

Research Article

Global Uniqueness Results for Fractional Order Partial Hyperbolic Functional Differential Equations

Saïd Abbas,¹ Mouffak Benchohra,² and Juan J. Nieto³

¹ Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université de Saïda, P.O. Box 138, Saïda 20000, Algeria

² Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université de Sidi Bel-Abbès, P.O. Box 89, Sidi Bel-Abbès 22000, Algeria

³ Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain

Correspondence should be addressed to Juan J. Nieto, juanjose.nieto.roig@usc.es

Received 22 November 2010; Accepted 29 January 2011

Academic Editor: J. J. Trujillo

Copyright © 2011 Saïd Abbas et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We investigate the global existence and uniqueness of solutions for some classes of partial hyperbolic differential equations involving the Caputo fractional derivative with finite and infinite delays. The existence results are obtained by applying some suitable fixed point theorems.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we provide sufficient conditions for the global existence and uniqueness of some classes of fractional order partial hyperbolic differential equations. As a first problem, we discuss the global existence and uniqueness of solutions for an initial value problem (IVP for short) of a system of fractional order partial differential equations given by

$$({}^c D_0^r u)(x, y) = f(x, y, u_{(x,y)}); \quad \text{if } (x, y) \in J, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(x, y) = \phi(x, y); \quad \text{if } (x, y) \in \tilde{J}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$u(x, 0) = \varphi(x), \quad u(0, y) = \psi(y); \quad x, y \in [0, \infty), \quad (1.3)$$

where $J = [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$, $\tilde{J} := [-\alpha, \infty) \times [-\beta, \infty) \setminus (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$; $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $\phi \in C(\tilde{J}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, ${}^c D_0^r$ is the Caputo's fractional derivative of order $r = (r_1, r_2) \in (0, 1] \times (0, 1]$, $f : J \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, is a given function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ are given absolutely continuous functions

with $\varphi(x) = \phi(x, 0)$, $\psi(y) = \phi(0, y)$ for each $x, y \in [0, \infty)$, and $\mathcal{C} := C([- \alpha, 0] \times [- \beta, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of continuous functions on $[- \alpha, 0] \times [- \beta, 0]$.

If $u \in C([- \alpha, \infty) \times [- \beta, \infty), \mathbb{R}^n)$, then for any $(x, y) \in J$ define $u_{(x,y)}$ by

$$u_{(x,y)}(s, t) = u(x + s, y + t), \quad \text{for } (s, t) \in [- \alpha, 0] \times [- \beta, 0]. \quad (1.4)$$

Next we consider the following initial value problem for partial neutral functional differential equations with finite delay of the form

$${}^c D_0^r(u(x, y) - g(x, y, u_{(x,y)})) = f(x, y, u_{(x,y)}); \quad \text{if } (x, y) \in J, \quad (1.5)$$

$$u(x, y) = \phi(x, y); \quad \text{if } (x, y) \in \tilde{J}, \quad (1.6)$$

$$u(x, 0) = \varphi(x), \quad u(0, y) = \psi(y); \quad x, y \in [0, \infty), \quad (1.7)$$

where f, ϕ, φ, ψ are as in problem (1.1)–(1.3), and $g : J \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a given function.

The third result deals with the existence of solutions to fractional order partial hyperbolic functional differential equations with infinite delay of the form

$$({}^c D_0^r u)(x, y) = f(x, y, u_{(x,y)}); \quad \text{if } (x, y) \in J, \quad (1.8)$$

$$u(x, y) = \phi(x, y); \quad \text{if } (x, y) \in \tilde{J}', \quad (1.9)$$

$$u(x, 0) = \varphi(x), \quad u(0, y) = \psi(y); \quad x, y \in [0, \infty), \quad (1.10)$$

where φ, ψ are as in problem (1.1)–(1.3) and $\tilde{J}' = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$, $f : J \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\phi \in C(\tilde{J}', \mathbb{R}^n)$, and B is called a phase space that will be specified in Section 4.

We denote by $u_{(x,y)}$ the element of B defined by

$$u_{(x,y)}(s, t) = u(x + s, y + t); \quad (s, t) \in (-\infty, 0] \times (-\infty, 0]. \quad (1.11)$$

Finally we consider the following initial value problem for partial neutral functional differential equations with infinite delay

$${}^c D_0^r(u(x, y) - g(x, y, u_{(x,y)})) = f(x, y, u_{(x,y)}); \quad \text{if } (x, y) \in J, \quad (1.12)$$

$$u(x, y) = \phi(x, y); \quad \text{if } (x, y) \in \tilde{J}', \quad (1.13)$$

$$u(x, 0) = \varphi(x), \quad u(0, y) = \psi(y); \quad x, y \in [0, \infty), \quad (1.14)$$

where f, ϕ, φ, ψ are as in problem (1.8)–(1.10) and $g : J \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a given continuous function.

In this paper, we present global existence and uniqueness results for the above-cited problems. We make use of the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type for contraction maps on Fréchet spaces.

The problem of existence of solutions of Cauchy-type problems for ordinary differential equations of fractional order without delay in spaces of integrable functions was

studied in numerous works (see [1, 2]), a similar problem in spaces of continuous functions was studied in [3]. We can find numerous applications of differential equations of fractional order in viscoelasticity, electrochemistry, control, porous media, electromagnetic, theory of neolithic transition, and so forth, (see [4–11]). There has been a significant development in ordinary and partial fractional differential equations in recent years; see the monographs of Kilbas et al. [12], Lakshmikantham et al. [13], Miller and Ross [14], Samko et al. [15], the papers of Abbas and Benchohra [16–18], Agarwal et al. [19, 20], Ahmad and Nieto [21–23], Belarbi et al. [24], Benchohra et al. [25–27], Chang and Nieto [28], Diethelm et al. [4, 29], Heinsalu et al. [30], Jumarie [31], Kilbas and Marzan [32], Luchko et al. [33], Magdziarz et al. [34], Mainardi [9], Rossikhin and Shitikova [35], Vityuk and Golushkov [36], Yu and Gao [37], and Zhang [38] and the references therein.

For integer order derivative, various classes of hyperbolic differential equations were considered on bounded domain; see, for instance, the book by Kamont [39], the papers by Człapiński [40], Dawidowski and Kubiacyk [41], Kamont, and Kropielnicka [42], Lakshmikantham and Pandit [43], and Pandit [44].

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce notations, definitions, and preliminary facts which are used throughout this paper. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $J_0 := [0, p] \times [0, p]$. Let $C(J_0, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be the Banach space of all continuous functions from J_0 into \mathbb{R}^n with the norm

$$\|z\|_\infty = \sup_{(x,y) \in J_0} \|z(x, y)\|, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes a suitable complete norm on \mathbb{R}^n . As usual, by $AC(J_0, \mathbb{R}^n)$ we denote the space of absolutely continuous functions from J_0 into \mathbb{R}^n and $L^1(J_0, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of Lebegue-integrable functions $w : J_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with the norm

$$\|w\|_1 = \int_0^p \int_0^p \|w(x, y)\| dy dx. \quad (2.2)$$

Let $r_1, r_2 > 0$ and $r = (r_1, r_2)$. For $z \in L^1(J_0, \mathbb{R}^n)$, the expression

$$(I_0^r z)(x, y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} z(s, t) dt ds, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Euler gamma function, is called the left-sided mixed Riemann-Liouville integral of order r .

Denote by $D_{xy}^2 := \partial^2 / \partial x \partial y$, the mixed second-order partial derivative.

Definition 2.1 (see [36]). For $z \in L^1(J_0, \mathbb{R}^n)$, the Caputo fractional-order derivative of order $r \in (0, 1] \times (0, 1]$ of z is defined by the expression $({}^c D_0^r z)(x, y) = (I_0^{1-r} D_{xy}^2 z)(x, y)$.

In the definition above by $1-r$ we mean $(1-r_1, 1-r_2) \in (0, 1] \times (0, 1]$.

If z is an absolutely continuous function, then its Caputo fractional derivative $({}^c D_0^r z)(x, y)$ exists for each $(x, y) \in J_0$.

Let X be a Fréchet space with a family of seminorms $\{\|\cdot\|_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. We assume that the family of seminorms $\{\|\cdot\|_n\}$ verifies:

$$\|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_3 \leq \cdots \quad \text{for every } x \in X. \quad (2.4)$$

Let $Y \subset X$, we say that Y is bounded if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\overline{M}_n > 0$ such that

$$\|y\|_n \leq \overline{M}_n, \quad \forall y \in Y. \quad (2.5)$$

To X we associate a sequence of Banach spaces $\{(X^n, \|\cdot\|_n)\}$ as follows. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the equivalence relation \sim_n defined by: $x \sim_n y$ if and only if $\|x - y\|_n = 0$ for $x, y \in X$. We denote $X^n = (X/\sim_n, \|\cdot\|_n)$ the quotient space, the completion of X^n with respect to $\|\cdot\|_n$. To every $Y \subset X$, we associate a sequence $\{Y^n\}$ of subsets $Y^n \subset X^n$ as follows. For every $x \in X$, we denote $[x]_n$ the equivalence class of x of subset X^n and we defined $Y^n = \{[x]_n : x \in Y\}$. We denote \overline{Y}^n , $\text{int}_n(Y^n)$ and $\partial_n Y^n$, respectively, the closure, the interior and the boundary of Y^n with respect to $\|\cdot\|_n$ in X^n . For more information about this subject see [45].

Definition 2.2. Let X be a Fréchet space. A function $N : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be a contraction if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $k_n \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|N(u) - N(v)\|_n \leq k_n \|u - v\|_n, \quad \forall u, v \in X. \quad (2.6)$$

Theorem 2.3 (see [45]). *Let X be a Fréchet space and $Y \subset X$ a closed subset in X . Let $N : Y \rightarrow X$ be a contraction such that $N(Y)$ is bounded. Then one of the following statements holds:*

- (a) *the operator N has a unique fixed point;*
- (b) *there exists $\lambda \in [0, 1)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in \partial_n Y^n$ such that $\|u - \lambda N(u)\|_n = 0$.*

In the sequel we will make use of the following generalization of Gronwall's lemma for two independent variables and singular kernel.

Lemma 2.4 (see [46]). *Let $v : J_0 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a real function and $\omega(\cdot, \cdot)$ be a nonnegative, locally integrable function on J . If there are constants $c > 0$ and $0 < l_1, l_2 < 1$ such that*

$$v(x, y) \leq \omega(x, y) + c \int_0^x \int_0^y \frac{v(s, t)}{(x-s)^{l_1} (y-t)^{l_2}} dt ds, \quad (2.7)$$

then there exists a constant $k = k(l_1, l_2)$ such that

$$v(x, y) \leq \omega(x, y) + kc \int_0^x \int_0^y \frac{\omega(s, t)}{(x-s)^{l_1} (y-t)^{l_2}} dt ds, \quad (2.8)$$

for every $(x, y) \in J_0$.

3. Global Result for Finite Delay

Let us start by defining what we mean by a global solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.3).

Definition 3.1. A function $u \in C_0 := C([-α, ∞) \times [-β, ∞), \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that its mixed derivative D_{xy}^2 exists and is integrable on J is said to be a global solution of (1.1)–(1.3) if u satisfies (1.1) and (1.3) on J and the condition (1.2) on \tilde{J} .

Let $h \in L^1(J_0, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and consider the following problem

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^c D_0^r u)(x, y) &= h(x, y); & (x, y) \in J_0, \\ u(x, 0) &= \varphi(x), & u(0, y) = \psi(y); & x, y \in [0, p], \\ \varphi(0) &= \psi(0). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

For the existence of global solutions for the problem (1.1)–(1.3), we need the following known lemma.

Lemma 3.2 (see [16, 17]). *A function $u \in AC(J_0, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a global solution of problem (3.1) if and only if $u(x, y)$ satisfies*

$$u(x, y) = \mu(x, y) + (I_0^r h)(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in J_0, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\mu(x, y) = \varphi(x) + \psi(y) - \varphi(0). \tag{3.3}$$

As a consequence of Lemma 3.2, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.3. *A function $u \in AC(J_0, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a global solution of problem (1.1)–(1.3) if and only if $u(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$, $(x, y) \in \tilde{J}$ and $u(x, y)$ satisfies*

$$u(x, y) = \mu(x, y) + (I_0^r f)(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in J_0, \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$\mu(x, y) = \varphi(x) + \psi(y) - \varphi(0). \tag{3.5}$$

For each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider following set:

$$C_p = C([-α, p] \times [-β, p], \mathbb{R}^n), \tag{3.6}$$

and we define in C_0 the seminorms by

$$\|u\|_p = \sup\{\|u(x, y)\| : -α \leq x \leq p, -β \leq y \leq p\}. \tag{3.7}$$

Then C_0 is a Fréchet space with the family of seminorms $\{\|u\|_p\}$.

Further, we present conditions for the existence and uniqueness of a global solution of problem (1.1)–(1.3).

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that*

(H1) *the function $f : J \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous,*

(H2) *for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $l_p \in C(J_0, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for each $(x, y) \in J_0$*

$$\|f(x, y, u) - f(x, y, v)\| \leq l_p(x, y) \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{C}}, \quad \text{for each } u, v \in \mathcal{C}. \quad (3.8)$$

If

$$\frac{l_p^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} < 1, \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$l_p^* = \sup_{(x,y) \in J_0} l_p(x, y), \quad (3.10)$$

then, there exists a unique solution for IVP (1.1)–(1.3) on $[-\alpha, \infty) \times [-\beta, \infty)$.

Proof. Transform the problem (1.1)–(1.3) into a fixed point problem. Consider the operator $N : C_0 \rightarrow C_0$ defined by,

$$N(u)(x, y) = \begin{cases} \phi(x, y), & (x, y) \in \tilde{J}, \\ \mu(x, y) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} f(s, t, u_{(s,t)}) dt ds, & (x, y) \in J. \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

Clearly, from Lemma 3.3, the fixed points of N are solutions of (1.1)–(1.3). Let u be a possible solution of the problem $u = \lambda N(u)$ for some $0 < \lambda < 1$. This implies that for each $(x, y) \in J_0$, we have

$$u(x, y) = \lambda \mu(x, y) + \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} f(s, t, u_{(s,t)}) dt ds. \quad (3.12)$$

Introducing $f(s, t, 0) - f(s, t, 0)$, it follows by (H2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(x, y)\| &\leq \|\mu(x, y)\| + \frac{f^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} l_p(s, t) \|u_{(s,t)}\|_{\mathcal{C}} dt ds, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$f^* = \sup_{(x,y) \in J_0} \|f(x, y, 0)\|. \tag{3.14}$$

We consider the function τ defined by

$$\tau(x, y) = \sup\{\|u(s, t)\| : -\alpha \leq s \leq x, -\beta \leq t \leq y; x, y \in [0, p]\}. \tag{3.15}$$

Let $(x^*, y^*) \in [-\alpha, x] \times [-\beta, y]$ be such that $\tau(x, y) = \|u(x^*, y^*)\|$. If $(x^*, y^*) \in J_0$, then by the previous inequality, we have for $(x, y) \in J_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(x, y)\| &\leq \|\mu(x, y)\| + \frac{f^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} l_p(s, t) \tau(s, t) dt ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

If $(x^*, y^*) \in \tilde{J}$, then $\tau(x, y) = \|\phi\|_C$ and the previous inequality holds.

By (3.16) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x, y) &\leq \|\mu(x, y)\| + \frac{f^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} l_p(s, t) \tau(s, t) dt ds \\ &\leq \|\mu(x, y)\| + \frac{f^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} \\ &\quad + \frac{l_p^*}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} \tau(s, t) dt ds, \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

and Lemma 2.4 implies that there exists a constant $k = k(r_1, r_2)$ such that

$$\tau(x, y) \leq \left(\|\mu\|_p + \frac{f^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} \right) \left(1 + \frac{k l_p^*}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} \right) := M_p. \tag{3.18}$$

Then from (3.16), we have

$$\|u\|_p \leq \|\mu\|_p + \frac{f^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} + \frac{M_p l_p^*}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} := M_p^*. \tag{3.19}$$

Since for every $(x, y) \in J_0$, $\|u_{(x,y)}\|_C \leq \tau(x, y)$, we have

$$\|u\|_p \leq \max\left(\|\phi\|_C, M_p^*\right) := R_p. \tag{3.20}$$

Set

$$U = \{u \in C_0 : \|u\|_p \leq R_p + 1 \ \forall p \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (3.21)$$

We will show that $N : U \rightarrow C_p$ is a contraction map. Indeed, consider $v, w \in U$. Then for each $x, y \in [0, p]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|N(v)(x, y) - N(w)(x, y)\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y |(x-s)^{r_1-1}| |(y-t)^{r_2-1}| \|f(s, t, v_{(s,t)}) - f(s, t, w_{(s,t)})\| dt ds \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} l_{(p,q)}(s, t) \|v_{(s,t)} - w_{(s,t)}\|_C dt ds \\ & \leq \frac{l_p^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} \|v - w\|_p. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Thus,

$$\|N(v) - N(w)\|_p \leq \frac{l_p^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} \|v - w\|_p. \quad (3.23)$$

Hence by (3.9), $N : U \rightarrow C_p$ is a contraction. By our choice of U , there is no $u \in \partial_n U^n$ such that $u = \lambda N(u)$, for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. As a consequence of Theorem 2.3, we deduce that N has a unique fixed point u in U which is a solution to problem (1.1)–(1.3). \square

Now we present a global existence and uniqueness result for the problem (1.5)–(1.7).

Definition 3.5. A function $u \in C_0$ such that the mixed derivative $D_{xy}^2(u(x, y) - g(x, y, u_{(x,y)}))$ exists and is integrable on J is said to be a global solution of (1.5)–(1.7) if u satisfies equations (1.5) and (1.7) on J and the condition (1.6) on \tilde{J} .

Let $f \in L^1(J_0, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $g \in AC(J_0, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and consider the following linear problem

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c D_0^r(u(x, y) - g(x, y)) &= f(x, y); \quad (x, y) \in J_0, \\ u(x, 0) &= \varphi(x), \quad u(0, y) = \psi(y); \quad x, y \in [0, p], \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

with $\varphi(0) = \psi(0)$.

For the existence of solutions for the problem (1.5)–(1.7), we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *A function $u \in AC(J_0, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a global solution of problem (3.24) if and only if $u(x, y)$ satisfies*

$$u(x, y) = \mu(x, y) + g(x, y) - g(x, 0) - g(0, y) + g(0, 0) + I_0^r(f)(x, y); \quad (x, y) \in J_0. \quad (3.25)$$

Proof. Let $u(x, y)$ be a solution of problem (3.24). Then, taking into account the definition of the fractional Caputo derivative, we have

$$I_0^{1-r} D_{xy}^2 (u(x, y) - g(x, y)) = f(x, y). \tag{3.26}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$I_0^r I_0^{1-r} D_{xy}^2 (u(x, y) - g(x, y)) = (I_0^r f)(x, y), \tag{3.27}$$

then,

$$I_0^1 D_{xy}^2 (u(x, y) - g(x, y)) = (I_0^r f)(x, y). \tag{3.28}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} I_0^1 D_{xy}^2 (u(x, y) - g(x, y)) &= (u(x, y) - g(x, y)) - (u(x, 0) - g(x, 0)) \\ &\quad - (u(0, y) - g(0, y)) + (u(0, 0) - g(0, 0)), \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

we have

$$u(x, y) = \mu(x, y) + g(x, y) - g(x, 0) - g(0, y) + g(0, 0) + I_0^r (f)(x, y). \tag{3.30}$$

Now, let $u(x, y)$ satisfy (3.25). It is clear that $u(x, y)$ satisfies (3.24). □

As a consequence of Lemma 3.6 we have the following result.

Lemma 3.7. *The function $u \in AC(J_0, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a global solution of problem (1.5)–(1.7) if and only if u satisfies the equation*

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} f(s, t, u(s, t)) ds dt \\ &\quad + \mu(x, y) + g(x, y, u(x, y)) - g(x, 0, u(x, 0)) \\ &\quad - g(0, y, u(0, y)) + g(0, 0, u(0, 0)), \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

for all $(x, y) \in J_0$ and the condition (1.6) on \tilde{J} .

Theorem 3.8. *Assume that (H1), (H2), and the following condition holds*

(H3) *For each $p = 1, 2, \dots$, there exists a constant c_p with $0 < c_p < 1/4$ such that for each $(x, y) \in J_0$, one has*

$$\|g(x, y, u) - g(x, y, v)\| \leq c_p \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{C}}, \quad \text{for each } u, v \in \mathcal{C}. \tag{3.32}$$

If

$$4c_p + \frac{l_p^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} < 1, \quad (3.33)$$

then there exists a unique solution for IVP (1.5)–(1.7) on $[-\alpha, \infty) \times [-\beta, \infty)$.

Proof. Transform the problem (1.5)–(1.7) into a fixed point problem. Consider the operator $N_1 : C_0 \rightarrow C_0$ defined by,

$$N_1(u)(x, y) = \begin{cases} \phi(x, y), & (x, y) \in \tilde{J}, \\ \mu(x, y) + g(x, y, u_{(x,y)}) - g(x, 0, u_{(x,0)}) \\ -g(0, y, u_{(0,y)}) + g(0, 0, u_{(0,0)}) \\ + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} f(s, t, u_{(s,t)}) dt ds, & (x, y) \in J. \end{cases} \quad (3.34)$$

From Lemma 3.7, the fixed points of N_1 are solutions to problem (1.5)–(1.7). In order to use the nonlinear alternative, we will obtain a priori estimates for the solutions of the integral equation

$$u(x, y) = \lambda(\mu(x, y) + g(x, y, u_{(x,y)}) - g(x, 0, u_{(x,0)}) - g(0, y, u_{(0,y)}) + g(0, 0, u_{(0,0)})) \\ + \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} f(s, t, u_{(s,t)}) dt ds, \quad (3.35)$$

for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then, using (H1)–(H3) and (3.16) we get for each $(x, y) \in J_0$,

$$\|u(x, y)\| \leq \|\mu(x, y)\| + \frac{f^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} \\ + \|g(x, y, u_{(x,y)})\| + \|g(x, 0, u_{(x,0)})\| + \|g(0, y, u_{(0,y)})\| + \|g(0, 0, u_{(0,0)})\| \\ + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} l_p(s, t) \tau(s, t) dt ds, \quad (3.36)$$

then, we obtain

$$\|u(x, y)\| \leq \|\mu(x, y)\| + \frac{f^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} \\ + 4c_p \tau(x, y) + \|g(x, y, 0)\| + \|g(x, 0, 0)\| + \|g(0, y, 0)\| + \|g(0, 0, 0)\| \quad (3.37) \\ + \frac{l_p^*}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} \tau(s, t) dt ds.$$

Replacing (3.37) in the definition of $\tau(x, y)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x, y) \leq & \frac{1}{1-4c_p} \left[\|\mu(x, y)\| + \frac{f^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} + 4g^* \right] \\ & + \frac{\tilde{l}_p^*}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} \tau(s, t) dt ds, \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

where $\tilde{l}_p^* = l_p^*/(1-4c_p)$ and $g_p^* = \sup_{(x,y) \in J_0} \|g(x, y, 0)\|$.

By Lemma 2.4, there exists a constant $\delta = \delta(r_1, r_2)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau\|_p \leq & \frac{1}{1-4c_p} \left[\|\mu\|_p + \frac{f^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} + 4g_p^* \right] \\ & \times \left[1 + \frac{\delta \tilde{l}_p^*}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} \right] := D_p. \end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

Then, from (3.37) and (3.39), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_p \leq & \|\mu\|_p + \frac{f^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} + 4g_p^* \\ & + 4c_p D_p + \frac{D_p l_p^*}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} := D_p^*. \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

Since for every $(x, y) \in J_0$, $\|u_{(x,y)}\|_C \leq \tau(x, y)$, we have

$$\|u\|_p \leq \max(\|\phi\|_C, D_p^*) := R_p^*. \tag{3.41}$$

Set

$$U_1 = \left\{ u \in C_0 : \|u\|_p \leq R_p^* + 1 \ \forall p = 1, 2, \dots \right\}. \tag{3.42}$$

Clearly, U_1 is a closed subset of C_0 . As in Theorem 3.4, we can show that $N_1 : U_1 \rightarrow C_0$ is a contraction operator. Indeed

$$\|N_1(v) - N_1(w)\|_p \leq \left(4c_p + \frac{l_p^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} \right) \|v - w\|_p \tag{3.43}$$

for each $v, w \in U_1$ and $(x, y) \in J_0$. From the choice of U_1 , there is no $u \in \partial_n U_1^n$ such that $u = \lambda N_1(u)$, for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. As a consequence of Theorem 2.3, we deduce that N_1 has a unique fixed point u in U_1 which is a solution to problem (1.5)–(1.7). \square

4. The Phase Space B

The notation of the phase space B plays an important role in the study of both qualitative and quantitative theory for functional differential equations. A usual choice is a seminormed space satisfying suitable axioms, which was introduced by Hale and Kato (see [47]). For further applications see, for instance, the books [48–50] and their references.

Inspired by [47], Człapiński [40] introduced the following construction of the phase space. For any $(x, y) \in J_0$ denote $E_{(x,y)} := [0, x] \times \{0\} \cup \{0\} \times [0, y]$, furthermore in case $x = y = p$ we write simply E . Consider the space $(B, \|(\cdot, \cdot)\|_B)$ is a seminormed linear space of functions mapping $(-\infty, 0] \times (-\infty, 0]$ into \mathbb{R}^n , and satisfying the following fundamental axioms which were adapted from those introduced by Hale and Kato for ordinary differential functional equations.

(A₁) If $z : (-\infty, p] \times (-\infty, p] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ continuous on J_0 and $z_{(x,y)} \in B$, for all $(x, y) \in E$, then there are constants $H, K, M > 0$ such that for any $(x, y) \in J_0$ the following conditions hold:

- (i) $z_{(x,y)}$ is in B ;
- (ii) $\|z(x, y)\| \leq H \|z_{(x,y)}\|_B$, and
- (iii) $\|z_{(x,y)}\|_B \leq K \sup_{(s,t) \in [0,x] \times [0,y]} \|z(s, t)\| + M \sup_{(s,t) \in E_{(x,y)}} \|z(s, t)\|_B$.

(A₂) For the function $z(\cdot, \cdot)$ in (A₁), $z_{(x,y)}$ is a B -valued continuous function on J_0 .

(A₃) The space B is complete.

Now, we present some examples of phase spaces (see [40]).

Example 4.1. Let B be the set of all functions $\phi : (-\infty, 0] \times (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ which are continuous on $[-\alpha, 0] \times [-\beta, 0]$, $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, with the seminorm

$$\|\phi\|_B = \sup_{(s,t) \in [-\alpha, 0] \times [-\beta, 0]} \|\phi(s, t)\|. \quad (4.1)$$

Then, we have $H = K = M = 1$. The quotient space $\hat{B} = B / \| \cdot \|_B$ is isometric to the space $C([-\alpha, 0] \times [-\beta, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ of all continuous functions from $[-\alpha, 0] \times [-\beta, 0]$ into \mathbb{R}^n with the supremum norm, this means that partial differential functional equations with finite delay are included in our axiomatic model.

Example 4.2. Let C_γ be the set of all continuous functions $\phi : (-\infty, 0] \times (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ for which a limit $\lim_{\|(s,t)\| \rightarrow \infty} e^{\gamma(s+t)} \phi(s, t)$ exists, with the norm

$$\|\phi\|_{C_\gamma} = \sup_{(s,t) \in (-\infty, 0] \times (-\infty, 0]} e^{\gamma(s+t)} \|\phi(s, t)\|. \quad (4.2)$$

Then we have $H = K = M = 1$.

Example 4.3. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ and let

$$\|\phi\|_{CL_\gamma} = \sup_{(s,t) \in [-\alpha, 0] \times [-\beta, 0]} \|\phi(s, t)\| + \iint_{-\infty}^0 e^{\gamma(s+t)} \|\phi(s, t)\| dt ds \quad (4.3)$$

be the seminorm for the space CL_γ of all functions $\phi : (-\infty, 0] \times (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ which are continuous on $[-\alpha, 0] \times [-\beta, 0]$ measurable on $(-\infty, -\alpha] \times (-\infty, 0] \cup (-\infty, 0] \times (-\infty, -\beta]$, and such that $\|\phi\|_{CL_\gamma} < \infty$. Then,

$$H = 1, \quad K = \int_{-\alpha}^0 \int_{-\beta}^0 e^{\gamma(s+t)} dt ds, \quad M = 2. \tag{4.4}$$

5. Global Result for Infinite Delay

In this section we present a global existence and uniqueness result for the problems (1.8)–(1.10) and (1.12)–(1.14). Let us define the space

$$\Omega := \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n : u_{(x,y)} \in B \text{ for } (x,y) \in E_0, \ u|_J \in C(J, \mathbb{R}^n) \right\}, \tag{5.1}$$

where $E_0 := [0, \infty) \times \{0\} \cup \{0\} \times [0, \infty)$.

Definition 5.1. A function $u \in \Omega$ such that its mixed derivative D_{xy}^2 exists and is integrable on J is said to be a global solution of (1.8)–(1.10) if u satisfies equations (1.8) and (1.10) on J and the condition (1.9) on \tilde{J}' .

For each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider following set,

$$C'_p = \left\{ u : (-\infty, p] \times (-\infty, p] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n : u \in B \cap C(J_0, \mathbb{R}^n), \ u_{(x,y)} = 0 \text{ for } (x,y) \in E \right\}, \tag{5.2}$$

and we define in

$$C'_0 := \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n : u \in B \cap C([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^n), \ u_{(x,y)} = 0 \text{ for } (x,y) \in E_0 \right\} \tag{5.3}$$

the seminorms by

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{p'} &= \sup_{(x,y) \in E} \|u_{(x,y)}\|_B + \sup_{(x,y) \in J_0} \|u(x,y)\| \\ &= \sup_{(x,y) \in J_0} \|u(x,y)\|, \quad u \in C'_p. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Then, C'_0 is a Fréchet space with the family of seminorms $\{\|u\|_{p'}\}$.

Theorem 5.2. *Assume that*

(H'1) *the function $f : J \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous and*

(H'2) *for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $l'_p \in C(J_0, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for and $(x,y) \in J_0$*

$$\|f(x,y,u) - f(x,y,v)\| \leq l'_p(x,y) \|u - v\|_B, \quad \text{for each } u, v \in B. \tag{5.5}$$

If

$$\frac{Kl_p^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} < 1, \quad (5.6)$$

where

$$l_p^* = \sup_{(x,y) \in J_0} l'_p(x,y), \quad (5.7)$$

then, there exists a unique solution for IVP (1.8)–(1.10) on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Proof. Transform the problem (1.8)–(1.10) into a fixed point problem. Consider the operator $N' : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ defined by

$$N'(u)(x,y) = \begin{cases} \phi(x,y), & (x,y) \in \tilde{J}', \\ \mu(x,y) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} f(s,t,u_{(s,t)}) dt ds; & (x,y) \in J. \end{cases} \quad (5.8)$$

Let $v(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a function defined by

$$v(x,y) = \begin{cases} \phi(x,y), & (x,y) \in \tilde{J}', \\ \mu(x,y), & (x,y) \in J. \end{cases} \quad (5.9)$$

Then, $v_{(x,y)} = \phi$ for all $(x,y) \in E_0$. For each $w \in C(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $w(x,y) = 0$; for all $(x,y) \in E_0$, we denote by \bar{w} the function defined by

$$\bar{w}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0, & (x,y) \in \tilde{J}', \\ w(x,y), & (x,y) \in J. \end{cases} \quad (5.10)$$

If $u(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies the integral equation,

$$u(x,y) = \mu(x,y) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} f(s,t,u_{(s,t)}) dt ds, \quad (5.11)$$

we can decompose $u(\cdot, \cdot)$ as $u(x,y) = \bar{w}(x,y) + v(x,y)$; $x, y \geq 0$, which implies that $u_{(x,y)} = \bar{w}_{(x,y)} + v_{(x,y)}$, for every $x, y \geq 0$, and the function $w(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies

$$w(x,y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} f(s,t,\bar{w}_{(s,t)} + v_{(s,t)}) dt ds. \quad (5.12)$$

Let the operator $P' : C'_0 \rightarrow C'_0$ be defined by

$$(P'w)(x, y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} \times f(s, t, \bar{w}_{(s,t)} + v_{(s,t)}) dt ds; \quad (x, y) \in J. \tag{5.13}$$

Obviously, the operator N' has a fixed point is equivalent to P' having a fixed point, and so we turn to prove that P' has a fixed point. We will use the alternative to prove that P' has a fixed point. Let w be a possible solution of the problem $w = P'(w)$ for some $0 < \lambda < 1$. This implies that for each $(x, y) \in J_0$, we have

$$w(x, y) = \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} f(s, t, \bar{w}_{(s,t)} + v_{(s,t)}) dt ds. \tag{5.14}$$

This implies by $(H'1)$ that

$$\|w(x, y)\| \leq \frac{f_p^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} l'_p(s, t) \|\bar{w}_{(s,t)} + v_{(s,t)}\|_B dt ds, \tag{5.15}$$

where

$$f_p^* = \sup\{\|f(x, y, 0)\| : (x, y) \in J_0\}. \tag{5.16}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{w}_{(s,t)} + v_{(s,t)}\|_B &\leq \|\bar{w}_{(s,t)}\|_B + \|v_{(s,t)}\|_B \\ &\leq K \sup\{u(\tilde{s}, \tilde{t}) : (\tilde{s}, \tilde{t}) \in [0, s] \times [0, t]\} \\ &\quad + M\|\phi\|_B + K\|\phi(0, 0)\|. \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

If we name $z(s, t)$ the right-hand side of (5.17), then we have

$$\|\bar{w}_{(s,t)} + v_{(s,t)}\|_B \leq z(s, t). \tag{5.18}$$

Therefore, from (5.15) and (5.18) we get

$$\|w(x, y)\| \leq \frac{f_p^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} l'_p(s, t) z(s, t) dt ds. \tag{5.19}$$

Replacing (5.19) in the definition of w , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z(x, y)\| &\leq \frac{K f_p^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} + M \|\phi\|_B \\ &\quad + \frac{K l_p^*}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} z(s, t) dt ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

By Lemma 2.4, there exists a constant $\delta = \delta(r_1, r_2)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z\|_{p'} &\leq \left(\frac{K f_p^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} + M \|\phi\|_B \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 + \frac{\delta K l_p^*}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} \right) \\ &:= \widetilde{M}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

Then, from (5.19), we have

$$\|w\|_{p'} \leq \widetilde{M} \frac{l_p^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} + \frac{f_p^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} := \widetilde{M}^*. \quad (5.22)$$

Since for every $(x, y) \in J_0$, $\|w_{(x,y)}\|_B \leq z(x, y)$, we have

$$\|w\|_{p'} \leq \max\left(\|\phi\|_B, \widetilde{M}^*\right) := \widetilde{R}^*. \quad (5.23)$$

Set

$$U' = \left\{ w \in C'_0 : \|w\|_{p'} \leq \widetilde{R}^* + 1 \ \forall p \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \quad (5.24)$$

We will show that $P' : U' \rightarrow C'_p$ is a contraction operator. Indeed, consider $w, w^* \in U'$. Then for each $(x, y) \in J_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P'(w)(x, y) - P'(w^*)(x, y)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} \\ &\quad \times \left\| f(s, t, \overline{w}_{(s,t)} + v_{(s,t)}) - f(s, t, \overline{w^*}_{(s,t)} + v_{(s,t)}) \right\| dt ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} l_p^*(s, t) \left\| \overline{w}_{(s,t)} - \overline{w^*}_{(s,t)} \right\|_B dt ds \\ &\leq K \frac{l_p^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} \|w - w^*\|_{p'}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

Thus,

$$\|P'(w) - P'(w^*)\|_{p'} \leq \frac{Kl_p^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} \|w - w^*\|_{p'}. \tag{5.26}$$

Hence by (5.6), $P' : U' \rightarrow C'_p$ is a contraction. By our choice of U' , there is no $w \in \partial_n(U')^n$ such that $w = \lambda P'(w)$, for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. As a consequence of Theorem 2.3, we deduce that N' has a unique fixed point which is a solution to problem (1.8)–(1.10). \square

Now, we present an existence result for the problem (1.12)–(1.14).

Definition 5.3. A function $u \in \Omega$ such that the mixed derivative $D_{xy}^2(u(x, y) - g(x, y, u_{(x,y)}))$ exists and is integrable on J is said to be a global solution of (1.12)–(1.14) if u satisfies equations (1.12) and (1.14) on J and the condition (1.13) on \tilde{J}' .

Theorem 5.4. Let $f, g : J \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be continuous functions. Assume that (H'1), (H'2), and the following condition hold.

(H'3) For each $p = 1, 2, \dots$, there exists a constant c'_p with $0 < Kc'_p < 1/4$ such that for any $(x, y) \in J_0$, one has

$$\|g(x, y, u) - g(x, y, v)\| \leq c'_p \|u - v\|_B, \quad \text{for any } u, v \in B. \tag{5.27}$$

If

$$4c'_p + \frac{Kl_p^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} < 1, \quad \text{for each } p \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{5.28}$$

then, there exists a unique solution for IVP (1.12)–(1.14) on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Proof. Consider the operator $N'_1 : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ defined by

$$N'_1(u)(x, y) = \begin{cases} \phi(x, y), & (x, y) \in \tilde{J}', \\ \mu(x, y) + g(x, y, u_{(x,y)}) - g(x, 0, u_{(x,0)}) \\ -g(0, y, u_{(0,y)}) + g(0, 0, u_{(0,0)}) \\ + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} \\ \times f(s, t, u_{(s,t)}) dt ds, & (x, y) \in J. \end{cases} \tag{5.29}$$

In analogy to Theorem 5.2, we consider the operator $P'_1 : C'_0 \rightarrow C'_0$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} P'_1(w)(x, y) &= g(x, y, \bar{w}_{(x,y)} + v_{(x,y)}) - g(x, 0, \bar{w}_{(x,0)} + v_{(x,0)}) \\ &\quad - g(0, y, \bar{w}_{(0,y)} + v_{(0,y)}) + g(0, 0, \bar{w}_{(0,0)} + v_{(0,0)}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} \\ &\quad \times f(s, t, \bar{w}_{(s,t)} + v_{(s,t)}) dt ds, \quad (x, y) \in J. \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

In order to use the nonlinear alternative, we will obtain a priori estimates for the solutions of the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} w(x, y) &= \lambda(g(x, y, \bar{w}_{(x,y)} + v_{(x,y)}) - g(x, 0, \bar{w}_{(x,0)} + v_{(x,0)}) \\ &\quad - g(0, y, \bar{w}_{(0,y)} + v_{(0,y)}) + g(0, 0, \bar{w}_{(0,0)} + v_{(0,0)})) \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} f(s, t, \bar{w}_{(s,t)} + v_{(s,t)}) dt ds, \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then from (H'_1) – (H'_3) , (5.15), and (5.18) we get for each $(x, y) \in J_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|w(x, y)\| &\leq \frac{f_p^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} + 4c'_p z(x, y) \\ &\quad + \|g(x, y, 0)\| + \|g(x, 0, 0)\| + \|g(0, y, 0)\| + \|g(0, 0, 0)\| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} l'_p(s, t) z(s, t) dt ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

Replacing (5.32) in the definition of $z(x, y)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} z(x, y) &\leq \frac{1}{1-4Kc'_p} \left[M\|\phi\|_B + 4K\|\phi(0, 0)\| + 4K\|g(0, 0, \phi(0, 0))\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 4K\delta_p^* + \frac{Kf_p^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\tilde{l}_p^*}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)^{r_1-1} (y-t)^{r_2-1} z(s, t) dt ds, \end{aligned} \quad (5.33)$$

where $\tilde{l}_p^*(x, y) = l_p^*/(1-4Kc'_p)$ and $\delta_p^* = \sup\{\|g(x, y, 0)\| : (x, y) \in J_0\}$.

By (5.32) and Lemma 2.4, there exists a constant $\delta = \delta(r_1, r_2)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 z(x, y) \leq & \frac{1}{1 - 4Kc'_p} \left[M \|\phi\|_B + 4K \|\phi(0, 0)\| + 4K \|g(0, 0, \phi(0, 0))\| \right. \\
 & \left. + 4K g_p^* + \frac{K f_p^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1 + 1)\Gamma(r_2 + 1)} \right] \\
 & \times \left[1 + \frac{\delta \tilde{l}_p^*}{\Gamma(r_1 + 1)\Gamma(r_2 + 1)} \right] := D'.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

Then, from (5.32) and (5.34), we get

$$\|w\|_{p'} \leq \frac{(D' l_p^* + f_p^*) p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1 + 1)\Gamma(r_2 + 1)} + 4c'_p D' + 4g_p^* := D'^*. \tag{5.35}$$

Since for every $(x, y) \in J_0$, $\|w_{(x,y)}\|_B \leq z(x, y)$, we have

$$\|w\|_p \leq \max(\|\phi\|_B, D'^*) := R^*. \tag{5.36}$$

Set

$$U'_1 = \{w \in C'_0 : \|w\|_{p'} \leq R^* + 1\}. \tag{5.37}$$

Clearly, U'_1 is a closed subset of C'_0 . As in Theorem 5.2, we can show that $P'_1 : U'_1 \rightarrow C'_0$ is a contraction operator. Indeed

$$\|N_1(v) - N_1(w)\|_{p'} \leq \left(4c'_p + \frac{K l_p^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1 + 1)\Gamma(r_2 + 1)} \right) \|v - w\|_{p'}, \tag{5.38}$$

for each $v, w \in U'_1$, and $(x, y) \in J_0$. From the choice of U'_1 , there is no $w \in \partial_n(U'_1)^n$ such that $w = \lambda P'_1(w)$, for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. As a consequence of Theorem 2.3, we deduce that N'_1 has a unique fixed point which is a solution to problem (1.12)–(1.14). \square

6. Examples

Example 6.1. As an application of our results we consider the following partial hyperbolic functional differential equations with finite delay of the form

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c D_0^r u(x, y) &= \frac{c_p}{e^{x+y+2}(1 + |u(x-1, y-2)|)}; \quad \text{if } (x, y) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) &= x, \quad u(0, y) = y^2; \quad x, y \in [0, \infty), \\ u(x, y) &= x + y^2; \quad (x, y) \in [-1, \infty) \times [-2, \infty) \setminus (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty), \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

where

$$c_p = \frac{\Gamma(r_1 + 1)\Gamma(r_2 + 1)}{p^{r_1+r_2}}; \quad p \in \mathbb{N}^*. \quad (6.2)$$

Set

$$f(x, y, u_{(x,y)}) = \frac{c_p}{e^{x+y+2}(1 + |u(x-1, y-2)|)}; \quad (x, y) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty). \quad (6.3)$$

For each $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $(x, y) \in [0, p] \times [0, p]$, we have

$$|f(x, y, u_{(x,y)}) - f(x, y, \bar{u}_{(x,y)})| \leq \frac{c_p}{e^2} \|u - \bar{u}\|_C. \quad (6.4)$$

Hence conditions (H1) and (H2) are satisfied with $l_p^* = c_p/e^2$. We will show that condition (3.9) holds for all $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Indeed

$$\frac{l_p^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1 + 1)\Gamma(r_2 + 1)} = \frac{1}{e^2} < 1, \quad (6.5)$$

which is satisfied for each $(r_1, r_2) \in (0, 1] \times (0, 1]$. Consequently Theorem 3.4 implies that problem (6.1) has a unique global solution defined on $[-1, \infty) \times [-2, \infty)$.

Example 6.2. We consider now the following partial hyperbolic functional differential equations with infinite delay of the form

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^c D_0^r u)(x, y) &= \frac{4e^{x+y}}{c_p \pi^2 (e^{x+y} + e^{-x-y})} \\ &\times \int_{-\infty}^{-x} \int_{-\infty}^{-y} \frac{e^{\gamma(\theta+\eta)} u(x+\theta, y+\eta) d\eta d\theta}{(1 + (x+\theta)^2)(1 + (y+\eta)^2)}; \quad \text{if } (x, y) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty), \\ u(x, y) &= x + y^2; \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) &= x, \quad u(0, y) = y^2; \quad x, y \in [0, \infty), \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

where $c_p = 3p^{r_1+r_2}/\Gamma(r_1 + 1)\Gamma(r_2 + 1)$, $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and γ a positive real constant.

Let

$$B_\gamma = \left\{ u \in C((-\infty, 0] \times (-\infty, 0], \mathbb{R}) : \lim_{\|(\theta, \eta)\| \rightarrow \infty} e^{\gamma(\theta+\eta)} |u(\theta, \eta)| \text{ exists in } \mathbb{R} \right\}. \quad (6.7)$$

The norm of B_γ is given by

$$\|u\|_\gamma = \sup_{-\infty < \theta, \eta \leq 0} e^{\gamma(\theta+\eta)} |u(\theta, \eta)|. \quad (6.8)$$

Let $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $u_{(x,y)} \in B_\gamma$, $(x, y) \in E := [0, p] \times \{0\} \cup \{0\} \times [0, p]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\|(\theta, \eta)\| \rightarrow \infty} e^{\gamma(\theta+\eta)} u_{(x,y)}(\theta, \eta) &= \lim_{\|(\theta, \eta)\| \rightarrow \infty} e^{\gamma(\theta-x+\eta-y)} u(\theta, \eta) \\ &= e^{-\gamma(x+y)} \lim_{\|(\theta, \eta)\| \rightarrow \infty} e^{\gamma(\theta+\eta)} u(\theta, \eta) < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

Hence, $u_{(x,y)} \in B_\gamma$. Finally we prove that

$$\|u_{(x,y)}\|_\gamma = K \sup_{(s,t) \in [0,x] \times [0,y]} |u(s,t)| + M \sup_{(s,t) \in E(x,y)} \|u_{(s,t)}\|_\gamma, \quad (6.10)$$

where $K = M = 1$ and $H = 1$.

If $x + \theta \leq 0$, $y + \eta \leq 0$ we get

$$\|u_{(x,y)}\|_\gamma = \sup\{|u(s,t)| : (s,t) \in (-\infty, 0] \times (-\infty, 0]\}, \quad (6.11)$$

and if $x + \theta \geq 0$, $y + \eta \geq 0$ then we have

$$\|u_{(x,y)}\|_\gamma = \sup\{|u(s,t)| : (s,t) \in [0, x] \times [0, y]\}. \quad (6.12)$$

Thus, for all $x + \theta, y + \eta \in [0, p]$, we get

$$\|u_{(x,y)}\|_\gamma = \sup_{(s,t) \in (-\infty, 0] \times (-\infty, 0]} |u(s,t)| + \sup_{(s,t) \in [0,x] \times [0,y]} |u(s,t)|. \quad (6.13)$$

Then,

$$\|u_{(x,y)}\|_\gamma = \sup_{(s,t) \in E} \|u_{(s,t)}\|_\gamma + \sup_{(s,t) \in [0,x] \times [0,y]} |u(s,t)|. \quad (6.14)$$

$(B_\gamma, \|\cdot\|_\gamma)$ is a Banach space. We conclude that B_γ is a phase space.

Let

$$f(x, y, u) = \frac{4e^{x+y}}{c_p \pi^2 (e^{x+y} + e^{-x-y})} \int_{-\infty}^{-x} \int_{-\infty}^{-y} \frac{e^{\gamma(\theta+\eta)} u(x + \theta, y + \eta)}{(1 + (x + \theta)^2)(1 + (y + \eta)^2)} d\eta d\theta; \quad (6.15)$$

for each $(x, y, u) \in J \times B_\gamma$. Then for each $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $(x, y) \in [0, p] \times [0, p]$ and $u, v \in B_\gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |f(x, y, u) - f(x, y, v)| \\
&= \left| \frac{4e^{x+y}}{c_p \pi^2 (e^{x+y} + e^{-x-y})} \int_{-\infty}^{-x} \int_{-\infty}^{-y} \frac{e^{\gamma(\theta+\eta)} u(x+\theta, y+\eta)}{(1+(x+\theta)^2)(1+(y+\eta)^2)} d\eta d\theta \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{4e^{x+y}}{c_p \pi^2 (e^{x+y} + e^{-x-y})} \int_{-\infty}^{-x} \int_{-\infty}^{-y} \frac{e^{\gamma(\theta+\eta)} v(x+\theta, y+\eta)}{(1+(x+\theta)^2)(1+(y+\eta)^2)} d\eta d\theta \right| \\
&\leq \frac{4e^{x+y}}{c_p \pi^2 (e^{x+y} + e^{-x-y})} \int_{-\infty}^{-x} \int_{-\infty}^{-y} \frac{e^{\gamma(\theta+\eta)} |u(x+\theta, y+\eta) - v(x+\theta, y+\eta)|}{(1+(x+\theta)^2)(1+(y+\eta)^2)} d\eta d\theta \quad (6.16) \\
&\leq \frac{4e^{x+y}}{c_p \pi^2 (e^{x+y} + e^{-x-y})} \iint_{-\infty}^0 \frac{e^{\gamma(\theta+\eta)} |u(\theta, \eta) - v(\theta, \eta)|}{(1+\theta^2)(1+\eta^2)} d\eta d\theta \\
&\leq \frac{4e^{x+y}}{c_p \pi^2 (e^{x+y} + e^{-x-y})} \iint_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+\theta^2)(1+\eta^2)} d\eta d\theta \|u - v\|_\gamma \\
&\leq \frac{e^{x+y}}{c_p (e^{x+y} + e^{-x-y})} \|u - v\|_\gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, condition (H'2) is satisfied with $l'_p(x, y) = e^{x+y}/c_p(e^{x+y} + e^{-x-y})$. Since

$$l_p^* = \sup \left\{ \frac{e^{x+y}}{c_p (e^{x+y} + e^{-x-y})} : (x, y) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \right\} \leq \frac{1}{c_p} \quad (6.17)$$

and $K = 1$, we have

$$\frac{K l_p^* p^{r_1+r_2}}{\Gamma(r_1+1)\Gamma(r_2+1)} = \frac{1}{3} < 1. \quad (6.18)$$

Hence, condition (5.6) holds for each $(r_1, r_2) \in (0, 1] \times (0, 1]$ and all $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Consequently Theorem 5.2 implies that problem (6.6) has a unique global solution defined on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the referees for their remarks. The research of J. J. Nieto has been partially supported by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovacion and FEDER, project MTM2007-61724, and by Xunta de Galicia and FEDER, project PGIDIT06PXIB207023PN.

References

- [1] A. A. Kilbas, V. Bonilla, and Kh. Trukhillo, "Nonlinear differential equations of fractional order in the space of integrable functions," *Rossiiskaya Akademiya Nauk. Doklady Akademii Nauk*, vol. 374, no. 4, pp. 445–449, 2000.
- [2] N. P. Semenchuk, "A class of differential equations of nonintegral order," *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya*, vol. 18, no. 10, pp. 1831–1833, 1982.
- [3] A. N. Vityuk, "Existence of solutions of partial differential inclusions of fractional orders," *Izvestiya Vysshikh Uchebnykh Zavedenii. Matematika*, no. 8, pp. 13–19, 1997.
- [4] K. Diethelm and A. D. Freed, "On the solution of nonlinear fractional order differential equations used in the modeling of viscoplasticity," in *Scientific Computing in Chemical Engineering II-Computational Fluid Dynamics, Reaction Engineering and Molecular Properties*, F. Keil, W. Mackens, H. Voss, and J. Werther, Eds., pp. 217–224, Springer, Heidelberg, Germany, 1999.
- [5] J. Fort, J. Pérez-Losada, and N. Isern, "Fronts from integrodifference equations and persistence effects on the Neolithic transition," *Physical Review E*, vol. 76, no. 3, Article ID 031913, 10 pages, 2007.
- [6] L. Gaul, P. Klein, and S. Kemple, "Damping description involving fractional operators," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 81–88, 1991.
- [7] W. G. Glockle and T. F. Nonnenmacher, "A fractional calculus approach to self-similar protein dynamics," *Biophysical Journal*, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 46–53, 1995.
- [8] R. Hilfer, Ed., *Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics*, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, USA, 2000.
- [9] F. Mainardi, "Fractional calculus: some basic problems in continuum and statistical mechanics," in *Fractals and fractional calculus in continuum mechanics*, A. Carpinteri and F. Mainardi, Eds., vol. 378 of *CISM Courses and Lectures*, pp. 291–348, Springer, Vienna, Austria, 1997.
- [10] R. Metzler, W. Schick, H. -G. Kilian, and T. F. Nonnenmacher, "Relaxation in filled polymers: a fractional calculus approach," *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, vol. 103, no. 16, pp. 7180–7186, 1995.
- [11] M. O. Vlad and J. Ross, "Systematic derivation of reaction-diffusion equations with distributed delays and relations to fractional reaction-diffusion equations and hyperbolic transport equations: application to the theory of Neolithic transition," *Physical Review E*, vol. 66, no. 6, Article ID 061908, 11 pages, 2002.
- [12] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, vol. 204 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2006.
- [13] V. Lakshmikantham, S. Leela, and J. Vasundhara, *Theory of Fractional Dynamic Systems*, Cambridge Academic Publishers, Cambridge, UK, 2009.
- [14] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1993.
- [15] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives*, Gordon and Breach Science, Yverdon, Switzerland, 1993.
- [16] S. Abbas and M. Benchohra, "Partial hyperbolic differential equations with finite delay involving the Caputo fractional derivative," *Communications in Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 62–72, 2009.
- [17] S. Abbas and M. Benchohra, "Darboux problem for perturbed partial differential equations of fractional order with finite delay," *Nonlinear Analysis: Hybrid Systems*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 597–604, 2009.
- [18] S. Abbas and M. Benchohra, "Upper and lower solutions method for impulsive partial hyperbolic differential equations with fractional order," *Nonlinear Analysis: Hybrid Systems*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 406–413, 2010.
- [19] R. P. Agarwal, M. Benchohra, and S. Hamani, "A survey on existence results for boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations and inclusions," *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, vol. 109, no. 3, pp. 973–1033, 2010.
- [20] R. P. Agarwal, V. Lakshmikantham, and J. J. Nieto, "On the concept of solution for fractional differential equations with uncertainty," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 72, no. 6, pp. 2859–2862, 2010.
- [21] B. Ahmad and J. J. Nieto, "Existence results for nonlinear boundary value problems of fractional integrodifferential equations with integral boundary conditions," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2009, Article ID 708576, 11 pages, 2009.
- [22] B. Ahmad and J. J. Nieto, "Existence of solutions for nonlocal boundary value problems of higher-order nonlinear fractional differential equations," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2009, Article ID 494720, 9 pages, 2009.

- [23] B. Ahmad and J. J. Nieto, "Existence results for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations with three-point boundary conditions," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 58, no. 9, pp. 1838–1843, 2009.
- [24] A. Belarbi, M. Benchohra, and A. Ouahab, "Uniqueness results for fractional functional differential equations with infinite delay in Fréchet spaces," *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 85, no. 12, pp. 1459–1470, 2006.
- [25] M. Benchohra, J. R. Graef, and S. Hamani, "Existence results for boundary value problems with nonlinear fractional differential equations," *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 87, no. 7, pp. 851–863, 2008.
- [26] M. Benchohra, S. Hamani, and S. K. Ntouyas, "Boundary value problems for differential equations with fractional order," *Surveys in Mathematics and Its Applications*, vol. 3, pp. 1–12, 2008.
- [27] M. Benchohra, J. Henderson, S. K. Ntouyas, and A. Ouahab, "Existence results for fractional order functional differential equations with infinite delay," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 338, no. 2, pp. 1340–1350, 2008.
- [28] Y.-K. Chang and J. J. Nieto, "Some new existence results for fractional differential inclusions with boundary conditions," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 49, no. 3–4, pp. 605–609, 2009.
- [29] K. Diethelm and N. J. Ford, "Analysis of fractional differential equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 265, no. 2, pp. 229–248, 2002.
- [30] E. Heinsalu, M. Patriarca, I. Goychuk, and P. Hänggi, "Fractional Fokker-Planck subdiffusion in alternating force fields," *Physical Review E*, vol. 79, no. 4, Article ID 041137, 2009.
- [31] G. Jumarie, "An approach via fractional analysis to non-linearity induced by coarse-graining in space," *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 535–546, 2010.
- [32] A. A. Kilbas and S. A. Marzan, "Nonlinear differential equations with the Caputo fractional derivative in the space of continuously differentiable functions," *Differentsialnye Uravneniya*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 82–86, 2005.
- [33] Y. F. Luchko, M. Rivero, J. J. Trujillo, and M. P. Velasco, "Fractional models, non-locality, and complex systems," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 1048–1056, 2010.
- [34] M. Magdziarz, A. Weron, and K. Weron, "Fractional Fokker-Planck dynamics: Stochastic representation and computer simulation," *Physical Review E*, vol. 75, no. 1, Article ID 013708, 2007.
- [35] Y. A. Rossikhin and M. V. Shitikova, "Application of fractional calculus for dynamic problems of solid mechanics: novel trends and recent results," *Applied Mechanics Reviews*, vol. 63, no. 1, Article ID 010801, 52 pages, 2010.
- [36] A. N. Vityuk and A. V. Golushkov, "Existence of solutions of systems of partial differential equations of fractional order," *Nonlinear Oscillations*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 328–335, 2004.
- [37] C. Yu and G. Gao, "Existence of fractional differential equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 310, no. 1, pp. 26–29, 2005.
- [38] S. Zhang, "Positive solutions for boundary-value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, no. 36, pp. 1–12, 2006.
- [39] Z. Kamont, *Hyperbolic Functional Differential Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 486 of *Mathematics and Its Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1999.
- [40] T. Czapliński, "On the Darboux problem for partial differential-functional equations with infinite delay at derivatives," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 389–398, 2001.
- [41] M. Dawidowski and I. Kubiacyk, "An existence theorem for the generalized hyperbolic equation $z''_{xy} \in F(x, y, z)$ in Banach space," *Roczniki Polskiego Towarzystwa Matematycznego. Seria I*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 41–49, 1990.
- [42] Z. Kamont and K. Kropielnicka, "Differential difference inequalities related to hyperbolic functional differential systems and applications," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 655–674, 2005.
- [43] V. Lakshmikantham and S. G. Pandit, "The method of upper, lower solutions and hyperbolic partial differential equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 105, no. 2, pp. 466–477, 1985.
- [44] S. G. Pandit, "Monotone methods for systems of nonlinear hyperbolic problems in two independent variables," vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 2735–2742.
- [45] M. Frigon and A. Granas, "Résultats de type Leray-Schauder pour des contractions sur des espaces de Fréchet," *Annales des Sciences Mathématiques du Québec*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 161–168, 1998.
- [46] D. Henry, *Geometric theory of Semilinear Parabolic Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1989.

- [47] J. K. Hale and J. Kato, "Phase space for retarded equations with infinite delay," *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 11–41, 1978.
- [48] J. K. Hale and S. M. Verduyn Lunel, *Introduction to Functional-Differential Equations*, vol. 99 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1993.
- [49] Y. Hino, S. Murakami, and T. Naito, *Functional-Differential Equations with Infinite Delay*, vol. 1473 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1991.
- [50] V. Lakshmikantham, L. Z. Wen, and B. G. Zhang, *Theory of Differential Equations with Unbounded Delay*, vol. 298 of *Mathematics and Its Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1994.