

RESEARCH

Open Access

# On some new sequence spaces defined by infinite matrix and modulus

Ekrem Savaş\*

\*Correspondence: ekremsavas@yahoo.com  
 Department of Mathematics, Istanbul Ticaret University, Üsküdar, Istanbul, Turkey

## Abstract

The goal of this paper is to introduce and study some properties of some sequence spaces that are defined using the  $\varphi$ -function and the generalized three parametric real matrix  $A$ . Also, we define  $\mathbf{A}$ -statistical convergence.

**MSC:** Primary 40H05; secondary 40C05

**Keywords:** modulus function; almost convergence; lacunary sequence;  $\varphi$ -function; statistical convergence;  $\mathbf{A}$ -statistical convergence

## 1 Introduction and background

Let  $s$  denote the set of all real and complex sequences  $x = (x_k)$ . By  $l_\infty$  and  $c$ , we denote the Banach spaces of bounded and convergent sequences  $x = (x_k)$  normed by  $\|x\| = \sup_n |x_n|$ , respectively. A linear functional  $L$  on  $l_\infty$  is said to be a Banach limit [1] if it has the following properties:

- (1)  $L(x) \geq 0$  if  $x_n \geq 0$  (i.e.,  $x_n \geq 0$  for all  $n$ ),
- (2)  $L(e) = 1$ , where  $e = (1, 1, \dots)$ ,
- (3)  $L(Dx) = L(x)$ , where the shift operator  $D$  is defined by  $D(x_n) = \{x_{n+1}\}$ .

Let  $B$  be the set of all Banach limits on  $l_\infty$ . A sequence  $x \in l_\infty$  is said to be almost convergent if all Banach limits of  $x$  coincide. Let  $\hat{c}$  denote the space of almost convergent sequences. Lorentz [2] has shown that

$$\hat{c} = \left\{ x \in l_\infty : \lim_m t_{m,n}(x) \text{ exists uniformly in } n \right\},$$

where

$$t_{m,n}(x) = \frac{x_n + x_{n+1} + x_{n+2} + \dots + x_{n+m}}{m+1}.$$

By a lacunary  $\theta = (k_r)$ ,  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $k_0 = 0$ , we shall mean an increasing sequence of non-negative integers with  $k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . The intervals determined by  $\theta$  will be denoted by  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$  and  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$ . The ratio  $\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$  will be denoted by  $q_r$ .

The space of lacunary strongly convergent sequences  $N_\theta$  was defined by Freedman *et al.* [3] as follows:

$$N_\theta = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k - l| = 0 \text{ for some } l \right\}.$$

There is a strong connection between  $N_\theta$  and the space  $w$  of strongly Cesàro summable sequences which is defined by

$$w = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n |x_k - l| = 0 \text{ for some } l \right\}.$$

In the special case where  $\theta = (2^r)$ , we have  $N_\theta = w$ .

More results on lacunary strong convergence can be seen from [4–11].

Ruckle [12] used the idea of a modulus function  $f$  to construct a class of FK spaces

$$L(f) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(|x_k|) < \infty \right\}.$$

The space  $L(f)$  is closely related to the space  $l_1$  which is an  $L(f)$  space with  $f(x) = x$  for all real  $x \geq 0$ .

Maddox [13] introduced and examined some properties of the sequence spaces  $w_0(f)$ ,  $w(f)$  and  $w_\infty(f)$  defined using a modulus  $f$ , which generalized the well-known spaces  $w_0$ ,  $w$  and  $w_\infty$  of strongly summable sequences.

Recently Savaş [14] generalized the concept of strong almost convergence by using a modulus  $f$  and examined some properties of the corresponding new sequence spaces. Waszak [15] defined the lacunary strong  $(A, \varphi)$ -convergence with respect to a modulus function.

Following Ruckle, a modulus function  $f$  is a function from  $[0, \infty)$  to  $[0, \infty)$  such that

- (i)  $f(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$  for all  $x, y \geq 0$ ,
- (iii)  $f$  increasing,
- (iv)  $f$  is continuous from the right at zero.

Since  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq f(|x - y|)$ , it follows from condition (iv) that  $f$  is continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ .

By a  $\varphi$ -function we understood a continuous non-decreasing function  $\varphi(u)$  defined for  $u \geq 0$  and such that  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi(u) > 0$  for  $u > 0$  and  $\varphi(u) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ .

A  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi$  is called no weaker than a  $\varphi$ -function  $\psi$  if there are constants  $c, b, k, l > 0$  such that  $c\psi(lu) \leq b\varphi(ku)$  (for all large  $u$ ) and we write  $\psi < \varphi$ .

$\varphi$ -functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are called equivalent and we write  $\varphi \sim \psi$  if there are positive constants  $b_1, b_2, c, k_1, k_2, l$  such that  $b_1\varphi(k_1u) \leq c\psi(lu) \leq b_2\varphi(k_2u)$  (for all large  $u$ ).

A  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi$  is said to satisfy  $(\Delta_2)$ -condition (for all large  $u$ ) if there exists a constant  $K > 1$  such that  $\varphi(2u) \leq K\varphi(u)$ .

In the present paper, we introduce and study some properties of the following sequence space that is defined using the  $\varphi$ -function and the generalized three parametric real matrix.

## 2 Main results

Let  $\varphi$  and  $f$  be a given  $\varphi$ -function and a modulus function, respectively. Moreover, let  $\mathbf{A} = (a_{nk}(i))$  be the generalized three parametric real matrix, and let a lacunary sequence  $\theta$  be given. Then we define

$$N_\theta^0(\mathbf{A}, \varphi, f) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right) = 0 \text{ uniformly in } i \right\}.$$

If  $x \in N_{\theta}^0(\mathbf{A}, \varphi, f)$ , the sequence  $x$  is said to be lacunary strong  $(\mathbf{A}, \varphi)$ -convergent to zero with respect to a modulus  $f$ . When  $\varphi(x) = x$ , for all  $x$ , we obtain

$$N_{\theta}^0(\mathbf{A}, f) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) (|x_k|) \right| \right) = 0 \text{ uniformly in } i \right\}.$$

If we take  $f(x) = x$ , we write

$$N_{\theta}^0(\mathbf{A}, \varphi) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \right| = 0 \text{ uniformly in } i \right\}.$$

If we take  $\mathbf{A} = I$  and  $\varphi(x) = x$  respectively, then we have [16]

$$N_{\theta}^0 = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f(|x_k|) = 0 \text{ uniformly in } i \right\}.$$

If we define the matrix  $A = (a_{nk}(i))$  as follows: for all  $i$ ,

$$a_{nk}(i) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } n \geq k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then we have

$$N_{\theta}^0(\mathbf{C}, \varphi, f) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right) = 0 \text{ uniformly in } i \right\},$$

$$a_{nk}(i) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } i \leq k \leq i + n - 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then we have

$$N_{\theta}^0(\hat{\mathbf{C}}, \varphi, f) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=i}^{i+n} \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right) = 0 \text{ uniformly in } i \right\}.$$

We are now ready to write the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $\mathbf{A} = (a_{nk}(i))$  be the generalized three parametric real matrix, and let the  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi(u)$  satisfy the condition  $(\Delta_2)$ . Then the following conditions are true.*

- (a) *If  $x = (x_k) \in w(\mathbf{A}, \varphi, f)$  and  $\alpha$  is an arbitrary number, then  $\alpha x \in w(\mathbf{A}, \varphi, f)$ .*
- (b) *If  $x, y \in w(\mathbf{A}, \varphi, f)$ , where  $x = (x_k)$ ,  $y = (y_k)$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  are given numbers, then  $\alpha x + \beta y \in w(\mathbf{A}, \varphi, f)$ .*

The proof is a routine verification by using standard techniques and hence is omitted.

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $f$  be any modulus function, and let the generalized three parametric real matrix  $A$  and the sequence  $\theta$  be given. If*

$$w(\mathbf{A}, \varphi, f) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_m \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^m f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right) = 0 \text{ uniformly in } i \right\},$$

*then the following relations are true.*

- (a) If  $\liminf_r q_r > 1$ , then we have  $w(A, \varphi, f) \subseteq N_\theta^0(A, \varphi, f)$ .
- (b) If  $\limsup_r q_r < \infty$ , then we have  $N_\theta^0(A, \varphi, f) \subseteq w(A, \varphi, f)$ .
- (c)  $1 < \liminf_r q_r \leq \limsup_r q_r < \infty$ , then we have  $N_\theta^0(A, \varphi, f) = w(A, \varphi, f)$ .

*Proof* (a) Let us suppose that  $x \in w(A, \varphi, f)$ . There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $q_r > 1 + \delta$  for all  $r \geq 1$ , and we have  $h_r/k_r \geq \delta/(1 + \delta)$  for sufficiently large  $r$ . Then, for all  $i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{n=1}^{k_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right) \\ & = \frac{h_r}{k_r} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right) \\ & \geq \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $x \in N_\theta^0(A, \varphi, f)$ .

(b) If  $\limsup_r q_r < \infty$ , then there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $q_r < M$  for all  $r \geq 1$ . Let  $x \in N_\theta^0(A, \varphi, f)$  and  $\varepsilon$  be an arbitrary positive number, then there exists an index  $j_0$  such that for every  $j \geq j_0$  and all  $i$ ,

$$R_j = \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right) < \varepsilon.$$

Thus, we can also find  $K > 0$  such that  $R_j \leq K$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ . Now, let  $m$  be any integer with  $k_{r-1} \leq m \leq k_r$ , then we obtain, for all  $i$ ,

$$I = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^m f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right) \leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{n=1}^{k_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right) = I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} \sum_{n \in I_j} f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right), \\ I_2 &= \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{j=j_0+1}^m \sum_{n \in I_j} f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} \sum_{n \in I_j} f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \left( \sum_{n \in I_1} f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right) + \dots + \sum_{n \in I_{j_0}} f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1}}(h_1R_1 + \cdots + h_{j_0}R_{j_0}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1}}j_0k_{j_0} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq j_0} R_i \\ &\leq \frac{j_0k_{j_0}}{k_{r-1}}K. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have, for all  $i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{j=j_0+1}^m \sum_{n \in I_j} f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{j=j_0+1}^m \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{n \in I_j} f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right) h_j \\ &\leq \varepsilon \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{j=j_0+1}^m h_j \\ &\leq \varepsilon \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}} \\ &= \varepsilon q_r < \varepsilon \cdot M. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $I \leq \frac{j_0k_{j_0}}{k_{r-1}}K + \varepsilon \cdot M$ . Finally,  $x \in w(A, \psi, f)$ .

The proof of (c) follows from (a) and (b). This completes the proof. □

We now prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3** *Let  $f$  be a modulus function. Then  $N_{\theta}^0(A, \varphi) \subset N_{\theta}^0(A, \varphi, f)$ .*

*Proof* Let  $x \in N_{\theta}^0(A, \varphi)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given and choose  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $f(x) < \varepsilon$  for every  $x \in [0, \delta]$ . We can write

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \right| = S_1 + S_2,$$

where  $S_1 = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f(|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|)|)$ , and this sum is taken over

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \leq \delta$$

and

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right),$$

and this sum is taken over

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \right| > \delta.$$

By the definition of the modulus  $f$ , we have  $S_1 = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f(\delta) = f(\delta) < \varepsilon$  and further

$$S_2 = f(1) \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|).$$

Therefore we have  $x \in N_{\theta}^0(\mathbf{A}, \varphi, f)$ .

This completes the proof. □

### 3 A-Statistical convergence

The idea of convergence of a real sequence was extended to statistical convergence by Fast [17] (see also Schoenberg [18]) as follows: If  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of natural numbers and  $K \subset \mathbb{N}$ , then  $K(m, n)$  denotes the cardinality of the set  $K \cap [m, n]$ . The upper and lower natural density of the subset  $K$  is defined by

$$\bar{d}(K) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K(1, n)}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{d}(K) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K(1, n)}{n}.$$

If  $\bar{d}(K) = \underline{d}(K)$ , then we say that the natural density of  $K$  exists and it is denoted simply by  $d(K)$ . Clearly,  $d(K) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K(1, n)}{n}$ .

A sequence  $(x_k)$  of real numbers is said to be statistically convergent to  $L$  if for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $K(\varepsilon) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}$  has natural density zero. Statistical convergence turned out to be one of the most active areas of research in summability theory after the work of Fridy [19] and Šalát [20].

In another direction, a new type of convergence, called lacunary statistical convergence, was introduced in [21] as follows.

A sequence  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of real numbers is said to be lacunary statistically convergent to  $L$  (or  $S_{\theta}$ -convergent to  $L$ ) if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k \in I_r : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0,$$

where  $|A|$  denotes the cardinality of  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ . In [21] the relation between lacunary statistical convergence and statistical convergence was established among other things. Moreover, Kolk [22] defined  $A$ -statistical convergence by using non-negative regular summability matrix.

In this section we define  $(A, \varphi)$ -statistical convergence by using the generalized three parametric real matrix and the  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi(u)$ .

Let  $\theta$  be a lacunary sequence, and let  $\mathbf{A} = (a_{nk}(i))$  be the generalized three parametric real matrix; let the sequence  $x = (x_k)$ , the  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi(u)$  and a positive number  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. We write, for all  $i$ ,

$$K_{\theta}^r((A, \varphi), \varepsilon) = \left\{ n \in I_r : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \geq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

The sequence  $x$  is said to be  $(\mathbf{A}, \varphi)$ -statistically convergent to a number zero if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{K_r} \mu(K_{\theta}^r((A, \varphi), \varepsilon)) = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } n,$$

where  $\mu(K_\theta^r((A, \varphi), \varepsilon))$  denotes the number of elements belonging to  $K_\theta^r((A, \varphi), \varepsilon)$ . We denote by  $S_\theta^0(A, \varphi)$  the set of sequences  $x = (x_k)$  which are lacunary  $(A, \varphi)$ -statistical convergent to zero. We write

$$S_\theta^0(A, \varphi) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \mu(K_\theta^r((A, \varphi), \varepsilon)) = 0 \text{ uniformly in } i \right\}.$$

**Theorem 3.1** *If  $\psi < \varphi$ , then  $S_\theta^0(A, \psi) \subset S_\theta^0(A, \varphi)$ .*

*Proof* By assumption we have  $\psi(|x_k|) \leq b\varphi(c|x_k|)$  and we have, for all  $i$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i)\psi(|x_k|) \leq b \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i)\varphi(c|x_k|) \leq L \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i)\varphi(|x_k|)$$

for  $b, c > 0$ , where the constant  $L$  is connected with the properties of  $\varphi$ . Thus, the condition  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i)\varphi(|x_k|) \geq 0$  implies the condition  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i)\psi(|x_k|) \geq \varepsilon$ , and finally we get

$$\mu(K_\theta^r((A, \varphi), \varepsilon)) \subset \mu(K_\theta^r((A, \psi), \varepsilon))$$

and

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \mu(K_\theta^r((A, \varphi), \varepsilon)) \leq \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \mu(K_\theta^r((A, \psi), \varepsilon)).$$

This completes the proof. □

We finally prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2** (a) *If the matrix  $A$ , the sequence  $\theta$  and functions  $f$  and  $\varphi$  are given, then*

$$N_\theta^0((A, \varphi), f) \subset S_\theta^0(A, \varphi).$$

(b) *If the  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi(u)$  and the matrix  $A$  are given, and if the modulus function  $f$  is bounded, then*

$$S_\theta^0(A, \varphi) \subset N_\theta^0((A, \varphi), f).$$

(c) *If the  $\varphi$ -function  $\varphi(u)$  and the matrix  $A$  are given, and if the modulus function  $f$  is bounded, then*

$$S_\theta^0(A, \varphi) = N_\theta^0((A, \varphi), f).$$

*Proof* (a) Let  $f$  be a modulus function, and let  $\varepsilon$  be a positive number. We write the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i)\varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r^1} f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i)\varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \frac{1}{h_r} f(\varepsilon) \sum_{n \in I_r^1} 1 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{h_r} f(\varepsilon) \mu(K_\theta^r(A, \varphi), \varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_r^1 = \left\{ n \in I_r : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \geq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Finally, if  $x \in N_\theta^0((A, \varphi), f)$ , then  $x \in S_\theta^0(A, \varphi)$ .

(b) Let us suppose that  $x \in S_\theta^0(A, \varphi)$ . If the modulus function  $f$  is a bounded function, then there exists an integer  $L$  such that  $f(x) < L$  for  $x \geq 0$ . Let us take

$$I_r^2 = \left\{ n \in I_r : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r^1} f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r^2} f \left( \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}(i) \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h_r} M \mu(K_\theta^r((A, \varphi), \varepsilon)) + f(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain that  $x \in N_\theta^0(A, \varphi, f)$ .

The proof of (c) follows from (a) and (b).

This completes the proof. □

#### Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

#### Acknowledgements

This paper was presented during the 'International Conference on the Theory, Methods and Applications of Nonlinear Equations' held on the campus of Texas A&M University-Kingsville, Kingsville, TX 78363, USA on December 17-21, 2012, and submitted for conference proceedings.

Received: 22 June 2013 Accepted: 12 August 2013 Published: 19 September 2013

#### References

- Banach, S: *Theorie des Operations Lineaires*. PWN, Warsaw (1932)
- Lorentz, GG: A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences. *Acta Math.* **80**, 167-190 (1948)
- Freedman, AR, Sember, JJ, Raphael, M: Some Cesaro-type summability spaces. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **37**, 508-520 (1978)
- Das, G, Mishra, SK: Banach limits and lacunary strong almost convergence. *J. Orissa Math. Soc.* **2**(2), 61-70 (1983)
- Li, J: Lacunary statistical convergence and inclusion properties between lacunary methods. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **23**(3), 175-180 (2000)

- 6.avaş, E: On lacunary strong  $\sigma$ -convergence. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **21**(4), 359-365 (1990)
- 7.avaş, E, Karakaya, V: Some new sequence spaces defined by lacunary sequences. *Math. Slovaca* **57**(4), 393-399 (2007)
- 8.avaş, E, Patterson, RF: Double  $\sigma$ -convergence lacunary statistical sequences. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **11**(4), 610-615 (2009)
- 9.avaş, E: Remark on double lacunary statistical convergence of fuzzy numbers. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **11**(1), 64-69 (2009)
- 10.avaş, E, Patterson, RF: Double  $\sigma$ -convergence lacunary statistical sequences. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **11**(4), 610-615 (2009)
- 11.avaş, E: On lacunary statistical convergent double sequences of fuzzy numbers. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **21**, 134-141 (2008)
12. Ruckle, WH: *FK* Spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded. *Can. J. Math.* **25**, 973-978 (1973)
13. Maddox, IJ: Sequence spaces defined by a modulus. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **100**, 161-166 (1986)
- 14.avaş, E: On some generalized sequence spaces. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **30**(5), 459-464 (1999)
15. Waszak, A: On the strong convergence in sequence spaces. *Fasc. Math.* **33**, 125-137 (2002)
16. Pehlivan, S, Fisher, B: On some sequence spaces. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **25**(10), 1067-1071 (1994)
17. Fast, H: Sur la convergence statistique. *Colloq. Math.* **2**, 241-244 (1951)
18. Schoenberg, IJ: The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods. *Am. Math. Mon.* **66**, 361-375 (1959)
19. Fridy, JA: On statistical convergence. *Analysis* **5**, 301-313 (1985)
20. Šalát, T: On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers. *Math. Slovaca* **30**, 139-150 (1980)
21. Fridy, JA, Orhan, C: Lacunary statistical convergence. *Pac. J. Math.* **160**, 43-51 (1993)
22. Kolk, E: Matrix summability of statistically convergent sequences. *Analysis* **13**, 77-83 (1993)

doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2013-274

**Cite this article as:**avaş: On some new sequence spaces defined by infinite matrix and modulus. *Advances in Difference Equations* 2013 **2013**:274.

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---