

## Research Article

# Boundary Controllability of Nonlinear Fractional Integrodifferential Systems

**Hamdy M. Ahmed**

*Higher Institute of Engineering, El-Shorouk Academy, P.O. 3 El-Shorouk City, Cairo, Egypt*

Correspondence should be addressed to Hamdy M. Ahmed, hamdy.17eg@yahoo.com

Received 21 July 2009; Accepted 11 January 2010

Academic Editor: Toka Diagana

Copyright © 2010 Hamdy M. Ahmed. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Sufficient conditions for boundary controllability of nonlinear fractional integrodifferential systems in Banach space are established. The results are obtained by using fixed point theorems. We also give an application for integropartial differential equations of fractional order.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $E$  and  $U$  be a pair of real Banach spaces with norms  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $|\cdot|$ , respectively. Let  $\sigma$  be a linear closed and densely defined operator with  $D(\sigma) \subseteq E$  and let  $\tau \subseteq X$  be a linear operator with  $D(\sigma)$  and  $R(\tau) \subseteq X$ , a Banach space. In this paper we study the boundary controllability of nonlinear fractional integrodifferential systems in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^\alpha x(t)}{dt^\alpha} &= \sigma x(t) + f(t, x(t)) + \int_0^t g(t, s, x(s)) ds, \quad t \in J = [0, b], \\ \tau x(t) &= B_1 u(t), \\ x(0) &= x_0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $B_1 : U \rightarrow X$  is a linear continuous operator, and the control function  $u$  is given in  $L^1(J, U)$ , a Banach space of admissible control functions. The nonlinear operators  $f : J \times E \rightarrow E$  and  $g : \Delta \times E \rightarrow E$  are given and  $\Delta : (t, s); 0 \leq s \leq t \leq b$ .

Let  $A : E \rightarrow E$  be the linear operator defined by

$$D(A) = \{x \in D(\sigma); \tau x = 0\}, \quad Ax = \{\sigma x, \text{ for } x \in D(A)\}. \tag{1.2}$$

The controllability of integrodifferential systems has been studied by many authors (see [1–6]). This work may be regarded as a direct attempt to generalize the work in [7, 8].

## 2. Main Result

*Definition 2.1.* System (1.1) is said to be controllable on the interval  $J$  if for every  $x_0, x_1 \in E$  there exists a control  $u \in L^2(J, U)$  such that  $x(\cdot)$  of (1.1) satisfies  $x(b) = x_1$ .

To establish the result, we need the following hypotheses.

- (H1)  $D(\sigma) \subset D(\tau)$  and the restriction of  $\tau$  to  $D(\sigma)$  is continuous relative to the graph norm of  $D(\sigma)$ .
- (H2) The operator  $A$  is the infinitesimal generator of a compact semigroup  $T(t)$  and there exists a constant  $M_1 > 0$  such that  $\|T(t)\| \leq M_1$ .
- (H3) There exists a linear continuous operator  $B : U \rightarrow E$  such that  $\sigma B \in L(U, E)$ ,  $\tau(Bu) = B_1u$ , for all  $u \in U$ . Also  $Bu(t)$  is continuously differentiable and  $\|Bu\| \leq C\|B_1u\|$  for all  $u \in U$ , where  $C$  is a constant.
- (H4) For all  $t \in (0, b]$  and  $u \in U$ ,  $T(t)Bu \in D(A)$ . Moreover, there exists a positive constant  $K_1 > 0$  such that  $\|AT(t)\| \leq K_1$ .
- (H5) The nonlinear operators  $f(t, x(t))$  and  $g(t, s, x(s))$ , for  $t, s \in J$ , satisfy

$$\|f(t, x(t))\| \leq L_1, \quad \|g(t, s, x(s))\| \leq L_2, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $L_1 \geq 0$  and  $L_2 \geq 0$ .

- (H6) The linear operator  $W$  from  $L^2(J, U)$  into  $E$  defined by

$$Wu = \alpha \int_0^b \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) [T((b-s)^\alpha \theta) \sigma - AT((b-s)^\alpha \theta)] Bu(s) d\theta ds, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\xi_\alpha(\theta)$  is a probability density function defined on  $(0, \infty)$  (see [9, 10]) and induces an invertible operator  $\widetilde{W}^{-1}$  defined on  $L^2(J, U)/\ker W$ , and there exists a positive constant  $M_2 > 0$  and  $M_3 > 0$  such that  $\|B\| \leq M_2$  and  $\|\widetilde{W}^{-1}\| \leq M_3$ . Let  $x(t)$  be the solution of (1.1). Then we define a function  $z(t) = x(t) - Bu(t)$  and from our assumption we see that  $z(t) \in D(A)$ . Hence (1.1) can be written in terms of  $A$  and  $B$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^\alpha x(t)}{dt^\alpha} &= Az(t) + \sigma Bu(t) + f(t, x(t)) + \int_0^t g(t, s, x(s)) ds, \quad t \in J, \\ x(t) &= z(t) + Bu(t), \quad x(0) = x_0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

If  $u$  is continuously differentiable on  $[0, b]$ , then  $z$  can be defined as a mild solution to be the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^\alpha z(t)}{dt^\alpha} &= Az(t) + \sigma Bu(t) - B \frac{d^\alpha u(t)}{dt^\alpha} + f(t, x(t)) + \int_0^t g(t, s, x(s)) ds, \quad t \in J, \\ z(0) &= x_0 - Bu(0), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

and the solution of (1.1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) = & \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta)T(t^\alpha\theta)[x_0 - Bu(0)]d\theta + Bu(t) \\
 & + \alpha \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta)T((t-s)^\alpha\theta) f(s, x(s))d\theta ds \\
 & + \alpha \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta)T((t-s)^\alpha\theta) \left[ \sigma Bu(s) - B \frac{d^\alpha u(s)}{ds^\alpha} \right] d\theta ds \\
 & + \alpha \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta)T((t-s)^\alpha\theta) \left[ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau))d\tau \right] d\theta ds
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

(see [11–13]).

Since the differentiability of the control  $u$  represents an unrealistic and severe requirement, it is necessary of the solution for the general inputs  $u \in L^1(J, U)$ . Integrating (2.5) by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) = & \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta)T(t^\alpha\theta)x_0d\theta + \alpha \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) [T((t-s)^\alpha\theta)\sigma - AT((t-s)^\alpha\theta)] Bu(s)d\theta ds \\
 & + \alpha \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta)T((t-s)^\alpha\theta) f(s, x(s))d\theta ds \\
 & + \alpha \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta)T((t-s)^\alpha\theta) \left[ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau))d\tau \right] d\theta ds.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Thus (2.6) is well defined and it is called a mild solution of system (1.1).

**Theorem 2.2.** *If hypotheses (H1)–(H6) are satisfied, then the boundary control fractional integrodifferential system (1.1) is controllable on  $J$ .*

*Proof.* Using assumption (H6), for an arbitrary function  $x(\cdot)$  define the control

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) = & \widetilde{W}^{-1} \left\{ x_1 - \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta)T(b^\alpha\theta)x_0d\theta - \alpha \int_0^b \int_0^\infty \theta(b-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta)T((b-s)^\alpha\theta) f(s, x(s))d\theta ds \right. \\
 & \left. - \alpha \int_0^b \int_0^\infty \theta(b-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta)T((b-s)^\alpha\theta) \left[ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau))d\tau \right] d\theta ds \right\} (t).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

We shall now show that, when using this control, the operator defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Phi x)(t) &= \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) T(t^\alpha \theta) x_0 d\theta \\
 &\quad + \alpha \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) [T((t-s)^\alpha \theta) \sigma - AT((t-s)^\alpha \theta)] Bu(s) d\theta ds \\
 &\quad + \alpha \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) T((t-s)^\alpha \theta) f(s, x(s)) d\theta ds \\
 &\quad + \alpha \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) T((t-s)^\alpha \theta) \left[ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right] d\theta ds
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

has a fixed point. This fixed point is then a solution of (1.1). Clearly,  $(\Phi x)(b) = x_1$ , which means that the control  $u$  steers the nonlinear fractional integrodifferential system from the initial state  $x_0$  to  $x_1$  in time  $T$ , provided we can obtain a fixed point of the nonlinear operator  $\Phi$ .

Let  $Y = C(J, X)$  and  $Y_0 = \{x \in Y : \|x(t)\| \leq r, \text{ for } t \in J\}$ , where the positive constant  $r$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 r &= M_1 \|x_0\| + b^\alpha [M_1 \|\sigma\| + K_1] M_2 M_3 \left[ \|x_1\| + M_1 \|x_0\| + M_1 L_1 b^\alpha + M_1 L_2 b^{\alpha+1} \right] \\
 &\quad + M_1 L_1 b^\alpha + M_1 L_2 b^{\alpha+1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Then  $Y_0$  is clearly a bounded, closed, and convex subset of  $Y$ . We define a mapping  $\Phi : Y \rightarrow Y_0$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Phi x)(t) &= \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) T(t^\alpha \theta) x_0 d\theta + \alpha \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) [T((t-s)^\alpha \theta) \sigma - AT((t-s)^\alpha \theta)] B \widetilde{W}^{-1} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ x_1 - \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) T(b^\alpha \theta) x_0 d\theta - \alpha \int_0^b \int_0^\infty \theta(b-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) T((b-s)^\alpha \theta) f(s, x(s)) d\theta ds \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \alpha \int_0^b \int_0^\infty \theta(b-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) T((b-s)^\alpha \theta) \left[ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right] d\theta ds \right\} (s) d\theta ds \\
 &\quad + \alpha \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) T((t-s)^\alpha \theta) f(s, x(s)) d\theta ds \\
 &\quad + \alpha \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) T((t-s)^\alpha \theta) \left[ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right] d\theta ds.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|(\Phi x)(t)\| \\
 & \leq \left\| \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) T(t^\alpha \theta) x_0 d\theta \right\| + \alpha \int_0^t \left\| \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) [T((t-s)^\alpha \theta) \sigma - AT((t-s)^\alpha \theta)] d\theta \right\| \|B\| \\
 & \quad \times \left\| \widetilde{W}^{-1} \right\| \left\{ \|x_1\| - \left\| \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) T(b^\alpha \theta) x_0 d\theta \right\| - \alpha \int_0^b \left\| \int_0^\infty \theta(b-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) T((b-s)^\alpha \theta) d\theta \right\| \right. \\
 & \quad \times \|f(s, x(s))\| ds - \alpha \int_0^b \left\| \int_0^\infty \theta(b-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) T((b-s)^\alpha \theta) d\theta \right\| \\
 & \quad \times \left. \left\| \left[ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right] \right\| ds \right\} (s) ds \\
 & \quad + \alpha \int_0^t \left\| \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) T((t-s)^\alpha \theta) d\theta \right\| \|f(s, x(s))\| ds \\
 & \quad + \alpha \int_0^t \left\| \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) T((t-s)^\alpha \theta) d\theta \right\| \left\| \left[ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right] \right\| ds \\
 & \leq M_1 \|x_0\| + b^\alpha [M_1 \|\sigma\| + K_1] M_2 M_3 \left[ \|x_1\| + M_1 \|x_0\| + M_1 L_1 b^\alpha + M_1 L_2 b^{\alpha+1} \right] \\
 & \quad + M_1 L_1 b^\alpha + M_1 L_2 b^{\alpha+1} \leq r.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Since  $f$  and  $g$  are continuous and  $\|(\Phi x)(t)\| \leq r$ , it follows that  $\Phi$  is also continuous and maps  $Y_0$  into itself. Moreover,  $\Phi$  maps  $Y_0$  into precompact subset of  $Y_0$ . To prove this, we first show that, for every fixed  $t \in J$ , the set  $Y_0(t) = \{(\Phi x)(t) : x \in Y_0\}$  is precompact in  $X$ . This is clear for  $t = 0$ , since  $Y_0(0) = \{x_0\}$ . Let  $t > 0$  be fixed and for  $0 < \epsilon < t$  define

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Phi_\epsilon x)(t) & = \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) T(t^\alpha \theta) x_0 d\theta + \alpha \int_0^{t-\epsilon} \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) [T((t-s)^\alpha \theta) \sigma - AT((t-s)^\alpha \theta)] B \widetilde{W}^{-1} \\
 & \quad \times \left\{ x_1 - \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) T(b^\alpha \theta) x_0 d\theta - \alpha \int_0^b \int_0^\infty \theta(b-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) T((b-s)^\alpha \theta) f(s, x(s)) d\theta ds \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \alpha \int_0^b \int_0^\infty \theta(b-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) T((b-s)^\alpha \theta) \left[ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right] d\theta ds \right\} (s) d\theta ds \\
 & \quad + \alpha \int_0^{t-\epsilon} \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) T((t-s)^\alpha \theta) f(s, x(s)) d\theta ds \\
 & \quad + \alpha \int_0^{t-\epsilon} \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) T((t-s)^\alpha \theta) \left[ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right] d\theta ds.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Since  $T(t)$  is compact for every  $t > 0$ , the set  $Y_\epsilon(t) = \{(\Phi_\epsilon x)(t) : x \in Y_0\}$  is precompact in  $X$  for every  $\epsilon$ ,  $0 < \epsilon < t$ . Furthermore, for  $x \in Y_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\Phi x)(t) - (\Phi_\epsilon x)(t)\| \\
& \leq \left\| \alpha \int_{t-\epsilon}^t \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) [T((t-s)^\alpha \theta) \sigma - AT((t-s)^\alpha \theta)] B \widetilde{W}^{-1} \right. \\
& \quad \times \left\{ x_1 - \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) T(b^\alpha \theta) x_0 d\theta - \alpha \int_0^b \int_0^\infty \theta(b-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) T((b-s)^\alpha \theta) f(s, x(s)) d\theta ds \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \alpha \int_0^b \int_0^\infty \theta(b-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) T((b-s)^\alpha \theta) \left[ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right] d\theta ds \right\} (s) d\theta ds \right\| \\
& + \left\| \alpha \int_{t-\epsilon}^t \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) T((t-s)^\alpha \theta) f(s, x(s)) d\theta ds \right\| \\
& + \left\| \alpha \int_{t-\epsilon}^t \int_0^\infty \theta(t-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) T((t-s)^\alpha \theta) \left[ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right] d\theta ds \right\| \\
& \leq \epsilon^\alpha [M_1 \|\sigma\| + K_1] M_2 M_3 [\|x_1\| + M_1 \|x_0\| + M_1 L_1 b^\alpha + M_1 L_2 b^{\alpha+1}] + M_1 L_1 \epsilon^\alpha + M_1 L_2 \epsilon^\alpha b,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

which implies that  $Y_0(t)$  is totally bounded, that is, precompact in  $X$ . We want to show that  $\Phi(Y_0) = \{\Phi x : x \in Y_0\}$  is an equicontinuous family of functions. For that, let  $t_2 > t_1 > 0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\Phi x)(t_1) - (\Phi x)(t_2)\| \\
& \leq \left\| \alpha \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) [(t_1-s)^{\alpha-1} [T((t_1-s)^\alpha \theta) \sigma - AT((t_1-s)^\alpha \theta)] \right. \\
& \quad \left. - (t_2-s)^{\alpha-1} [T((t_2-s)^\alpha \theta) \sigma - AT((t_2-s)^\alpha \theta)] \right. \\
& \quad \times B \widetilde{W}^{-1} \left\{ x_1 - \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) T(b^\alpha \theta) x_0 d\theta - \alpha \int_0^b \int_0^\infty \theta(b-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) T((b-s)^\alpha \theta) f(s, x(s)) d\theta ds \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \alpha \int_0^b \int_0^\infty \theta(b-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) T((b-s)^\alpha \theta) \left[ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right] d\theta ds \right\} (s) d\theta ds \right\| \\
& + \left\| \alpha \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) (t_2-s)^{\alpha-1} [T((t_2-s)^\alpha \theta) \sigma - AT((t_2-s)^\alpha \theta)] B \widetilde{W}^{-1} \right. \\
& \quad \times \left\{ x_1 - \int_0^\infty \xi_\alpha(\theta) T(b^\alpha \theta) x_0 d\theta - \alpha \int_0^b \int_0^\infty \theta(b-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) T((b-s)^\alpha \theta) f(s, x(s)) d\theta ds \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \alpha \int_0^b \int_0^\infty \theta(b-s)^{\alpha-1} \xi_\alpha(\theta) T((b-s)^\alpha \theta) \left[ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right] d\theta ds \right\} (s) d\theta ds \right\|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \left\| \alpha \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) \left[ (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} T((t_1 - s)^\alpha \theta) - (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} T((t_2 - s)^\alpha \theta) \right] f(s, x(s)) d\theta ds \right\| \\
 & + \left\| \alpha \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) \left[ (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} T((t_1 - s)^\alpha \theta) - (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} T((t_2 - s)^\alpha \theta) \right] \left[ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right] \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \times d\theta ds \right\| \\
 & + \left\| \alpha \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} T((t_2 - s)^\alpha \theta) f(s, x(s)) d\theta ds \right\| \\
 & + \left\| \alpha \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_\alpha(\theta) (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} T((t_2 - s)^\alpha \theta) \left[ \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right] d\theta ds \right\|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

By using conditions (H2)–(H6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|(\Phi x)(t_1) - (\Phi x)(t_2)\| \\
 & \leq \alpha \int_0^{t_1} \left\| (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} [T((t_1 - s)^\alpha \theta) \sigma - AT((t_1 - s)^\alpha \theta)] \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} [T((t_2 - s)^\alpha \theta) \sigma - AT((t_2 - s)^\alpha \theta)] \right\| \\
 & \quad \times [M_2 M_3 \{ \|x_1\| + M_1 \|x_0\| + M_1 (L_1 b^\alpha + L_2 b^{\alpha+1}) \}] ds \\
 & + (t_2 - t_1)^\alpha [M_1 M_2 M_3 \|\sigma\| + K_1 M_2 M_3 \{ \|x_1\| + M_1 \|x_0\| + M_1 (L_1 b^\alpha + L_2 b^{\alpha+1}) \}] \\
 & + \alpha \int_0^{t_1} \left\| [(t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} T((t_1 - s)^\alpha \theta) - (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} T((t_2 - s)^\alpha \theta)] \right\| \\
 & \quad \times [L_1 + L_2 b^\alpha] ds + (t_2 - t_1)^\alpha M_1 [L_1 + L_2 b^\alpha].
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

The compactness of  $T(t), t > 0$ , implies that  $T(t)$  is continuous in the uniform operator topology for  $t > 0$ . Thus, the right hand side of (2.15) tends to zero as  $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$ . So,  $\Phi(Y_0)$  is an equicontinuous family of functions. Also,  $\Phi(Y_0)$  is bounded in  $Y$ , and so by the Arzela-Ascoli theorem,  $\Phi(Y_0)$  is precompact. Hence, from the Schauder fixed point in  $Y_0$ , any fixed point of  $\Phi$  is a mild solution of (1.1) on  $J$  satisfying

$$(\Phi x)(t) = x(t) \in X. \tag{2.16}$$

Thus, system (1.1) is controllable on  $J$ . □

### 3. Application

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be bounded with smooth boundary  $\Gamma$ .

Consider the boundary control fractional integropartial differential system

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^\alpha y(t, x)}{\partial t^\alpha} - \Delta y(t, x) &= F(t, y(t, x)) + \int_0^t G(t, s, y(s, x)) ds, \quad \text{in } Y = (0, b) \times \Omega, \\ y(t, 0) &= u(t, 0) \quad \text{on } \Sigma = (0, b) \times \Gamma, \quad t \in [0, b], \\ y(0, x) &= y_0(x), \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

The above problem can be formulated as a boundary control problem of the form of (1.1) by suitably taking the spaces  $E$ ,  $X$ ,  $U$  and the operators  $B_1$ ,  $\sigma$ , and  $\tau$  as follows.

Let  $E = L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $X = H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$ ,  $U = L^2(\Gamma)$ ,  $B_1 = I$ , the identity operator and  $D(\sigma) = \{y \in L^2(\Omega) : \Delta y \in L^2(\Omega)\}$ ,  $\sigma = \Delta$ . The operator  $\tau$  is the trace operator such that  $\tau y = y|_\Gamma$  is well defined and belongs to  $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$  for each  $y \in D(\sigma)$  and the operator  $A$  is given by  $A = \Delta$ ,  $D(A) = H_0^1(\Omega) \cup H^2(\Omega)$  where  $H^k(\Omega)$ ,  $H^\beta(\Omega)$ , and  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  are usual Sobolev spaces on  $\Omega$ ,  $\Gamma$ . We define the linear operator  $B : L^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  by  $Bu = w_u$  where  $w_u$  is the unique solution to the Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w_u &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ w_u &= u \quad \text{in } \Gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

We also introduce the nonlinear operator defined by

$$f(t, x(t)) = F(t, y(t, x)), \quad g(t, s, x(s)) = G(t, s, y(s, x)). \quad (3.3)$$

Choose  $b$  and other constants such that conditions (H1)–(H6) are satisfied. Consequently Theorem 2.2 can be applied for (3.1), so (3.1) is controllable on  $[0, b]$ .

### References

- [1] K. Balachandran and E. R. Anandhi, "Controllability of neutral functional integrodifferential infinite delay systems in Banach spaces," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 689–702, 2004.
- [2] K. Balachandran, J. P. Dauer, and P. Balasubramaniam, "Controllability of nonlinear integrodifferential systems in Banach space," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 84, no. 1, pp. 83–91, 1995.
- [3] K. Balachandran and J. Y. Park, "Existence of solutions and controllability of nonlinear integrodifferential systems in Banach spaces," *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2003, no. 1-2, pp. 65–79, 2003.
- [4] R. Atmania and S. Mazouzi, "Controllability of semilinear integrodifferential equations with nonlocal conditions," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 2005, no. 75, pp. 1–9, 2005.
- [5] K. Balachandran and R. Sakthivel, "Controllability of functional semilinear integrodifferential systems in Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 255, no. 2, pp. 447–457, 2001.
- [6] K. Balachandran and R. Sakthivel, "Controllability of integrodifferential systems in Banach spaces," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 118, no. 1, pp. 63–71, 2001.

- [7] K. Balachandran and E. R. Anandhi, "Boundary controllability of integrodifferential systems in Banach spaces," *Proceedings. Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 111, no. 1, pp. 127–135, 2001.
- [8] K. Balachandran and A. Leelamani, "Boundary controllability of abstract integrodifferential systems," *Journal of the Korean Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 33–45, 2003.
- [9] M. M. El-Borai, "Some probability densities and fundamental solutions of fractional evolution equations," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 433–440, 2002.
- [10] R. Gorenflo and F. Mainardi, "Fractional calculus and stable probability distributions," *Archives of Mechanics*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 377–388, 1998.
- [11] M. M. El-Borai, K. El-Said El-Nadi, O. L. Mostafa, and H. M. Ahmed, "Semigroup and some fractional stochastic integral equations," *The International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 47–52, 2006.
- [12] M. M. El-Borai, K. El-Said El-Nadi, O. L. Mostafa, and H. M. Ahmed, "Volterra equations with fractional stochastic integrals," *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2004, no. 5, pp. 453–468, 2004.
- [13] M. M. El-Borai, "The fundamental solutions for fractional evolution equations of parabolic type," *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Stochastic Analysis*, vol. 2004, no. 3, pp. 197–211, 2004.