

BOUNDEDNESS IN FUNCTIONAL DYNAMIC EQUATIONS ON TIME SCALES

ELVAN AKIN-BOHNER AND YOUSSEF N. RAFFOUL

Received 1 February 2006; Revised 25 March 2006; Accepted 27 March 2006

Using nonnegative definite Lyapunov functionals, we prove general theorems for the boundedness of all solutions of a functional dynamic equation on time scales. We apply our obtained results to linear and nonlinear Volterra integro-dynamic equations on time scales by displaying suitable Lyapunov functionals.

Copyright © 2006 E. Akin-Bohner and Y. N. Raffoul. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the boundedness of solutions of equations of the form

$$x^\Delta(t) = G(t, x(s); 0 \leq s \leq t) := G(t, x(\cdot)) \quad (1.1)$$

on a time scale \mathbb{T} (a nonempty closed subset of real numbers), where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $G : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a given nonlinear continuous function in t and x . For a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we take $\|x\|$ to be the Euclidean norm of x . We refer the reader to [8] for the continuous case, that is, $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$.

In [6], the boundedness of solutions of

$$x^\Delta(t) = G(t, x(t)), \quad x(t_0) = x_0, \quad t_0 \geq 0, \quad x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \quad (1.2)$$

is considered by using a type I Lyapunov function. Then, in [5], the authors considered nonnegative definite Lyapunov functions and obtained sufficient conditions for the exponential stability of the zero solution. However, the results in either [5] or [6] do not apply to the equations similar to

$$x^\Delta = a(t)x + \int_0^t B(t, s)f(x(s))\Delta s, \quad (1.3)$$

2 Boundedness in functional dynamic equations on time scales

which is the Volterra integro-dynamic equation. In particular, we are interested in applying our results to (1.3) with $f(x) = x^n$, where n is positive and rational. The authors are confident that there is nothing in the literature that deals with the qualitative analysis of Volterra integro-dynamic equations on time scales. Thus, this paper is going to play a major role in any future research that is related to Volterra integro-dynamic equations.

Let $\phi : [0, t_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be continuous, we define $|\phi| = \sup\{\|\phi(t)\| : 0 \leq t \leq t_0\}$.

We say that solutions of (1.1) are *bounded* if any solution $x(t, t_0, \phi)$ of (1.1) satisfies

$$\|x(t, t_0, \phi)\| \leq C(|\phi|, t_0), \quad \forall t \geq t_0, \quad (1.4)$$

where C is a constant and depends on t_0 . Moreover, solutions of (1.1) are *uniformly bounded* if C is independent of t_0 . Throughout this paper, we assume $0 \in \mathbb{T}$ and $[0, \infty) = \{t \in \mathbb{T} : 0 \leq t < \infty\}$.

Next, we generalize a “type I Lyapunov function” which is defined by Peterson and Tisdell [6] to Lyapunov functionals. We say $V : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a *type I Lyapunov functional* on $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ when

$$V(t, x) = \sum_{i=1}^n (V_i(x_i) + U_i(t)), \quad (1.5)$$

where each $V_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $U_i : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuously differentiable. Next, we extend the definition of the derivative of a type I Lyapunov function to type I Lyapunov functionals. If V is a type I Lyapunov functional and x is a solution of (1.1), then (2.11) gives

$$\begin{aligned} [V(t, x)]^\Delta &= \sum_{i=1}^n (V_i(x_i(t)) + U_i(t))^\Delta \\ &= \int_0^1 \nabla V[x(t) + h\mu(t)G(t, x(\cdot))] \cdot G(t, x(\cdot)) dh + \sum_{i=1}^n U_i^\Delta(t), \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where $\nabla = (\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)$ is the gradient operator. This motivates us to define $\dot{V} : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\dot{V}(t, x) = [V(t, x)]^\Delta. \quad (1.7)$$

Continuing in the spirit of [6], we have

$$\dot{V}(t, x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{V_i(x_i + \mu(t)G_i(t, x(\cdot))) - V_i(x_i)}{\mu(t)} + \sum_{i=1}^n U_i^\Delta(t), & \text{when } \mu(t) \neq 0, \\ \nabla V(x) \cdot G(t, x(\cdot)) + \sum_{i=1}^n U_i^\Delta(t), & \text{when } \mu(t) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

We also use a continuous strictly increasing function $W_i : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $W_i(0) = 0$, $W_i(s) > 0$, if $s > 0$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

We make use of the above expression in our examples.

Example 1.1. Assume $\phi(t, s)$ is right-dense continuous (rd-continuous) and let

$$V(t, x) = x^2 + \int_0^t \phi(t, s) W(|x(s)|) \Delta s. \quad (1.9)$$

If x is a solution of (1.1), then we have by using (2.10) and Theorem 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(t, x) &= 2x \cdot G(t, x(\cdot)) + \mu(t) G^2(t, x(\cdot)) \\ &+ \int_0^t \phi^\Delta(t, s) W(|x(s)|) \Delta s + \phi(\sigma(t), t) W(|x(t)|), \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

where $\phi^\Delta(t, s)$ denotes the derivative of ϕ with respect to the first variable.

We say that a type I Lyapunov functional $V : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto [0, \infty)$ is *negative definite* if $V(t, x) > 0$ for $x \neq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $V(t, x) = 0$ for $x = 0$ and along the solutions of (1.1), we have $\dot{V}(t, x) \leq 0$. If the condition $\dot{V}(t, x) \leq 0$ does not hold for all $(t, x) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, then the Lyapunov functional is said to be *nonnegative definite*.

In the case of differential equations or difference equations, it is known that if one can display a negative definite Lyapunov function, or functionals, for (1.1), then boundedness of all solutions follows. In [8], the second author displayed nonnegative Lyapunov functionals and proved boundedness of all solutions of (1.1), in the case $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$.

2. Calculus on time scales

In this section, we introduce a calculus on time scales including preliminary results. An introduction with applications and advances in dynamic equations are given in [2, 3]. Our aim is not only to unify some results when $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ but also to extend them for other time scales such as $h\mathbb{Z}$, where $h > 0$, $q^{\mathbb{N}_0}$, where $q > 1$ and so on. We define the *forward jump operator* σ on \mathbb{T} by

$$\sigma(t) := \inf\{s > t : s \in \mathbb{T}\} \in \mathbb{T} \quad (2.1)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$. In this definition, we put $\inf(\emptyset) = \sup \mathbb{T}$. The *backward jump operator* ρ on \mathbb{T} is defined by

$$\rho(t) := \sup\{s < t : s \in \mathbb{T}\} \in \mathbb{T} \quad (2.2)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$. If $\sigma(t) > t$, we say t is *right-scattered*, while if $\rho(t) < t$, we say t is *left-scattered*. If $\sigma(t) = t$, we say t is *right-dense*, while if $\rho(t) = t$, we say t is *left-dense*. The *graininess function* $\mu : \mathbb{T} \mapsto [0, \infty)$ is defined by

$$\mu(t) := \sigma(t) - t. \quad (2.3)$$

\mathbb{T} has left-scattered maximum point m , then $\mathbb{T}^\kappa = \mathbb{T} - \{m\}$. Otherwise, $\mathbb{T}^\kappa = \mathbb{T}$. Assume $x : \mathbb{T} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$. Then we define $x^\Delta(t)$ to be the vector (provided it exists) with the property that given any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a neighborhood U of t such that

$$|[x_i(\sigma(t)) - x_i(s)] - x_i^\Delta(t)[\sigma(t) - s]| \leq \epsilon |\sigma(t) - s| \quad (2.4)$$

4 Boundedness in functional dynamic equations on time scales

for all $s \in U$ and for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We call $x^\Delta(t)$ the *delta derivative* of $x(t)$ at t , and it turns out that $x^\Delta(t) = x'(t)$ if $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ and $x^\Delta(t) = x(t+1) - x(t)$ if $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$. If $G^\Delta(t) = g(t)$, then the Cauchy integral is defined by

$$\int_a^t g(s) \Delta s = G(t) - G(a). \quad (2.5)$$

It can be shown that if $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous at $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and t is right-scattered, then

$$f^\Delta(t) = \frac{f(\sigma(t)) - f(t)}{\mu(t)}, \quad (2.6)$$

while if t is right-dense, then

$$f^\Delta(t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s}, \quad (2.7)$$

if the limit exists. If $f, g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ are differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}$, then the product and quotient rules are as follows:

$$(fg)^\Delta(t) = f^\Delta(t)g(t) + f^\sigma(t)g^\Delta(t), \quad (2.8)$$

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\Delta(t) = \frac{f^\Delta(t)g(t) - f(t)g^\Delta(t)}{g(t)g^\sigma(t)} \quad \text{if } g(t)g^\sigma(t) \neq 0. \quad (2.9)$$

If f is differentiable at t , then

$$f^\sigma(t) = f(t) + \mu(t)f^\Delta(t), \quad \text{where } f^\sigma = f \circ \sigma. \quad (2.10)$$

We say $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *rd-continuous* provided f is continuous at each right-dense point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and whenever $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is left-dense, $\lim_{s \rightarrow t^-} f(s)$ exists as a finite number. We say that $p : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *regressive* provided $1 + \mu(t)p(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$. We define the set \mathcal{R} of all regressive and rd-continuous functions. We define the set \mathcal{R}^+ of all positively regressive elements of \mathcal{R} by $\mathcal{R}^+ = \{p \in \mathcal{R} : 1 + \mu(t)p(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}\}$.

The following chain rule is due to Poetzsche and the proof can be found in [2, Theorem 1.90].

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuously differentiable and suppose $g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is delta differentiable. Then $f \circ g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is delta differentiable and the formula*

$$(f \circ g)^\Delta(t) = \left\{ \int_0^1 f'(g(t) + h\mu(t)g^\Delta(t)) dh \right\} g^\Delta(t) \quad (2.11)$$

holds.

We use the following result [2, Theorem 1.117] to calculate the derivative of the Lyapunov function in further sections.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$ and assume $k : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}^\kappa \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at (t, t) , where $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$ with $t > t_0$. Also assume that $k(t, \cdot)$ is rd-continuous on $[t_0, \sigma(t)]$. Suppose for each $\epsilon > 0$,*

there exists a neighborhood of t , independent U of $\tau \in [t_0, \sigma(t)]$, such that

$$|k(\sigma(t), \tau) - k(s, \tau) - k^\Delta(t, \tau)(\sigma(t) - s)| \leq \epsilon |\sigma(t) - s| \quad \forall s \in U, \quad (2.12)$$

where k^Δ denotes the derivative of k with respect to the first variable. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &:= \int_{t_0}^t k(t, \tau) \Delta\tau \quad \text{implies} \quad g^\Delta(t) = \int_{t_0}^t k^\Delta(t, \tau) \Delta\tau + k(\sigma(t), t); \\ h(t) &:= \int_t^b k(t, \tau) \Delta\tau \quad \text{implies} \quad h^\Delta(t) = \int_t^b k^\Delta(t, \tau) \Delta\tau - k(\sigma(t), t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

We apply the following Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in [2, Theorem 6.15] to prove Theorem 4.1.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$. For rd-continuous $f, g : [a, b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\int_a^b |f(t)g(t)| \Delta t \leq \sqrt{\left\{ \int_a^b |f(t)|^2 \Delta t \right\} \left\{ \int_a^b |g(t)|^2 \Delta t \right\}}. \quad (2.14)$$

If $p : \mathbb{T} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is rd-continuous and regressive, then the exponential function $e_p(t, t_0)$ is for each fixed $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ the unique solution of the initial value problem

$$x^\Delta = p(t)x, \quad x(t_0) = 1 \quad (2.15)$$

on \mathbb{T} . Under the addition on \mathcal{R} defined by

$$(p \oplus q)(t) = p(t) + q(t) + \mu(t)p(t)q(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad (2.16)$$

is an Abelian group (see [2]), where the additive inverse of p , denoted by $\ominus p$, is defined by

$$(\ominus p)(t) = \frac{-p(t)}{1 + \mu(t)p(t)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (2.17)$$

We use the following properties of the exponential function $e_p(t, s)$ which are proved in Bohner and Peterson [2].

THEOREM 2.4. *If $p, q \in \mathcal{R}$, then for $t, s, r, t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$,*

- (i) $e_p(t, t) \equiv 1$ and $e_0(t, s) \equiv 1$;
- (ii) $e_p(\sigma(t), s) = (1 + \mu(t)p(t))e_p(t, s)$;
- (iii) $1/e_p(t, s) = e_{\ominus p}(t, s) = e_p(s, t)$;
- (iv) $e_p(t, s)/e_q(t, s) = e_{p \ominus q}(t, s)$;
- (v) $e_p(t, s)e_q(t, s) = e_{p \oplus q}(t, s)$.

Moreover, the following can be found in [1].

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$.*

- (i) *If $p \in \mathcal{R}^+$, then $e_p(t, t_0) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$.*
- (ii) *If $p \geq 0$, then $e_p(t, t_0) \geq 1$ for all $t \geq t_0$. Therefore, $e_{\ominus p}(t, t_0) \leq 1$ for all $t \geq t_0$.*

3. Boundedness of solutions

In this section, we use a nonnegative definite type I Lyapunov functional and establish sufficient conditions to obtain boundedness of solutions of (1.1).

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that there exists a type I Lyapunov functional $V : [0, \infty) \times D \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that for all $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times D$,*

$$\lambda_1 W_1(|x|) \leq V(t, x) \leq \lambda_2 W_2(|x|) + \lambda_2 \int_0^t \phi_1(t, s) W_3(|x(s)|) \Delta s, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\dot{V}(t, x) \leq \frac{-\lambda_3 W_4(|x|) - \lambda_3 \int_0^t \phi_2(t, s) W_5(|x(s)|) \Delta s + L}{1 + \mu(t)(\lambda_3/\lambda_2)}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$, and L are positive constants and $\phi_i(t, s) \geq 0$ is rd-continuous function for $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$, $i = 1, 2$ such that

$$W_2(|x|) - W_4(|x|) + \int_0^t (\phi_1(t, s) W_3(|x(s)|) - \phi_2(t, s) W_5(|x(s)|)) \Delta s \leq \gamma, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\gamma \geq 0$. If $\int_0^t \phi_1(t, s) \Delta s \leq B$ for some $B \geq 0$, then all solutions of (1.1) staying in D are uniformly bounded.

Proof. Let x be a solution of (1.1) with $x(t) = \phi(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq t_0$. Set $M = \lambda_3/\lambda_2$. By (2.8) and (2.10) and inequalities (3.1), (3.2), and (3.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [V(t, x(t))e_M(t, t_0)]^\Delta &= \dot{V}(t, x(t))e_M^\sigma(t, t_0) + MV(t, x(t))e_M(t, t_0) \\ &= [\dot{V}(t, x(t))(1 + \mu(t)M) + MV(t, x(t))]e_M(t, t_0) \\ &\leq \left[-\lambda_3 W_4(|x|) - \lambda_3 \int_0^t \phi_2(t, s) W_5(|x(s)|) \Delta s + L \right] e_M(t, t_0) \\ &\quad + \left[\lambda_3 W_2(|x|) + \lambda_3 \int_0^t \phi_1(t, s) W_3(|x(s)|) \Delta s \right] e_M(t, t_0) \\ &\leq [\lambda_3 \gamma + L] e_M(t, t_0) =: K e_M(t, t_0), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where we used Theorem 2.5(i). Integrating both sides from t_0 to t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(t, x(t))e_M(t, t_0) &\leq V(t_0, \phi) + \frac{K}{M} \int_{t_0}^t e_M^\Delta(\tau, t_0) \Delta \tau \\ &= V(t_0, \phi) + \frac{K}{M} (e_M(t, t_0) - 1) \leq V(t_0, \phi) + \frac{K}{M} e_M(t, t_0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

It follows from Theorem 2.4(iii) that for all $t \geq t_0$,

$$V(t, x(t)) \leq V(t_0, \phi) e_{\ominus M}(t, t_0) + \frac{K}{M}. \quad (3.6)$$

From inequality (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_1(|x|) &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left(V(t_0, \phi) e_{\ominus M}(t, t_0) + \frac{K}{M} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left[\lambda_2 W_2(|\phi|) + \lambda_2 W_3(|\phi|) \int_0^{t_0} \phi_1(t_0, s) \Delta s + \frac{K}{M} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where we used the fact Theorem 2.5(ii). Therefore, we obtain

$$|x| \leq W_1^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left[\lambda_2 W_2(|\phi|) + \lambda_2 W_3(|\phi|) \int_0^{t_0} \phi_1(t_0, s) \Delta s + \frac{K}{M} \right] \right\} \quad (3.8)$$

for all $t \geq t_0$. This concludes the proof. \square

In the next theorem, we give sufficient conditions to show that solutions of (1.1) are bounded.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that there exists a type I Lyapunov functional $V : [0, \infty) \times D \mapsto [0, \infty)$ such that for all $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times D$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(t) W_1(|x|) &\leq V(t, x) \leq \lambda_2(t) W_2(|x|) + \lambda_2(t) \int_0^t \phi_1(t, s) W_3(|x(s)|) \Delta s, \\ \dot{V}(t, x) &\leq \frac{-\lambda_3(t) W_4(|x|) - \lambda_3(t) \int_0^t \phi_2(t, s) W_5(|x(s)|) \Delta s + L}{1 + \mu(t)(\lambda_3(t)/\lambda_2(t))}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ are positive continuous functions, L is a positive constant, λ_1 is nondecreasing, and $\phi_i(t, s) \geq 0$ is rd-continuous for $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$, $i = 1, 2$, such that

$$W_2(|x|) - W_4(|x|) + \int_0^t (\phi_1(t, s) W_3(|x|) - \phi_2(t, s) W_5(|x(s)|)) \Delta s \leq \gamma, \quad (3.10)$$

where $\gamma \geq 0$. If $\int_0^t \phi_1(t, s) \Delta s \leq B$ and $\lambda_3(t) \leq N$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$ and some positive constants B and N , then all solutions of (1.1) staying in D are bounded.

Proof. Let $M := \inf_{t \geq 0} (\lambda_3(t)/\lambda_2(t)) > 0$ and let x be any solution of (1.1) with $x(t_0) = \phi(t_0)$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [V(t, x(t)) e_M(t, t_0)]^\Delta &= \dot{V}(t, x(t)) e_M^\sigma(t, t_0) + M V(t, x(t)) e_M(t, t_0) \\ &= [\dot{V}(t, x(t)) (1 + \mu(t) M) + M V(t, x(t))] e_M(t, t_0) \\ &\leq \left[-\lambda_3(t) W_4(|x|) - \lambda_3(t) \int_0^t \phi_2(t, s) W_5(|x(s)|) \Delta s + L \right] e_M(t, t_0) \\ &\quad + \left[M \lambda_2(t) W_2(|x|) + M \lambda_2(t) \int_0^t \phi_1(t, s) W_3(|x(s)|) \Delta s \right] e_M(t, t_0) \\ &\leq [\lambda_3(t) \gamma + L] e_M(t, t_0) \leq (N \gamma + L) e_M(t, t_0) =: K e_M(t, t_0), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

8 Boundedness in functional dynamic equations on time scales

because of $M \leq \lambda_3(t)/\lambda_2(t)$, $\lambda_3(t) \leq N$, for $t \in [0, \infty)$ and Theorem 2.5(i). Integrating both sides from t_0 to t , we obtain

$$V(t, x(t))e_M(t, t_0) \leq V(t_0, \phi) + \frac{K}{M}e_M(t, t_0). \quad (3.12)$$

This implies from Theorem 2.4(iii) that for all $t \geq t_0$,

$$V(t, x(t)) \leq V(t_0, \phi)e_{\ominus M}(t, t_0) + \frac{K}{M}. \quad (3.13)$$

From inequality (3.1), we have

$$W_1(|x|) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1(t_0)} \left(\lambda_2(t_0)W_2(|\phi|) + \lambda_2(t_0)W_3(|\phi|) \int_0^{t_0} \phi_1(t_0, s)\Delta s + \frac{K}{M} \right) \quad (3.14)$$

for all $t \geq t_0$, where we used the fact Theorem 2.5(ii) and λ_1 is nondecreasing. \square

The following theorem is the special case of [8, Theorem 2.6].

THEOREM 3.3. *Suppose there exists a continuously differentiable type I Lyapunov functional $V : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto [0, \infty)$ that satisfies*

$$\lambda_1 \|x\|^p \leq V(t, x), \quad V(t, x) \neq 0 \quad \text{if } x \neq 0, \quad (3.15)$$

$$[V(t, x)]^\Delta \leq -\lambda_2(t)V(t, x)V^\sigma(t, x) \quad (3.16)$$

for some positive constants λ_1 and p are positive constants, and λ_2 is a positive continuous function such that

$$c_1 = \inf_{0 \leq t_0 \leq t} \lambda_2(t). \quad (3.17)$$

Then all solutions of (1.1) satisfy

$$\|x\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{1/p}} \left[\frac{1}{1/V(t_0, \phi) + c_1(t - t_0)} \right]^{1/p}. \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. For any $t_0 \geq 0$, let x be the solution of (1.1) with $x(t_0) = \phi(t_0)$. By inequalities (3.16) and (3.17), we have

$$[V(t, x)]^\Delta \leq -c_1 V(t, x)V^\sigma(t, x). \quad (3.19)$$

Let $u(t) = V(t, x(t))$ so that we have

$$\frac{u^\Delta(t)}{u(t)u^\sigma(t)} \leq -c_1. \quad (3.20)$$

Since $(1/u(t))^\Delta = -u^\Delta/u(t)u(\sigma(t))$, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{u(t)}\right)^\Delta \geq c_1. \quad (3.21)$$

Integrating the above inequality from t_0 to t , we have

$$u(t) \leq \frac{1}{1/u(t_0) + c_1(t - t_0)} \quad (3.22)$$

or

$$V(t, x(t)) \leq \frac{1}{1/V(t_0, \phi) + c_1(t - t_0)}. \quad (3.23)$$

Using (3.15), we obtain

$$\|x\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{1/p}} \left[\frac{1}{1/V(t_0, \phi) + c_1(t - t_0)} \right]^{1/p}. \quad (3.24)$$

□

The next theorem is an extension of [7, Theorem 2.6].

THEOREM 3.4. *Assume $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and there exists a type I Lyapunov functional $V : [0, \infty) \times D \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that for all $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times D$,*

$$\lambda_1 \|x\|^p \leq V(t, x), \quad (3.25)$$

$$\dot{V}(t, x) \leq \frac{-\lambda_2 V(x) + L}{1 + \varepsilon \mu(t)}, \quad (3.26)$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, p > 0$, $L \geq 0$ are constants and $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda_2$. Then all solutions of (1.1) staying in D are bounded.

Proof. For any $t_0 \geq 0$, let x be the solution of (1.1) with $x(t_0) = \phi$. Since $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{R}^+$, $e_\varepsilon(t, 0)$ is well defined and positive. By (3.26), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [V(t, x(t))e_\varepsilon(t, 0)]^\Delta &= \dot{V}(t, x(t))e_\varepsilon^\sigma(t, 0) + \varepsilon V(t, x(t))e_\varepsilon(t, 0), \\ &\leq (-\lambda_2 V(t, x(t)) + L)e_\varepsilon(t, 0) + \varepsilon V(t, x(t))e_\varepsilon(t, 0), \\ &= e_\varepsilon(t, 0)[\varepsilon V(t, x(t)) - \lambda_2 V(t, x(t)) + L] \leq L e_\varepsilon(t, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

Integrating both sides from t_0 to t , we obtain

$$V(t, x(t))e_\varepsilon(t, 0) \leq V(t_0, \phi) + \frac{L}{\varepsilon} e_\varepsilon(t, 0). \quad (3.28)$$

Dividing both sides of the above inequality by $e_\varepsilon(t, 0)$ and then using (3.25) and Theorem 2.5, we obtain

$$\|x\| \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \right\}^{1/p} \left[V(t_0, \phi) + \frac{L}{\varepsilon} \right]^{1/p} \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_0. \quad (3.29)$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.5. In Theorem 3.4, if $V(t_0, \phi)$ is uniformly bounded, then one concludes that all solutions of (1.1) that stay in D are uniformly bounded.

4. Applications to Volterra integro-dynamic equations

In this section, we apply our theorems from the previous section and obtain sufficient conditions that insure the boundedness and uniform boundedness of solutions of Volterra integro-dynamic equations. We begin with the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.1. *Suppose $B(t, s)$ is rd-continuous and consider the scalar nonlinear Volterra integro-dynamic equation*

$$x^\Delta = a(t)x(t) + \int_0^t B(t, s)x^{2/3}(s)\Delta s, \quad t \geq 0, \quad x(t) = \phi(t) \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq t_0, \quad (4.1)$$

where ϕ is a given bounded continuous initial function on $[0, \infty)$, and a is a continuous function on $[0, \infty)$. Suppose there are positive constants ν, β_1, β_2 , with $\nu \in (0, 1)$, and $\lambda_3 = \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[2a(t) + \mu(t)a^2(t) + \mu(t)|a(t)| \int_0^t |B(t, s)| \Delta s + \int_0^t |B(t, s)| \Delta s \right. \\ & \left. + \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty |B(u, t)| \Delta u \right] (1 + \mu(t)\lambda_3) \leq -\beta_1, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

$$\left\{ \frac{2}{3} \left[1 + \mu(t)|a(t)| + \mu(t) \int_0^t |B(t, s)| \Delta s \right] - \nu \right\} (1 + \mu(t)\lambda_3) \leq -\beta_2, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\int_0^t \int_t^\infty |B(u, s)| \Delta u \Delta s < \infty, \quad \int_0^t |B(t, s)| \Delta s < \infty, \quad (4.4)$$

$$|B(t, s)| \geq \nu \int_t^\infty |B(u, s)| \Delta u,$$

then all solutions of (4.1) are uniformly bounded.

Proof. Let

$$V(t, x) = x^2(t) + \nu \int_0^t \int_t^\infty |B(u, s)| \Delta u x^2(s) \Delta s. \quad (4.5)$$

Using Theorem 2.2, we have along the solutions of (4.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}(t, x) &= 2x(t) \left(a(t)x(t) + \int_0^t B(t, s)x^{2/3}(s)\Delta s \right) \\
&\quad + \mu(t) \left(a(t)x(t) + \int_0^t B(t, s)x^{2/3}(s)\Delta s \right)^2 \\
&\quad - \nu \int_0^t |B(t, s)|x^2(s)\Delta s + \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty |B(u, t)|x^2(t)\Delta u \\
&\leq 2a(t)x^2(t) + 2 \int_0^t |B(t, s)| |x(t)|x^{2/3}(s)\Delta s \\
&\quad + \mu(t)a^2(t)x^2(t) + 2\mu(t)|a(t)| \int_0^t |B(t, s)| |x(t)|x^{2/3}(s)\Delta s \\
&\quad + \mu(t) \left(\int_0^t B(t, s)x^{2/3}(s)\Delta s \right)^2 \\
&\quad + \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty |B(u, t)|x^2(t)\Delta u - \nu \int_0^t |B(t, s)|x^2(s)\Delta s.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Using the fact that $ab \leq a^2/2 + b^2/2$ for any real numbers a and b , we have

$$2 \int_0^t |B(t, s)| |x(t)|x^{2/3}(s)\Delta s \leq \int_0^t |B(t, s)| (x^2(t) + x^{4/3}(s))\Delta s. \tag{4.7}$$

Also, using Theorem 2.3, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_0^t |B(t, s)|x^{2/3}(s)\Delta s \right)^2 &= \left(\int_0^t |B(t, s)|^{1/2} |B(t, s)|^{1/2} x^{2/3}(s)\Delta s \right)^2 \\
&\leq \int_0^t |B(t, s)|\Delta s \int_0^t |B(t, s)|x^{4/3}(s)\Delta s.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

A substitution of the above two inequalities into (4.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}(t, x) &\leq \left[2a(t) + \mu(t)a^2(t) + \mu(t)|a(t)| \int_0^t |B(t, s)|\Delta s \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^t |B(t, s)|\Delta s + \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty |B(u, t)|\Delta u \right] x^2(t) \\
&\quad + \left[1 + \mu(t)|a(t)| + \mu(t) \int_0^t |B(t, s)|\Delta s \right] \int_0^t |B(t, s)|x^{4/3}(s)\Delta s \\
&\quad - \nu \int_0^t |B(t, s)|x^2(s)\Delta s.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

12 Boundedness in functional dynamic equations on time scales

To further simplify (4.9), we make use of Young's inequality, which says that for any two nonnegative real numbers w and z , we have

$$wz \leq \frac{w^e}{e} + \frac{z^f}{f}, \quad \text{with } \frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{f} = 1. \quad (4.10)$$

Thus, for $e = 3/2$ and $f = 3$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t |B(t,s)| x^{4/3}(s) \Delta s &= \int_0^t |B(t,s)|^{1/3} |B(t,s)|^{2/3} x^{4/3}(s) \Delta s \\ &\leq \int_0^t \left(\frac{|B(t,s)|}{3} + \frac{2}{3} |B(t,s)| x^2(s) \right) \Delta s. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

By substituting the above inequality into (4.9), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(t,x) &\leq \left[2a(t) + \mu(t)a^2(t) + \mu(t)|a(t)| \int_0^t |B(t,s)| \Delta s \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t |B(t,s)| \Delta s + \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty |B(u,t)| \Delta u \right] x^2(t) \\ &\quad + \left[-\nu + \frac{2}{3} \left(1 + \mu(t)|a(t)| + \mu(t) \int_0^t |B(t,s)| \Delta s \right) \right] \int_0^t |B(t,s)| x^2(s) \Delta s \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \mu(t)|a(t)| + \mu(t) \int_0^t |B(t,s)| \Delta s \right) \int_0^t |B(t,s)| \Delta s. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Multiplying and dividing the above inequality by $1 + \mu(t)\lambda_3$, and then applying conditions (4.2) and (4.3), $\dot{V}(t,x)$ reduces to

$$\dot{V}(t,x) \leq \frac{-\beta_1 x^2(t) - \beta_2 \int_0^t |B(t,s)| x^2(s) \Delta s + L}{1 + \mu(t)\lambda_3}, \quad (4.13)$$

where $L = 1/3(1 + \mu(t)|a(t)| + \mu(t) \int_0^t |B(t,s)| \Delta s) \int_0^t |B(t,s)| \Delta s (1 + \mu(t)\lambda_3)$. By taking $W_1 = W_2 = W_4 = x^2(t)$, $W_3 = W_5 = x^2(s)$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$ and $\lambda_3 = \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$, $\phi_1(t,s) = \nu \int_t^\infty |B(u,s)| \Delta u$, and $\phi_2(t,s) = |B(t,s)|$, we see that conditions (3.1) and (3.2) of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Next we make sure that condition (3.3) holds. Use (4.4) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W_2(|x|) - W_4(|x|) + \int_0^t (\phi_1(t,s)W_3(|x(s)|) - \phi_2(t,s)W_5(|x(s)|)) \Delta s \\ = x^2(t) - x^2(t) + \int_0^t \left(\nu \int_t^\infty |B(u,s)| \Delta u - |B(t,s)| \right) x^2(s) \Delta s \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Thus condition (3.3) is satisfied with $\gamma = 0$. An application of Theorem 3.1 yields the results. \square

Remark 4.2. In the case $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, the second author in [8] took $\nu = 1$ in the displayed Lyapunov functional. On the other hand, in our theorem, we had to incorporate such ν

in the Lyapunov functional, otherwise, condition (4.5) may only hold if $B(t, s) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$ with $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$ for a particular time scale. For example, if we take $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, then condition (4.5) reduces to $|B(t, s)| \geq \nu \sum_{u=t}^{\infty} |B(u, s)|$, which can only hold if $B(t, s) = 0$ for $\nu = 1$.

Remark 4.3. If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, then $\mu(t) = 0$ for all t and hence Theorem 4.1 reduces to [8, Example 2.3].

Remark 4.4. We assert that Theorem 4.1 can be easily generalized to handle scalar non-linear Volterra integro-dynamic equations of the form

$$x^\Delta = a(t)x(t) + \int_0^t B(t, s)f(s, x(s))\Delta s, \tag{4.15}$$

where $|f(t, x(t))| \leq x^{2/3}(t) + M$ for some positive constant M .

For the next theorem, we consider the scalar Volterra integro-dynamic equation

$$x^\Delta(t) = a(t)x(t) + \int_0^t B(t, s)f(s, x(s))\Delta s + g(t, x(t)), \tag{4.16}$$

where $t \geq 0$, $x(t) = \phi(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq t_0$, ϕ is a given bounded continuous initial function, $a(t)$ is continuous for $t \geq 0$, and $B(t, s)$ is right-dense continuous for $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$. We assume $f(t, x)$ and $g(t, x)$ are continuous in x and t and satisfy

$$|g(t, x)| \leq \gamma_1(t) + \gamma_2(t)|x(t)|, \quad |f(t, x)| \leq \gamma(t)|x(t)|, \tag{4.17}$$

where γ and γ_2 are positive and bounded, and γ_1 is nonnegative and bounded.

For the next theorem, we need the identity

$$|x(t)|^\Delta = \frac{x(t) + x^\sigma(t)}{|x(t)| + |x^\sigma(t)|}x^\Delta(t). \tag{4.18}$$

Its proof can be found in [4].

THEOREM 4.5. *Suppose there exist constants $k > 1$ and ε, α with $0 < \varepsilon < \alpha$ such that*

$$\left[a(t) + \gamma_2(t) + k \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\infty} |B(u, t)| \Delta u \gamma(t) \right] (1 + \varepsilon \mu(t)) \leq -\alpha < 0, \tag{4.19}$$

where $k = 1 + \zeta$ for some $\zeta > 0$. Suppose

$$(1 + \mu(t)\varepsilon) |B(t, s)| \geq \lambda \int_t^{\infty} |B(u, s)| \Delta u, \tag{4.20}$$

where $\lambda \geq k\alpha/\zeta$, $0 \leq s < t \leq u < \infty$,

$$\int_0^{t_0} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} |B(u, s)| \Delta u \gamma(s) \Delta s \leq \rho < \infty \quad \forall t_0 \geq 0, \tag{4.21}$$

and for some positive constant L ,

$$\gamma_1(t)(1 + \varepsilon\mu(t)) \leq L. \quad (4.22)$$

Then all solutions of (4.16) are uniformly bounded.

Proof. Define

$$V(t, x(\cdot)) = |x(t)| + k \int_0^t \int_t^\infty |B(u, s)| \Delta u |f(s, x(s))| \Delta s. \quad (4.23)$$

Along the solutions of (4.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(t, x) &= \frac{x(t) + x^\sigma(t)}{|x(t)| + |x^\sigma(t)|} x^\Delta(t) + k \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty |B(u, t)| \Delta u |f(t, x(t))| \\ &\quad - k \int_0^t |B(t, s)| |f(s, x(s))| \Delta s \leq a(t) |x(t)| + \int_0^t |B(t, s)| |f(s, x(s))| \Delta s \\ &\quad + |g(t, x(t))| + k \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty |B(u, t)| \Delta u |f(t, x(t))| - k \int_0^t |B(t, s)| |f(s, x(s))| \Delta s \\ &\leq \left[a(t) + \gamma_2(t) + k \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty |B(u, t)| \Delta u \gamma(t) \right] |x(t)| \\ &\quad + (1 - k) \int_0^t |B(t, s)| |f(s, x(s))| \Delta s + \gamma_1(t) \\ &= \left[a(t) + \gamma_2(t) + k \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty |B(u, t)| \Delta u \gamma(t) \right] |x(t)| \frac{1 + \mu(t)\varepsilon}{1 + \mu(t)\varepsilon} \\ &\quad - \zeta(1 + \mu(t)\varepsilon) \int_0^t |B(t, s)| |f(s, x(s))| \Delta s \frac{1}{1 + \mu(t)\varepsilon} + (1 + \mu(t)\varepsilon) \gamma_1(t) \frac{1}{1 + \mu(t)\varepsilon} \\ &\leq -\alpha |x(t)| \frac{1}{1 + \mu(t)\varepsilon} - \zeta \lambda \int_0^t \int_t^\infty |B(u, s)| \Delta u |f(s, x(s))| \Delta s \frac{1}{1 + \mu(t)\varepsilon} + \frac{L}{1 + \mu(t)\varepsilon} \\ &= -\alpha \left[|x(t)| + k \int_0^t \int_t^\infty |B(u, s)| \Delta u |f(s, x(s))| \Delta s \right] \frac{1}{1 + \mu(t)\varepsilon} + \frac{L}{1 + \mu(t)\varepsilon} \\ &= \frac{-\alpha V(t, x) + L}{1 + \mu(t)\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

The results follow from Theorem 3.4 and Remark 3.5. \square

In the next theorem, we establish sufficient conditions that guarantee the boundedness of all solutions of the vector Volterra integro-dynamic equation

$$x^\Delta = Ax(t) + \int_0^t C(t, s)x(s)\Delta s + g(t), \quad (4.25)$$

where $t \geq 0$, $x(t) = \phi(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq t_0$, ϕ is a given bounded continuous initial $k \times 1$ vector function. Also, A and $C(t, s)$ are $k \times k$ matrix with $C(t, s)$ being continuous on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$, g, x are $k \times 1$ vector functions that are continuous for $t \in \mathbb{T}$. If D is a matrix, then $|D|$ means the sum of the absolute values of the elements.

THEOREM 4.6. *Suppose $C^T(t, s) = C(t, s)$. Let I be the $k \times k$ identity matrix. Assume there exist positive constants $L, \nu, \xi, \beta_1, \beta_2, \lambda_3$, and $k \times k$ positive definite constant symmetric matrix B such that*

$$[A^T B + BA + \mu(t)A^T B A] \leq -\xi I, \quad (4.26)$$

$$\left[-\xi + |A^T B g| + |B g| + \int_0^t |B| |C(t, s)| \Delta s + \mu(t) \int_0^t |A^T B| |C(t, s)| \Delta s + \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty |C(u, t)| \Delta u \right] (1 + \mu(t)\lambda_3) \leq -\beta_1, \quad (4.27)$$

$$\left[|B| - \nu + \mu(t) \left((g^T B)^2 + 1 + |A^T B| + \int_0^t |C(t, s)| \Delta s \right) \right] (1 + \mu(t)\lambda_3) \leq -\beta_2, \quad (4.28)$$

$$(\mu(t) |g^T g| + |B g|) (1 + \mu(t)\lambda_3) + \mu(t) |A^T B g| = L, \quad (4.29)$$

$$|C(t, s)| \geq \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty |C(u, s)| \Delta u, \quad (4.30)$$

$$\int_0^t \int_t^\infty |C(u, s)| \Delta u \Delta s < \infty, \quad \int_0^t |C(t, s)| \Delta s < \infty. \quad (4.31)$$

Then there exists an $r_1 \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$r_1 x^T x \leq x^T B x \leq x^T x. \quad (4.32)$$

Proof. Let the matrix B be defined by (4.26) and define

$$V(t, x) = x^T B x + \nu \int_0^t \int_t^\infty |C(u, s)| \Delta u x^2(s) \Delta s. \quad (4.33)$$

Here $x^T x = x^2 = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_k^2)$. Using the product rule given in (2.8), we have along the solutions of (4.25) that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(t, x) &= (x^\Delta)^T B x + (x^\sigma)^T B x^\Delta - \nu \int_0^t |C(t, s)| x^2(s) \Delta s + \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty |C(u, t)| \Delta u x^2 \\ &= (x^\Delta)^T B x + (x + \mu(t)x^\Delta)^T B x^\Delta - \nu \int_0^t |C(t, s)| x^2(s) \Delta s + \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty |C(u, t)| \Delta u x^2 \\ &= (x^\Delta)^T B x + x^T B x^\Delta + \mu(t) (x^\Delta)^T B x^\Delta - \nu \int_0^t |C(t, s)| x^2(s) \Delta s + \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty |C(u, t)| \Delta u x^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

Substituting the right-hand side of (4.25) for x^Δ into (4.34) and making use of (4.26), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(t, x) &= \left[Ax + \int_0^t C(t, s)x(s)\Delta s + g \right]^T Bx + x^T B \left[Ax + \int_0^t C(t, s)x(s)\Delta s + g \right] \\ &\quad + \mu(t) \left[Ax + \int_0^t C(t, s)x(s)\Delta s + g \right]^T B \left[Ax + \int_0^t C(t, s)x(s)\Delta s + g \right] \\ &\quad - \nu \int_0^t |C(t, s)| x^2(s)\Delta s + \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty |C(u, t)| \Delta u x^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

By noting that the right side of (4.35) is scalar and by recalling that B is a symmetric matrix, expression (4.35) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(t, x) &= x^T (A^T B + BA + \mu(t)A^T B A)x + 2x^T Bg + 2 \int_0^t x^T B C(t, s)x(s)\Delta s \\ &\quad + \mu(t) \left[2x^T A^T Bg + 2g^T B \int_0^t C(t, s)x(s)\Delta s + 2x^T A^T B \int_0^t C(t, s)x(s)\Delta s \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t x^T(s)C(t, s)\Delta s B \int_0^t C(t, s)x(s)\Delta s + g^T Bg \right] \\ &\quad - \nu \int_0^t |C(t, s)| x^2(s)\Delta s + \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty |C(u, t)| \Delta u x^2 \\ &\leq -\xi x^2 + 2|x^T| |Bg| + 2 \int_0^t |x^T| |B| |C(t, s)| |x(s)| \Delta s \\ &\quad + \mu(t) \left[\int_0^t |C(t, s)| 2|g^T B| |x(s)| \Delta s + 2 \int_0^t |x^T| |A^T B| |C(t, s)| |x(s)| \Delta s \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t x^T(s)C(t, s)B\Delta s \int_0^t C(t, s)x(s)\Delta s + |g^T g| + 2|x^T| |A^T Bg| \right] \\ &\quad - \nu \int_0^t |C(t, s)| x^2(s)\Delta s + \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty |C(u, t)| \Delta u x^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

Next, we perform some calculations to simplify inequality (4.36),

$$\begin{aligned} 2|x^T| |Bg| &= 2|x^T| |Bg|^{1/2} |Bg|^{1/2} \leq x^2 |Bg| + |Bg|, \\ 2|x^T| |A^T Bg| &= |x^T| |A^T Bg|^{1/2} |A^T Bg|^{1/2} \leq x^2 |A^T Bg| + |A^T Bg|, \\ 2 \int_0^t |x^T| |B| |C(t, s)| |x(s)| \Delta s &\leq \int_0^t |B| |C(t, s)| (x^2 + x^2(s)) \Delta s, \\ \int_0^t |C(t, s)| 2|g^T B| |x(s)| \Delta s &\leq \int_0^t |C(t, s)| (|g^T B|^2 + x^2(s)) \Delta s, \\ 2 \int_0^t |x^T| |A^T B| |C(t, s)| |x(s)| \Delta s &\leq \int_0^t |A^T B| |C(t, s)| (x^2 + x^2(s)) \Delta s. \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t x^T(s)C(t,s)\Delta s B \int_0^t C(t,s)x(s)\Delta s \\
& \leq |B| \left| \int_0^t x^T(s)C(t,s)\Delta s \right| \left| \int_0^t C(t,s)x(s)\Delta s \right| \\
& \leq \frac{|B| \left(\int_0^t x^T(s)C(t,s)\Delta s \right)^2}{2} + \frac{|B| \left(\int_0^t C(t,s)x(s)\Delta s \right)^2}{2} \\
& = |B| \left(\int_0^t C(t,s)x(s)\Delta s \right)^2 \\
& = |B| \left(\int_0^t |C(t,s)|^{1/2} |C(t,s)|^{1/2} |x(s)| \Delta s \right)^2 \\
& \leq |B| \int_0^t |C(t,s)| \Delta s \int_0^t |C(t,s)| x^2(s) \Delta s.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

A substitution of the above inequalities into (4.36) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}(t,x) & \leq \left[-\xi + \mu(t) |A^T B g| + |B g| + \int_0^t |B| |C(t,s)| \Delta s \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \mu(t) \int_0^t |A^T B| |C(t,s)| \Delta s + \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty |C(u,t)| \Delta u \right] x^2 \\
& \quad + \left[|B| - \nu + \mu(t) \left((g^T B)^2 + 1 + |A^T B| \right. \right. \\
& \quad \quad \left. \left. + |B| \int_0^t |C(t,s)| \Delta s \right) \right] \int_0^t |C(t,s)| x^2(s) \Delta s \\
& \quad + \mu(t) (|A^T B g| + |g^T B g|) + |B g|.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

Multiplying and dividing the above inequality by $1 + \mu(t)\lambda_3$, and then applying conditions (4.30) and (4.31) $\dot{V}(t,x)$ reduces to

$$\dot{V}(t,x) \leq \frac{-\beta_1 x^2 - \beta_2 \int_0^t |C(t,s)| x^2(s) \Delta s + L}{1 + \mu(t)\lambda_3}, \tag{4.40}$$

where $L = (\mu(t)(|A^T B g| + |g^T B g|) + |B g|)(1 + \mu(t)\lambda_3)$. By taking $W_1 = r_1 x^T x$, $W_2 = x^T B x$, $W_4 = x^T x$, $W_3 = W_5 = x^2(s)$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$ and $\lambda_3 = \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$, $\phi_1(t,s) = \nu \int_t^\infty |C(u,s)| \Delta u$, and $\phi_2(t,s) = |C(t,s)|$, we see that conditions (3.1) and (3.2) of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Next we make sure that condition (3.3) holds. Using (4.29) and (4.32), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& W_2(|x|) - W_4(|x|) + \int_0^t (\phi_1(t,s)W_3(|x(s)|) - \phi_2(t,s)W_5(|x(s)|)) \Delta s \\
& = x^T B x - x^T x + \int_0^t \left(\nu \int_t^\infty |C(u,s)| \Delta u - |C(t,s)| \right) x^2(s) \Delta s \leq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

Thus condition (3.3) is satisfied with $\gamma = 0$. An application of Theorem 3.1 yields the results. \square

Remark 4.7. It is worth mentioning that Theorem 4.6 is new when $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$.

Acknowledgments

The first author acknowledges financial support through a University of Missouri Research Board grant and a travel grant from the Association of Women in Mathematics.

References

- [1] E. Akin-Bohner, M. Bohner, and F. Akin, *Pachpatte inequalities on time scales*, Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics **6** (2005), no. 1, 1–23, article 6.
- [2] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales. An Introduction with Applications*, Birkhäuser Boston, Massachusetts, 2001.
- [3] M. Bohner and A. Peterson (eds.), *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser Boston, Massachusetts, 2003.
- [4] M. Bohner and Y. N. Raffoul, *Volterra dynamic equations on time scales*, preprint.
- [5] A. C. Peterson and Y. N. Raffoul, *Exponential stability of dynamic equations on time scales*, Advances in Difference Equations **2005** (2005), no. 2, 133–144.
- [6] A. C. Peterson and C. C. Tisdell, *Boundedness and uniqueness of solutions to dynamic equations on time scales*, Journal of Difference Equations and Applications **10** (2004), no. 13–15, 1295–1306.
- [7] Y. N. Raffoul, *Boundedness in nonlinear differential equations*, Nonlinear Studies **10** (2003), no. 4, 343–350.
- [8] ———, *Boundedness in nonlinear functional differential equations with applications to Volterra integrodifferential equations*, Journal of Integral Equations and Applications **16** (2004), no. 4, 375–388.

Elvan Akin-Bohner: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Missouri-Rolla, 310 Rolla Building, Rolla, MO 65409-0020, USA
E-mail address: akine@umr.edu

Youssef N. Raffoul: Department of Mathematics, University of Dayton, Dayton, OH 45469-2316, USA
E-mail address: youssef.raffoul@notes.udayton.edu