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#### Abstract

This paper deals with the reliable $L_{1}$ control problem of positive switched systems with time-varying delays. Under the case where both stable and unstable subsystems coexist, sufficient conditions are proposed to guarantee the exponential stability of positive switched systems with time-varying delays, and the average dwell time approach is utilized for the stability analysis. The result is also extended to solve the reliable $L_{1}$ control problem. All the results are formulated in a set of linear matrix inequalities (LMIs), which can be easily verified or implemented. The obtained theoretical results are demonstrated by a numerical example.
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## 1 Introduction

Switched systems, a type of hybrid dynamical systems, are composed of a number of subsystems and a switching signal which defines a specific subsystem being activated during a certain interval. Many real-world systems such as mechanical systems, automotive industry, aircraft and air traffic control systems as well as chemical processes can be modeled as such systems [1-3].

Very recently, positive switched systems, whose states and outputs are nonnegative whenever the initial conditions and inputs are nonnegative, have been highlighted and investigated by many researchers due to their broad applications in communication systems [4, 5], viral mutation dynamics under drug treatment [6], formation flying [7] and system theory [8-12], to mention a few. So far, many useful results for positive switched systems have been obtained in the literature, particularly with respect to the stability analysis [13-16]. However, it should be noted that, although many recent control engineering and mathematics works on switched systems have appeared [17, 18], there are still many open questions relating to positive switched systems.

In practice, time delay phenomenon is frequently encountered in engineering and social systems, and the existence of it may cause undesirable performance in feedback systems such as chaos [19,20]. Therefore, many results have been reported for time-delay systems [21-24] over the past years, but not until recently have the positive switched systems with time delays become a topic of major interest.

On the other hand, the popularity of studying a reliable control problem is raised for the growing demands of system reliability in aerospace and industrial process. When controlling a real plant with failures of control components, classical control methods may not

[^0]achieve satisfactory performance. To overcome this problem, reliable control has made great progress recently. Among the existing studies, the problem of robust reliable control for uncertain switched nonlinear systems with time delays is addressed in [25], and a reliable control method for uncertain switched systems with time-varying delays and actuators faults is presented in [26]. It should be pointed out that the aforementioned results are based on a basic assumption that the subsystems are all stable. Due to the fact that unstable subsystems cannot be avoided in many applications, the reliable stabilization problems for switched systems with faulty actuators are solved in [27-29] under the restrictions that not all subsystems are stable. However, to the best of our knowledge, the issue of reliable $L_{1}$ control for positive switched systems with stable and unstable subsystems has not been fully investigated, which motivates us to carry out the present work.
In this paper, we focus our attention on the reliable $L_{1}$ control problem of positive switched delayed systems with both stable and unstable subsystems. The main contribution of this paper lies in three aspects. Firstly, by using the average dwell time approach, stability conditions are proposed for positive switched delayed systems with both stable and unstable subsystems. Secondly, $L_{1}$-gain performance analysis for the underlying systems is developed. Thirdly, a reliable controller is derived to guarantee the exponential stability with $L_{1}$-gain property of the resulting closed-loop systems.
The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the necessary definitions and lemmas are reviewed. Section 3 is devoted to deriving the results on stability, $L_{1}$-gain property analysis and controller design. An example is provided to illustrate the feasibility of the obtained results in Section 4. Concluding remarks are given in Section 5.

Notations In this paper, $A \succeq 0(\preceq 0)$ means that all entries of matrix $A$ are non-negative (non-positive); $A \succ 0(\prec 0)$ means that all entries of $A$ are positive (negative); $A \succ B(A \succeq B)$ means that $A-B \succ 0(A-B \succeq 0) ; A^{T}$ denotes the transpose of matrix $A ; R\left(R^{+}\right)$is the set of all real (positive real) scalars; $R^{n}\left(R_{+}^{n}\right)$ is an $n$-dimensional real (positive) vector space. The notation $\|x\|=\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left|x_{l}\right|$, where $x_{l}$ is the $l$ th element of $x \in R^{n}$.

## 2 Problem statements and preliminaries

Consider the following switched linear systems with time-varying delays:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}(t)=A_{\sigma(t)} x(t)+A_{d \sigma(t)} x(t-d(t))+E_{\sigma(t)} w(t)  \tag{1}\\
x\left(t_{0}+\theta\right)=\varphi(\theta), \quad \theta \in[-\tau, 0] \\
z(t)=C_{\sigma(t)} x(t)+D_{\sigma(t)} w(t)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $x(t) \in R^{n}$ and $z(t) \in R^{p}$ denote the state and controlled output, respectively; $w(t) \in$ $R^{q}$ is the disturbance input; $\sigma(t):[0, \infty) \rightarrow \underline{N}=\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$ is the switching signal with $N$ being the number of subsystems; $A_{i}, A_{d i}, C_{i}, D_{i}$ and $E_{i}, i \in \underline{N}$, are constant matrices with appropriate dimensions; $\varphi(\theta)$ is a vector-valued initial function defined on the interval $[-\tau, 0], \tau>0 ; t_{0}$ is the initial time, and $t_{k}$ denotes the $k$ th switching instant; $d(t)$ denotes the time-varying delay satisfying $0 \leq d(t) \leq \tau, \dot{d}(t) \leq d$ for known constants $\tau$ and $d$.

Assumption 1 The exogenous noise signal $w(t)$ is time-varying and $w(t) \in L_{1}\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$, that is,

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\|w(t)\| d t<\varpi, \quad \varpi \geq 0
$$

Next, we will give the positive definition for switched system (1).
Definition 1 System (1) is said to be positive if, for any initial conditions $\varphi(\theta) \succeq 0, \theta \in$ $[-\tau, 0], w(t) \succeq 0$ and any switching signals $\sigma(t)$, the corresponding state trajectory $x(t) \succeq 0$ and controlled output $z(t) \succeq 0$ hold for all $t \geq 0$.

Definition 2 [30] $A$ is called a Metzler matrix, if the off-diagonal entries of matrix $A$ are non-negative.

The following lemma can be obtained from Lemma 3 in [14] and Proposition 1 in [13].

Lemma 1 System (1) is positive if and only if $A_{i}, i \in \underline{N}$, are Metzler matrices and $A_{d i} \succeq 0$, $E_{i} \succeq 0, C_{i} \succeq 0, D_{i} \succeq 0, i \in \underline{N}$.

Definition 3 [31] System (1) is said to be exponentially stable under a switching signal $\sigma(t)$ if for the initial condition $x\left(t_{0}+\theta\right)=\varphi(\theta), \theta \in[-\tau, 0]$, there exist constants $\kappa>0$, $\varepsilon>0$ such that the solution of the system satisfies $\|x(t)\| \leq \kappa\left\|x_{t_{0}}\right\|_{c} e^{-\varepsilon\left(t-t_{0}\right)}, \forall t \geq t_{0}$, where $\left\|x_{t_{0}}\right\|_{c}=\sup _{t_{0}-\tau \leq \delta \leq t_{0}}\|x(\delta)\|$.

Definition 4 [32] For a switching signal $\sigma(t)$ and $T_{2}>T_{1} \geq 0$, let $N_{\sigma}\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$ denote the switching number of $\sigma(t)$ over the interval $\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$. For given $T_{a}>0, N_{0} \geq 0$, if the inequality

$$
N_{\sigma}\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right) \leq N_{0}+\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right) / T_{a}
$$

holds, then $T_{a}$ is called the average dwell time and $N_{0}$ is the chattering bound.
As commonly used in the literature, we choose $N_{0}=0$ in this paper.
Definition 5 [33] System (1) is said to have $L_{1}$-gain performance index $\gamma$ under a switching signal $\sigma(t)$, if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) System (1) is exponentially stable when $w(t) \equiv 0$;
(ii) Under zero initial conditions, i.e., $x(t)=0, t \in\left[t_{0}-\tau, t_{0}\right]$, the following inequality holds for all nonzero $w(t) \in L_{1}\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha\left(t-t_{0}\right)}\|z(t)\| d t \leq \gamma \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\|w(t)\| d t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1 In Definition 5, index $\gamma$ characterizes the disturbance attenuation performance. The smaller $\gamma$ is, the better the performance is.

When the control input with actuator failures is considered, system (1) can be written as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}(t)=A_{\sigma(t)} x(t)+A_{d \sigma(t)} x(t-d(t))+B_{\sigma(t)} u^{f}(t)+E_{\sigma(t)} w(t)  \tag{3}\\
x\left(t_{0}+\theta\right)=\varphi(\theta), \quad \theta \in[-\tau, 0] \\
z(t)=C_{\sigma(t)} x(t)+D_{\sigma(t)} w(t)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $x(t) \in R^{n}, w(t) \in R^{q}, z(t) \in R^{p} ; u^{f}(t) \in R^{m}$ is the control input with actuator failures. Actuator failures are assumed to occur within a prescribed subset of control input channel. We classify actuators of system (3) into two groups. One is a set of actuators susceptible to failures, denoted by $M \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$. The other is a set of actuators robust to failures,
denoted by $\bar{M}$, i.e., the complementary set of $M . A_{i}, A_{d i}, B_{i}, C_{i}, D_{i}$ and $E_{i}, i \in \underline{N}$, are constant matrices with appropriate dimensions. According to the classification of actuators, we have the decomposition $B_{i}=\left[B_{i M} B_{i \bar{M}}\right], i \in \underline{N}$, where $B_{i M}$ and $B_{i \bar{M}}$ are formed from $B_{i}$ corresponding to $M$ and $\bar{M}$, respectively.

Assume that the actuator faults are modeled as $u_{M}(t)$ whose elements correspond to the set of faulty actuators $M$. Denote $\bar{w}(t)=\left[w^{T}(t) u_{M}^{T}(t)\right]^{T}$, where $\bar{w}(t)$ can be considered as a new disturbance input vector. System (3) with the following state feedback control law:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=K_{\sigma(t)} x(t), \quad K_{i}=\binom{K_{i M}}{K_{i \bar{M}}}, \quad i \in \underline{N}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}(t)=\bar{A}_{\sigma(t)} x(t)+A_{d \sigma(t)} x(t-d(t))+\bar{E}_{\sigma(t)} \bar{w}(t)  \tag{5}\\
x\left(t_{0}+\theta\right)=\varphi(\theta), \quad \theta \in[-\tau, 0] \\
z(t)=C_{\sigma(t)} x(t)+\bar{D}_{\sigma(t)} \bar{w}(t)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\bar{A}_{i}=A_{i}+B_{i \bar{M}} K_{i \bar{M}}, \bar{E}_{i}=\left[E_{i} B_{i M}\right], \bar{D}_{i}=\left[D_{i} 0\right], i \in \underline{N}$.
The purpose of this paper is: (1) to find a switching signal $\sigma(t)$ under which positive switched system (1) is exponentially stable with $L_{1}$-gain performance; (2) to design a state feedback controller for positive switched system (3) such that resulting closed-loop system (5) is exponentially stable and has $L_{1}$-gain performance index $\gamma$.

## 3 Main results

### 3.1 Stability analysis

In this section, two necessary lemmas are given firstly for the following non-switched positive system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}(t)=A x(t)+A_{d} x(t-d(t))  \tag{6}\\
x\left(t_{0}+\theta\right)=\varphi(\theta), \quad \theta \in[-\tau, 0]
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $A$ is a Metzler constant matrix and $A_{d} \succeq 0$ is a constant matrix; $d(t)$ denotes the time-varying delay satisfying $0 \leq d(t) \leq \tau, \dot{d}(t) \leq d ; \varphi(\theta) \succeq 0$.

Choose the co-positive type Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional candidate for system (6) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t, x(t))=V_{1}(t, x(t))+V_{2}(t, x(t))+V_{3}(t, x(t)) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{1}(t, x(t))=x^{T}(t) v, \\
& V_{2}(t, x(t))=\int_{t-d(t)}^{t} e^{\alpha(-t+s)} x^{T}(s) v d s, \\
& V_{3}(t, x(t))=\int_{-\tau}^{0} \int_{t+\theta}^{t} e^{\alpha(-t+s)} x^{T}(s) \vartheta d s d \theta,
\end{aligned}
$$

and $v, v, \vartheta \in R_{+}^{n}, \alpha>0$.
For the sake of simplicity, $V(t, x(t))$ is written as $V(t)$ in this paper.

Lemma 2 For a given positive constant $\alpha$, if there exist vectors $v, v, \vartheta \in R_{+}^{n}$ and $\varsigma \in R^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\Psi}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\hat{\psi}_{1}, \hat{\psi}_{2}, \ldots, \hat{\psi}_{n}, \hat{\psi}_{1}^{\prime}, \hat{\psi}^{\prime}{ }_{2}, \ldots, \hat{\psi}_{n}^{\prime}\right\} \preceq 0,  \tag{8}\\
& \hat{\Pi}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\hat{\pi}_{1}, \hat{\pi}_{2}, \ldots, \hat{\pi}_{n}, \hat{\pi}^{\prime}{ }_{1}, \hat{\pi}^{\prime}{ }_{2}, \ldots, \hat{\pi}^{\prime}{ }_{n}\right\} \preceq 0, \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\psi}_{r}=a_{r}^{T} v+\alpha v_{r}+v_{r}+\tau \vartheta_{r}+\zeta_{r}, \quad \hat{\psi}_{r}^{\prime}=a_{d r}^{T} v-(1-d) e^{-\alpha \tau} v_{r}-\varsigma_{r}, \\
& \hat{\pi}_{r}=-a_{r}^{T} \zeta-e^{-\alpha \tau} \vartheta_{r}, \quad \hat{\pi}_{r}^{\prime}=-a_{d r}^{T} \zeta, \quad r \in \underline{n}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\},
\end{aligned}
$$

with $a_{r}\left(a_{d r}\right)$ represents the $r$ th column vector of matrix $A\left(A_{d}\right)$, and $v=\left[v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right]^{T}$, $v=\left[v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right]^{T}, \vartheta=\left[\vartheta_{1}, \vartheta_{2}, \ldots, \vartheta_{n}\right]^{T}, \varsigma=\left[\varsigma_{1}, \varsigma_{2}, \ldots, \varsigma_{n}\right]^{T}, v_{r}\left(v_{r}, \vartheta_{r}, \varsigma_{r}\right)$ represents the $r$ th element of the vector $v(v, \vartheta, \varsigma)$. Then along the trajectory of system (6), we have

$$
V(t) \leq e^{-\alpha\left(t-t_{0}\right)} V\left(t_{0}\right)
$$

Proof Along the trajectory of system (6), for the co-positive type Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional (7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{V}_{1}(t)= \dot{x}^{T}(t) v=x^{T}(t) A^{T} v+x^{T}(t-d(t)) A_{d}^{T} v, \\
& \dot{V}_{2}(t)=-\alpha \int_{t-d(t)}^{t} e^{\alpha(-t+s)} x^{T}(s) v d s+x^{T}(t) v-(1-\dot{d}(t)) e^{-\alpha \tau} x^{T}(t-d(t)) v \\
& \leq-\alpha \int_{t-d(t)}^{t} e^{\alpha(-t+s)} x^{T}(s) v d s+x^{T}(t) v-(1-d) e^{-\alpha \tau} x^{T}(t-d(t)) v, \\
& \dot{V}_{3}(t)=-\alpha \int_{-\tau}^{0} \int_{t+\theta}^{t} e^{\alpha(-t+s)} x^{T}(s) \vartheta d s d \theta+\tau x^{T}(t) \vartheta-\int_{-\tau}^{0} e^{-\alpha \theta} x^{T}(t+\theta) \vartheta d \theta \\
& \leq-\alpha \int_{-\tau}^{0} \int_{t+\theta}^{t} e^{\alpha(-t+s)} x^{T}(s) \vartheta d s d \theta+\tau x^{T}(t) \vartheta-\int_{t-d(t)}^{t} e^{-\alpha \tau} x^{T}(s) \vartheta d s, \\
& \dot{V}(t)+\alpha V(t) \leq x^{T}(t)\left(A^{T} v+\alpha v+v+\tau \vartheta\right)+x^{T}(t-d(t))\left(A_{d}^{T} v-(1-d) e^{-\alpha \tau} v\right) \\
& \quad-\int_{t-d(t)}^{t} e^{-\alpha \tau} x^{T}(s) \vartheta d s . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the Leibniz-Newton formula, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t-d(t)}^{t} \dot{x}(s) d s=x(t)-x(t-d(t)) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t-d(t)}^{t} \dot{x}(s) d s=\int_{t-d(t)}^{t}\left(A x(s)+A_{d} x(s-d(s))\right) d s \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

the following relationship can be obtained for any vector $\varsigma \in R^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x(t)-x(t-d(t))-\int_{t-d(t)}^{t}\left(A x(s)+A_{d} x(s-d(s))\right) d s\right)^{T} \varsigma=0 . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (10) and (13), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{V}(t)+\alpha V(t) \leq & x^{T}(t)\left(A^{T} v+\alpha v+v+\tau \vartheta\right)+\varsigma \\
& +x^{T}(t-d(t))\left(A_{d}^{T} v-(1-d) e^{-\alpha \tau} v-\varsigma\right) \\
& -\int_{t-d(t)}^{t}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(s) \\
x(s-d(s))
\end{array}\right]^{T}\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
A^{T} \varsigma \\
A_{d}^{T} \varsigma
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
e^{-\alpha \tau} \vartheta \\
0
\end{array}\right]\right) d s . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

One can obtain from (8) and (9) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{T} v+\alpha v+v+\tau \vartheta+\varsigma \preceq 0,  \tag{15}\\
& A_{d}^{T} v-(1-d) e^{-\alpha \tau} v-\varsigma \preceq 0,  \tag{16}\\
& -A^{T} \varsigma-e^{-\alpha \tau} \vartheta \preceq 0,  \tag{17}\\
& -A_{d}^{T} \varsigma \preceq 0 . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\dot{V}(t) \leq-\alpha V(t) .
$$

Then, along the trajectory of system (6), we have

$$
V(t) \leq e^{-\alpha\left(t-t_{0}\right)} V\left(t_{0}\right)
$$

Lemma 3 Consider system (6), for a given positive constant $\beta$, if there exist vectors $v, v, \vartheta \in$ $R_{+}^{n}$ and $\varsigma \in R^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{\Psi}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\widehat{\psi}_{1}, \widehat{\psi}_{2}, \ldots, \widehat{\psi}_{n}, \widehat{\psi}_{1}^{\prime}, \widehat{\psi}_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \widehat{\psi}_{n}^{\prime}\right\} \preceq 0,  \tag{19}\\
& \widehat{\Pi}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\widehat{\pi}_{1}, \widehat{\pi}_{2}, \ldots, \widehat{\pi}_{n}, \widehat{\pi}_{1}^{\prime}, \widehat{\pi}_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \widehat{\pi}_{n}^{\prime}\right\} \preceq 0, \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\hat{\psi}_{r}=a_{r}^{T} v-\beta v_{r}+v_{r}+\tau \vartheta_{r}+\varsigma_{r}, & \widehat{\psi}_{r}^{\prime}=a_{d r}^{T} v-(1-d) v_{r}-\varsigma_{r}, \\
\hat{\pi}_{r}=-a_{r}^{T} \varsigma-\vartheta_{r}, \quad \hat{\pi}_{r}^{\prime}=-a_{d r}^{T} \varsigma, & r \in \underline{n}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\} .
\end{array}
$$

$a_{r}\left(a_{d r}\right)$ represents the $r$ th column vector of matrix $A\left(A_{d}\right)$; and $v=\left[v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right]^{T}, v=$ $\left[v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right]^{T}, \vartheta=\left[\vartheta_{1}, \vartheta_{2}, \ldots, \vartheta_{n}\right]^{T}, \varsigma=\left[\varsigma_{1}, \varsigma_{2}, \ldots, \varsigma_{n}\right]^{T}$. Then, along the trajectory of system (6), we have

$$
V(t) \leq e^{\beta\left(t-t_{0}\right)} V\left(t_{0}\right)
$$

Proof Choose the following co-positive type Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional candidate for system (6):

$$
V(t)=V_{1}(t)+V_{2}(t)+V_{3}(t),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{1}(t)=x^{T}(t) v, \\
& V_{2}(t)=\int_{t-d(t)}^{t} e^{\beta(t-s)} x^{T}(s) v d s, \\
& V_{3}(t)=\int_{-\tau}^{0} \int_{t+\theta}^{t} e^{\beta(t-s)} x^{T}(s) \vartheta d s d \theta,
\end{aligned}
$$

and $v, v, \vartheta \in R_{+}^{n}, \beta>0$.
The rest of the proof of this lemma is similar to that of Lemma 2, and thus is omitted here.

Now we are in a position to provide the stability conditions for the following positive switched system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}(t)=A_{\sigma(t)} x(t)+A_{d \sigma(t)} x(t-d(t))  \tag{21}\\
x\left(t_{0}+\theta\right)=\varphi(\theta), \quad \theta \in[-\tau, 0]
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $A_{i}, i \in \underline{N}$, are Metzler constant matrices and $A_{d i} \succeq 0, i \in \underline{N}$, are constant matrices; $d(t)$ denotes the time-varying delay satisfying $0 \leq d(t) \leq \tau, \dot{d}(t) \leq d ; \varphi(\theta) \succeq 0$.
Let $Q$ denote the index set of all stable subsystems, which is a nonempty subset of $\underline{N}$, and $\bar{Q}$ denote the index set of all unstable subsystems. Let $T^{+}\left(t_{0}, t\right)$ denote the total activation time of the unstable subsystems during $\left[t_{0}, t\right)$, let $T^{-}\left(t_{0}, t\right)$ denote the total activation time of the stable subsystems during $\left[t_{0}, t\right)$, then we have the following result.

Theorem 1 Given positive constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$, if there exist $v_{i}, v_{i}, \vartheta_{i} \in R_{+}^{n}$ and $\varsigma_{i} \in R^{n}, i \in \underline{N}$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Xi_{i}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\zeta_{i 1}, \zeta_{i 2}, \ldots, \zeta_{i n}, \zeta_{i 1}^{\prime}, \zeta_{i 2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \zeta_{i n}^{\prime}\right\} \preceq 0, \quad \forall i \in Q,  \tag{22}\\
& \Phi_{i j}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\phi_{i j 1}, \phi_{i j 2}, \ldots, \phi_{i j n}, \phi_{i j 1}^{\prime}, \phi_{i j 2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \phi_{i j n}^{\prime}\right\} \preceq 0, \quad \forall i \in Q, \forall j \in \underline{N},  \tag{23}\\
& \tilde{\Xi}_{i}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\tilde{\zeta}_{i 1}, \tilde{\zeta}_{i 2}, \ldots, \tilde{\zeta}_{i n}, \tilde{\zeta}_{i 1}^{\prime}, \tilde{\zeta}_{i 2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \tilde{\zeta}_{i n}^{\prime}\right\} \preceq 0, \quad \forall i \in \bar{Q},  \tag{24}\\
& \tilde{\Phi}_{i j}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\tilde{\phi}_{i j 1}, \tilde{\phi}_{i j 2}, \ldots, \tilde{\phi}_{i j n}, \tilde{\phi}_{i j 1}^{\prime}, \tilde{\phi}_{i j 2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \tilde{\phi}_{i j n}^{\prime}\right\} \preceq 0, \quad \forall i \in \bar{Q}, \forall j \in \underline{N}, \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \zeta_{i r}=a_{i r}^{T} v_{i}+\alpha v_{i r}+v_{i r}+\tau \vartheta_{i r}+\varsigma_{i r}, \quad \zeta_{i r}^{\prime}=a_{d i r}^{T} v_{i}-(1-d) e^{-\alpha \tau} v_{i r}-\varsigma_{i r}, \\
& \phi_{i j r}=-a_{j r}^{T} \zeta_{i}-e^{-\alpha \tau} \vartheta_{i r}, \quad \tilde{\phi}_{i j r}=-a_{d j r}^{T} \zeta_{i}, \\
& \tilde{\zeta}_{i r}=a_{i r}^{T} v_{i}-\beta v_{i r}+v_{i r}+\tau \vartheta_{i r}+\zeta_{i r}, \quad \tilde{\zeta}_{i r}^{\prime}=a_{d i r}^{T} v_{i}-(1-d) v_{i r}-\zeta_{i r}, \\
& \tilde{\phi}_{i j r}=-a_{j r}^{T} \zeta_{i}-\vartheta_{i r}, \quad \tilde{\phi}_{i j r}^{\prime}=-a_{d j r}^{T} \zeta_{i}, \quad r \in \underline{n}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$a_{i r}\left(a_{\text {dir }}\right)$ represents the rth column vector of matrix $A_{i}\left(A_{d i}\right), i \in \underline{N} ;$ and $v_{i}=\left[v_{i 1}, v_{i 2}, \ldots, v_{i n}\right]^{T}$, $v_{i}=\left[v_{i 1}, v_{i 2}, \ldots, v_{i n}\right]^{T}, \vartheta_{i}=\left[\vartheta_{i 1}, \vartheta_{i 2}, \ldots, \vartheta_{i n}\right]^{T}, \varsigma_{i}=\left[\varsigma_{i 1}, \varsigma_{i 2}, \ldots, \varsigma_{i n}\right]^{T}$. Then system (21) is exponentially stable for any switching signals $\sigma(t)$ with the average dwell time

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{t>t_{0}} \frac{T^{-}\left(t_{0}, t\right)}{T^{+}\left(t_{0}, t\right)} \geq \frac{\beta+\lambda}{\alpha-\lambda}, \quad T_{a}>T_{a}^{*}=\frac{\ln (\mu \eta)}{\lambda}, \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta=e^{(\alpha+\beta) \tau}, 0<\lambda<\alpha$ and $\mu \geq 1$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i} \leq \mu v_{j}, \quad v_{i} \leq \mu v_{j}, \quad \vartheta_{i} \leq \mu \vartheta_{j}, \quad \forall(i, j) \in \underline{N} \times \underline{N} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Choose the following piecewise co-positive type Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional candidate:

$$
V(t)=V_{\sigma(t)}(t)
$$

Let $t_{1}<\cdots<t_{l}$ denote the switching instants of $\sigma(t)$ over the interval $\left[t_{0}, t\right)$. By Lemmas 2 and 3 , one can obtain from (22)-(25) that

$$
V_{\sigma(t)}(t) \leq \begin{cases}e^{-\alpha\left(t-t_{k}\right)} V_{\sigma\left(t_{k}\right)}\left(t_{k}\right) & \text { if } \sigma(t) \in Q, t \in\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right),  \tag{28}\\ e^{\beta\left(t-t_{k}\right)} V_{\sigma\left(t_{k}\right)}\left(t_{k}\right) & \text { if } \sigma(t) \in \bar{Q}, t \in\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right) .\end{cases}
$$

From (27) and the co-positive type Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional, at the switching instants $t_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, l$, it is obtained that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i}\left(t_{k}\right) \leq \mu \eta V_{j}\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), \quad \forall(i, j) \in \underline{N} \times \underline{N}, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta=e^{(\alpha+\beta) \tau}$.
By (26), (28), (29) and Definition 4, for $t \in\left[t_{l}, t_{l+1}\right)$, it is not hard to get

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{\sigma(t)}(t) & \leq e^{-\alpha T^{-}\left(t_{l}, t\right)+\beta T^{+}\left(t_{l}, t\right)} V_{\sigma\left(t_{l}\right)}\left(t_{l}\right) \\
& \leq \mu \eta e^{-\alpha T^{-}\left(t_{l}, t\right)+\beta T^{+}\left(t_{l}, t\right)} V_{\sigma\left(t_{l}^{-}\right)}\left(t_{l}^{-}\right) \\
& \leq \mu \eta e^{-\alpha T^{-}\left(t_{l-1}, t\right)+\beta T^{+}\left(t_{l-1}, t\right)} V_{\sigma\left(t_{l-1}\right)}\left(t_{l-1}\right) \\
& \leq \cdots \\
& \leq(\mu \eta)^{N_{\sigma}\left(t_{0}, t\right)} e^{-\alpha T^{-}\left(t_{0}, t\right)+\beta T^{+}\left(t_{0}, t\right)} V_{\sigma\left(t_{0}\right)}\left(t_{0}\right) \\
& \leq e^{-\alpha T^{-}\left(t_{0}, t\right)+\beta T^{+}\left(t_{0}, t\right)} e^{\left(t-t_{0}\right) \ln (\mu \eta) / T_{a}} V_{\sigma\left(t_{0}\right)}\left(t_{0}\right) \\
& \leq e^{-\left(\lambda-\ln (\mu \eta) / T_{a}\right)\left(t-t_{0}\right)} V_{\sigma\left(t_{0}\right)}\left(t_{0}\right) . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Denoting $\varepsilon_{1}=\min _{(r, i) \in \underline{n} \times \underline{N}}\left\{v_{i r}\right\}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\sigma(t)}(t) \geq \varepsilon_{1}\|x(t)\| . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=\max _{(r, i) \in \underline{n} \times Q}\left\{v_{i r}\right\}+\tau \max _{(r, i) \in \underline{n} \times Q}\left\{v_{i r}\right\}+\tau^{2} \max _{(r, i) \in \underline{n} \times Q}\left\{\vartheta_{i r}\right\}, \\
& a_{2}=\max _{(r, i) \in \underline{n} \times \bar{Q}}\left\{v_{i r}\right\}+\tau e^{\beta \tau} \max _{(r, i) \in \underline{n} \times \bar{Q}}\left\{v_{i r}\right\}+\tau^{2} e^{\beta \tau} \max _{(r, i) \in \underline{x} \times \bar{Q}}\left\{\vartheta_{i r}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and $a=\max \left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\sigma\left(t_{0}\right)}\left(t_{0}\right) \leq a \sup _{t_{0}-\tau \leq \delta \leq t_{0}}\|x(\delta)\| . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (30)-(32), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x(t)\| \leq \frac{a}{\varepsilon_{1}} e^{-\left(\lambda-\frac{\ln (\mu \eta)}{T_{a}}\right)\left(t-t_{0}\right)} \sup _{t_{0}-\tau \leq \delta \leq t_{0}}\|x(\delta)\| . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by denoting $\kappa=a / \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon=\lambda-\frac{\ln (\mu \eta)}{T_{a}}>0$, it can be seen from (33) that $\|x(t)\| \leq$ $\kappa\left\|x_{t_{0}}\right\|_{c} e^{-\varepsilon\left(t-t_{0}\right)}, \forall t \geq t_{0}$, where $\left\|x_{t_{0}}\right\|_{c}=\sup _{t_{0}-\tau \leq \delta \leq t_{0}}\|x(\delta)\|$. Therefore, we can conclude that system (21) is exponentially stable for any switching signal with average dwell time (26).

Remark 2 In Theorem 1, sufficient conditions for the existence of the exponential stability for positive switched system (21) with both stable and unstable subsystems are presented via the average dwell time approach. It is shown by (26) that when the average dwell time is sufficiently large and the total activation time of unstable subsystems is relatively small compared with that of stable subsystems, the stability of the system can be guaranteed.

## 3.2 $L_{1}$-gain property analysis

Theorem 2 Given positive constants $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$, if there exist $v_{i}, v_{i}, \vartheta_{i} \in R_{+}^{n}$ and $\varsigma_{i} \in R^{n}$, $i \in \underline{N}$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{X}_{i} & =\operatorname{diag}\left\{\chi_{i 1}, \chi_{i 2}, \ldots, \chi_{i n}, \chi_{i 1}^{\prime}, \chi_{i 2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \chi_{i n}^{\prime}, \chi_{i 1}^{\prime \prime}, \chi_{i 2}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \chi_{i n}^{\prime \prime}\right\} \\
& \leq 0, \quad \forall i \in Q,  \tag{34}\\
\Theta_{i j} & =\operatorname{diag}\left\{\theta_{i j 1}, \theta_{i j 2}, \ldots, \theta_{i j n}, \theta_{i j 1}^{\prime}, \theta_{i j 2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \theta_{i j n}^{\prime}, \theta_{i j 1}^{\prime \prime}, \theta_{i j 2}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \theta_{i j n}^{\prime \prime}\right\} \\
& \leq 0, \quad \forall i \in Q, \forall j \in \underline{N},  \tag{35}\\
\tilde{\mathrm{X}}_{i} & =\operatorname{diag}\left\{\tilde{\chi}_{i 1}, \tilde{\chi}_{i 2}, \ldots, \tilde{\chi}_{i n}, \tilde{\chi}_{i 1}^{\prime}, \tilde{\chi}_{i 2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \tilde{\chi}_{i n}^{\prime}, \tilde{\chi}_{i 1}^{\prime \prime}, \tilde{\chi}_{i 2}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \tilde{\chi}_{i n}^{\prime \prime}\right\} \\
& \leq 0, \quad \forall i \in \bar{Q},  \tag{36}\\
\tilde{\Theta}_{i j} & =\operatorname{diag}\left\{\tilde{\theta}_{i j 1}, \tilde{\theta}_{i j 2}, \ldots, \tilde{\theta}_{i j n}, \tilde{\theta}_{i j 1}^{\prime}, \tilde{\theta}_{i j 2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \tilde{\theta}_{i j n}^{\prime}, \tilde{\theta}_{i j 1}^{\prime \prime}, \tilde{\theta}_{i j 2}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \tilde{\theta}_{i j n}^{\prime \prime}\right\} \\
& \leq 0, \quad \forall i \in \bar{Q}, \forall j \in \underline{N}, \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{i r}=a_{i r}^{T} v_{i}+\alpha v_{i r}+v_{i r}+\tau \vartheta_{i r}+\varsigma_{i r}+\left\|c_{i r}\right\|, \quad \chi_{i r}^{\prime}=a_{d i r}^{T} v_{i}-(1-d) e^{-\alpha \tau} v_{i r}-\varsigma_{i r}, \\
& \chi_{i r}^{\prime \prime}=\tilde{\chi}_{i r}^{\prime \prime}=e_{i r}^{T} v_{i}+\left\|d_{i r}\right\|-\gamma, \quad \theta_{i j r}=-a_{j r}^{T} \varsigma_{i}-e^{-\alpha \tau} \vartheta_{i r}, \quad \theta_{i j r}^{\prime}=\tilde{\theta}_{i j r}^{\prime}=-a_{d j r}^{T} \varsigma_{i}, \\
& \theta_{i j r}^{\prime \prime}=\tilde{\theta}_{i j r}^{\prime \prime}=-e_{j r}^{T} \varsigma_{i}, \quad \tilde{\chi}_{i r}=a_{i r}^{T} v_{i}-\beta v_{i r}+v_{i r}+\tau \vartheta_{i r}+\varsigma_{i r}+\left\|c_{i r}\right\|, \quad \tilde{\theta}_{i j r}=-a_{j r}^{T} \varsigma_{i}-\vartheta_{i r}, \\
& \tilde{\chi}_{i r}^{\prime}=a_{d i r}^{T} v_{i}-(1-d) v_{i r}-\varsigma_{i r}, \quad r \in \underline{n}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$e_{i r}$ represents the $r$ th column vector of matrix $E_{i}, i \in \underline{N}$. Then system (1) is exponentially stable and has $L_{1}$-gain performance index $\gamma$ for any switching signal $\sigma(t)$ with the average dwell time (26).

Proof It is easy to get that (22)-(25) can be deduced from (34)-(37). According to Theorem 1 , system (1) with $w(t)=0$ is exponentially stable. In the sequel, we will prove that the $L_{1}$-gain performance of system (1) is guaranteed.

Let $t_{1}<\cdots<t_{l}$ denote the switching instants of $\sigma(t)$ over the interval $\left[t_{0}, t\right)$. Following the proof line of Theorem 1, one can obtain from (34)-(37) that

$$
V_{\sigma(t)}(t) \leq \begin{cases}e^{-\alpha\left(t-t_{k}\right)} V_{\sigma\left(t_{k}\right)}\left(t_{k}\right)-\int_{t_{k}}^{t} e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \Gamma(s) d s & \text { if } \sigma(t) \in Q, t \in\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right)  \tag{38}\\ e^{\beta\left(t-t_{k}\right)} V_{\sigma\left(t_{k}\right)}\left(t_{k}\right)-\int_{t_{k}}^{t} e^{\beta(t-s)} \Gamma(s) d s & \text { if } \sigma(t) \in \bar{Q}, t \in\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right)\end{cases}
$$

where $\Gamma(s)=\|z(s)\|-\gamma\|w(s)\|$.
Then, for $t \in\left[t_{l}, t_{l+1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{\sigma(t)}(t) \leq & e^{-\alpha T^{-}\left(t_{l}, t\right)+\beta T^{+}\left(t_{l}, t\right)} V_{\sigma\left(t_{l}\right)}\left(t_{l}\right)-\int_{t_{l}}^{t} e^{-\alpha T^{-}(s, t)+\beta T^{+}(s, t)} \Gamma(s) d s \\
\leq & \mu \eta e^{-\alpha T^{-}\left(t_{l}, t\right)+\beta T^{+}\left(t_{l}, t\right)} V_{\sigma\left(t_{l}^{-}\right)}\left(t_{l}^{-}\right)-\int_{t_{l}}^{t} e^{-\alpha T^{-}(s, t)+\beta T^{+}(s, t)} \Gamma(s) d s \\
\leq & \mu \eta e^{-\alpha T^{-}\left(t_{l-1}, t\right)+\beta T^{+}\left(t_{l-1}, t\right)} V_{\sigma\left(t_{l-1}\right)}\left(t_{l-1}\right)-\int_{t_{l}}^{t} e^{-\alpha T^{-}(s, t)+\beta T^{+}(s, t)} \Gamma(s) d s \\
& -\mu \eta \int_{t_{l-1}}^{t_{l}} e^{-\alpha T^{-}\left(s, t_{l-1}\right)+\beta T^{+}\left(s, t_{l-1}\right)} \Gamma(s) d s \\
\leq & \cdots \\
\leq & (\mu \eta)^{N_{\sigma}\left(t_{0}, t\right)} e^{-\alpha T^{-}\left(t_{0}, t\right)+\beta T^{+}\left(t_{0}, t\right)} V_{\sigma\left(t_{0}\right)}\left(t_{0}\right)-\int_{t_{0}}^{t}(\mu \eta)^{N_{\sigma}(s, t)} e^{-\alpha T^{-}(s, t)+\beta T^{+}(s, t)} \Gamma(s) d s \\
\leq & e^{-\alpha T^{-}\left(t_{0}, t\right)+\beta T^{+}\left(t_{0}, t\right)} e^{\left(t-t_{0}\right) \ln (\mu \eta) / T_{a}} V_{\sigma\left(t_{0}\right)}\left(t_{0}\right) \\
& -\int_{t_{0}}^{t}(\mu \eta)^{N_{\sigma}(s, t)} e^{-\alpha T^{-}(s, t)+\beta T^{+}(s, t)} \Gamma(s) d s . \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

Under the zero initial condition, we have $V_{\sigma\left(t_{0}\right)}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$, then (39) becomes

$$
0 \leq-\int_{t_{0}}^{t}(\mu \eta)^{N_{\sigma}(s, t)} e^{-\alpha T^{-}(s, t)+\beta T^{+}(s, t)} \Gamma(s) d s
$$

From the condition (26), it is obvious that

$$
-\alpha T^{-}\left(t_{0}, t\right)+\beta T^{+}\left(t_{0}, t\right) \leq-\lambda\left(t-t_{0}\right)
$$

then

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)}(\mu \eta)^{N_{\sigma}(s, t)} \Gamma(s) d s \leq 0
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)}(\mu \eta)^{N_{\sigma}(s, t)}\|z(s)\| d s \leq \gamma \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)}(\mu \eta)^{N_{\sigma}(s, t)}\|w(s)\| d s \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of (40) by $e^{-N_{\sigma}\left(t_{0}, t\right) \ln (\mu \eta)}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} e^{-N_{\sigma}\left(t_{0}, s\right) \ln (\mu \eta)}\|z(s)\| d s \leq \gamma \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} e^{-N_{\sigma}\left(t_{0}, s\right) \ln (\mu \eta)}\|w(s)\| d s \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Definition 4 and condition (26), one can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} e^{-\lambda\left(s-t_{0}\right)}\|z(s)\| d s \leq \gamma \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)}\|w(s)\| d s \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating both sides of (42) from $t=t_{0}$ to $\infty$ leads to

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda\left(t-t_{0}\right)}\|z(s)\| d s \leq \gamma \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\|w(s)\| d s
$$

This means that system (1) achieves $L_{1}$-gain performance index $\gamma$.
The proof is completed.

### 3.3 Reliable $L_{1}$ control

In what follows, we design a state feedback controller for positive switched system (3) such that resulting closed-loop system (5) is exponentially stable with $L_{1}$-gain performance index $\gamma$.

Theorem 3 Consider system (3), for given positive constants $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$, if there exist $v_{i}, v_{i}, \vartheta_{i} \in R_{+}^{n}$ and $\varsigma_{i}, h_{i} \in R^{n}, i \in \underline{N}$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda_{i} & =\operatorname{diag}\left\{\xi_{i 1}, \xi_{i 2}, \ldots, \xi_{i n}, \xi_{i 1}^{\prime}, \xi_{i 2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \xi_{i n}^{\prime}, \xi_{i 1}^{\prime \prime}, \xi_{i 2}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \xi_{i n}^{\prime \prime}, \xi_{i 1}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \xi_{i 2}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \ldots, \xi_{i n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\} \\
& \leq 0, \quad \forall i \in Q,  \tag{43}\\
\Omega_{i j} & =\operatorname{diag}\left\{\eta_{i j 1}, \eta_{i j 2}, \ldots, \eta_{i j n}, \eta_{i j 1}^{\prime}, \eta_{i j 2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \eta_{i j n}^{\prime}, \eta_{i j 1}^{\prime \prime}, \eta_{i j 2}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \eta_{i j n}^{\prime \prime}, \eta_{i j 1}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \eta_{i j 2}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \ldots, \eta_{i j n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\} \\
& \leq 0, \quad \forall i \in Q, \forall j \in \underline{N},  \tag{44}\\
\tilde{\Lambda}_{i} & =\operatorname{diag}\left\{\tilde{\xi}_{i 1}, \tilde{\xi}_{i 2}, \ldots, \tilde{\xi}_{i n}, \tilde{\xi}_{i 1}^{\prime}, \tilde{\xi}_{i 2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \tilde{\xi}_{i n}^{\prime}, \tilde{\xi}_{i 1}^{\prime \prime}, \tilde{\xi}_{i 2}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \tilde{\xi}_{i n}^{\prime \prime}, \tilde{\xi}_{i 1}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \tilde{\xi}_{i 2}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \ldots, \tilde{\xi}_{i n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\} \\
& \preceq 0, \quad \forall i \in \bar{Q},  \tag{45}\\
\tilde{\Omega}_{i j} & =\operatorname{diag}\left\{\tilde{\eta}_{i j 1}, \tilde{\eta}_{i j 2}, \ldots, \tilde{\eta}_{i j n}, \tilde{\eta}_{i j 1}^{\prime}, \tilde{\eta}_{i j 2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \tilde{\eta}_{i j n}^{\prime}, \tilde{\eta}_{i j 1}^{\prime \prime}, \tilde{\eta}_{i j 2}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \tilde{\eta}_{i j n}^{\prime \prime}, \tilde{\eta}_{i j 1}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \tilde{\eta}_{i j 2}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \ldots, \tilde{\eta}_{i j n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\} \\
& \leq 0, \quad \forall i \in \bar{Q}, \forall j \in \underline{N}, \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{i r}=a_{i r}^{T} v_{i}+\alpha v_{i r}+h_{i r}+v_{i r}+\tau \vartheta_{i r}+\zeta_{i r}+\left\|c_{i r}\right\|, \quad \xi_{i r}^{\prime}=a_{d i r}^{T} v_{i}-(1-d) e^{-\alpha \tau} v_{i r}-\varsigma_{i r}, \\
& \xi_{i r}^{\prime \prime}=\tilde{\xi}_{i r}^{\prime \prime}=e_{i r}^{T} v_{i}+\left\|d_{i r}\right\|-\gamma, \quad \xi_{i r}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\tilde{\xi}_{i r}^{\prime \prime \prime}=b_{i M r}^{T} v_{i}-\gamma, \quad \eta_{i j r}=-a_{j r}^{T} \varsigma_{i}-f_{i r}-e^{-\alpha \tau} \vartheta_{i r}, \\
& \eta_{i j r}^{\prime}=\tilde{\eta}_{i j r}^{\prime}=-a_{d j r}^{T} \varsigma_{i}, \quad \eta_{i j r}^{\prime \prime}=\tilde{\eta}_{i j r}^{\prime \prime}=-e_{j r}^{T} \varsigma_{i}, \quad \eta_{i j r}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\tilde{\eta}_{i j r}^{\prime \prime \prime}=-b_{j M r}^{T} \zeta_{i}, \\
& \tilde{\xi}_{i r}=a_{i r}^{T} v_{i}-\beta v_{i r}+h_{i r}+v_{i r}+\tau \vartheta_{i r}+\zeta_{i r}+\left\|c_{i r}\right\|, \quad \tilde{\xi}_{i r}^{\prime}=a_{d i r}^{T} v_{i}-(1-d) v_{i r}-\zeta_{i r}, \\
& \tilde{\eta}_{i j r}=-a_{j r}^{T} \varsigma_{i}-f_{i r}-\vartheta_{i r}, \quad h_{i}=K_{i \bar{M}}^{T} B_{i \bar{M}}^{T} v_{i}, \quad f_{i}=K_{i \bar{M}}^{T} B_{i \bar{M}}^{T} \varsigma_{i}, \quad r \in \underline{n}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$c_{i r}\left(d_{i r}\right)$ represents the rth column vector of matrix $C_{i}\left(D_{i}\right), i \in \underline{N} ; b_{i \bar{M} r}\left(b_{i M r}\right)$ represents the $r$ th column vector of matrix $B_{i \bar{M}}\left(B_{i M_{i}}\right) ; h_{i}=\left[h_{i 1}, h_{i 2}, \ldots, h_{i n}\right]^{T}, f_{i}=\left[f_{i 1}, f_{i 2}, \ldots, f_{i n}\right]^{T}$.
Then, under the controller (4), resulting closed-loop system (5) is exponentially stable and has $L_{1}$-gain performance index $\gamma$ for any switching signals $\sigma(t)$ with the average dwell time (26).

Proof Under the controller (4), the resulting closed-loop system can be written as (5).
Denote $\bar{A}_{i}=A_{i}+B_{i \bar{M}} K_{i \bar{M}}, \bar{E}_{i}=\left[E_{i} B_{i M}\right], \bar{D}_{i}=\left[D_{i} 0\right]$ and $h_{i}=K_{i \bar{M}}^{T} B_{i \bar{M}}^{T} v_{i}, f_{i}=K_{i \bar{M}}^{T} B_{i \bar{M}}^{T} \varsigma_{i}$, then by Theorem 2, one can obtain from (43)-(46) that closed-loop system (5) is exponentially stable and has $L_{1}$-gain performance index $\gamma$. This completes the proof.

We now present the following algorithm for the construction of the reliable $L_{1}$ state feedback controller.

## Algorithm 1

Step 1. Input the matrices $A_{i}, A_{d i}, B_{i}, C_{i}, D_{i}$ and $E_{i}, i \in \underline{N}$;
Step 2. By adjusting parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$, we can find the solutions of $v_{i}, v_{i}, \vartheta_{i}, \varsigma_{i}, h_{i}$ such that (43) and (45) hold;
Step 3. From $h_{i}=K_{i \bar{M}}^{T} B_{i \bar{M}}^{T} v_{i}$ and $h_{i}=K_{i M}^{T} B_{i M}^{T} v_{i}$, one can obtain the gain matrices $K_{i}=$ [ $\left.K_{i M}^{T} K_{i \bar{M}}^{T}\right]^{T}$, and then substitute $K_{i}$ into (44) and (46). If inequalities (44) and (46) hold and $\bar{A}_{i}=A_{i}+B_{\bar{M}_{i}} K_{\bar{M}_{i}}$ are Metzler matrices, then go to Step 4 ; otherwise, go back to Step 2;

Step 4. With $v_{i}, v_{i}, \vartheta_{i}$, the switching signal $\sigma(t)$ can be obtained by (26) and (27);
Step 5. Construct the feedback controller (4), where $K_{i}$ are gain matrices obtained in Step 3.

## 4 Numerical example

Consider positive switched system (3) with the following parameters:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 5 \\
7 & 2
\end{array}\right], \quad A_{d 1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad B_{1 M}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.2 \\
0.4
\end{array}\right], \quad B_{1 \bar{M}}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.3 \\
0.3
\end{array}\right], \\
& C_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0.1 & 0.2
\end{array}\right], \quad D_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0.3
\end{array}\right], \quad E_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.1 \\
0.1
\end{array}\right], \\
& A_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-2 & 3 \\
9 & -6
\end{array}\right], \quad A_{d 2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.08 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad B_{2 M}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.3 \\
0.2
\end{array}\right], \quad B_{2 \bar{M}}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.1 \\
0.5
\end{array}\right], \\
& C_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0.2 & 0.1
\end{array}\right], \quad D_{2}=[0.6], \quad E_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.1 \\
0.3
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 1, the trajectories of such a system will remain positive if $\varphi(\theta) \succeq 0, \theta \in[-\tau, 0]$. From Lemma 6 in [14], it is easy to verify that the first subsystem is unstable. Taking $\alpha=$ $0.3, \beta=0.9, \lambda=0.1, \gamma=1.0$ and solving the matrix inequalities (43) and (45) in Theorem 3 gives rise to

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
v_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.7506 \\
0.6987
\end{array}\right], \quad v_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.7458 \\
0.5421
\end{array}\right], \quad v_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.8301 \\
0.8301
\end{array}\right], \quad v_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.8301 \\
0.8301
\end{array}\right], \\
\vartheta_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.8301 \\
0.8301
\end{array}\right], \quad \vartheta_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.8301 \\
0.8301
\end{array}\right], \quad \varsigma_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.1785 \\
0.1035
\end{array}\right], \quad \varsigma_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.2453 \\
0.1856
\end{array}\right] .
\end{array}
$$

Then, by Step 3 in Algorithm 1, the state feedback gain matrices can be obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
K_{1 M}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
-16.2652 & -15.2892
\end{array}\right], & K_{1 \bar{M}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
-16.0709 & -15.1066
\end{array}\right], \\
K_{2 M}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
-17.4597 & -3.5418
\end{array}\right], & K_{2 \bar{M}}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
-16.7796 & -3.4039
\end{array}\right] .
\end{array}
$$



Figure 1 State responses of the system under the closed-loop case.


Figure 2 State responses of the system under the open-loop case.

By substituting them into (44) and (46), it is not hard to find that inequalities (44) and (46) are satisfied and $\bar{A}_{i}=A_{i}+B_{i \bar{M}} K_{i \bar{M}}, i=1,2$, are Metzler matrices. Then, according to (26) and (27), we can get $\mu=1.2890, \eta=1.1275$ and $T_{a}^{*}=3.7387$. To illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed results, let us now generate the switching sequences by the average dwell time $T_{a}=3.8$. We can obtain the state responses shown in Figures 1 and 2 with the initial condition $x(0)=[0.20 .3]^{T}, x(\theta)=0, \theta \in[-\tau, 0)$, and the switching signal shown in Figure 3. From Figures 1-3, we can see that the state of the closed-loop system is convergent.

## 5 Conclusions

In this paper, the reliable $L_{1}$ control problem for positive switched systems with timevarying delays has been discussed. The system studied in this paper consists of stable and unstable subsystems with actuators failures. Using the average dwell time approach, we have proposed a reliable feedback controller and a class of switching signals under which the positive switched system is exponentially stable and has $L_{1}$-gain performance for all admissible actuator failures. All the results are formulated in the set of LMIs which can


Figure 3 Switching signal.
be easily verified or implemented. Our future work will focus on the reliable $L_{1}$ control problem for discrete-time positive switched systems with time-varying delays.
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