

RESEARCH

Open Access

# Differential subordinations using the Ruscheweyh derivative and the generalized Sălăgean operator

Loriana Andrei\*

\*Correspondence:  
lori\_andrei@yahoo.com  
Department of Mathematics and  
Computer Science, University of  
Oradea, 1 Universitatii street,  
Oradea, 410087, Romania

## Abstract

In the present paper, we study the operator, using the Ruscheweyh derivative  $R^m f(z)$  and the generalized Sălăgean operator  $D_{\lambda}^m f(z)$ , denote by  $RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ ,  $RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z) = (1 - \alpha)R^m f(z) + \alpha D_{\lambda}^m f(z)$ ,  $z \in U$ , where  $\mathcal{A}_n = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U) : f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U\}$  is the class of normalized analytic functions. We obtain several differential subordinations regarding the operator  $RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m$ .

**MSC:** 30C45; 30A20; 34A40

**Keywords:** differential subordination; convex function; best dominant; differential operator; generalized Sălăgean operator; Ruscheweyh derivative

## 1 Introduction

Denote by  $U$  the unit disc of the complex plane,  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{H}(U)$  the space of holomorphic functions in  $U$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}_n = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U) : f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U\}$  and  $\mathcal{H}[a, n] = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U) : f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U\}$  for  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Denote by  $K = \{f \in \mathcal{A}_n : \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + 1 > 0, z \in U\}$  the class of normalized convex functions in  $U$ .

If  $f$  and  $g$  are analytic functions in  $U$ , we say that  $f$  is subordinate to  $g$ , written  $f \prec g$ , if there is a function  $w$  analytic in  $U$ , with  $w(0) = 0$ ,  $|w(z)| < 1$ , for all  $z \in U$  such that  $f(z) = g(w(z))$  for all  $z \in U$ . If  $g$  is univalent, then  $f \prec g$  if and only if  $f(0) = g(0)$  and  $f(U) \subseteq g(U)$ .

Let  $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , and let  $h$  be an univalent function in  $U$ . If  $p$  is analytic in  $U$  and satisfies the (second-order) differential subordination

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z) \prec h(z), \quad z \in U, \quad (1.1)$$

then  $p$  is called a solution of the differential subordination. The univalent function  $q$  is called a dominant of the solutions of the differential subordination, or more simply a dominant, if  $p \prec q$  for all  $p$  satisfying (1.1).

A dominant  $\tilde{q}$  that satisfies  $\tilde{q} \prec q$  for all dominants  $q$  of (1.1) is said to be the best dominant of (1.1). The best dominant is unique up to a rotation of  $U$ .

**Definition 1.1** (Al-Obouidi [1]) For  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the operator  $D_\lambda^m$  is defined by  $D_\lambda^m : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} D_\lambda^0 f(z) &= f(z), \\ D_\lambda^1 f(z) &= (1 - \lambda)f(z) + \lambda z f'(z) = D_\lambda f(z), \\ &\dots, \\ D_\lambda^{m+1} f(z) &= (1 - \lambda)D_\lambda^m f(z) + \lambda z (D_\lambda^m f(z))' = D_\lambda (D_\lambda^m f(z)), \quad z \in U. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 1.1** If  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$  and  $f(z) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty a_j z^j$ , then  $D_\lambda^m f(z) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty [1 + (j - 1)\lambda]^m a_j z^j$ ,  $z \in U$ .

**Remark 1.2** For  $\lambda = 1$ , in the definition above, we obtain the Sălăgean differential operator [2].

**Definition 1.2** (Ruscheweyh [3]) For  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the operator  $R^m$  is defined by  $R^m : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} R^0 f(z) &= f(z), \\ R^1 f(z) &= z f'(z), \\ &\dots, \\ (m + 1)R^{m+1} f(z) &= z (R^m f(z))' + m R^m f(z), \quad z \in U. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 1.3** If  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$ ,  $f(z) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty a_j z^j$ , then  $R^m f(z) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty C_{m+j-1}^m a_j z^j$ ,  $z \in U$ .

**Definition 1.3** [4] Let  $\alpha, \lambda \geq 0$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Denote by  $RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m$  the operator given by  $RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ ,

$$RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z) = (1 - \alpha)R^m f(z) + \alpha D_\lambda^m f(z), \quad z \in U.$$

**Remark 1.4** If  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$ ,  $f(z) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty a_j z^j$ , then  $RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty \{\alpha [1 + (j - 1)\lambda]^m + (1 - \alpha)C_{m+j-1}^m\} a_j z^j$ ,  $z \in U$ .

This operator was studied also in [4–6] and [7].

**Remark 1.5** For  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $RD_{\lambda, 0}^m f(z) = R^m f(z)$ , where  $z \in U$  and for  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $RD_{\lambda, 1}^m f(z) = D_\lambda^m f(z)$ , where  $z \in U$ .

For  $\lambda = 1$ , we obtain  $RD_{1, \alpha}^m f(z) = L_\alpha^m f(z)$ , which was studied in [8–11].

For  $m = 0$ ,  $RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^0 f(z) = (1 - \alpha)R^0 f(z) + \alpha D_\lambda^0 f(z) = f(z) = R^0 f(z) = D_\lambda^0 f(z)$ , where  $z \in U$ .

**Lemma 1.1** (Hallenbeck and Ruscheweyh [12, Th. 3.1.6, p.71]) Let  $h$  be a convex function with  $h(0) = a$ , and let  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  be a complex number with  $\text{Re } \gamma \geq 0$ . If  $p \in \mathcal{H}[a, n]$  and

$$p(z) + \frac{1}{\gamma} z p'(z) < h(z), \quad z \in U,$$

then

$$p(z) < g(z) < h(z), \quad z \in U,$$

where  $g(z) = \frac{\gamma}{nz^{\gamma/n}} \int_0^z h(t)t^{\gamma/n-1} dt, z \in U.$

**Lemma 1.2** (Miller and Mocanu [12]) *Let  $g$  be a convex function in  $U$ , and let  $h(z) = g(z) + n\alpha z g'(z)$ , for  $z \in U$ , where  $\alpha > 0$  and  $n$  is a positive integer.*

*If  $p(z) = g(0) + p_n z^n + p_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U$ , is holomorphic in  $U$  and*

$$p(z) + \alpha z p'(z) < h(z), \quad z \in U,$$

then

$$p(z) < g(z), \quad z \in U,$$

and this result is sharp.

## 2 Main results

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $g$  be a convex function,  $g(0) = 1$ , and let  $h$  be the function  $h(z) = g(z) + \frac{nz}{\delta} g'(z)$ , for  $z \in U$ .*

*If  $\alpha, \lambda, \delta \geq 0, n, m \in \mathbb{N}, f \in \mathcal{A}_n$  and satisfies the differential subordination*

$$\left( \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{z} \right)^{\delta-1} (RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))' < h(z), \quad z \in U, \tag{2.1}$$

then

$$\left( \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{z} \right)^{\delta} < g(z), \quad z \in U,$$

and this result is sharp.

*Proof* By using the properties of operator  $RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m$ , we have

$$RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \{ \alpha [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^m + (1-\alpha) C_{m+j-1}^m \} a_j z^j, \quad z \in U.$$

Consider  $p(z) = \left( \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{z} \right)^{\delta} = \left( \frac{z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \{ \alpha [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^m + (1-\alpha) C_{m+j-1}^m \} a_j z^j}{z} \right)^{\delta} = 1 + p_{n\delta} z^{n\delta} + p_{n\delta+1} z^{n\delta+1} + \dots, z \in U.$

We deduce that  $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, n\delta].$

Differentiating we obtain  $\left( \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{z} \right)^{\delta-1} (RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))' = p(z) + \frac{1}{\delta} z p'(z), z \in U.$

Then (2.1) becomes

$$p(z) + \frac{1}{\delta} z p'(z) < h(z) = g(z) + \frac{nz}{\delta} g'(z) \quad \text{for } z \in U.$$

By using Lemma 1.2, we have

$$p(z) < g(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \left( \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta < g(z), \quad z \in U. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $h$  be a holomorphic function, which satisfies the inequality  $\operatorname{Re}\left(1 + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)}\right) > -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z \in U$ , and  $h(0) = 1$ .*

*If  $\alpha, \lambda, \delta \geq 0$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$  and satisfies the differential subordination*

$$\left( \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{z} \right)^{\delta-1} (RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))' < h(z), \quad z \in U, \quad (2.2)$$

then

$$\left( \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta < q(z), \quad z \in U,$$

where  $q(z) = \frac{\delta}{nz^{\frac{\delta}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t)t^{\frac{\delta}{n}-1} dt$ . The function  $q$  is convex, and it is the best dominant.

*Proof* Let

$$\begin{aligned} p(z) &= \left( \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta = \left( \frac{z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \{\alpha[1 + (j-1)\lambda]^m + (1-\alpha)C_{m+j-1}^m\} a_j z^j}{z} \right)^\delta \\ &= \left( 1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \{\alpha[1 + (j-1)\lambda]^m + (1-\alpha)C_{m+j-1}^m\} a_j z^{j-1} \right)^\delta = 1 + \sum_{j=n\delta}^{\infty} p_j z^j \end{aligned}$$

for  $z \in U$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, n\delta]$ .

Differentiating, we obtain  $\left( \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{z} \right)^{\delta-1} (RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))' = p(z) + \frac{1}{\delta} z p'(z)$ ,  $z \in U$ , and (2.2) becomes

$$p(z) + \frac{1}{\delta} z p'(z) < h(z), \quad z \in U.$$

Using Lemma 1.1, we have

$$p(z) < q(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \left( \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta < q(z) = \frac{\delta}{nz^{\frac{\delta}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t)t^{\frac{\delta}{n}-1} dt, \quad z \in U,$$

and  $q$  is the best dominant. □

**Corollary 2.3** *Let  $h(z) = \frac{1+(2\beta-1)z}{1+z}$  be a convex function in  $U$ , where  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ .*

*If  $\alpha, \delta, \lambda \geq 0$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$  and satisfies the differential subordination*

$$\left( \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{z} \right)^{\delta-1} (RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))' < h(z), \quad z \in U, \quad (2.3)$$

then

$$\left( \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta < q(z), \quad z \in U,$$

where  $q$  is given by  $q(z) = (2\beta - 1) + \frac{2(1-\beta)\delta}{nz^{\frac{\delta}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{\delta}{n}-1}}{1+t} dt$ ,  $z \in U$ . The function  $q$  is convex, and it is the best dominant.

*Proof* Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 and considering  $p(z) = \left(\frac{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z)}{z}\right)^\delta$ , the differential subordination (2.3) becomes

$$p(z) + \frac{z}{\delta} p'(z) < h(z) = \frac{1 + (2\beta - 1)z}{1 + z}, \quad z \in U.$$

By using Lemma 1.1, for  $\gamma = \delta$ , we have  $p(z) < q(z)$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z)}{z}\right)^\delta &< q(z) = \frac{\delta}{nz^{\frac{\delta}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t)t^{\frac{\delta}{n}-1} dt \\ &= \frac{\delta}{nz^{\frac{\delta}{n}}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{\delta}{n}-1} \frac{1 + (2\beta - 1)t}{1 + t} dt \\ &= \frac{\delta}{nz^{\frac{\delta}{n}}} \int_0^z \left[ (2\beta - 1)t^{\frac{\delta}{n}-1} + 2(1 - \beta) \frac{t^{\frac{\delta}{n}-1}}{1 + t} \right] dt \\ &= (2\beta - 1) + \frac{2(1 - \beta)\delta}{nz^{\frac{\delta}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{\delta}{n}-1}}{1 + t} dt, \quad z \in U. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.1** For  $n = 1$ ,  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\alpha = 2$ ,  $\delta = 1$ , we obtain the same example as in [13, Example 4.2.1, p.125].

**Theorem 2.4** Let  $g$  be a convex function such that  $g(0) = 1$ , and let  $h$  be the function  $h(z) = g(z) + \frac{nz}{\delta} g'(z)$ ,  $z \in U$ .

If  $\alpha, \lambda, \delta \geq 0$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$  and the differential subordination

$$\begin{aligned} z \frac{\delta + 1}{\delta} \frac{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^n f(z)}{(RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^{n+1} f(z))^2} + \frac{z^2}{\delta} \frac{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^n f(z)}{(RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^{n+1} f(z))^2} \left[ \frac{(RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^n f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^n f(z)} - 2 \frac{(RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^{n+1} f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^{n+1} f(z)} \right] \\ < h(z), \quad z \in U \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

holds, then

$$z \frac{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z)}{(RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^{m+1} f(z))^2} < g(z), \quad z \in U,$$

and this result is sharp.

*Proof* For  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$ ,  $f(z) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} a_j z^j$ , we have

$$RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \{ \alpha [1 + (j - 1)\lambda]^m + (1 - \alpha) C_{m+j-1}^m \} a_j z^j, \quad z \in U.$$

Consider  $p(z) = z \frac{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z)}{(RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^{m+1} f(z))^2}$ , and we obtain

$$p(z) + \frac{z}{\delta} p'(z) = z \frac{\delta + 1}{\delta} \frac{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^n f(z)}{(RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^{n+1} f(z))^2} + \frac{z^2}{\delta} \frac{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^n f(z)}{(RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^{n+1} f(z))^2} \left[ \frac{(RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^n f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^n f(z)} - 2 \frac{(RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^{n+1} f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^{n+1} f(z)} \right].$$

Relation (2.4) becomes

$$p(z) + \frac{z}{\delta} p'(z) < h(z) = g(z) + \frac{nz}{\delta} g'(z), \quad z \in U.$$

By using Lemma 1.2, we have

$$p(z) < g(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad z \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^{m+1} f(z))^2} < g(z), \quad z \in U. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 2.5** *Let  $h$  be a holomorphic function, which satisfies the inequality  $\operatorname{Re}(1 + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)}) > -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z \in U$ , and  $h(0) = 1$ .*

*If  $\alpha, \lambda, \delta \geq 0$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$  and satisfies the differential subordination*

$$\begin{aligned} & z \frac{\delta + 1}{\delta} \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^n f(z)}{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^{n+1} f(z))^2} + \frac{z^2}{\delta} \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^n f(z)}{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^{n+1} f(z))^2} \left[ \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^n f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^n f(z)} - 2 \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^{n+1} f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^{n+1} f(z)} \right] \\ & < h(z), \quad z \in U, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

then

$$z \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^{m+1} f(z))^2} < q(z), \quad z \in U,$$

where  $q(z) = \frac{\delta}{nz^{\frac{\delta}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t) t^{\frac{\delta}{n}-1} dt$ . The function  $q$  is convex, and it is the best dominant.

*Proof* Let  $p(z) = z \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^{m+1} f(z))^2}$ ,  $z \in U$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$ .

Differentiating, we obtain  $p(z) + \frac{z}{\delta} p'(z) = z \frac{\delta+1}{\delta} \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^n f(z)}{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^{n+1} f(z))^2} + \frac{z^2}{\delta} \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^n f(z)}{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^{n+1} f(z))^2} \left[ \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^n f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^n f(z)} - 2 \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^{n+1} f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^{n+1} f(z)} \right]$ ,  $z \in U$ , and (2.5) becomes

$$p(z) + \frac{z}{\delta} p'(z) < h(z), \quad z \in U.$$

Using Lemma 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & p(z) < q(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e.,} \\ & z \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^{m+1} f(z))^2} < q(z) = \frac{\delta}{nz^{\frac{\delta}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t) t^{\frac{\delta}{n}-1} dt, \quad z \in U, \end{aligned}$$

and  $q$  is the best dominant. □

**Theorem 2.6** *Let  $g$  be a convex function such that  $g(0) = 1$ , and let  $h$  be the function  $h(z) = g(z) + \frac{nz}{\delta} g'(z)$ ,  $z \in U$ .*

*If  $\alpha, \lambda, \delta \geq 0$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$  and the differential subordination*

$$z^2 \frac{\delta + 2}{\delta} \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^n f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^n f(z)} + \frac{z^3}{\delta} \left[ \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^n f(z))''}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^n f(z)} - \left( \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^n f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^n f(z)} \right)^2 \right] < h(z), \quad z \in U \quad (2.6)$$

holds, then

$$z^2 \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)} < g(z), \quad z \in U.$$

This result is sharp.

*Proof* Let  $p(z) = z^2 \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}$ . We deduce that  $p \in \mathcal{H}[0, n]$ .

Differentiating, we obtain  $p(z) + \frac{z}{\delta} p'(z) = z^2 \frac{\delta+2}{\delta} \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)} + \frac{z^3}{\delta} \left[ \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))''}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)} - \left( \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)} \right)^2 \right]$ ,  $z \in U$ .

Using the notation in (2.6), the differential subordination becomes

$$p(z) + \frac{1}{\delta} z p'(z) < h(z) = g(z) + \frac{nz}{\delta} g'(z).$$

By using Lemma 1.2, we have

$$p(z) < g(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad z^2 \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)} < g(z), \quad z \in U,$$

and this result is sharp. □

**Theorem 2.7** *Let  $h$  be an holomorphic function, which satisfies the inequality  $\operatorname{Re}(1 + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)}) > -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z \in U$ , and  $h(0) = 1$ .*

*If  $\alpha, \lambda, \delta \geq 0$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$  and satisfies the differential subordination*

$$z^2 \frac{\delta+2}{\delta} \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)} + \frac{z^3}{\delta} \left[ \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))''}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)} - \left( \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)} \right)^2 \right] < h(z), \quad z \in U, \quad (2.7)$$

then

$$z^2 \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)} < q(z), \quad z \in U,$$

where  $q(z) = \frac{\delta}{nz^{\frac{\delta}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t) t^{\frac{\delta}{n}-1} dt$ . The function  $q$  is convex, and it is the best dominant.

*Proof* Let  $p(z) = z^2 \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}$ ,  $z \in U$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{H}[0, n]$ .

Differentiating, we obtain  $p(z) + \frac{z}{\delta} p'(z) = z^2 \frac{\delta+2}{\delta} \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)} + \frac{z^3}{\delta} \left[ \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))''}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)} - \left( \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)} \right)^2 \right]$ ,  $z \in U$ , and (2.7) becomes

$$p(z) + \frac{1}{\delta} z p'(z) < h(z), \quad z \in U.$$

Using Lemma 1.1, we have

$$p(z) < q(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad z^2 \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)} < q(z) = \frac{\delta}{nz^{\frac{\delta}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t) t^{\frac{\delta}{n}-1} dt, \quad z \in U,$$

and  $q$  is the best dominant. □

**Theorem 2.8** Let  $g$  be a convex function such that  $g(0) = 1$ , and let  $h$  be the function  $h(z) = g(z) + n z g'(z)$ ,  $z \in U$ .

If  $\alpha, \lambda \geq 0$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$  and the differential subordination

$$1 - \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z) \cdot (RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))''}{[(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))']^2} < h(z), \quad z \in U \tag{2.8}$$

holds, then

$$\frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{z(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'} < g(z), \quad z \in U.$$

This result is sharp.

*Proof* Let  $p(z) = \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{z(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'}$ . We deduce that  $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$ .

Differentiating, we obtain  $1 - \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z) \cdot (RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))''}{[(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))']^2} = p(z) + z p'(z)$ ,  $z \in U$ .

Using the notation in (2.8), the differential subordination becomes

$$p(z) + z p'(z) < h(z) = g(z) + n z g'(z).$$

By using Lemma 1.2, we have

$$p(z) < g(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{z(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'} < g(z), \quad z \in U,$$

and this result is sharp. □

**Theorem 2.9** Let  $h$  be a holomorphic function, which satisfies the inequality  $\operatorname{Re}(1 + \frac{z h''(z)}{h'(z)}) > -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z \in U$ , and  $h(0) = 1$ .

If  $\alpha, \lambda \geq 0$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$  and satisfies the differential subordination

$$1 - \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z) \cdot (RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))''}{[(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))']^2} < h(z), \quad z \in U, \tag{2.9}$$

then

$$\frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{z(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'} < q(z), \quad z \in U,$$

where  $q(z) = \frac{1}{n z^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$ . The function  $q$  is convex, and it is the best dominant.

*Proof* Let  $p(z) = \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{z(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'}$ ,  $z \in U$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{H}[0, n]$ .

Differentiating, we obtain  $1 - \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z) \cdot (RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))''}{[(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))']^2} = p(z) + z p'(z)$ ,  $z \in U$ , and (2.9) becomes

$$p(z) + z p'(z) < h(z), \quad z \in U.$$



Using Lemma 1.1, we have

$$p(z) < q(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{z(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'} < q(z) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t)t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt, \quad z \in U,$$

and  $q$  is the best dominant. □

**Corollary 2.10** Let  $h(z) = \frac{1+(2\beta-1)z}{1+z}$  be a convex function in  $U$ , where  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ . If  $\alpha, \lambda \geq 0, n, m \in \mathbb{N}, f \in \mathcal{A}_n$  and satisfies the differential subordination

$$1 - \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z) \cdot (RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))''}{[(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))']^2} < h(z), \quad z \in U, \tag{2.10}$$

then

$$\frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{z(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'} < q(z), \quad z \in U,$$

where  $q$  is given by  $q(z) = (2\beta - 1) + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{n}-1}}{1+t} dt, z \in U$ . The function  $q$  is convex, and it is the best dominant.

*Proof* Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 2.9 and considering  $p(z) = \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{z(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'}$ , the differential subordination (2.10) becomes

$$p(z) + zp'(z) < h(z) = \frac{1 + (2\beta - 1)z}{1 + z}, \quad z \in U.$$

By using Lemma 1.1 for  $\gamma = 1$ , we have  $p(z) < q(z)$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}{z(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'} < q(z) &= \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t)t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{1 + (2\beta - 1)t}{1 + t} t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} \left[ (2\beta - 1) + \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{1 + t} \right] dt \\ &= (2\beta - 1) + \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{n}-1}}{1 + t} dt, \quad z \in U. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Example 2.1** Let  $h(z) = \frac{1-z}{1+z}$  be a convex function in  $U$  with  $h(0) = 1$  and  $\text{Re}(\frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} + 1) > -\frac{1}{2}$ .

Let  $f(z) = z + z^2, z \in U$ . For  $n = 1, m = 1, \lambda = \frac{1}{2}, \alpha = 2$ , we obtain  $RD_{\frac{1}{2}, 2}^1 f(z) = -R^1 f(z) + 2D_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 f(z) = -zf'(z) + 2(\frac{1}{2}f(z) + \frac{1}{2}zf'(z)) = f(z) = z + z^2, z \in U$ .

Then  $(RD_{\frac{1}{2}, 2}^1 f(z))' = f'(z) = 1 + 2z$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{RD_{\frac{1}{2}, 2}^1 f(z)}{z(RD_{\frac{1}{2}, 2}^1 f(z))'} &= \frac{z + z^2}{z(1 + 2z)} = \frac{1 + z}{1 + 2z}, \\ 1 - \frac{RD_{\frac{1}{2}, 2}^1 f(z) \cdot (RD_{\frac{1}{2}, 2}^1 f(z))''}{[(RD_{\frac{1}{2}, 2}^1 f(z))']^2} &= 1 - \frac{(z + z^2) \cdot 2}{(1 + 2z)^2} = \frac{2z^2 + 2z + 1}{(1 + 2z)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We have  $q(z) = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \frac{1-t}{1+t} dt = -1 + \frac{2\ln(1+z)}{z}$ .

Using Theorem 2.9, we obtain

$$\frac{2z^2 + 2z + 1}{(1 + 2z)^2} < \frac{1 - z}{1 + z}, \quad z \in U,$$

induce

$$\frac{1 + z}{1 + 2z} < -1 + \frac{2\ln(1 + z)}{z}, \quad z \in U.$$

**Theorem 2.11** *Let  $g$  be a convex function such that  $g(0) = 0$ , and let  $h$  be the function  $h(z) = g(z) + n z g'(z)$ ,  $z \in U$ .*

*If  $\alpha, \lambda \geq 0$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$  and the differential subordination*

$$\left[ (RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))' \right]^2 + RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z) \cdot (RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'' < h(z), \quad z \in U \tag{2.11}$$

*holds, then*

$$\frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z) \cdot (RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'}{z} < g(z), \quad z \in U.$$

*This result is sharp.*

*Proof* Let  $p(z) = \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z) \cdot (RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'}{z}$ . We deduce that  $p \in \mathcal{H}[0, n]$ .

Differentiating, we obtain  $\left[ (RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))' \right]^2 + RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z) \cdot (RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'' = p(z) + z p'(z)$ ,  $z \in U$ .

Using the notation in (2.11), the differential subordination becomes

$$p(z) + z p'(z) < h(z) = g(z) + n z g'(z).$$

By using Lemma 1.2, we have

$$p(z) < g(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z) \cdot (RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'}{z} < g(z), \quad z \in U,$$

and this result is sharp. □

**Theorem 2.12** *Let  $h$  be a holomorphic function, which satisfies the inequality  $\operatorname{Re}\left(1 + \frac{z h''(z)}{h'(z)}\right) > -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z \in U$ , and  $h(0) = 0$ .*

*If  $\alpha, \lambda \geq 0$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$  and satisfies the differential subordination*

$$\left[ (RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))' \right]^2 + RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z) \cdot (RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'' < h(z), \quad z \in U, \tag{2.12}$$

*then*

$$\frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z) \cdot (RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'}{z} < q(z), \quad z \in U,$$

*where  $q(z) = \frac{1}{n z^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t) t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt$ . The function  $q$  is convex, and it is the best dominant.*

*Proof* Let  $p(z) = \frac{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z) \cdot (RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z))'}{z}$ ,  $z \in U$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{H}[0, n]$ .

Differentiating, we obtain  $[(RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z))']^2 + RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z) \cdot (RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z))'' = p(z) + zp'(z)$ ,  $z \in U$ , and (2.12) becomes

$$p(z) + zp'(z) < h(z), \quad z \in U.$$

Using Lemma 1.1, we have

$$p(z) < q(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e.,}$$

$$\frac{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z) \cdot (RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z))'}{z} < q(z) = \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t)t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt, \quad z \in U,$$

and  $q$  is the best dominant. □

**Corollary 2.13** Let  $h(z) = \frac{1+(2\beta-1)z}{1+z}$  be a convex function in  $U$ , where  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ .

Let  $\alpha, \lambda \geq 0$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$  and satisfies the differential subordination

$$[(RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z))']^2 + RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z) \cdot (RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z))'' < h(z), \quad z \in U, \tag{2.13}$$

then

$$\frac{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z) \cdot (RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z))'}{z} < q(z), \quad z \in U,$$

where  $q$  is given by  $q(z) = (2\beta - 1) + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{n}-1}}{1+t} dt$ ,  $z \in U$ . The function  $q$  is convex, and it is the best dominant.

*Proof* Following the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 2.12 and considering  $p(z) = \frac{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z) \cdot (RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z))'}{z}$ , the differential subordination (2.13) becomes

$$p(z) + zp'(z) < h(z) = \frac{1 + (2\beta - 1)z}{1 + z}, \quad z \in U.$$

By using Lemma 1.1 for  $\gamma = 1$ , we have  $p(z) < q(z)$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z) \cdot (RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z))'}{z} < q(z) &= \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t)t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{1 + (2\beta - 1)t}{1 + t} t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{n}-1} \left[ (2\beta - 1) + \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{1 + t} \right] dt \\ &= (2\beta - 1) + \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{nz^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{n}-1}}{1 + t} dt, \quad z \in U. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Example 2.2** Let  $h(z) = \frac{1-z}{1+z}$  be a convex function in  $U$  with  $h(0) = 1$  and  $\text{Re}(\frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} + 1) > -\frac{1}{2}$ .

Let  $f(z) = z + z^2$ ,  $z \in U$ . For  $n = 1$ ,  $m = 1$ ,  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\alpha = 2$ , we obtain  $RD_{\frac{1}{2},2}^1 f(z) = -R^1 f(z) + 2D_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 f(z) = -zf'(z) + 2(\frac{1}{2}f(z) + \frac{1}{2}zf'(z)) = f(z) = z + z^2$ ,  $z \in U$ .

Then  $(RD_{\frac{1}{2},2}^1 f(z))' = f'(z) = 1 + 2z$ ,

$$\frac{RD_{\frac{1}{2},2}^1 f(z) \cdot (RD_{\frac{1}{2},2}^1 f(z))'}{z} = \frac{(z + z^2)(1 + 2z)}{z} = 2z^2 + 3z + 1,$$

$$[(RD_{\frac{1}{2},2}^1 f(z))']^2 + RD_{\frac{1}{2},2}^1 f(z) \cdot (RD_{\frac{1}{2},2}^1 f(z))'' = (1 + 2z)^2 + (z + z^2) \cdot 2 = 6z^2 + 6z + 1.$$

We have  $q(z) = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \frac{1-t}{1+t} dt = -1 + \frac{2\ln(1+z)}{z}$ .

Using Theorem 2.12, we obtain

$$6z^2 + 6z + 1 < \frac{1-z}{1+z}, \quad z \in U,$$

induce

$$2z^2 + 3z + 1 < -1 + \frac{2\ln(1+z)}{z}, \quad z \in U.$$

**Theorem 2.14** *Let  $g$  be a convex function such that  $g(0) = 0$ , and let  $h$  be the function  $h(z) = g(z) + \frac{nz}{1-\delta}g'(z)$ ,  $z \in U$ .*

*If  $\alpha, \lambda \geq 0$ ,  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$  and the differential subordination*

$$\left(\frac{z}{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z)}\right)^\delta \frac{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^{m+1} f(z)}{1-\delta} \left(\frac{(RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^{m+1} f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^{m+1} f(z)} - \delta \frac{(RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z)}\right) < h(z), \quad z \in U \quad (2.14)$$

*holds, then*

$$\frac{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \cdot \left(\frac{z}{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z)}\right)^\delta < g(z), \quad z \in U.$$

*This result is sharp.*

*Proof* Let  $p(z) = \frac{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \cdot \left(\frac{z}{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z)}\right)^\delta$ . We deduce that  $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$ .

Differentiating, we obtain  $\left(\frac{z}{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z)}\right)^\delta \frac{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^{m+1} f(z)}{1-\delta} \left(\frac{(RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^{m+1} f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^{m+1} f(z)} - \delta \frac{(RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z)}\right) = p(z) + \frac{1}{1-\delta}zp'(z)$ ,  $z \in U$ .

Using the notation in (2.14), the differential subordination becomes

$$p(z) + \frac{1}{1-\delta}zp'(z) < h(z) = g(z) + \frac{nz}{1-\delta}g'(z).$$

By using Lemma 1.2, we have

$$p(z) < g(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \frac{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \cdot \left(\frac{z}{RD_{\lambda,\alpha}^m f(z)}\right)^\delta < g(z), \quad z \in U,$$

and this result is sharp. □

**Theorem 2.15** *Let  $h$  be a holomorphic function, which satisfies the inequality  $\operatorname{Re}(1 + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)}) > -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z \in U$ , and  $h(0) = 1$ .*

If  $\alpha, \lambda \geq 0, \delta \in (0, 1), n, m \in \mathbb{N}, f \in \mathcal{A}_n$  and satisfies the differential subordination

$$\left(\frac{z}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}\right)^\delta \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^{m+1} f(z)}{1 - \delta} \left(\frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^{m+1} f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^{m+1} f(z)} - \delta \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}\right) < h(z), \quad z \in U, \quad (2.15)$$

then

$$\frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \cdot \left(\frac{z}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}\right)^\delta < q(z), \quad z \in U,$$

where  $q(z) = \frac{1-\delta}{nz^{\frac{1-\delta}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t)t^{\frac{1-\delta}{n}-1} dt$ . The function  $q$  is convex, and it is the best dominant.

*Proof* Let  $p(z) = \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \cdot \left(\frac{z}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}\right)^\delta, z \in U, p \in \mathcal{H}[0, n]$ .

Differentiating, we obtain  $\left(\frac{z}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}\right)^\delta \frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^{m+1} f(z)}{1-\delta} \left(\frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^{m+1} f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^{m+1} f(z)} - \delta \frac{(RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z))'}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}\right) = p(z) + \frac{1}{1-\delta} zp'(z), z \in U$ , and (2.15) becomes

$$p(z) + \frac{1}{1-\delta} zp'(z) < h(z), \quad z \in U.$$

Using Lemma 1.1, we have

$$p(z) < q(z), \quad z \in U, \quad \text{i.e.,}$$

$$\frac{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^{m+1} f(z)}{z} \cdot \left(\frac{z}{RD_{\lambda, \alpha}^m f(z)}\right)^\delta < q(z) = \frac{1-\delta}{nz^{\frac{1-\delta}{n}}} \int_0^z h(t)t^{\frac{1-\delta}{n}-1} dt, \quad z \in U,$$

and  $q$  is the best dominant. □

**Competing interests**

The author declares that she has no competing interests.

**Author's contributions**

The author drafted the manuscript, read and approved the final manuscript.

**Acknowledgements**

The author thanks the referee for his/her valuable suggestions to improve the present article.

Received: 13 June 2013 Accepted: 6 August 2013 Published: 20 August 2013

**References**

1. Al-Oboudi, FM: On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **27**, 1429-1436 (2004)
2. Sălăgean, GS: Subclasses of Univalent Functions. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1013, pp. 362-372. Springer, Berlin (1983)
3. Ruscheweyh, S: New criteria for univalent functions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **49**, 109-115 (1975)
4. Lupaş, AA: On special differential subordinations using a generalized Sălăgean operator and Ruscheweyh derivative. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **13**(1), 98-107 (2011)
5. Lupaş, AA: On a certain subclass of analytic functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator and Ruscheweyh derivative. *Carpath. J. Math.* **28**(2), 183-190 (2012)
6. Lupaş, AA: On special differential superordinations using a generalized Sălăgean operator and Ruscheweyh derivative. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **61**, 1048-1058 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2010.12.055
7. Lupaş, AA: Certain special differential superordinations using a generalized Sălăgean operator and Ruscheweyh derivative. *An. Univ. Oradea, Fasc. Mat.* **XVIII**, 167-178 (2011)
8. Lupaş, AA: On special differential subordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **12**(4), 781-790 (2009)
9. Lupaş, AA: On a certain subclass of analytic functions defined by Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators. *J. Math. Appl.* **31**, 67-76 (2009)

10. Lupaş, AA, Breaz, D: On special differential subordinations using Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh operators. In: Geometric Function Theory and Applications (Proc. of International Symposium, Sofia, 27-31 August 2010), pp. 98-103 (2010)
11. Lupaş, AA: Some differential subordinations using Ruscheweyh derivative and Sălăgean operator. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2013**, 150 (2013). doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2013-150
12. Miller, SS, Mocanu, PT: *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*. Dekker, New York (2000)
13. Lupaş, DAA: *Subordinations and Superordinations*. Lap Lambert Academic Publishing, Saarbrücken (2011)

doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2013-252

**Cite this article as:** Andrei: Differential subordinations using the Ruscheweyh derivative and the generalized Sălăgean operator. *Advances in Difference Equations* 2013 2013:252.

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---