{\nu} y(t) = y(t) + c_1 t^{(\nu-1)} + c_2 t^{(\nu-2)} + \dots + c_N t^{(\nu-N)}$$

for some $c_i \in R$, with $1 \leq i \leq N$.

Lemma 2.5 [3] Let $1 < \nu \leq 2$ and $h : [\nu - 1, \nu + b - 1]_{\mathbb{N}_{\nu-1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given. The unique solution of the FBVP

$$-\Delta^\nu y(t) = h(t + \nu - 1),$$

$$y(\nu - 2) = 0 = y(\nu + b)$$

is given by

$$y(t) = \sum_{s=0}^b G(t, s)h(s + \nu - 1),$$

where $G : [\nu - 2, \nu + b]_{\mathbb{N}_{\nu-2}} \times [0, b]_{\mathbb{N}_0} \rightarrow R$ is defined by

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \begin{cases} \frac{t^{(\nu-1)(\nu+b-s-1)(\nu-1)}}{(\nu+b)^{(\nu-1)}} - (t-s-1)^{(\nu-1)}, & 0 \leq s < t - \nu + 1 \leq b, \\ \frac{t^{(\nu-1)(\nu+b-s-1)(\nu-1)}}{(\nu+b)^{(\nu-1)}}, & 0 \leq t - \nu + 1 \leq s \leq b. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.6 [2] The Green's function $G(t, s)$ given in Lemma 2.5 satisfies:

- (i) $G(t, s) \geq 0$ for each $(t, s) \in [\nu - 2, \nu + b]_{\mathbb{N}_{\nu-2}} \times [0, b]_{\mathbb{N}_0}$;
- (ii) $\max_{t \in [\nu - 2, \nu + b]_{\mathbb{N}_{\nu-2}}} G(t, s) = G(s + \nu - 1, s)$ for each $s \in [0, b]_{\mathbb{N}_0}$;
- (iii) there exists a number $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\min_{\frac{\nu+b}{4} \leq t \leq \frac{3(\nu+b)}{4}} G(t, s) \geq \gamma \max_{t \in [\nu - 2, \nu + b]_{\mathbb{N}_{\nu-2}}} G(t, s) = \gamma G(s + \nu - 1, s)$$

for $s \in [0, b]_{\mathbb{N}_0}$.

First of all, we let \mathcal{B}_j represent the Banach space of all maps from $[\nu_j - 2, \dots, \nu_j + b]_{\mathbb{N}_{\nu_j-2}}$ into \mathbb{R} when equipped with the usual maximum norm $\|\cdot\|$. Then, we put $\chi := \mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{B}_n$. By equipping χ with the norm

$$\|(y_1, \dots, y_n)\| = \|y_1\| + \dots + \|y_n\|,$$

it follows that $(\chi, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space.

Now consider the operator $S : \chi \rightarrow \chi$ defined by

$$S(y_1, \dots, y_n)(t_1, \dots, t_n) = (S_1(y_1, \dots, y_n)(t_1), \dots, S_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)(t_n)), \quad (2.2)$$

where we define $S_j : \chi \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_j$ by

$$\begin{aligned} S_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)(t_j) &= \alpha_j(t_j)\psi_j(y_j) + \beta_j(t_j)\phi_j(y_j) \\ &\quad + \lambda_j \sum_{s=0}^b G_j(t_j, s)f_j(y_1(s + \nu_1 - 1), \dots, y_n(s + \nu_n - 1)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\alpha_j(t_j) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu_j - 1)} \left[t_j^{(\nu_j-2)} - \frac{1}{b+2} t_j^{(\nu_j-1)} \right], \quad \beta_j(t_j) := \frac{t_j^{(\nu_j-1)}}{(\nu_j + b)^{(\nu_j-1)}}.$$

Theorem 2.7 Let $f_j : [0, +\infty) \times \cdots \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ and $\phi_j, \psi_j \in C([v_j - 2, v_j + b]_{\mathbb{N}_{v_j-2}}, \mathbb{R})$ be given for $j = 1, \dots, n$, where $C([v_j - 2, v_j + b]_{\mathbb{N}_{v_j-2}}, \mathbb{R})$ stands for the continuous functions on $[v_j - 2, v_j + b]_{\mathbb{N}_{v_j-2}}$. Then $y(t) = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \chi$ is a solution of discrete FBVP (1.1)-(1.2) if and only if $y(t)$ is a fixed point of S .

Proof From Lemma 2.4, we find that a general solution to problem (1.1)-(1.2) is

$$y_j(t_j) = -\Delta^{-v_j} \lambda_{ij} f_j(y_1(t_j + v_1 - 1), \dots, y_n(t_j + v_n - 1)) + c_{1j} t_j^{(v_j-1)} + c_{2j} t_j^{(v_j-2)}.$$

From boundary condition (1.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} y_j(v_j - 2) &= -\Delta^{-v_j} \lambda_{ij} f_j(y_1(t_j + v_1 - 1), \dots, y_n(t_j + v_n - 1))|_{t_j=v_j-2} \\ &\quad + c_{1j}(v_j - 2)^{(v_j-1)} + c_{2j}(v_j - 2)^{(v_j-2)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\Gamma(v_j)} \sum_{s=0}^{t_j-v_j} (t_j - s - 1)^{(v_j-1)} \lambda_{ij} f_j(y_1(s + v_1 - 1), \dots, y_n(s + v_n - 1))|_{t_j=v_j-2} \\ &\quad + c_{2j} \Gamma(v_j - 1) \\ &= c_{2j} \Gamma(v_j - 1) = \psi_j(y_j), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$c_{2j} = \frac{\psi_j(y_j)}{\Gamma(v_j - 1)}.$$

On the other hand, applying boundary condition (1.2) to $y_j(t)$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} y_j(v_j + b) &= -\Delta^{-v_j} \lambda_{ij} f_j(y_1(t_j + v_1 - 1), \dots, y_n(t_j + v_n - 1))|_{t_j=v_j+b} \\ &\quad + c_{1j}(v_j + b)^{(v_j-1)} + c_{2j}(v_j + b)^{(v_j-2)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\Gamma(v_j)} \sum_{s=0}^b (t_j - s - 1)^{(v_j-1)} \lambda_{ij} f_j(y_1(s + v_1 - 1), \dots, y_n(s + v_n - 1)) \\ &\quad + c_{1j}(v_j + b)^{(v_j-1)} + \frac{\psi_j(y_j)}{\Gamma(v_j - 1)} (v_j + b)^{(v_j-2)} \\ &= \phi_j(y_j), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} c_{1j} &= \frac{\phi_j(y_j)}{(v_j + b)^{(v_j-1)}} - \frac{\psi_j(y_j)(v_j + b)^{(v_j-2)}}{\Gamma(v_j - 1)(v_j + b)^{(v_j-1)}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(v_j)(v_j + b)^{(v_j-1)}} \sum_{s=0}^b (v_j + b - s - 1)^{(v_j-1)} \lambda_{ij} f_j(y_1(s + v_1 - 1), \dots, y_n(s + v_n - 1)) \\ &= \frac{\phi_j(y_j)}{(v_j + b)^{(v_j-1)}} - \frac{\psi_j(y_j)}{(b + 2)\Gamma(v_j - 1)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(v_j)(v_j + b)^{(v_j-1)}} \sum_{s=0}^b (v_j + b - s - 1)^{(v_j-1)} \lambda_{ij} f_j(y_1(s + v_1 - 1), \dots, y_n(s + v_n - 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we can get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_j(t_j) &= -\frac{1}{\Gamma(v_j)} \sum_{s=0}^{t_j-v_j} (t_j-s-1)^{(v_j-1)} \lambda_j f_j(y_1(s+v_1-1), \dots, y_n(s+v_n-1)) \\
 &\quad + \left[\frac{\phi_j(y_j)}{(v_j+b)^{(v_j-1)}} - \frac{\psi_j(y_j)}{(b+2)\Gamma(v_j-1)} \right] t_j^{(v_j-1)} \\
 &\quad + \frac{t_j^{(v_j-1)}}{\Gamma(v_j)(v_j+b)^{(v_j-1)}} \sum_{s=0}^b (v_j+b-s-1)^{(v_j-1)} \lambda_j f_j(y_1(s+v_1-1), \dots, y_n(s+v_n-1)) \\
 &\quad + \frac{\psi_j(y_j)}{\Gamma(v_j-1)} t_j^{(v_j-2)} \\
 &= \psi_j(y_j) \left[-\frac{t_j^{(v_j-1)}}{(b+2)\Gamma(v_j-1)} + \frac{t_j^{(v_j-2)}}{\Gamma(v_j-1)} \right] + \phi_j(y_j) \cdot \frac{t_j^{(v_j-1)}}{(v_j+b)^{(v_j-1)}} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{s=0}^{t_j-v_j} \left\{ \left(\frac{t_j^{(v_j-1)}(v_j+b-s-1)^{(v_j-1)}}{\Gamma(v_j)(v_j+b)^{(v_j-1)}} - \frac{(t_j-s-1)^{(v_j-1)}}{\Gamma(v_j)} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \times \left. \lambda_j f_j(y_1(s+v_1-1), \dots, y_n(s+v_n-1)) \right\} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{s=t_j-v_j+1}^b \frac{t_j^{(v_j-1)}(v_j+b-s-1)^{(v_j-1)}}{\Gamma(v_j)(v_j+b)^{(v_j-1)}} \lambda_j f_j(y_1(s+v_1-1), \dots, y_n(s+v_n-1)) \\
 &= \psi_j \alpha_j(t_j) + \phi_j(y_j) \beta_j(t_j) + \lambda_j \sum_{s=0}^b G_j(t_j, s) f_j(y_1(s+v_1-1), \dots, y_n(s+v_n-1)).
 \end{aligned}$$

The opposite direction is obvious, so it is omitted. Consequently, we get that $y_j(t)$ is a solution of (1.1)-(1.2) if and only if $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \chi$ is a fixed point of S , as desired. \square

Lemma 2.8 *The function $\alpha_j(t_j)$ is strictly decreasing in t_j for $t_j \in [v_j-2, v_j+b]_{\mathbb{N}_{v_j-2}}$. In addition,*

$$\min_{t_j \in [v_j-2, v_j+b]_{\mathbb{N}_{v_j-2}}} \alpha_j(t_j) = 0, \quad \max_{t_j \in [v_j-2, v_j+b]_{\mathbb{N}_{v_j-2}}} \alpha_j(t_j) = 1.$$

On the other hand, the function $\beta_j(t_j)$ is strictly increasing in t_j for $t_j \in [v_j-2, v_j+b]_{\mathbb{N}_{v_j-2}}$. In addition,

$$\min_{t_j \in [v_j-2, v_j+b]_{\mathbb{N}_{v_j-2}}} \beta_j(t_j) = 0, \quad \max_{t_j \in [v_j-2, v_j+b]_{\mathbb{N}_{v_j-2}}} \beta_j(t_j) = 1.$$

Proof Note that for every $t_j \in [v_j-2, v_j+b]_{\mathbb{N}_{v_j-2}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_{t_j} \alpha_j(t_j) &= \Delta_{t_j} \left[\frac{t_j^{(v_j-2)}}{\Gamma(v_j-1)} - \frac{t_j^{(v_j-1)}}{(b+2)\Gamma(v_j-1)} \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(v_j-1)} \left[(v_j-2)t_j^{(v_j-3)} - (v_j-1) \frac{t_j^{(v_j-2)}}{b+2} \right] < 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

So, the first claim about $\alpha_j(t_j)$ holds. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_j(v_j - 2) &= \frac{(v_j - 2)^{(v_j - 2)}}{\Gamma(v_j - 1)} - \frac{(v_j - 2)^{(v_j - 1)}}{(b + 2)\Gamma(v_j - 1)} = 1, \\ \alpha_j(v_j + b) &= \frac{(v_j + b)^{(v_j - 2)}}{\Gamma(v_j - 1)} - \frac{(v_j + b)^{(v_j - 1)}}{(b + 2)\Gamma(v_j - 1)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(v_j + b + 1)}{\Gamma(v_j + b + 1 - v_j + 2)\Gamma(v_j - 1)} - \frac{\Gamma(v_j + b + 1)}{\Gamma(v_j + b + 1 - v_j + 1)(b + 2)\Gamma(v_j - 1)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(v_j + b + 1)}{\Gamma(b + 3)\Gamma(v_j - 1)} - \frac{\Gamma(v_j + b + 1)}{\Gamma(b + 2)(b + 2)\Gamma(v_j - 1)} \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\max_{t_j \in [v_j - 2, v_j + b] \setminus \{v_j\}} \alpha_j(t_j) = 1, \quad \min_{t_j \in [v_j - 2, v_j + b] \setminus \{v_j\}} \alpha_j(t_j) = 0.$$

It may be shown in a similar way that $\beta_j(t)$ satisfies the properties given in the statement of this lemma. We omit the details. \square

Corollary 1 Let $I_j = [\frac{b+v_j}{4}, \frac{3(b+v_j)}{4}]$. There are constants $M_{\alpha_j}, M_{\beta_j} \in (0, 1)$ such that $\min_{t_j \in I_j} \alpha_j(t_j) = M_{\alpha_j} \|\alpha_j\|$, $\min_{t_j \in I_j} \beta_j(t_j) = M_{\beta_j} \|\beta_j\|$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the usual maximum norm.

Theorem 2.9 [7] Let \mathcal{B} be a Banach space, and let $P \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ be a cone in \mathcal{B} . Assume that S_1 and S_2 are open subsets of \mathcal{B} with $0 \in S_1 \subset \bar{S}_1 \subset S_2$. Assume, further, that

$$T : P \cap (\bar{S}_2 \setminus S_1) \rightarrow P$$

is a completely continuous operator. If either

- (1) $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$, $u \in P \cap \partial S_1$, $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$, $u \in P \cap \partial S_2$; or
- (2) $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$, $u \in P \cap \partial S_1$, $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$, $u \in P \cap \partial S_2$.

Then T has a fixed point in $P \cap (\bar{S}_2 \setminus S_1)$.

3 Main results

In this section, we present the theorems for the existence of at least two positive solutions to problem (1.1)-(1.2). In the sequel, we let

$$A_j = \max_{t_j \in [v_j - 2, v_j + b] \setminus \{v_j\}} \sum_{s=0}^b G_j(t_j, s), \tag{3.1}$$

$$B_j = \min_{t_j \in [\frac{v_j+b}{4}, \frac{3(v_j+b)}{4}]} \sum_{s=0}^b G_j(t_j, s). \tag{3.2}$$

We now present the conditions that we presume in the sequel.

$$(L_1) \lim_{(y_1 + \dots + y_n) \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{y_1 + \dots + y_n} = \infty \text{ for } t_j \in [v_j - 2, v_j + b] \setminus \{v_j\}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

- (L₂) $\lim_{(y_1+\dots+y_n) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{y_1+\dots+y_n} = \infty$ for $t_j \in [v_j - 2, v_j + b]_{\mathbb{N}_{v_j-2}}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$
 (L₃) $\lim_{(y_1+\dots+y_n) \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{y_1+\dots+y_n} = 0$ for $t_j \in [v_j - 2, v_j + b]_{\mathbb{N}_{v_j-2}}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$
 (L₄) $\lim_{(y_1+\dots+y_n) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{y_1+\dots+y_n} = 0$ for $t_j \in [v_j - 2, v_j + b]_{\mathbb{N}_{v_j-2}}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$
 (G₁) The functionals ψ_j, ϕ_j are linear. In particular, we assume that

$$\psi_j(y_j) = \sum_{i=v_j-2}^{v_j+b} c_{i-v_j+2}^j y_j(i), \quad \phi_j(y_j) = \sum_{k=v_j-2}^{v_j+b} d_{k-v_j+2}^j y_j(k)$$

for $c_{i-v_j+2}^j, d_{k-v_j+2}^j \in \mathbb{R}, j = 1, \dots, n.$

(G₂) For $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there are

$$\sum_{i=v_j-2}^{v_j+b} c_{i-v_j+2}^j G_j(i, s) \geq 0, \quad \sum_{k=v_j-2}^{v_j+b} d_{k-v_j+2}^j G_j(k, s) \geq 0$$

for each $s \in [0, b]_{\mathbb{N}_0}$, and in addition

$$\sum_{i=v_j-2}^{v_j+b} c_{i-v_j+2}^j + \sum_{k=v_j-2}^{v_j+b} d_{k-v_j+2}^j \leq \frac{1}{2n}. \quad (3.3)$$

(G₃) We have that each of $\psi_j(\alpha_j), \psi_j(\beta_j), \phi_j(\alpha_j)$, and $\phi_j(\beta_j)$ is nonnegative for $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Let $I := [\frac{v_1+b}{4}, \frac{3(v_1+b)}{4}] \times \dots \times [\frac{v_n+b}{4}, \frac{3(v_n+b)}{4}]$. In the sequel, we shall also make use of the cone

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa := & \left\{ (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \chi : y_1, \dots, y_n \geq 0, \psi_j(y_j) \geq 0, \phi_j(y_j) \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, n, \right. \\ & \left. \min_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in I} [y_1(t_1) + \dots + y_n(t_n)] \geq \gamma \| (y_1, \dots, y_n) \| \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ is a constant defined by

$$\gamma = \min\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n, M_{\alpha_1}, \dots, M_{\alpha_n}, M_{\beta_1}, \dots, M_{\beta_n}\}, \quad (3.5)$$

where $M_{\alpha_j}, M_{\beta_j}$ come from Corollary 1 and γ_j is associated by Lemma 2.6(iii) to $G_j(t_j, s)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Lemma 3.1 Let S be the operator defined as in (2.2). Then $S : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$.

Proof By means of (G₁), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_j(S_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)) &= \sum_{i=v_j-2}^{v_j+b} c_{i-v_j+2}^j (S_j(y_1, \dots, y_n))(i) \\ &= \sum_{i=v_j-2}^{v_j+b} \sum_{l=v_j-2}^{v_j+b} c_{i-v_j+2}^j c_{l-v_j+2}^j y_j(l) \alpha_j(i) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{i=v_j-2}^{v_j+b} \sum_{k=v_j-2}^{v_j+b} c_{i-v_j+2}^j d_{k-v_j+2}^j y_j(k) \beta_j(i) \\
 & + \lambda_j \sum_{i=v_j-2}^{v_j+b} c_{i-v_j+2}^j \sum_{s=0}^b G_j(i, s) f_j(y_1(s+v_1-1), \dots, y_n(s+v_n-1)) \\
 & = \psi_j(\alpha_j) \psi_j(y_j) + \psi_j(\beta_j) \phi_j(y_j) \\
 & + \lambda_j \psi_j \left(\sum_{s=0}^b G_j(t, s) f_j(y_1(s+v_1-1), \dots, y_n(s+v_n-1)) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

for $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \chi$.

By assumptions (G₂) and (G₃) together with the nonnegativity of $f_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and the fact that $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \chi$, we can get $\psi_j(S_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)) \geq 0$. By means of the same method, we obtain $\phi_j(S_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)) \geq 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

On the other hand, we show that

$$\min_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in I} [S_1(y_1, \dots, y_n)(t_1) + \dots + S_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)(t_n)] \geq \gamma \|S(y_1, \dots, y_n)\|$$

for $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \chi$. In fact, by Lemma 2.6(iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \min_{t_j \in [\frac{b+v_j}{4}, \frac{3(v_j+b)}{4}]} S_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)(t_j) \\
 & \geq \min_{t_j \in [\frac{b+v_j}{4}, \frac{3(v_j+b)}{4}]} \alpha_j(t_j) \psi_j(y_j) + \min_{t_j \in [\frac{b+v_j}{4}, \frac{3(v_j+b)}{4}]} \beta_j(t_j) \phi_j(y_j) \\
 & + \min_{t_j \in [\frac{b+v_j}{4}, \frac{3(v_j+b)}{4}]} \lambda_j \sum_{s=0}^b G_j(t_j, s) f_j(y_1(s+v_1-1), \dots, y_n(s+v_n-1)) \\
 & \geq M_{\alpha_j} \|\alpha_j\| \psi_j(y_j) + M_{\beta_j} \|\beta_j\| \phi_j(y_j) \\
 & + \lambda_j \sum_{s=0}^b \gamma_j G_j(s+v_j-1, s) f_j(y_1(s+v_1-1), \dots, y_n(s+v_n-1)) \\
 & \geq \tilde{\gamma}_j \|\alpha_j\| \psi_j(y_j) + \tilde{\gamma}_j \|\beta_j\| \phi_j(y_j) \\
 & + \tilde{\gamma}_j \max_{t_j \in [v_j-2, v_j+b] \setminus \mathbb{N}_{v_j-2}} \lambda_j \sum_{s=0}^b G_j(t_j, s) f_j(y_1(s+v_1-1), \dots, y_n(s+v_n-1)) \\
 & \geq \tilde{\gamma}_j \max_{t_j \in [v_j-2, v_j+b] \setminus \mathbb{N}_{v_j-2}} \left[\alpha_j(t_j) \psi_j(y_j) + \beta_j \phi_j(y_j) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \lambda_j \sum_{s=0}^b G_j(t_j, s) f_j(y_1(s+v_1-1), \dots, y_n(s+v_n-1)) \right] \\
 & = \tilde{\gamma}_j \|S_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}_j = \{M_{\alpha_j}, M_{\beta_j}, \gamma_j\}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Let $\gamma = \min\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n, M_{\alpha_1}, \dots, M_{\alpha_n}, M_{\beta_1}, \dots, M_{\beta_n}\}$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in I} [S_1(y_1, \dots, y_n)(t_1) + \dots + S_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)(t_n)] \\ & \geq \min_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in I} S_1(y_1, \dots, y_n)(t_1) + \dots + \min_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in I} S_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)(t_n) \\ & \geq \tilde{\gamma}_1 \|S_1(y_1, \dots, y_n)\| + \dots + \tilde{\gamma}_n \|S_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)\| \\ & \geq \gamma \{\|S_1(y_1, \dots, y_n)\| + \dots + \|S_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)\|\} \\ & = \gamma \|S(y_1, \dots, y_n)\| \end{aligned}$$

for $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \chi$.

Finally, by the definitions S_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$), it is clear that

$$S_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)(t_j) \geq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \chi.$$

So, we conclude that $S : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2 Suppose that conditions (G_1) - (G_3) hold, and there exist two different positive numbers r_1, r_2 , $r_1 < r_2$, such that

$$\max_{0 \leq (y_1 + \dots + y_n) \leq r_1} f_j(y_1, \dots, y_n) \leq \frac{r_1}{2n\lambda_j A_j}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\min_{r_2 \leq (y_1 + \dots + y_n) \leq r_2} f_j(y_1, \dots, y_n) \geq \frac{r_2}{n\lambda_j B_j}. \quad (3.7)$$

Then the operator S has a fixed point $(\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_n) \in \kappa$ such that

$$r_1 \leq \|(\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_n)\| \leq r_2.$$

Proof Let $\kappa_\xi = \{(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \kappa, \|(y_1, \dots, y_n)\| < \xi\}$. Then, for any $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \kappa$ and $\|(y_1, \dots, y_n)\| = r_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)\| &= \max_{t_j \in [v_j-2, v_j+b] \cap \mathbb{N}_{v_j-2}} \left| \alpha_j(t_j) \psi_j(y_j) + \beta_j(t_j) \phi_j(y_j) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda_j \sum_{s=0}^b G_j(t_j, s) f_j(y_1(s+v_1-1), \dots, y_n(s+v_n-1)) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=v_j-2}^{v_j+b} c_{i-v_j+2}^j y_j(i) + \sum_{k=v_j-2}^{v_j+b} d_{k-v_j+2}^j y_j(k) \\ &\quad + \lambda_j \max_{t_j \in [v_j-2, v_j+b] \cap \mathbb{N}_{v_j-2}} \sum_{s=0}^b G_j(t_j, s) f_j(y_1(s+v_1-1), \dots, y_n(s+v_n-1)) \\ &\leq r_1 \left[\sum_{i=v_j-2}^{v_j+b} c_{i-v_j+2}^j + \sum_{k=v_j-2}^{v_j+b} d_{k-v_j+2}^j \right] + \lambda_j A_j \frac{r_1}{2n\lambda_j A_j} \\ &\leq \frac{r_1}{2n} + \frac{r_1}{2n} = \frac{r_1}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \|(y_1, \dots, y_n)\|. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|S(y_1, \dots, y_n)(t_1, \dots, t_n)\| \\
 &= \| (S_1(y_1, \dots, y_n)(t_1), \dots, S_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)(t_n)) \| \\
 &= \|S_1(y_1, \dots, y_n)\| + \dots + \|S_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)\| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{n} \| (y_1, \dots, y_n) \| + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \| (y_1, \dots, y_n) \| \\
 &= \| (y_1, \dots, y_n) \|
 \end{aligned}$$

for $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \partial\kappa_{r_1}$.

On the other hand, for any $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \kappa$ and $\|(y_1, \dots, y_n)\| = r_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|S_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)\| \geq \alpha_j(t_j)\psi_j(y_j) + \beta_j(t_j)\phi_j(y_j) \\
 &+ \lambda_j \sum_{s=0}^b G_j(t_j, s)f_j(y_1(s + \nu_1 - 1), \dots, y_n(s + \nu_n - 1)) \\
 &\geq \lambda_j \sum_{s=0}^b G_j(t_j, s)f_j(y_1(s + \nu_1 - 1), \dots, y_n(s + \nu_n - 1)) \\
 &\geq \min_{t_j \in [\frac{\nu_j+b}{4}, \frac{3(\nu_j+b)}{4}]} \lambda_j \sum_{s=0}^b G_j(t_j, s)f_j(y_1(s + \nu_1 - 1), \dots, y_n(s + \nu_n - 1)) \\
 &\geq \min_{t_j \in [\frac{\nu_j+b}{4}, \frac{3(\nu_j+b)}{4}]} \lambda_j \sum_{s=0}^b G_j(t_j, s) \frac{r_2}{n\lambda_j B_j} \\
 &= \frac{r_2}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \| (y_1, \dots, y_n) \|.
 \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|S(y_1, \dots, y_n)(t_1, \dots, t_n)\| \\
 &= \| (S_1(y_1, \dots, y_n)(t_1), \dots, S_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)(t_n)) \| \\
 &= \|S_1(y_1, \dots, y_n)\| + \dots + \|S_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)\| \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{n} \| (y_1, \dots, y_n) \| + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \| (y_1, \dots, y_n) \| \\
 &= \| (y_1, \dots, y_n) \|
 \end{aligned}$$

for $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \partial\kappa_{r_2}$.

By the use of Theorem 2.9, there exists $(\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_n) \in \kappa$ such that $S(\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_n) = (\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_n)$, the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 3.3 Suppose that conditions (L₁), (L₂) and (G₁)-(G₃) hold. Then, for every $\lambda_j \in (0, \lambda_j^*)$, problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least two positive solutions, where

$$\lambda_j^* = \frac{1}{2nA_j} \sup_{r>0} \frac{r}{\max_{0 \leq y_1 + \dots + y_n \leq r} f_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)}. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof Define the function

$$p_j(r) = \frac{r}{2nA_j \max_{0 \leq y_1 + \dots + y_n \leq r} f_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

we have that $p_j \in C((0, \infty), (0, \infty))$. In view of (L₁), we see that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{r}{f_j(r)} = 0$, that is, $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{r}{2nA_j f_j(r)} = 0$, and

$$0 < p_j(r) = \frac{r}{2nA_j \max_{0 \leq y_1 + \dots + y_n \leq r} f_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)} \leq \frac{r}{2nA_j f_j(r)},$$

so $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} p_j(r) = 0$.

In view of (L₂), we see further that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} p_j(r) = 0$. Thus, there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that $p_j(r_0) = \max_{r > 0} p_j(r) = \lambda_j^*$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. For any $\lambda_j \in (0, \lambda_j^*)$, by the intermediate value theorem, there exist two points $b_1 \in (0, r_0)$, $b_2 \in (r_0, \infty)$ such that $p_j(b_1) = p_j(b_2) = \lambda_j$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_j(y_1, \dots, y_n) &\leq \frac{b_1}{2n\lambda_j A_j}, \quad y_1 + \dots + y_n \in [0, b_1]; \\ f_j(y_1, \dots, y_n) &\leq \frac{b_2}{2n\lambda_j A_j}, \quad y_1 + \dots + y_n \in [0, b_2]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, in view of (L₁) and (L₂), we see that there exist $c_1 \in (0, b_1)$, $c_2 \in (b_2, \infty)$ such that

$$\frac{f_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{y_1 + \dots + y_n} \geq \frac{1}{n\lambda_j \gamma B_j}, \quad y_1 + \dots + y_n \in (0, b_1] \cup [b_2 \gamma, \infty).$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} f_j(y_1, \dots, y_n) &\geq \frac{c_1}{n\lambda_j B_j}, \quad y_1 + \dots + y_n \in [\gamma c_1, c_1], \\ f_j(y_1, \dots, y_n) &\geq \frac{c_2}{n\lambda_j B_j}, \quad y_1 + \dots + y_n \in [\gamma c_2, c_2], \end{aligned}$$

where γ is defined by (3.5). An application of Lemma 3.2 leads to two distinct solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) which satisfy

$$c_1 \leq \|(\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_n)\| \leq b_1, \quad b_2 \leq \|(\bar{y}'_1, \dots, \bar{y}'_n)\| \leq c_2.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 3.4 Suppose that (L₃), (L₄) and (G₁)-(G₃) hold. Then, for any $\lambda_j \geq \lambda_j^{**}$, equation (1.1)-(1.2) has at least two positive solutions, where

$$\lambda_j^{**} = \frac{1}{nB_j} \inf_{r>0} \frac{r}{\min_{\gamma r \leq y_1 + \dots + y_n \leq r} f_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)}, \quad (3.9)$$

and γ is defined by (3.5).

The proof is similar to Theorem 3.3 and hence omitted.

We now present an example to illustrate the sorts of boundary conditions that can be treated by Theorem 3.3.

Example 3.1 Consider the following boundary value problems:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^{\frac{13}{10}}y(t) = -\lambda_1 f_1(y_1(t + \frac{3}{10}), y_2(t + \frac{1}{2})), \\ \Delta^{\frac{3}{2}}y(t) = -\lambda_2 f_2(y_1(t + \frac{3}{10}), y_2(t + \frac{1}{2})), \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_1\left(-\frac{7}{10}\right) &= \frac{1}{12}y_1\left(\frac{13}{10}\right) - \frac{1}{25}y_1\left(\frac{53}{10}\right), \\ y_1\left(\frac{213}{10}\right) &= \frac{1}{30}y_1\left(\frac{83}{10}\right) - \frac{1}{100}y_1\left(\frac{73}{10}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_2\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) &= \frac{1}{40}y_2\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{150}y_2\left(\frac{15}{2}\right), \\ y_2\left(\frac{43}{2}\right) &= \frac{1}{17}y_2\left(\frac{5}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{30}y_2\left(\frac{11}{2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where $b = 20$, $\nu_1 = \frac{13}{10}$, $\nu_2 = \frac{3}{2}$, we take

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(y_1, y_2) &= (y_1 + y_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (y_1 + y_2)^2, & f_2(y_1, y_2) &= (y_1 + y_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{64}(y_1 + y_2)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \\ \psi_1(y_1) &= \frac{1}{12}y_1\left(\frac{13}{10}\right) - \frac{1}{25}y_1\left(\frac{53}{10}\right), & \phi_1(y_1) &= \frac{1}{30}y_1\left(\frac{83}{10}\right) - \frac{1}{100}y_1\left(\frac{73}{10}\right), \\ \psi_2(y_2) &= \frac{1}{40}y_2\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{150}y_2\left(\frac{15}{2}\right), & \phi_2(y_2) &= \frac{1}{17}y_2\left(\frac{5}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{30}y_2\left(\frac{11}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$f_1, f_2 : [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, and y_1 is defined on the time scale $\{-\frac{7}{10}, \frac{3}{10}, \dots, \frac{213}{10}\}$, y_2 is defined on the time scale $\{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{43}{2}\}$.

It is easy to get that (F_1) , (F_2) hold. On the other hand, (G_1) holds. Now, we see that (G_2) , (G_3) hold. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=\nu_1-2}^{\nu_1+b} c_{i-\nu_1+2}^1 + \sum_{k=\nu_1-2}^{\nu_1+b} d_{k-\nu_1+2}^1 &= \frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{25} + \frac{1}{30} - \frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}{15} < \frac{1}{4}, \\ \sum_{i=\nu_2-2}^{\nu_2+b} c_{i-\nu_2+2}^2 + \sum_{k=\nu_2-2}^{\nu_2+b} d_{k-\nu_2+2}^2 &= \frac{1}{40} - \frac{1}{150} + \frac{1}{17} - \frac{1}{30} = \frac{149}{3,400} < \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=\nu_1-2}^{\nu_1+b} c_{i-\nu_1+2}^1 G_1(i, s) \\ = \frac{1}{12} G_1(\nu_1, s) - \frac{1}{25} G_1(\nu_1 + 4, s) \\ = \frac{1}{12} \frac{\nu_1^{(\nu_1-1)} (\nu_1 + b - s - 1)^{(\nu_1-1)}}{\Gamma(\nu_1)(\nu_1 + b)^{(\nu_1-1)}} - \frac{1}{25} G(\nu_1 + 4, s) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \frac{1}{12} \frac{\nu_1^{(\nu_1-1)} (\nu_1 + b - s - 1)^{(\nu_1-1)}}{\Gamma(\nu_1)(\nu_1 + b)^{(\nu_1-1)}} - \frac{1}{25} \frac{(\nu_1 + 4)^{(\nu_1-1)} (\nu_1 + b - s - 1)^{(\nu_1-1)}}{\Gamma(\nu_1)(\nu_1 + b)^{(\nu_1-1)}} \\ &= \frac{(\nu_1 + b - s - 1)^{(\nu_1-1)}}{\Gamma(\nu_1)(\nu_1 + b)^{(\nu_1-1)}} \left[\frac{1}{12} \nu_1^{(\nu_1-1)} - \frac{1}{25} (\nu_1 + 4)^{(\nu_1-1)} \right] \\ &= \frac{\nu_1 (\nu_1 + b - s - 1)^{(\nu_1-1)}}{(\nu_1 + b)^{(\nu_1-1)}} \cdot \frac{16}{625} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

By using a similar method, we get

$$\sum_{k=\nu_1-2}^{\nu_1+b} d_{k-\nu_1+2}^1 G_1(k, s) \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i=\nu_2-2}^{\nu_2+b} c_{i-\nu_2+2}^2 G_2(i, s) \geq 0, \quad \sum_{k=\nu_2-2}^{\nu_2+b} d_{k-\nu_2+2}^2 G_2(k, s) \geq 0.$$

Hence, (G_2) holds.

Finally, we numerically calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(\alpha_1) &= \frac{1}{12} \alpha_1(\nu_1) - \frac{1}{25} \alpha_1(\nu_1 + 4) \\ &= \frac{1}{12 \Gamma(\nu_1 - 1)} \left[\nu_1^{(\nu_1-2)} - \frac{1}{b+2} \nu_1^{(\nu_1-1)} \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{25 \Gamma(\nu_1 - 1)} \left[(\nu_1 + 4)^{(\nu_1-2)} - \frac{1}{b+2} (\nu_1 + 4)^{(\nu_1-1)} \right] \\ &\approx 0.012. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(\beta_1) &\approx 0.012, & \phi_1(\alpha_1) &\approx 0.00091, & \phi_1(\beta_1) &\approx 0.018; \\ \psi_2(\alpha_2) &\approx 0.00769, & \psi_2(\beta_2) &\approx 0.00315, & \phi_2(\alpha_2) &\approx 0.0104, \\ \phi_2(\beta_2) &\approx 0.0038. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain that each of $\psi_j(\alpha_j)$, $\psi_j(\beta_j)$, $\phi_j(\alpha_j)$ and $\phi_j(\beta_j)$ is nonnegative for $j = 1, 2$. So, condition (G_3) holds. Namely, f_1, f_2 and $\psi_1, \psi_2, \phi_1, \phi_2$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.3.

A computation shows that $\lambda_1^* \approx 5.33 \times 10^{-3}$, $\lambda_2^* \approx 1.357 \times 10^{-2}$. Then, for every $\lambda_j \in (0, \lambda_j^*)$ ($j = 1, 2$), problem (3.10)-(3.12) has at least two positive solutions.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

SK conceived of the study, and participated in its design and coordination. XZ drafted the manuscript. HC participated in the design of the study and the sequence correction. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Applied of Mathematics Institute, Shanxi Datong University, Datong, Shanxi 037009, P.R. China. ²Department of Mathematics, Shanxi Normal University, Linfen, Shanxi 041000, P.R. China.

Acknowledgements

The authors are very grateful to the reviewers for their valuable suggestions and useful comments, which led to an improvement of this paper. Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11271235) and Shanxi Province (2008011002-1) and Shanxi Datong University Institute (2009-Y-15, 2010-B-01, 2013K5) and the Development Foundation of Higher Education Department of Shanxi Province (20101109, 20111020).

Received: 19 June 2013 Accepted: 5 December 2013 Published: 20 Dec 2013

References

1. Henderson, J, Ntouyas, SK, Purnaras, IK: Positive solutions for systems of nonlinear discrete boundary value problems. *Differ. Equ. Appl.* **15**, 895-912 (2009)
2. Goodrich, CS: Existence of a positive solution to a system of discrete fractional boundary value problems. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **217**, 4740-4753 (2011)
3. Atici, FM, Eloe, PW: Fractional q -calculus on a time scale. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **14**(3), 333-344 (2007)
4. Atici, FM, Eloe, PW: A transform method in discrete fractional calculus. *Int. J. Differ. Equ.* **2**(2), 165-176 (2007)
5. Atici, FM, Eloe, PW: Initial value problems in discrete fractional calculus. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **137**(3), 981-989 (2009)
6. Atici, FM, Eloe, PW: Two-point boundary value problems for finite fractional difference equations. *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* **17**(4), 445-456 (2011)
7. Ge, W: Boundary Value Problems for Nonlinear Ordinary Differential Equations. Science Press, Beijing (2007)

10.1186/1687-1847-2013-376

Cite this article as: Kang et al.: Positive solutions for boundary value problems of fractional difference equations depending on parameters. *Advances in Difference Equations* 2013, 2013:376

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen® journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com