

## *Research Article*

# **Nonlinear Integral Inequalities in Two Independent Variables on Time Scales**

**Wei Nian Li**

*Department of Mathematics, Binzhou University, Shandong 256603, China*

Correspondence should be addressed to Wei Nian Li, wnli@263.net

Received 7 December 2010; Accepted 18 February 2011

Academic Editor: Jianshe Yu

Copyright © 2011 Wei Nian Li. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We investigate some nonlinear integral inequalities in two independent variables on time scales. Our results unify and extend some integral inequalities and their corresponding discrete analogues which established by Pachpatte. The inequalities given here can be used as handy tools to study the properties of certain partial dynamic equations on time scales.

## **1. Introduction**

The theory of dynamic equations on time scales unifies existing results in differential and finite difference equations and provides powerful new tools for exploring connections between the traditionally separated fields. During the last few years, more and more scholars have studied this theory. For example, we refer the reader to [1, 2] and the references cited therein. At the same time, some integral inequalities used in dynamic equations on time scales have been extended by many authors [3–11].

On the other hand, a few authors have focused on the theory of partial dynamic equations on time scales [12–17]. However, only [10, 11] have studied integral inequalities useful in the theory of partial dynamic equations on time scales, as far as we know. In this paper, we investigate some nonlinear integral inequalities in two independent variables on time scales, which can be used as handy tools to study the properties of certain partial dynamic equations on time scales.

Throughout this paper, a knowledge and understanding of time scales and time scale notation is assumed. For an excellent introduction to the calculus on time scales, we refer the reader to [1, 2].

## 2. Main Results

In what follows,  $\mathbb{T}$  is an arbitrary time scale,  $C_{\text{rd}}$  denotes the set of rd-continuous functions,  $\mathcal{R}$  denotes the set of all regressive and rd-continuous functions,  $\mathcal{R}^+ = \{p \in \mathcal{R} : 1 + \mu(t)p(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}\}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  denotes the set of real numbers,  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ , and  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  denotes the set of nonnegative integers. We use the usual conventions that empty sums and products are taken to be 0 and 1, respectively. Throughout this paper, we always assume that  $\mathbb{T}_1$  and  $\mathbb{T}_2$  are time scales,  $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}_1$ ,  $s_0 \in \mathbb{T}_2$ ,  $t \geq t_0$ ,  $s \geq s_0$ ,  $\Omega = \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$ , and we write  $x^{\Delta_t}(t, s)$  for the partial delta derivatives of  $x(t, s)$  with respect to  $t$ , and  $x^{\Delta_t \Delta_s}(t, s)$  for the partial delta derivatives of  $x^{\Delta_t}(t, s)$  with respect to  $s$ .

The following two lemmas are useful in our main results.

**Lemma 2.1** (see [18]). *If  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , and  $1/p + 1/q = 1$  with  $p > 1$ , then*

$$x^{1/p} y^{1/q} \leq \frac{x}{p} + \frac{y}{q}, \quad (2.1)$$

*with equality holding if and only if  $x = y$ .*

**Lemma 2.2** (Comparison Theorem [1]). *Suppose  $u, b \in C_{\text{rd}}$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{R}^+$ . Then,*

$$u^\Delta(t) \leq a(t)u(t) + b(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T} \quad (2.2)$$

*implies*

$$u(t) \leq u(t_0)e_a(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e_a(t, \sigma(\tau))b(\tau)\Delta\tau, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (2.3)$$

Next, we establish our main results.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Assume that  $u(t, s)$ ,  $a(t, s)$ ,  $b(t, s)$ ,  $g(t, s)$ , and  $h(t, s)$  are nonnegative functions defined for  $(t, s) \in \Omega$  that are right-dense continuous for  $(t, s) \in \Omega$ , and  $p > 1$  is a real constant. Then,*

$$u^p(t, s) \leq a(t, s) + b(t, s) \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s [g(\tau, \eta)u^p(\tau, \eta) + h(\tau, \eta)u(\tau, \eta)] \Delta\eta \Delta\tau, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega \quad (2.4)$$

*implies*

$$u(t, s) \leq \{a(t, s) + b(t, s)m(t, s)e_{y(\cdot, s)}(t, t_0)\}^{1/p}, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$m(t, s) = \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s \left[ a(\tau, \eta)g(\tau, \eta) + \left( \frac{p-1}{p} + \frac{a(\tau, \eta)}{p} \right) h(\tau, \eta) \right] \Delta\eta\Delta\tau, \quad (2.6)$$

$$y(t, s) = \int_{s_0}^s \left[ g(t, \eta) + \frac{h(t, \eta)}{p} \right] b(t, \eta) \Delta\eta, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega. \quad (2.7)$$

*Proof.* Define a function  $z(t, s)$  by

$$z(t, s) = \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s [g(\tau, \eta)u^p(\tau, \eta) + h(\tau, \eta)u(\tau, \eta)] \Delta\eta\Delta\tau, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega. \quad (2.8)$$

Then, (2.4) can be written as

$$u^p(t, s) \leq a(t, s) + b(t, s)z(t, s), \quad (t, s) \in \Omega. \quad (2.9)$$

From (2.9), by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, s) &\leq (a(t, s) + b(t, s)z(t, s))^{1/p}(1)^{(p-1)/p} \\ &\leq \frac{a(t, s)}{p} + \frac{b(t, s)z(t, s)}{p} + \frac{p-1}{p}, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

It follows from (2.8)–(2.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} z(t, s) &\leq \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s \left\{ g(\tau, \eta) [a(\tau, \eta) + b(\tau, \eta)z(\tau, \eta)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + h(\tau, \eta) \left[ \frac{p-1+a(\tau, \eta)}{p} + \frac{b(\tau, \eta)z(\tau, \eta)}{p} \right] \right\} \Delta\eta\Delta\tau \\ &= m(t, s) + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s \left[ g(\tau, \eta) + \frac{h(\tau, \eta)}{p} \right] b(\tau, \eta) z(\tau, \eta) \Delta\eta\Delta\tau, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where  $m(t, s)$  is defined by (2.6). It is easy to see that  $m(t, s)$  is nonnegative, right-dense continuous, and nondecreasing for  $(t, s) \in \Omega$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given, and from (2.11), we obtain

$$\frac{z(t, s)}{m(t, s) + \varepsilon} \leq 1 + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s \left[ g(\tau, \eta) + \frac{h(\tau, \eta)}{p} \right] b(\tau, \eta) \frac{z(\tau, \eta)}{m(\tau, \eta) + \varepsilon} \Delta\eta\Delta\tau, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega. \quad (2.12)$$

Define a function  $v(t, s)$  by

$$v(t, s) = 1 + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s \left[ g(\tau, \eta) + \frac{h(\tau, \eta)}{p} \right] b(\tau, \eta) \frac{z(\tau, \eta)}{m(\tau, \eta) + \varepsilon} \Delta\eta\Delta\tau, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega. \quad (2.13)$$

It follows from (2.12) and (2.13) that

$$z(t, s) \leq (m(t, s) + \varepsilon)v(t, s), \quad (t, s) \in \Omega. \quad (2.14)$$

From (2.13), a delta derivative with respect to  $t$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} v^{\Delta_t}(t, s) &= \int_{s_0}^s \left[ g(t, \eta) + \frac{h(t, \eta)}{p} \right] b(t, \eta) \frac{z(t, \eta)}{m(t, \eta) + \varepsilon} \Delta \eta \\ &\leq \int_{s_0}^s \left[ g(t, \eta) + \frac{h(t, \eta)}{p} \right] b(t, \eta) v(t, \eta) \Delta \eta \\ &\leq \left( \int_{s_0}^s \left[ g(t, \eta) + \frac{h(t, \eta)}{p} \right] b(t, \eta) \Delta \eta \right) v(t, s) \\ &= y(t, s)v(t, s), \quad (t, s) \in \Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

where  $y(t, s)$  is defined by (2.7). Noting that  $v(t_0, s) = 1$ ,  $y(t, s) \geq 0$ , and using Lemma 2.2, from (2.15), we obtain

$$v(t, s) \leq e_{y(\cdot, s)}(t, t_0), \quad (t, s) \in \Omega. \quad (2.16)$$

It follows from (2.9), (2.14), and (2.16) that

$$u(t, s) \leq \{a(t, s) + b(t, s)(m(t, s) + \varepsilon)e_{y(\cdot, s)}(t, t_0)\}^{1/p}, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega. \quad (2.17)$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in (2.17), we immediately obtain the required (2.5). The proof of Theorem 2.3 is complete.  $\square$

*Remark 2.4.* Letting  $\mathbb{T}_1 = \mathbb{T}_2 = \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\mathbb{T}_1 = \mathbb{T}_2 = \mathbb{N}_0$ , respectively, we easily see that Theorem 2.3 reduces to Theorem 2.3.3( $c_1$ ) and Theorem 5.2.4( $d_1$ ) in [19].

**Theorem 2.5.** *Assume that all assumptions of Theorem 2.3 hold. If  $a(t, s) > 0$  and  $a(t, s)$  is nondecreasing for  $(t, s) \in \Omega$ , then*

$$u^p(t, s) \leq a^p(t, s) + b(t, s) \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s [g(\tau, \eta)u^p(\tau, \eta) + h(\tau, \eta)u(\tau, \eta)] \Delta \eta \Delta \tau, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega \quad (2.18)$$

implies

$$u(t, s) \leq a(t, s) \{1 + b(t, s)n(t, s)e_{w(\cdot, s)}(t, t_0)\}^{1/p}, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega, \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} n(t, s) &= \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s \left[ g(\tau, \eta) + h(\tau, \eta) a^{1-p}(\tau, \eta) \right] \Delta\eta \Delta\tau, \\ \omega(t, s) &= \int_{s_0}^s \left[ g(t, \eta) + \frac{h(t, \eta) a^{1-p}(\tau, \eta)}{p} \right] b(t, \eta) \Delta\eta, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

*Proof.* Noting that  $a(t, s) > 0$  and  $a(t, s)$  is nondecreasing for  $(t, s) \in \Omega$ , from (2.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{u(t, s)}{a(t, s)} \right)^p &\leq 1 + b(t, s) \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s \left[ g(\tau, \eta) \left( \frac{u(\tau, \eta)}{a(\tau, \eta)} \right)^p + h(\tau, \eta) a^{1-p}(\tau, \eta) \frac{u(\tau, \eta)}{a(\tau, \eta)} \right] \Delta\eta \Delta\tau, \\ &\hspace{20em} (t, s) \in \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

By Theorem 2.3, from (2.21), we easily obtain the desired (2.19). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.5.  $\square$

*Remark 2.6.* If  $\mathbb{T}_1 = \mathbb{T}_2 = \mathbb{R}_+$  in Theorem 2.5, then we easily obtain Theorem 2.3.3(c<sub>2</sub>) in [19].

**Theorem 2.7.** Assume that  $u(t, s)$ ,  $a(t, s)$ , and  $b(t, s)$  are nonnegative functions defined for  $(t, s) \in \Omega$  that are right-dense continuous for  $(t, s) \in \Omega$ , and  $p > 1$  is a real constant. If  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is right-dense continuous on  $\Omega$  and continuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$0 \leq f(t, s, x) - f(t, s, y) \leq \phi(t, s, y)(x - y), \tag{2.22}$$

for  $(t, s) \in \Omega$ ,  $x \geq y \geq 0$ , where  $\phi : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is right-dense continuous on  $\Omega$  and continuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , then

$$u^p(t, s) \leq a(t, s) + b(t, s) \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s f(\tau, \eta, u(\tau, \eta)) \Delta\eta \Delta\tau, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega \tag{2.23}$$

implies

$$u(t, s) \leq \{ a(t, s) + b(t, s) \tilde{m}(t, s) e^{\tilde{\omega}(t, s)}(t, t_0) \}^{1/p}, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega, \tag{2.24}$$

where

$$\tilde{m}(t, s) = \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s f\left(\tau, \eta, \frac{p-1+a(\tau, \eta)}{p}\right) \Delta\eta \Delta\tau, \tag{2.25}$$

$$\tilde{\omega}(t, s) = \int_{s_0}^s \phi\left(t, \eta, \frac{p-1+a(t, \eta)}{p}\right) \frac{b(t, \eta)}{p} \Delta\eta, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega. \tag{2.26}$$

*Proof.* Define a function  $z(t, s)$  by

$$z(t, s) = \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s f(\tau, \eta, u(\tau, \eta)) \Delta\eta \Delta\tau, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega. \quad (2.27)$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.3, from (2.23), we easily see that (2.9) and (2.10) hold. Combining (2.10), (2.27) and noting the assumptions on  $f$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} z(t, s) &\leq \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s \left[ f\left(\tau, \eta, \frac{p-1+a(\tau, \eta)}{p} + \frac{b(\tau, \eta)z(\tau, \eta)}{p}\right) - f\left(\tau, \eta, \frac{p-1+a(\tau, \eta)}{p}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + f\left(\tau, \eta, \frac{p-1+a(\tau, \eta)}{p}\right) \right] \Delta\eta \Delta\tau \\ &\leq \tilde{m}(t, s) + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s \phi\left(\tau, \eta, \frac{p-1+a(\tau, \eta)}{p}\right) \frac{b(\tau, \eta)}{p} z(\tau, \eta) \Delta\eta \Delta\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

where  $\tilde{m}(t, s)$  is defined by (2.25). It is easy to see that  $\tilde{m}(t, s)$  is nonnegative, right-dense continuous, and nondecreasing for  $(t, s) \in \Omega$ . The remainder of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.3 and we omit it.  $\square$

*Remark 2.8.* Letting  $\mathbb{T}_1 = \mathbb{T}_2 = \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\mathbb{T}_1 = \mathbb{T}_2 = \mathbb{N}_0$  in Theorem 2.7, respectively, we can obtain Theorem 2.3.4( $d_1$ ) and Theorem 5.2.4( $d_2$ ) in [19].

**Theorem 2.9.** Assume that  $u(t, s)$ ,  $a(t, s)$ , and  $b(t, s)$  are nonnegative functions defined for  $(t, s) \in \Omega$  that are right-dense continuous for  $(t, s) \in \Omega$ , and  $p > 1$  is a real constant. If  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is right-dense continuous on  $\Omega$  and continuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , and  $\Psi \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$  such that

$$0 \leq f(t, s, x) - f(t, s, y) \leq \phi(t, s, y) \Psi^{-1}(x - y), \quad (2.29)$$

for  $(t, s) \in \Omega$ ,  $x \geq y \geq 0$ , where  $\phi : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is right-dense continuous on  $\Omega$  and continuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $\Psi^{-1}$  is the inverse function of  $\Psi$ , and

$$\Psi^{-1}(xy) \leq \Psi^{-1}(x) \Psi^{-1}(y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (2.30)$$

then

$$u^p(t, s) \leq a(t, s) + b(t, s) \Psi\left(\int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s f(\tau, \eta, u(\tau, \eta)) \Delta\eta \Delta\tau\right), \quad (t, s) \in \Omega \quad (2.31)$$

implies

$$u(t, s) \leq \{a(t, s) + b(t, s) \Psi(\tilde{m}(t, s) e_{\bar{\omega}(\cdot, s)}(t, t_0))\}^{1/p}, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega, \quad (2.32)$$

where  $\tilde{m}(t, s)$  is defined by (2.25), and

$$\bar{w}(t, s) = \int_{s_0}^s \phi \left( t, \eta, \frac{p-1+a(t, \eta)}{p} \right) \Psi^{-1} \left( \frac{b(t, \eta)}{p} \right) \Delta \eta, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega. \quad (2.33)$$

*Proof.* Define a function  $z(t, s)$  by (2.27). Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3, we have

$$u^p(t, s) \leq a(t, s) + b(t, s)\Phi(z(t, s)), \quad (2.34)$$

$$u(t, s) \leq \frac{p-1+a(t, s)}{p} + \frac{b(t, s)}{p}\Phi(z(t, s)), \quad (t, s) \in \Omega. \quad (2.35)$$

From (2.27), (2.35) and the assumptions on  $f$  and  $\Psi$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z(t, s) &\leq \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s \left[ f \left( \tau, \eta, \frac{p-1+a(\tau, \eta)}{p} + \frac{b(\tau, \eta)\Psi(z(\tau, \eta))}{p} \right) - f \left( \tau, \eta, \frac{p-1+a(\tau, \eta)}{p} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + f \left( \tau, \eta, \frac{p-1+a(\tau, \eta)}{p} \right) \right] \Delta \eta \Delta \tau \\ &\leq \tilde{m}(t, s) + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s \phi \left( \tau, \eta, \frac{p-1+a(\tau, \eta)}{p} \right) \Psi^{-1} \left( \frac{b(\tau, \eta)}{p} \right) z(\tau, \eta) \Delta \eta \Delta \tau, \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

where  $\tilde{m}(t, s)$  is defined by (2.25). Obviously,  $\tilde{m}(t, s)$  is nonnegative, right-dense continuous, and nondecreasing for  $(t, s) \in \Omega$ . The remainder of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.3, and we omit it here. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.9.  $\square$

*Remark 2.10.* We note that when  $\mathbb{T}_1 = \mathbb{T}_2 = \mathbb{R}_+$ , Theorem 2.9 reduces to Theorem 2.3.4( $d_2$ ) in [19].

*Remark 2.11.* Using our main results, we can obtain many integral inequalities for some peculiar time scales. For example, letting  $\mathbb{T}_1 = \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $\mathbb{T}_2 = \mathbb{N}_0$ , from Theorem 2.3, we easily obtain the following result.

**Corollary 2.12.** *Assume that  $u(t, s)$ ,  $a(t, s)$ ,  $b(t, s)$ ,  $g(t, s)$  and  $h(t, s)$  are nonnegative functions defined for  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$  that are continuous for  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , and  $p > 1$  is a real constant. Then,*

$$u^p(t, s) \leq a(t, s) + b(t, s) \int_0^t \left\{ \sum_{\eta=0}^{s-1} [g(\tau, \eta)u^p(\tau, \eta) + h(\tau, \eta)u(\tau, \eta)] \right\} d\tau, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad s \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad (2.37)$$

implies

$$u(t, s) \leq \left\{ a(t, s) + b(t, s)m^*(t, s) \times \exp \left( \int_0^t \left[ \sum_{\eta=0}^{s-1} \left( g(\tau, \eta) + \frac{h(\tau, \eta)}{p} \right) b(\tau, \eta) \right] d\tau \right) \right\}^{1/p},$$

$$t \in \mathbb{R}_+, s \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (2.38)$$

where

$$m^*(t, s) = \int_0^t \left\{ \sum_{\eta=0}^{s-1} \left[ a(\tau, \eta)g(\tau, \eta) + \left( \frac{p-1}{p} + \frac{a(\tau, \eta)}{p} \right) h(\tau, \eta) \right] \right\} d\tau. \quad (2.39)$$

### 3. Some Applications

In this section, we present two applications of our main results.

*Example 3.1.* Consider the following partial dynamic equation on time scales

$$(u^p(t, s))^{\Delta_t \Delta_s} = F(t, s, u(t, s)) + r(t, s), \quad (t, s) \in \Omega, \quad (3.1)$$

with the initial boundary conditions

$$u(t, s_0) = \alpha(t), \quad u(t_0, s) = \beta(s), \quad u(t_0, s_0) = \gamma, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $p > 1$  is a constant,  $F : \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is right-dense continuous on  $\Omega$  and continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $r : \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is right-dense continuous on  $\Omega$ ,  $\alpha : \mathbb{T}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\beta : \mathbb{T}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are right-dense continuous, and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  is a constant.

Assume that

$$|F(t, s, v)| \leq g(t, s)|v|^p + h(t, s)|v|, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $g(t, s)$  and  $h(t, s)$  are nonnegative right-dense continuous functions for  $(t, s) \in \Omega$ . If  $u(t, s)$  is a solution of (3.1), (3.2), then  $u(t, s)$  satisfies

$$|u(t, s)| \leq \{a_0(t, s) + M(t, s)e_{\gamma(\cdot, s)}(t, t_0)\}^{1/p}, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega, \quad (3.4)$$



where

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_0(t, s) &= |\alpha^p(t) + \beta^p(s) - \gamma^p| + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s |r(\tau, \eta)| \Delta\eta \Delta\tau, \\
 M(t, s) &= \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s \left[ a_0(\tau, \eta) g(\tau, \eta) + \left( \frac{p-1}{p} + \frac{a_0(\tau, \eta)}{p} \right) h(\tau, \eta) \right] \Delta\eta \Delta\tau, \\
 Y(t, s) &= \int_{s_0}^s \left[ g(t, \eta) + \frac{h(t, \eta)}{p} \right] \Delta\eta, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

In fact, the solution  $u(t, s)$  of (3.1), (3.2) satisfies

$$u^p(t, s) = \alpha^p(t) + \beta^p(s) - \gamma^p + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s F(\tau, \eta, u(\tau, \eta)) \Delta\eta \Delta\tau + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s r(\tau, \eta) \Delta\eta \Delta\tau, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega. \tag{3.6}$$

Therefore,

$$|u(t, s)|^p \leq a_0(t, s) + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s |F(\tau, \eta, u(\tau, \eta))| \Delta\eta \Delta\tau, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega. \tag{3.7}$$

It follows from (3.3) and (3.7) that

$$|u(t, s)|^p \leq a_0(t, s) + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s [g(\tau, \eta) |u(\tau, \eta)|^p + h(\tau, \eta) |u(\tau, \eta)|] \Delta\eta \Delta\tau, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega. \tag{3.8}$$

Using Theorem 2.3, from (3.8), we easily obtain (3.4).

*Example 3.2.* Consider the following dynamic equation on time scales:

$$u^p(t, s) = K + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s H(\tau, \eta, u(\tau, \eta)) \Delta\eta \Delta\tau, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega, \tag{3.9}$$

where  $K > 0, p > 1$  are constants,  $H : \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is right-dense continuous on  $\Omega$  and continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Assume that

$$|H(t, s, v)| \leq h(t, s) |v|, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega, \tag{3.10}$$

where  $h(t, s)$  is a nonnegative right-dense continuous function for  $(t, s) \in \Omega$ . If  $u(t, s)$  is a solution of (3.9), then

$$|u(t, s)| \leq \{K [1 + \bar{n}(t, s) e_{q(\cdot, s)}(t, t_0)]\}^{1/p}, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega, \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{n}(t, s) &= K^{(1-p)/p} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s h(\tau, \eta) \Delta\eta \Delta\tau, \\ q(t, s) &= \frac{K^{(1-p)/p}}{p} \int_{s_0}^s h(t, \eta) \Delta\eta, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega.\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

In fact, if  $u(t, s)$  is a solution of (3.9), then

$$|u(t, s)|^p \leq K + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s |H(\tau, \eta, u(\tau, \eta))| \Delta\eta \Delta\tau, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega.\tag{3.13}$$

It follows from (3.10) and (3.13) that

$$|u(t, s)|^p \leq K + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{s_0}^s h(\tau, \eta) |u(\tau, \eta)| \Delta\eta \Delta\tau, \quad (t, s) \in \Omega.\tag{3.14}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.5, from (3.14), we immediately obtain (3.11).

## Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10971018), the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR2009AM005), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation Funded Project (20080440633), Shanghai Postdoctoral Scientific Program (09R21415200), the Project of Science and Technology of the Education Department of Shandong Province (J08LI52), and the Doctoral Foundation of Binzhou University (2006Y01). The author thanks the referees very much for their careful comments and valuable suggestions on this paper.

## References

- [1] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 2001.
- [2] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, Eds., *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 2003.
- [3] R. Agarwal, M. Bohner, and A. Peterson, "Inequalities on time scales: a survey," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 535–557, 2001.
- [4] E. Akin-Bohner, M. Bohner, and F. Akin, "Pachpatte inequalities on time scales," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 6, no. 1, article 6, pp. 1–23, 2005.
- [5] W. N. Li, "Some new dynamic inequalities on time scales," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 319, no. 2, pp. 802–814, 2006.
- [6] F.-H. Wong, C.-C. Yeh, and C.-H. Hong, "Gronwall inequalities on time scales," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 75–86, 2006.
- [7] W. N. Li and W. Sheng, "Some nonlinear dynamic inequalities on time scales," *Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 117, no. 4, pp. 545–554, 2007.
- [8] W. N. Li, "Some Pachpatte type inequalities on time scales," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 275–282, 2009.

- [9] W. N. Li, "Bounds for certain new integral inequalities on time scales," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2009, Article ID 484185, 16 pages, 2009.
- [10] D. R. Anderson, "Dynamic double integral inequalities in two independent variables on time scales," *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 163–184, 2008.
- [11] D. R. Anderson, "Nonlinear dynamic integral inequalities in two independent variables on time scale pairs," *Advances in Dynamical Systems and Applications*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2008.
- [12] C. D. Ahlbrandt and Ch. Morian, "Partial differential equations on time scales," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 141, no. 1-2, pp. 35–55, 2002.
- [13] J. Hoffacker, "Basic partial dynamic equations on time scales," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 307–319, 2002.
- [14] B. Jackson, "Partial dynamic equations on time scales," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 186, no. 2, pp. 391–415, 2006.
- [15] M. Bohner and G. Sh. Guseinov, "Partial differentiation on time scales," *Dynamic Systems and Applications*, vol. 13, no. 3-4, pp. 351–379, 2004.
- [16] M. Bohner and G. Sh. Guseinov, "Double integral calculus of variations on time scales," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 45–57, 2007.
- [17] P. Wang and P. Li, "Monotone iterative technique for partial dynamic equations of first order on time scales," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2008, Article ID 265609, 7 pages, 2008.
- [18] D. S. Mitrinović, *Analytic Inequalities*, vol. 16 of *Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1970.
- [19] B. G. Pachpatte, *Integral and Finite Difference Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 205 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2006.