RESEARCH

Open Access

Controllability for Sobolev type fractional integro-differential systems in a Banach space

Hamdy M Ahmed*

*Correspondence: Hamdy_17eg@yahoo.com Higher Institute of Engineering, El-Shorouk Academy, P.O. Box 3 El-Shorouk City, Cairo, Egypt

Abstract

In this paper, by using compact semigroups and the Schauder fixed-point theorem, we study the sufficient conditions for controllability of Sobolev type fractional integro-differential systems in a Banach space. An example is provided to illustrate the obtained results.

MSC: 26A33; 34G20; 93B05

Keywords: fractional calculus; Sobolev type fractional integro-differential systems; controllability; compact semigroup; mild solution; Schauder fixed-point theorem

1 Introduction

A Sobolev-type equation appears in a variety of physical problems such as flow of fluids through fissured rocks, thermodynamics and propagation of long waves of small amplitude (see [1–3]). Recently, there has been an increasing interest in studying the problem of controllability of Sobolev type integro-differential systems. Balachandran and Dauer [4] studied the controllability of Sobolev type integro-differential systems in Banach spaces. Balachandran and Sakthivel [5] studied the controllability of Sobolev type semilinear integro-differential systems in Banach spaces. Balachandran, Anandhi and Dauer [6] studied the boundary controllability of Sobolev type abstract nonlinear integro-differential systems.

In this paper, we study the controllability of Sobolev type fractional integro-differential systems in Banach spaces in the following form:

$${}^{c}D^{\alpha}(Ex(t)) + Ax(t) = Bu(t) + f(t, x(t)) + \int_{0}^{t} g\left(t, s, x(s), \int_{0}^{s} H(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds,$$

$$t \in J = [0, a], a > 0, x(0) = x_{0},$$
 (1.1)

where *E* and *A* are linear operators with domain contained in a Banach space *X* and ranges contained in a Banach space *Y*. The control function $u(\cdot)$ is in $L^2(J, U)$, a Banach space of admissible control functions, with *U* as a Banach space. *B* is a bounded linear operator from *U* into *Y*. The nonlinear operators $f \in C(J \times X, Y)$, $H \in C(J \times J \times X, X)$ and $g \in C(J \times J \times X, X)$ are all uniformly bounded continuous operators. The fractional derivative ${}^{c}D^{\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ is understood in the Caputo sense.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce preliminary facts which are used throughout this paper.

© 2012 Ahmed; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Definition 2.1 (see [7–9]) The fractional integral of order $\alpha > 0$ with the lower limit zero for a function *f* can be defined as

$$I^{\alpha}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{f(s)}{(t-s)^{1-\alpha}} \, ds, \quad t > 0$$

provided the right-hand side is pointwise defined on $[0, \infty)$, where Γ is the gamma function.

Definition 2.2 (see [7–9]) The Caputo derivative of order α with the lower limit zero for a function *f* can be written as

$${}^{c}D^{\alpha}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{f^{(n)}(s)}{(t-s)^{\alpha+1-n}} \, ds = I^{n-\alpha}f^{(n)}(t), \quad t > 0, 0 \le n-1 < \alpha < n.$$

If f is an abstract function with values in X, then the integrals appearing in the above definitions are taken in Bochner's sense.

The operators $A : D(A) \subset X \to Y$ and $E : D(E) \subset X \to Y$ satisfy the following hypotheses:

 (H_1) A and E are closed linear operators,

 $(H_2) D(E) \subset D(A)$ and *E* is bijective,

 $(H_3) E^{-1}: Y \to D(E)$ is continuous.

The hypotheses H_1 , H_2 and the closed graph theorem imply the boundedness of the linear operator $AE^{-1}: Y \to Y$.

(*H*₄) For each $t \in [0, a]$ and for some $\lambda \in \rho(-AE^{-1})$, the resolvent set of $-AE^{-1}$, the resolvent $R(\lambda, -AE^{-1})$ is a compact operator.

Lemma 2.1 [10] Let S(t) be a uniformly continuous semigroup. If the resolvent set $R(\lambda; A)$ of A is compact for every $\lambda \in \rho(A)$, then S(t) is a compact semigroup.

From the above fact, $-AE^{-1}$ generates a compact semigroup $\{T(t), t \ge 0\}$ in Y, which means that there exists M > 1 such that

$$\max_{t \in J} \left\| T(t) \right\| \le M. \tag{2.1}$$

Definition 2.3 The system (1.1) is said to be controllable on the interval *J* if for every $x_0, x_1 \in X$, there exists a control $u \in L^2(J, U)$ such that the solution $x(\cdot)$ of (1.1) satisfies $x(a) = x_1$.

 (H_5) The linear operator *W* from *U* into *X* defined by

$$Wu = \int_0^a E^{-1}(a-s)^{\alpha-1}T_\alpha(a-s)Bu(s)\,ds$$

has an inverse bounded operator W^{-1} which takes values in $L^2(J, U)/\ker W$, where the kernel space of W is defined by $\ker W = \{x \in L^2(J, U) : Wx = 0\}$, B is a bounded linear operator and $T_{\alpha}(t)$ is defined later.

 (H_6) The function f satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i) For each *t* ∈ *J*, the function *f*(*t*, ·) : *X* → *Y* is continuous, and for each *x* ∈ *X*, the function *f*(·, *x*) : *J* → *Y* is strongly measurable.
- (ii) For each positive number $k \in N$, there is a positive function $g_k(\cdot) : [0, a] \to R^+$ such that

$$\sup_{|x|\leq k} \left| f(t,x) \right| \leq g_k(t),$$

the function $s \to (t - s)^{1-\alpha}g_k(s) \in L^1([0, t], \mathbb{R}^+)$, and there exists a $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\inf\frac{\int_0^t(t-s)^{1-\alpha}g_k(s)\,ds}{k}=\beta<\infty,\quad t\in[0,a].$$

(*H*₇) For each $(t,s) \in J \times J$, the function $H(t,s,\cdot) : X \to X$ is continuous, and for each $x \in X$, the function $H(\cdot, \cdot, x) : J \times J \to X$ is strongly measurable.

- (H_8) The function *g* satisfies the following two conditions:
- (i) For each (*t*, *s*, *x*) ∈ *J* × *J* × *X*, the function g(*t*, *s*, ·, ·) : *X* × *X* → *Y* is continuous, and for each *x* ∈ *X*, *H* ∈ *X*, the function g(·, *x*, *y*) : *J* × *J* → *Y* is strongly measurable.
- (ii) For each positive number $k \in N$, there is a positive function $h_k(\cdot) : [0, a] \to R^+$ such that

$$\sup_{|x|\leq k} \left| \int_0^t g\left(t,s,x,\int_0^s H(s,\tau,x)\,d\tau\right) ds \right| \leq h_k(t),$$

the function $s \to (t - s)^{1-\alpha} h_k(s) \in L^1([0, t], \mathbb{R}^+)$, and there exists a $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\inf\frac{\int_0^t(t-s)^{1-\alpha}h_k(s)\,ds}{k}=\gamma<\infty,\quad t\in[0,a].$$

According to [11, 12], a solution of equation (1.1) can be represented by

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= E^{-1}S_{\alpha}(t)Ex_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1}T_{\alpha}(t-s)E^{-1}f(s,x(s)) \, ds \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1}E^{-1}T_{\alpha}(t-s)Bu(s) \, ds \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1}E^{-1}T_{\alpha}(t-s) \left\{ \int_{0}^{s} g(s,\tau,x(\tau),R(\tau)) \, d\tau \right\} \, ds, \quad t \in J, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.2)$$

where

$$R(\tau) = \int_0^\tau H(\tau, \eta, x(\eta)) d\eta, \qquad S_\alpha(t)x = \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) T(t^\alpha \theta) x d\theta,$$
$$T_\alpha(t)x = \alpha \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) T(t^\alpha \theta) x d\theta$$

with ξ_{α} being a probability density function defined on $(0, \infty)$, that is, $\xi_{\alpha}(\theta) \ge 0, \theta \in (0, \infty)$ and $\int_{0}^{\infty} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) d\theta = 1$.

Remark $\int_0^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) \, d\theta = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}.$

Definition 2.4 By a mild solution of the problem (1.1), we mean that the function $x \in C(J, X)$ satisfies the integral equation (2.2).

Lemma 2.2 (see [11]) The operators $S_{\alpha}(t)$ and $T_{\alpha}(t)$ have the following properties:

- (I) For any fixed $x \in X$, $||S_{\alpha}(t)x|| \le M||x||$, $||T_{\alpha}(t)x|| \le \frac{\alpha M}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}||x||$;
- (II) $\{S_{\alpha}(t), t \ge 0\}$ and $\{T_{\alpha}(t), t \ge 0\}$ are strongly continuous;
- (III) For every t > 0, $S_{\alpha}(t)$ and $T_{\alpha}(t)$ are also compact operators if T(t), t > 0 is compact.

3 Controllability result

In this section, we present and prove our main result.

Theorem 3.1 If the assumptions (H_1) - (H_8) are satisfied, then the system (1.1) is controllable on J provided that $\frac{\alpha M \|E^{-1}\|}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} (\beta + \gamma) [1 + \frac{\alpha^{\alpha} M \|E^{-1}\|}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \|B\| \|W^{-1}\|] < 1.$

Proof Using the assumption (H_5), for an arbitrary function $x(\cdot)$, define the control

$$u(t) = W^{-1} \bigg[x_1 - E^{-1} S_{\alpha}(t) E x_0 - \int_0^a (a-s)^{\alpha-1} E^{-1} T_{\alpha}(a-s) f(s, x(s)) \, ds \\ - \int_0^a (a-s)^{\alpha-1} E^{-1} T_{\alpha}(a-s) \bigg\{ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau), R(\tau)) \, d\tau \bigg\} \, ds \bigg](t).$$

It shall now be shown that when using this control, the operator Q defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (Qx)(t) &= E^{-1}S_{\alpha}(t)Ex_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1}E^{-1}T_{\alpha}(t-s)f(s,x(s))\,ds \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1}E^{-1}T_{\alpha}(t-s)Bu(s)\,ds \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1}E^{-1}T_{\alpha}(t-s)\bigg\{\int_{0}^{s}g(s,\tau,x(\tau),R(\tau))\,d\tau\bigg\}\,ds \end{aligned}$$

from C(J,X) into itself for each $x \in C = C(J,X)$ has a fixed point. This fixed point is then a solution of equation (2.2).

$$\begin{aligned} (Qx)(a) &= E^{-1}S_{\alpha}(a)Ex_{0} + \int_{0}^{a}(a-s)^{\alpha-1}E^{-1}T_{\alpha}(a-s)f(s,x(s))\,ds \\ &+ \int_{0}^{a}(a-s)^{\alpha-1}E^{-1}T_{\alpha}(a-s)BW^{-1} \\ &\times \left[x_{1} - E^{-1}S_{\alpha}(a)Ex_{0} - \int_{0}^{a}(a-\tau)^{\alpha-1}E^{-1}T_{\alpha}(a-\tau)f(\tau,x(\tau))\,d\tau \right. \\ &- \int_{0}^{a}(a-\tau)^{\alpha-1}E^{-1}T_{\alpha}(a-\tau)\left\{\int_{0}^{\tau}g(\tau,\eta,x(\eta),R(\eta))\,d\eta\right\}d\tau \left](s)\,ds \\ &+ \alpha\int_{0}^{a}(a-s)^{\alpha-1}E^{-1}T_{\alpha}(a-s)\left\{\int_{0}^{s}g(s,\tau,x(\tau),R(\tau))\,d\tau\right\}ds = x_{1}. \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily verified that *Q* maps *C* into itself continuously.

For each positive number k > 0, let $B_k = \{x \in C : x(0) = x_0, ||x(t)|| \le k, t \in J\}$. Obviously, B_k is clearly a bounded, closed, convex subset in *C*. We claim that there exists a positive

number *k* such that $QB_k \subset B_k$. If this is not true, then for each positive number *k*, there exists a function $x_k \in B_k$ with $Qx_k \notin B_k$, that is, $||Qx_k|| \ge k$, then $1 \le \frac{1}{k} ||Qx_k||$, and so

$$1 \leq \lim_{k \to \infty} k^{-1} \|Qx_k\|.$$

However,

$$\begin{split} \lim_{k \to \infty} k^{-1} \| Qx_k \| \\ &\leq \lim_{k \to \infty} k^{-1} \bigg\{ M \big\| E^{-1} \big\| \| E \| \| x_0 \| + \frac{\alpha M \| E^{-1} \|}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^a (a - s)^{\alpha - 1} g_k(s) \, ds \\ &+ \frac{\alpha M \| E^{-1} \| \| B \| \| W^{-1} \|}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^a (a - s)^{\alpha - 1} \bigg[\| x_1 \| + M \big\| E^{-1} \big\| \| E \| \| x_0 \| \\ &+ \frac{\alpha M \| E^{-1} \|}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^a (a - \tau)^{\alpha - 1} g_k(\tau) \, d\tau \\ &+ \frac{\alpha M \| E^{-1} \|}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^a (a - \tau)^{\alpha - 1} h_k(\tau) \, d\tau \bigg] \, ds + \frac{\alpha M \| E^{-1} \|}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^a (a - s)^{\alpha - 1} h_k(s) \, ds \bigg] \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha M \| E^{-1} \|}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \beta + \frac{\alpha a^{\alpha} M^2 (\| E^{-1} \|)^2}{(\Gamma(\alpha + 1))^2} \| B \| \big\| W^{-1} \big\| (\beta + \gamma) + \frac{\alpha M \| E^{-1} \|}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \gamma \\ &= \frac{\alpha M \| E^{-1} \|}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} (\beta + \gamma) \bigg[1 + \frac{a^{\alpha} M \| E^{-1} \|}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \| B \| \big\| W^{-1} \big\| \bigg] < 1, \end{split}$$

a contradiction. Hence, $QB_k \subset B_k$ for some positive number k. In fact, the operator Q maps B_k into a compact subset of B_k . To prove this, we first show that the set $V_k(t) = \{(Qx)(t) : x \in B_k\}$ is a precompact in X; for every $t \in J$: This is trivial for t = 0, since $V_k(0) = \{x_0\}$. Let $t, 0 < t \le a$; be fixed. For $0 < \epsilon < t$ and arbitrary $\delta > 0$; take

$$\begin{split} \big(Q^{\epsilon,\delta}x\big)(t) &= \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta)E^{-1}T\big(t^{\alpha}\theta\big)Ex_{0}\,d\theta \\ &+ \alpha \int_{0}^{t-\epsilon} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1}\xi_{\alpha}(\theta)E^{-1}T\big((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta\big)f\big(s,x(s)\big)\,d\theta\,ds \\ &+ \alpha \int_{0}^{t-\epsilon} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1}\xi_{\alpha}(\theta)E^{-1}T\big((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta\big) \\ &\times BW^{-1}\bigg[x_{1} - \int_{0}^{\infty}\xi_{\alpha}(\theta)E^{-1}T\big(a^{\alpha}\theta\big)Ex_{0}\,d\theta \\ &- \alpha \int_{0}^{a} \int_{0}^{\infty} \theta(a-\tau)^{\alpha-1}\xi_{\alpha}(\theta)E^{-1}T\big((a-\tau)^{\alpha}\theta\big)f\big(\tau,x(\tau)\big)\,d\theta\,d\tau \\ &- \alpha \int_{0}^{a} \int_{0}^{\infty} \theta(a-\tau)^{\alpha-1}\xi_{\alpha}(\theta)E^{-1}T\big((a-\tau)^{\alpha}\theta\big) \\ &\times \bigg\{\int_{0}^{\tau}g\big(\tau,\eta,x(\eta),R(\eta)\big)\,d\eta\bigg\}\,d\theta\,d\tau\bigg](s)\,d\theta\,ds \\ &+ \alpha \int_{0}^{t-\epsilon} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1}\xi_{\alpha}(\theta)E^{-1}T\big((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta\big) \\ &\times \bigg\{\int_{0}^{s}g\big(s,\tau,x(\tau),R(\tau)\big)\,d\tau\bigg\}\,d\theta\,ds \end{split}$$

$$= T(\epsilon^{\alpha}\delta) \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T(t^{\alpha}\theta - \epsilon^{\alpha}\delta) Ex_{0} d\theta$$

$$+ T(\epsilon^{\alpha}\delta)\alpha \int_{0}^{t-\epsilon} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1}\xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta - \epsilon^{\alpha}\delta)f(s,x(s)) d\theta ds$$

$$+ T(\epsilon^{\alpha}\delta)\alpha \int_{0}^{t-\epsilon} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1}\xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta - \epsilon^{\alpha}\delta)$$

$$\times BW^{-1}\left[x_{1} - \int_{0}^{\infty} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T(a^{\alpha}\theta) Ex_{0} d\theta$$

$$-\alpha \int_{0}^{a} \int_{0}^{\infty} \theta(a-\tau)^{\alpha-1}\xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((a-\tau)^{\alpha}\theta)f(\tau,x(\tau)) d\theta d\tau$$

$$- \int_{0}^{a} \int_{0}^{\infty} \theta(a-\tau)^{\alpha-1}\xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((a-\tau)^{\alpha}\theta)$$

$$\times \left\{\int_{0}^{\tau} g(\tau,\eta,x(\eta),R(\eta)) d\eta\right\} d\theta d\tau\right](s) d\theta ds$$

$$+ T(\epsilon^{\alpha}\delta)\alpha \int_{0}^{t-\epsilon} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1}\xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta - \epsilon^{\alpha}\delta)$$

$$\times \left\{\int_{0}^{s} g(s,\tau,x(\tau),R(\tau)) d\tau\right\} d\theta ds.$$

Since u(s) is bounded and $T(\epsilon^{\alpha}\delta)$, $\epsilon^{\alpha}\delta > 0$ is a compact operator, then the set $V_k^{\epsilon,\delta}(t) = \{(Q^{\epsilon,\delta}x)(t) : x \in B_k\}$ is a precompact set in *X* for every ϵ , $0 < \epsilon < t$, and for all $\delta > 0$. Also, for $x \in B_k$, using the defined control u(t) yields

$$\begin{split} |(Qx)(t) - (Q^{\epsilon,\delta}x)(t)|| \\ &\leq \left\| \int_{0}^{\delta} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T(t^{\alpha}\theta) Ex_{0} d\theta \right\| \\ &+ \alpha \left\| \int_{t-\epsilon}^{t} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta) f(s,x(s)) d\theta ds \right\| \\ &+ \alpha \left\| \int_{t-\epsilon}^{t} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta) \right\| \\ &\times BW^{-1} \left[x_{1} - \int_{0}^{\infty} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T(a^{\alpha}\theta) Ex_{0} d\theta \right] \\ &- \alpha \int_{0}^{a} \int_{0}^{\infty} \theta(a-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((a-\tau)^{\alpha}\theta) f(\tau,x(\tau)) d\theta d\tau \\ &- \alpha \int_{0}^{a} \int_{0}^{\infty} \theta(a-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((a-\tau)^{\alpha}\theta) \\ &\times \left\{ \int_{0}^{\tau} g(\tau,\eta,x(\eta),R(\eta)) d\eta \right\} d\theta d\tau \right] (s) d\theta ds \\ &+ \alpha \left\| \int_{t-\epsilon}^{t} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta) f(s,x(s)) d\theta ds \right\| \\ &+ \alpha \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\delta} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta) f(s,x(s)) d\theta ds \right\| \\ &+ \alpha \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\delta} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta) f(s,x(s)) d\theta ds \right\| \\ &+ \alpha \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\delta} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta) f(s,x(s)) d\theta ds \right\| \\ &+ \alpha \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\delta} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta) f(s,x(s)) d\theta ds \right\| \\ &+ \alpha \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\delta} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta) f(s,x(s)) d\theta ds \right\| \\ &+ \alpha \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\delta} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta) f(s,x(s)) d\theta ds \right\| \\ &+ \alpha \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\delta} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta) f(s,x(s)) d\theta ds \right\| \\ &+ \alpha \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\delta} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta) f(s,x(s)) d\theta ds \right\| \\ &+ \alpha \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\delta} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta) f(s,x(s)) d\theta ds \right\| \\ &+ \alpha \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\delta} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta) f(s,x(s)) d\theta ds \right\| \\ &+ \alpha \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\delta} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta) f(s,x(s)) d\theta ds \right\| \\ &+ \alpha \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\delta} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta) f(s,x(s)) d\theta ds \right\| \\ &+ \alpha \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\delta} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta) f(s,x(s)) d\theta ds \right\| \\ &+ \alpha \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\delta} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T((t-s)^{\alpha}\theta) f(s,x(s)) d\theta ds \right\| \\ &+ \alpha \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\delta} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T(t-s)^{\alpha} \theta ds \right\| \\ &+ \alpha \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\delta} \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_{\alpha}(\theta) E^{-1}T$$

$$\begin{split} &\times BW^{-1} \bigg[x_1 - \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) E^{-1} T(a^\alpha \theta) Ex_0 \, d\theta \\ &- \alpha \int_0^a \int_0^\infty \theta(a-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) E^{-1} T((a-\tau)^\alpha \theta) f(\tau, x(\tau)) \, d\theta \, d\tau \\ &- \alpha \int_0^a \int_0^\infty \theta(a-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) E^{-1} T((a-\tau)^\alpha \theta) \\ &\times \bigg\{ \int_0^\tau g(\tau, \eta, x(\eta), R(\eta)) \, d\eta \bigg\} \, d\theta \, d\tau \bigg] (s) \, d\theta \, ds \bigg\| \\ &+ \alpha \bigg\| \int_0^t \int_0^\delta \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) E^{-1} T((t-s)^\alpha \theta) \bigg\{ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau), R(\tau)) \, d\tau \bigg\} \, d\theta \, ds \bigg\| \\ &\leq M \| E^{-1} \| \| E \| \| x_0 \| \int_0^\delta \xi_\alpha(\theta) \, d\theta \\ &+ \alpha M \| E^{-1} \| \bigg(\int_{t-\epsilon}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g_k(s) \, ds \bigg) \bigg(\int_\delta^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) \, d\theta \bigg) \\ &+ \alpha M \| E^{-1} \| \| B \| \| W^{-1} \| \int_{t-\epsilon}^a (a-\tau)^{\alpha-1} g_k(\tau) \, d\tau \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M \| E^{-1} \| \int_0^a (a-\tau)^{\alpha-1} h_k(\tau) \, d\tau \bigg] (s) \, ds \bigg(\int_\delta^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) \, d\theta \bigg) \\ &+ \alpha M \| E^{-1} \| \bigg(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g_k(s) \, ds \bigg) \bigg(\int_\delta^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) \, d\theta \bigg) \\ &+ \alpha M \| E^{-1} \| \bigg(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g_k(s) \, ds \bigg) \bigg(\int_\delta^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) \, d\theta \bigg) \\ &+ \alpha M \| E^{-1} \| \| B \| \| W^{-1} \| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \bigg[\| x_1 \| + M \| E^{-1} \| \| x_0 \| \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M \| E^{-1} \| \int_0^a (a-\tau)^{\alpha-1} g_k(\tau) \, d\tau \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M \| E^{-1} \| \int_0^a (a-\tau)^{\alpha-1} g_k(\tau) \, d\tau \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M \| E^{-1} \| \int_0^a (a-\tau)^{\alpha-1} g_k(\tau) \, d\tau \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M \| E^{-1} \| \int_0^a (a-\tau)^{\alpha-1} g_k(\tau) \, d\tau \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M \| E^{-1} \| \int_0^a (a-\tau)^{\alpha-1} g_k(\tau) \, d\tau \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M \| E^{-1} \| \int_0^a (a-\tau)^{\alpha-1} g_k(\tau) \, d\tau \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} M \| E^{-1} \| \int_0^a (a-\tau)^{\alpha-1} g_k(\tau) \, d\tau \\ &+ \alpha M \| E^{-1} \| \| (\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} h_k(s) \, ds) \bigg) \bigg(\int_0^\delta \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) \, d\theta \bigg) . \end{split}$$

Therefore, as $\epsilon \to 0^+$ and $\delta \to 0^+$, there are precompact sets arbitrary close to the set $V_k(t)$ and so $V_k(t)$ is precompact in X.

Next, we show that $QB_k = \{Qx : x \in B_k\}$ is an equicontinuous family of functions. Let $x \in B_k$ and $t, \tau \in J$ such that $0 < t < \tau$, then

$$\begin{split} \left\| (Qx)(t) - (Qx)(\tau) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| T \left(t^{\alpha} \theta \right) - T \left(\tau^{\alpha} \theta \right) \right\| \left\| E^{-1} \right\| \left\| E \right\| \left\| x_{0} \right\| \\ &+ \frac{\alpha \left\| E^{-1} \right\|}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{0}^{t} \left\| (t-s)^{1-\alpha} T \left((t-s)^{\alpha} \theta \right) - (\tau-s)^{1-\alpha} T \left((\tau-s)^{\alpha} \theta \right) \right\| g_{k}(s) \, ds \\ &+ \frac{\alpha M \left\| E^{-1} \right\|}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{t}^{\tau} (\tau-s)^{1-\alpha} g_{k}(s) \, ds \end{split}$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{0}^{t} \left\| (t-s)^{1-\alpha} T((t-s)^{\alpha} \theta) - (\tau-s)^{1-\alpha} T((\tau-s)^{\alpha} \theta) \right\| \left\| E^{-1} \right\| \left\| B \right\| \left\| W^{-1} \right\| \\ \times \left[\left\| x_{1} \right\| + \left\| E^{-1} \right\| M \right\| \left\| E \right\| \left\| x_{0} \right\| + \frac{\alpha M \| E^{-1} \|}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{0}^{a} (a-\tau)^{1-\alpha} g_{k}(\tau) \, d\tau \\ + \frac{\alpha M \| E^{-1} \|}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{0}^{a} (a-\tau)^{1-\alpha} h_{k}(\tau) \, d\tau \right] (s) \, ds \\ + \frac{\alpha M \| E^{-1} \|}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{0}^{a} (a-\tau)^{1-\alpha} \left\| E^{-1} \right\| \left\| B \right\| \left\| W^{-1} \right\| \left[\left\| x_{1} \right\| + \left\| E^{-1} \right\| M \| E \| \left\| x_{0} \right\| \\ + \frac{\alpha M \| E^{-1} \|}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{0}^{a} (a-\tau)^{1-\alpha} g_{k}(\tau) \, d\tau \\ + \frac{\alpha M \| E^{-1} \|}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{0}^{a} (a-\tau)^{1-\alpha} h_{k}(\tau) \, d\tau \right] (s) \, ds \\ + \frac{\alpha M \| E^{-1} \|}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{0}^{t} \left\| (t-s)^{1-\alpha} T((t-s)^{\alpha} \theta) - (\tau-s)^{1-\alpha} T((\tau-s)^{\alpha} \theta) \right\| h_{k}(s) \, ds \\ + \frac{\alpha M \| E^{-1} \|}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{t}^{\tau} (\tau-s)^{1-\alpha} h_{k}(s) \, ds.$$

Now, T(t) is continuous in the uniform operator topology for t > 0 since T(t) is compact, and the right-hand side of the above inequality tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \tau$. Thus, QB_k is both equicontinuous and bounded. By the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, QB_k is precompact in C(J, X). Hence, Q is a completely continuous operator on C(J, X).

From the Schauder fixed-point theorem, Q has a fixed point in B_k . Any fixed point of Q is a mild solution of (1.1) on J satisfying $(Qx)(t) = x(t) \in X$. Thus, the system (1.1) is controllable on J.

4 Example

In this section, we present an example to our abstract results.

We consider the fractional integro-partial differential equation in the form

$$\begin{aligned} c\partial_{t}^{\alpha} \left(z(t,x) - z_{xx}(t,x) \right) &- z_{xx}(t,x) \\ &= Bu + \mu_{1} \left(t, z_{xx}(t,x) \right) \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \mu_{3} \left(t, s, z_{xx}(s,x), \int_{0}^{s} \mu_{2} \left(s, \tau, z_{xx}(\tau,x) \right) d\tau \right) ds, \quad 0 \le x \le \pi, t \in J, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z(t,0) &= z(t,\pi) = 0, \quad t \in J, \\ z(0,x) &= z_{0}(x), \quad x \in [0,\pi], \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.1)$$

where ${}^{c}\partial_{t}^{\alpha}$ is the Caputo fractional partial derivative of order $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Take $X = Y = L^2[0, \pi]$ and define the operators $A : D(A) \subset X \to Y$ and $E : D(E) \subset X \to Y$ by $Az = -z_{xx}$ and $Ez = z - z_{xx}$, where each domain D(A) and D(E) is given by $\{z \in X : z, z_x \text{ are absolutely continuous}, z_{xx} \in X, z(0) = z(\pi) = 0\}$.

Then *A* and *E* can be written respectively as [13]

$$Az = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2(z, z_n) z_n, \quad z \in D(A),$$

$$Ez = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1+n^2)(z,z_n)z_n, \quad z \in D(E),$$

where $z_n(x) = \sqrt{2/\pi} \sin nx$, n = 1, 2, ..., is the orthonormal set of eigenvectors of A and (z, z_n) is the L^2 inner product. Moreover, for $z \in X$, we get

$$E^{-1}z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+n^2}(z, z_n)z_n,$$

-AE⁻¹z = $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{-n^2}{1+n^2}(z, z_n)z_n,$
T(t)z = $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{\frac{-n^2}{(1+n^2)}t}(z, z_n)z_n.$

We assume that

(*A*₁): The operator $B: U \to Y$, with $U \subset J$, is a bounded linear operator.

 (A_2) : The linear operator $W: U \to X$ defined by

$$Wu = \int_0^a E^{-1}(a-s)^{\alpha-1}T_\alpha(a-s)Bu(s)\,ds$$

has an inverse bounded operator W^{-1} which takes values in $L^2(J, U)/\ker W$, where the kernel space of W is defined by $\ker W = \{x \in L^2(J, U) : Wx = 0\}$, B is a bounded linear operator.

(*A*₃): The nonlinear operator $\mu_1: J \times X \to Y$ satisfies the following three conditions:

- (i) For each $t \in J$, $\mu_1(t, z)$ is continuous.
- (ii) For each $z \in X$, $\mu_1(t, z)$ is measurable.
- (iii) There is a constant ν (0 < ν < 1) and a function $h(\cdot) : [0, a] \rightarrow R^+$ such that for all $(t, z) \in J \times X$,

$$\left\|\mu_1(t,z)\right\| \le h(t)|z|^{\nu}.$$

(*A*₄): The nonlinear operator $\mu_2: J \times J \times X \to X$ satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i) For each $(t,s) \in J \times J$, $\mu_2(t,s,z)$ is continuous.
- (ii) For each $z \in X$, $\mu_2(t, s, z)$ is measurable.

(*A*₅): The nonlinear operator $\mu_3 : J \times J \times X \times X \to Y$ satisfies the following three conditions:

- (i) For each $(t, s, z) \in J \times J \times X$, $\mu_3(t, s, z)$ is continuous.
- (ii) For each $z \in X$, $\mu_3(t, s, z)$ is measurable.
- (iii) There is a constant ν (0 < ν < 1) and a function $g(\cdot) : [0, a] \rightarrow R^+$ such that for all $(t, s, z, y) \in J \times J \times X \times X$,

$$\left\|\int_0^t \mu_3\left(t,s,z,\int_0^s \mu_2(s,\tau,z)\,d\tau\right)ds\right\| \leq g(t)|z|^{\nu}.$$

Define an operator $f: J \times X \to Y$ by

$$f(t,z)(x) = \mu_1(t,z_{xx}(x))$$

and let

$$H(t,s,z)(x) = \mu_2(t,s,z_{xx}(x)), \quad (t,s,z) \in J \times J \times X,$$
$$g\left(t,s,z,\int_0^s H(s,\tau,z)\,d\tau\right)(x) = \mu_3\left(t,s,z_{xx},\int_0^s \mu_2(s,\tau,z_{xx}(x))\,d\tau\right), \quad x \in [0,\pi].$$

Then the problem (4.1) can be formulated abstractly as:

$${}^{c}D^{\alpha}(Ez(t)) + Az(t)$$

= $Bu(t) + f(t,z(t)) + \int_{0}^{t} g(t,s,z,\int_{0}^{s} H(s,\tau,z(\tau)) d\tau) ds, \quad t \in J, z(0) = z_{0}$

It is easy to see that $-AE^{-1}$ generates a uniformly continuous semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ on Y which is compact, and (2.1) is satisfied. Also, the operator f satisfies condition (H_6) and the operator H and g satisfy (H_7) and (H_8). Also all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Hence, the equation (4.1) is controllable on J.

Competing interests

The author declare that he has no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the referees and professor Ravi Agarwal for their valuable comments and suggestions.

Received: 19 March 2012 Accepted: 10 September 2012 Published: 25 September 2012

References

- 1. Barenblatt, G, Zheltov, I, Kochina, I: Basic concepts in the theory of seepage of homogeneous liquids in fissured rocks. J. Appl. Math. Mech. 24, 1286-1303 (1960)
- Chen, PJ, Curtin, ME: On a theory of heat conduction involving two temperatures. Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 19, 614-627 (1968)
- 3. Huilgol, R: A second order fluid of the differential type. Int. J. Non-Linear Mech. 3, 471-482 (1968)
- Balachandran, K, Dauer, JP: Controllability of Sobolev type integrodifferential systems in Banach spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 217, 335-348 (1998)
- Balachandran, K, Sakthivel, R: Controllability of Sobolev type semilinear integro-differential systems in Banach spaces. Appl. Math. Lett. 12, 63-71 (1999)
- Balachandran, K, Anandhi, ER, Dauer, JP: Boundary controllability of Sobolev type abstract nonlinear integro-differential systems. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 277, 446-464 (2003)
- 7. Podlubny, I: Fractional Differential Equations. Academic Press, San Diego (1999)
- 8. Miller, KS, Ross, B: An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations. John Wiley, New York (1993)
- 9. Samko, S, Kilbas, A, Marichev, OL: Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Gordon & Breach, New York (1993)
- 10. Pazy, A: Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations. Springer, New York (1983)
- 11. Zhou, Y, Jiao, F: Existence of mild solutions for fractional neutral evolution equations. Comput. Math. Appl. 59, 1063-1077 (2010)
- 12. El-Borai, MM: Some probability densities and fundamental solutions of fractional evolution equations. Chaos Solitons Fractals 14(3), 433-440 (2002)
- Lightboure, JH III, Rankin, SM III: A partial functional differential equation of Sobolev type. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 93, 328-337 (1983)

doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2012-167

Cite this article as: Ahmed: **Controllability for Sobolev type fractional integro-differential systems in a Banach space**. *Advances in Difference Equations* 2012 **2012**:167.