

Research Article

Relations between Limit-Point and Dirichlet Properties of Second-Order Difference Operators

A. Delil

Received 24 July 2006; Revised 6 March 2007; Accepted 11 April 2007

Dedicated to Professor W. D. Evans on the occasion of his 65th birthday

Recommended by Martin J. Bohner

We consider second-order difference expressions, with complex coefficients, of the form $w_n^{-1}[-\Delta(p_{n-1}\Delta x_{n-1}) + q_n x_n]$ acting on infinite sequences. The discrete analog of some known relationships in the theory of differential operators such as *Dirichlet*, *conditional Dirichlet*, *weak Dirichlet*, and *strong limit-point* is considered. Also, connections and some relationships between these properties have been established.

Copyright © 2007 A. Delil. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we will deal with the second-order formally symmetric difference expression M acting on complex valued sequences $x = \{x_n\}_{-1}^{\infty}$ defined by

$$Mx_n := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{w_n}[-\Delta(p_{n-1}\Delta x_{n-1}) + q_n x_n], & n \geq 0, \\ -\frac{p_{-1}}{w_{-1}}\Delta x_n, & n = -1, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

with complex coefficients $p = \{p_n\}_{-1}^{\infty}$, $q = \{q_n\}_{-1}^{\infty}$ and weight $w = \{w_n\}_{-1}^{\infty}$. In differential operators case, when the coefficients p and q are real-valued, the terms *limit-point (LP)*, *strong limit-point (SLP)*, *Dirichlet (D)*, *conditional Dirichlet (CD)*, and *weak Dirichlet (WD)* at the regular endpoint are often used to describe certain properties associated with the differential expression under consideration, see [1–10]. Here, we introduce the discrete analogue of these properties and some relations between them. In studying inequalities involving expression (1.1), such as HELP (after Hardy, Everitt, Littlewood and Polya) and Kolmogorov-type inequalities, these properties and the relationships between

them are crucial. The work we present here is the discrete analogue of the work by Race [9] for differential expressions.

2. Preliminaries

We use the following notation throughout: \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} denote the real and complex number fields, and \mathbb{N} is the set of nonnegative integers. \bar{z} denotes the complex conjugate of $z \in \mathbb{C}$. $\Im(\cdot)$ and $\Re(\cdot)$ represent the imaginary and real part of a complex number. ℓ^1 is the space of all absolutely summable complex sequences. ℓ^2 and ℓ_w^2 are the Hilbert spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \ell^2 &= \left\{ x = \{x_n\}_{-1}^\infty : \sum_{n=-1}^\infty |x_n|^2 < \infty \right\}, \\ \ell_w^2 &= \left\{ x = \{x_n\}_{-1}^\infty : \sum_{n=-1}^\infty |x_n|^2 w_n < \infty \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

with $w_n > 0$ for all n and the inner products

$$(x, y) = \sum_{n=-1}^\infty x_n \bar{y}_n, \quad (x, y) = \sum_{n=-1}^\infty x_n \bar{y}_n w_n, \tag{2.2}$$

respectively. If $\{x_n\}_{-1}^\infty \notin \ell^1$ but $\sum_{n=-1}^\infty x_n < \infty$, then we say that the sum $\sum_{n=-1}^\infty x_n$ is conditionally convergent. We associate a maximal operator, $T(M)$, in ℓ_w^2 with the linear difference expression

$$Mx_n := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{w_n} [-\Delta(p_{n-1}\Delta x_{n-1}) + q_n x_n], & n \geq 0, \\ -\frac{p_{-1}}{w_{-1}} \Delta x_n, & n = -1, \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

where $\Delta x_n = x_{n+1} - x_n$, the forward difference, and the coefficients $\{p_n\}_{-1}^\infty$ and $\{q_n\}_{-1}^\infty$ are complex valued with

$$p_n \neq 0, \quad q_{-1} = 0, \quad w_n > 0, \quad \forall n = -1, 0, 1, \dots \tag{2.4}$$

Note that defining M by (2.3) makes the difference equation

$$Mx_n = \lambda x_n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}), \tag{2.5}$$

a three-term recurrence relation. The operator $T(M)$ is defined on $D_{T(M)}$ into ℓ_w^2 as

$$[T(M)x]_n = T(M)x_n := Mx_n, \quad n = -1, 0, 1, \dots, \tag{2.6}$$

$$D_{T(M)} := \left\{ x = \{x_n\}_{-1}^\infty \in \ell_w^2 : \sum_{n=-1}^\infty |T(M)x_n|^2 w_n < \infty \right\}. \tag{2.7}$$

The summation-by-parts formula

$$\sum_{n=k}^m x_n \Delta y_n = x_{m+1} y_{m+1} - x_k y_k - \sum_{n=k}^m y_{n+1} \Delta x_n, \quad k \leq m, \quad k, m \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{2.8}$$

gives rise to the equalities

$$\sum_{n=0}^m \bar{x}_n M y_n w_n = \sum_{n=0}^m q_n y_n \bar{x}_n + \sum_{n=0}^m (p_n \Delta y_n) \overline{\Delta x_n} - p_m \Delta y_m \overline{x_{m+1}} + p_{-1} \Delta y_{-1} \bar{x}_0 \quad (2.9)$$

and, for all $x, y \in D_{T(M)}$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p_n \Delta y_n \overline{\Delta x_n} + q_n y_n \bar{x}_n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\bar{x}_n T(M) y_n) w_n + \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m \Delta y_m \overline{x_{m+1}} - p_{-1} \Delta y_{-1} \bar{x}_0. \quad (2.10)$$

The left-hand side of (2.10) is called the *Dirichlet sum*, and (2.10) is called the *Dirichlet formula*. The following also holds for all $x, y \in D_{T(M)}$:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (x_n T(M) y_n - y_n T(M) x_n) w_n = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m (\Delta x_m y_{m+1} - \Delta y_m x_{m+1}) - p_{-1} (\Delta x_{-1} y_0 - \Delta y_{-1} x_0). \quad (2.11)$$

Following (2.10) we have, for $x \in D_{T(M)}$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p_n |\Delta x_n|^2 + q_n |x_n|^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\bar{x}_n T(M) x_n) w_n + \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m \Delta x_m \overline{x_{m+1}} - p_{-1} \Delta x_{-1} \bar{x}_0. \quad (2.12)$$

An immediate consequence of (2.11) together with (2.7) is that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m (\Delta x_m y_{m+1} - \Delta y_m x_{m+1}) \quad \text{exists and is finite } \forall x, y \in D_{T(M)}. \quad (2.13)$$

Moreover, the expression in (2.13) is a constant for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ when x, y are the solutions of (2.5), which is easy to prove. We also have the following *variation of parameters formula*: let $\phi = \{\phi_n\}_{-1}^{\infty}$ and $\psi = \{\psi_n\}_{-1}^{\infty}$ be linearly independent solutions of (2.5) and suppose that $[\phi, \psi]_n := p_n [(\Delta \phi_n) \psi_{n+1} - (\Delta \psi_n) \phi_{n+1}] = 1$ for all n . Then, $\Phi = \{\Phi_n\}_{-1}^{\infty}$ defined by

$$\Phi_n = \sum_{m=0}^n (-\psi_m \phi_n + \phi_m \psi_n) w_m f_m \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (2.14)$$

$$\Phi_{-1} = 0$$

satisfies

$$M \Phi_n = \lambda \Phi_n + f_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (2.15a)$$

$$\Phi_{-1} = \Phi_0 = 0. \quad (2.15b)$$

Any solution of (2.15a) is of the form

$$\Psi = \Phi + A \phi + B \psi \quad (2.16)$$

for some constants $A, B \in \mathbb{C}$.

4 Advances in Difference Equations

Definition 2.1. If there is precisely one ℓ_w^2 solution (up to constant multiples) of (2.5) for $\mathfrak{F}(\lambda) \neq 0$, then the expression M is said to be in the *limit-point (LP)* case; otherwise all solutions of (2.5) are in ℓ_w^2 for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and M is said to be in the *limit-circle (LC)* case, see Atkinson [11] and Hinton and Lewis [6]. Note that in the limit-circle (LC) case, the defect numbers are equal and the limit-point case does not hold. An alternative but equivalent characterization of M being LP is that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m (\Delta \bar{x}_m y_{m+1} - \Delta y_m \bar{x}_{m+1}) = 0 \quad (2.17)$$

or

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m (y_m \bar{x}_{m+1} - y_{m+1} \bar{x}_m) = 0 \quad (*_1)$$

for all $x, y \in D_{T(M)}$, see Hinton and Lewis [6, page 425]. It may also be observed that this condition is equivalent to saying that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m (\Delta \bar{x}_m x_{m+1} - \Delta x_m \bar{x}_{m+1}) = 0 \quad (2.18)$$

or

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m (x_m \bar{x}_{m+1} - x_{m+1} \bar{x}_m) = 0 \quad (*_2)$$

for all $x \in D_{T(M)}$. To see that, take $x = y$ in $(*_1)$ to get the implication in one direction. For the implication on the other side, take x to be the linear combination of z and y , that is, $x = z + \alpha y$ in $(*_2)$, and then choose the complex number α as $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = i$ to get $(*_1)$.

Definition 2.2. M is said to be *strong limit-point (SLP)* on $D_{T(M)}$ if

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m \Delta y_m \bar{x}_{m+1} = 0 \quad \forall x, y \in D_{T(M)}. \quad (2.19)$$

Definition 2.3. M is said to be

(i) *Dirichlet (D)* on $D_{T(M)}$ if

$$\{ |p_n|^{1/2} \Delta x_n \}_{-1}^{\infty}, \quad \{ |q_n|^{1/2} x_n \}_{-1}^{\infty} \in \ell^2 \quad \forall x \in D_{T(M)}; \quad (2.20)$$

(ii) *conditional Dirichlet (CD)* on $D_{T(M)}$ if

$$\{ |p_n|^{1/2} \Delta x_n \}_{-1}^{\infty} \in \ell^2, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n |x_n|^2 \text{ is convergent } \forall x \in D_{T(M)}, \quad (2.21)$$

(iii) *weak Dirichlet (WD)* on $D_{T(M)}$ if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p_n \Delta \bar{x}_n \Delta y_n + q_n \bar{x}_n y_n) \text{ is convergent } \forall x, y \in D_{T(M)}. \quad (2.22)$$

Observe that (2.19) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m \Delta x_m \overline{x_{m+1}} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m \Delta x_m x_{m+1} = 0 \quad \forall x \in D_{T(M)}. \quad (2.23)$$

Also, by *Dirichlet* formula (2.10), it is seen that the *WD* property, (2.22), is equivalent to

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m \Delta y_m \overline{x_{m+1}} \quad \text{exists and is finite} \quad \forall x, y \in D_{T(M)}, \quad (2.24)$$

and this is equivalent to

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m \Delta x_m x_{m+1} \quad \text{exists and is finite} \quad \forall x \in D_{T(M)}. \quad (2.25)$$

Note also that in (iii), for all $x, y \in D_{T(M)}$,

$$\{ |p_n|^{1/2} \Delta x_n \}_{-1}^{\infty} \in \ell^2 \iff \{ p_n (\Delta x_n)^2 \}_{-1}^{\infty} \in \ell^1 \iff \{ p_n \Delta x_n \Delta y_n \}_{-1}^{\infty} \in \ell^1. \quad (2.26)$$

Following the above definitions and subsequent comments, we have the following.

COROLLARY 2.4. *The following implications hold for all $x, y \in D_{T(M)}$:*

- (a) $D \Rightarrow CD \Rightarrow WD$;
- (b) $SLP \Rightarrow WD$;
- (c) $SLP \Rightarrow LP$.

3. Statement of results

In this section, we would like to obtain some implications additional to Corollary 2.4 by imposing conditions on p , q , and w which are as weak as possible. The motivation of the problem and parts (a) and (b) of the following theorem was previously presented at the *17th National Symposium of Mathematics, Bolu, Turkey* [12]. It is presented here for the sake of completeness.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let p and q be complex-valued.*

- (a) *If $1/p \notin \ell^1$, then $CD \Rightarrow SLP$ on $D_{T(M)}$.*
- (b) *If $1/p \in \ell^1$ but $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n$ is not convergent, then $CD \Rightarrow SLP$ on $D_{T(M)}$.*
- (c) *If $w, 1/p, q \in \ell^1$, then M is both D and LC .*

Proof. (a) We assume that $1/p \notin \ell^1$ and M is CD on $D_{T(M)}$. Let $x, y \in D_{T(M)}$ then, by (2.10),

$$\alpha := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m \Delta y_m \overline{x_{m+1}} < \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

We need to prove that $\alpha = 0$ under the conditions in the hypothesis. Suppose the contrary that $\alpha \neq 0$, then for some $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|p_m \Delta y_m x_{m+1}| \geq \frac{|\alpha|}{2} \quad \forall m \geq m_0, \quad (3.2)$$

which implies that

$$|p_m \Delta y_m \Delta x_m| \geq \frac{|\alpha|}{2} \left| \frac{\Delta x_m}{x_{m+1}} \right| \quad \forall m \geq m_0, \quad \forall x, y \in D_{T(M)}. \quad (3.3)$$

However, M is CD and this implies that, summing over m , the left-hand side of (3.3) belongs to ℓ^1 . Thus,

$$\sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\Delta x_n}{x_{n+1}} \right| < \infty, \tag{3.4}$$

and hence in particular $|\Delta x_n/x_{n+1}| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left| \log \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} \right| = \left| -\log \left(1 - \frac{\Delta x_n}{x_{n+1}} \right) \right| \sim \left| \frac{\Delta x_n}{x_{n+1}} \right| \tag{3.5}$$

since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log(1-t)}{t} = -1. \tag{3.6}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} \left| \log \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} \right| < \infty &\implies \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} \log \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} \text{ is convergent,} \\ \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=m_0}^N \log \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} &\text{ exists for } m_0 \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=m_0}^N \Delta(\log x_n) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (\log x_{N+1} - \log x_{m_0}) \text{ exists.} \tag{3.8}$$

So,

$$\beta := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} x_N \neq 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Thus, since $\alpha := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m \Delta y_m \bar{x}_{m+1} < \infty$,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m \Delta y_m = \alpha \beta^{-1}, \tag{3.10}$$

and, for some $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|p_m (\Delta y_m)^2| \geq \frac{1}{4} |\alpha \beta^{-1}|^2 |p_m^{-1}| \quad \forall m \geq m_0. \tag{3.11}$$

However, summing over m , the left-hand side of (3.11) belongs to ℓ^1 by the hypothesis that M is CD . Hence, so does the right-hand side of (3.11) which is a contradiction to saying that $1/p \notin \ell^1$. Hence $\alpha = 0$, proving M is SLP .

(b) Assume that $p^{-1} \in \ell^1$ but $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n$ is not convergent and M is CD . Let $x \in D_{T(M)}$ and, as in (a) above, suppose that

$$\alpha = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m x_{m+1} \Delta x_m \neq 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Then, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m = \beta \neq 0$ exists and it follows that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m \Delta x_m = \alpha \beta^{-1} \neq 0 \implies \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Delta x_m = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha \beta^{-1} p_m^{-1}. \quad (3.13)$$

So, since $p^{-1} \in \ell^1$, we have

$$\sum_{m=-1}^{\infty} |\Delta x_m| < \infty, \quad \text{that is, } \{\Delta x_n\}_{-1}^{\infty} \in \ell^1 \ (x \in D_{T(M)}). \quad (3.14)$$

Now, since $x \in D_{T(M)}$, using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in ℓ^2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} |x_n w_n^{1/2} [-\Delta(p_{n-1} \Delta x_{n-1}) + q_n x_n] w_n^{-1/2}| \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} |x_n w_n^{1/2}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} |[-\Delta(p_{n-1} \Delta x_{n-1}) + q_n x_n] w_n^{-1/2}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

which gives

$$\sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} |x_n [-\Delta(p_{n-1} \Delta x_{n-1}) + q_n x_n]| < \infty. \quad (3.16)$$

Also, since $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m = \beta \neq 0$, we have that

$$\sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} |[-\Delta(p_{n-1} \Delta x_{n-1}) + q_n x_n]| < \infty. \quad (3.17)$$

Now,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [-\Delta(p_{n-1} \Delta x_{n-1}) + q_n x_n] = -\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m \Delta x_m + p_{-1} \Delta x_{-1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n x_n \quad (3.18)$$

implies that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n x_n = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m \Delta x_m - p_{-1} \Delta x_{-1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [-\Delta(p_{n-1} \Delta x_{n-1}) + q_n x_n], \quad (3.19)$$

which proves the convergence of the sum $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n x_n$. Since $\beta = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m \neq 0$, then $x_m \neq 0$ for all large $m \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, using summation-by-parts formula and supposing $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $x_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=k}^m q_n &= \sum_{n=k}^m \frac{1}{x_n} (q_n x_n) = \frac{1}{x_{m+1}} \sum_{s=k-1}^m q_s x_s - \frac{1}{x_k} \sum_{s=k-1}^{k-1} q_s x_s - \sum_{n=k}^m \left(\sum_{s=k-1}^n q_s x_s \right) \Delta \left(\frac{1}{x_n} \right) \\ &= \frac{\sum_{n=k-1}^m q_n x_n}{x_{m+1}} - \frac{q_{k-1} x_{k-1}}{x_k} + \sum_{n=k}^m \left(\sum_{s=k-1}^n q_s x_s \right) \left(\frac{\Delta x_n}{x_{n+1} x_n} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

As $m \rightarrow \infty$, we see that the right-hand side of (3.20) tends to a finite limit since $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n x_n$ is convergent and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \beta \neq 0$, which contradicts the hypothesis that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n$ is divergent. This proves $\alpha = 0$ which guarantees that M is *SLP*.

(c) If $1/p, w, q \in \ell^1$, then M is *LC* and *D*. For the proof, we need the matrix representation of (2.5); for $n \geq 0$, we have the recurrence relation

$$p_n(x_{n+1} - x_n) = (-\lambda w_n + q_n)x_n + p_{n-1}(x_n - x_{n-1}), \quad (3.21)$$

which is equivalent to (2.5). So, taking

$$X_n = \begin{pmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{p_{n-1}} \\ (-\lambda w_n + q_n) & \frac{-\lambda w_n + q_n}{p_{n-1}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.22)$$

we get

$$X_n = (I + A_n)X_{n-1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (3.23)$$

where I is the identity matrix and

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &= x_{n-1} + \frac{y_{n-1}}{p_{n-1}} \\ y_n &= \left(x_{n-1} + \frac{y_{n-1}}{p_{n-1}}\right)(-\lambda w_n + q_n) + y_{n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

We are going to give the proof for the *LC* and *D* cases separately.

(i) *The LC case.* We prove that, for some λ , say $\lambda = 0$, for all solutions of (3.21), $\sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} |x_n|^2 w_n < \infty$ holds. Moreover, since $\sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} w_n < \infty$, it is sufficient to prove that all solutions of (3.21), with $\lambda = 0$, are bounded. For this purpose, we make use of the following theorem due to Atkinson [11, page 447].

THEOREM 3.2 (Atkinson). *Let the sequence of k -by- k matrices,*

$$A_n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots; \quad A_n = (a_{nrs}), \quad r, s = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k, \quad (3.25)$$

satisfy

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |A_n| < \infty, \quad |A_n| := \sum_{r=1}^k \sum_{s=1}^k |a_{nrs}|. \quad (3.26)$$

Then, the solutions of the recurrence relation

$$X_n - X_{n-1} = A_{n-1}X_{n-1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (3.27)$$

where X_n is a k -vector, converge as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If in addition the matrices $I + A_n$ are all nonsingular, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n \neq 0$, unless all the X_n are zero vectors.

So, applying this theorem to our case, $\{X_n\}_0^\infty$ is convergent, that is, the entries of X_n ,

$$\{X_{n1}\}_0^\infty = \{x_n\}_0^\infty, \quad \{X_{n2}\}_0^\infty = \{y_n\}_0^\infty = \{p_n \Delta x_n\}_0^\infty, \quad (3.28)$$

are convergent, so they are bounded and hence (i) of condition (c) is proved.

(ii) *The D case.* We will state the proof for $\lambda = 0$ only, but the proof also applies to all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $x \in D_{T(M)}$ and define $f = \{f_n\}_{-1}^\infty$ by

$$f_n = Mx_n. \quad (3.29)$$

Then $\sum_{n=-1}^\infty |f_n|^2 w_n < \infty$. Also, by the variation of parameters formula, if $\varphi = \{\varphi_n\}_{-1}^\infty$ and $\psi = \{\psi_n\}_{-1}^\infty$ are linearly independent solutions of (2.5) with

$$[\varphi, \psi]_n := p_{n-1}(\varphi_n \Delta \psi_{n-1} - \psi_n \Delta \varphi_{n-1}) = 1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3.30)$$

then any solution of

$$Mx_n = \lambda x_n + f_n \quad (3.31)$$

is of the form

$$x_n = \Phi_n + A\varphi_n + B\psi_n \quad (3.32)$$

in which A and B are constants, and

$$\Phi_n = \sum_{m=0}^n (\psi_m \varphi_n - \varphi_m \psi_n) w_m f_m, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \Phi_{-1} = 0. \quad (3.33)$$

Since $\{\varphi\}_{-1}^\infty$ and $\{\psi\}_{-1}^\infty$ are bounded by case (i) of condition (c), using also Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in ℓ^2 , it follows that

$$|\Phi_n| \leq C \sum_{m=0}^n w_m |f_m|, \quad (3.34)$$

where C is a positive constant. Hence, Φ is bounded. This implies that $\{x_n\}_{-1}^\infty$ is bounded from the fact that $\{A\varphi_n + B\psi_n\}_{-1}^\infty$ and $\{\Phi_n\}_{-1}^\infty$ are bounded in (3.32). So, since $q \in \ell^1$ and following the above result,

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty |q_n| |x_n|^2 < \infty. \quad (3.35)$$

We also need to prove that $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |p_n| |\Delta x_n|^2 < \infty$. For, from (3.32),

$$\begin{aligned} p_n \Delta x_n &= p_n \Delta \Phi_n + p_n \Delta (A\varphi_n + B\psi_n), \\ p_n \Delta \Phi_n &= \sum_{m=0}^n [\psi_m (p_n \Delta \varphi_n) - \varphi_m (p_n \Delta \psi_n)] w_m f_m; \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

and since $\{p_n \Delta \varphi_n\}_{-1}^\infty$, $\{p_n \Delta \psi_n\}_{-1}^\infty$, $\{\varphi_n\}_{-1}^\infty$, and $\{\psi_n\}_{-1}^\infty$ are bounded by the theorem of Atkinson, $\{p_n \Delta \Phi_n\}_{-1}^\infty$ is also bounded, and so is $\{p_n \Delta x_n\}_{-1}^\infty$. By the hypothesis that $p^{-1} \in \ell^1$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty |p_n| |\Delta x_n|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(|p_n| |\Delta x_n|)^2}{|p_n|} < \infty. \tag{3.37}$$

Hence, M is D and the proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete. □

COROLLARY 3.3. (1) *Following the Dirichlet formula, (2.23), and Theorem 3.1(a)-(b), it may be deduced that if either $p^{-1} \notin \ell^1$ or $p^{-1} \in \ell^1$ but $\sum_{n=0}^\infty q_n$ is not convergent, then CD implies that the sum $\sum_{n=0}^\infty (p_n |\Delta x_n|^2 + q_n |x_n|^2)$ is convergent for all $x \in D_{T(M)}$.* (2) *Under the conditions of Theorem 3.1(a)-(b), $D \Rightarrow CD \Rightarrow SLP \Rightarrow LP$ on $D_{T(M)}$.*

Remarks 3.4. (1) When $w, p^{-1}, q \in \ell^1$, it is proved by Atkinson [11, page 134] that M is LC . We have additionally proved that M is also D . (2) The condition imposed on q in Theorem 3.1(a) is in general weaker than $q \notin \ell^1$. Indeed, in Example 3.5, we prove that $q \notin \ell^1$ is not sufficient to ensure that $CD \Rightarrow SLP$.

Example 3.5. In this example, we want to establish an expression M of the form (2.3) such that $\sum_{n=0}^\infty q_n$ is conditionally convergent and $w, 1/p \in \ell^1$ while M is CD and LC , hence not SLP , at the same time. This proves that $q \notin \ell^1$ is not sufficient to ensure that the implication $CD \Rightarrow SLP$. This example is a direct analogue of the example given in Kwong [7, page 332]. Let $\sum_{n=0}^\infty r_n$ be a conditionally convergent real series. Choose a constant C_1 so that the sequence

$$\{R_n\}_0^\infty = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n r_k \right\}_0^\infty + C_1 \tag{3.38}$$

be positive, that is, $R_n > 0$ for all, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\{R_n\}_0^\infty$ is bounded, for $p_n > 0 \ n \in \mathbb{N}$ and given that $C_2 > 0$, the sequence

$$\{x_n\}_0^\infty = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{R_{k-1}}{p_{k-1}} \right\}_0^\infty + C_2, \quad R_{-1} = 0, \ p_{n-1} > 0 \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ x_{-1} \geq x_0 \tag{3.39}$$

is also positive. Note that $\{x_n\}_{-1}^\infty$ is monotonic increasing, that is, $x_{n+1} \geq x_n$ for all n , from the fact that x_n are the sum of positive numbers. Now,

$$X = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \text{ exists} \tag{3.40}$$

since $\{R_n\}_{-1}^\infty$ is bounded and $p^{-1} = \{p_n^{-1}\}_{-1}^\infty \in \ell^1$. Moreover, $x \in \ell_w^2$ since $w \in \ell^1$ and $\{x_n\}_{-1}^\infty$ is bounded. We see that if $\{q_n\}_{-1}^\infty$ is given by

$$q_n = \frac{r_n}{x_n}, \quad n \geq 0, \ q_{-1} = 0, \tag{3.41}$$

then $\{x_n\}_{-1}^\infty$ is a solution of (2.5) with $\lambda = 0$. Note that, in

$$|q_n| = \frac{|r_n|}{x_n} \geq \frac{|r_n|}{X} \quad \forall n, \quad (3.42)$$

summing over n , we have $\{q_n\}_{-1}^\infty \notin \ell^1$ from the fact that $\sum_0^\infty r_n$ is conditionally convergent. Now, summation-by-parts formula gives, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^N q_n = \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{r_n}{x_n} = \frac{R_N}{x_N} - \sum_{n=-1}^{N-1} \frac{R_n}{x_{n+1}} + \sum_{n=-1}^{N-1} \frac{R_n}{x_n}. \quad (3.43)$$

For the first expression on the right-hand side, the limits $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ exist and $X = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n > 0$. For the sums on the right, since $\sum_{n=0}^\infty R_n$ is convergent and $\{1/x_n\}_{-1}^\infty$ is positive and decreasing, both $\sum_{n=-1}^N (R_n/x_{n+1})$ and $\sum_{n=-1}^N (R_n/x_n)$ are convergent, and therefore $\sum_{n=0}^\infty q_n$ is convergent. Now, let $\{y_n\}_{-1}^\infty$ be another solution of (2.5) together with (3.41) complementary to $\{x_n\}_{-1}^\infty$, that is, such that $[x, y]_n := p_{n-1}(y_n x_{n-1} - y_{n-1} x_n)$ is constant, or equivalently, $[x, y]_n = 1$. Then,

$$\Delta \left(\frac{y_{n-1}}{x_{n-1}} \right) = \frac{1}{p_{n-1} x_n x_{n-1}} \implies y_n = x_n \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{p_{k-1} x_k x_{k-1}}. \quad (3.44)$$

So, since $\{y_n\}_{-1}^\infty$ is bounded and increasing,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n \text{ exists.} \quad (3.45)$$

We note that $\sum_{k=0}^\infty (1/p_{k-1} x_k x_{k-1})$ is absolutely convergent since $\{x_n\}_{-1}^\infty$ is bounded and $p^{-1} \in \ell^1$. So, $y \in \ell_w^2$ since $w \in \ell^1$. We also see that $M y_n = 0$. Hence, we have shown that M is *LC*, and hence not *SLP* since $x, y \in \ell_w^2$ and x, y are linearly independent solutions of $M x_n = \lambda x_n$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. We now show that M is *CD*. Since, from the identity (2.12), the *CD* property is equivalent to

$$(a) \{p_n |\Delta z_n|^2\}_{-1}^\infty \in \ell^1,$$

$$(b) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n \Delta z_n \bar{z}_{n+1} \text{ exists } \forall z \in D_{T(M)},$$

and we will show both (a) and (b) above. So, let $z \in D_{T(M)}$. Then,

$$\{T(M)z_n\}_{-1}^\infty = \{Mz_n\}_{-1}^\infty = \{f_n\}_{-1}^\infty \in \ell_w^2, \quad w \in \ell^1. \quad (3.46)$$

The method of variation of parameters gives

$$z_n = Ax_n + By_n + \sum_{m=0}^n (x_n y_m - y_n x_m) f_m w_m \quad (z_{-1} = 0, n \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (3.47)$$

where A and B are constants. Note that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^n (x_n y_m - y_n x_m) f_m w_m < \infty$, (3.40) and (3.45) together imply that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n \text{ exists.} \quad (3.48)$$

We see that $\{p_n^{1/2}\Delta x_n\}_{-1}^\infty, \{p_n^{1/2}\Delta y_n\}_{-1}^\infty \in \ell^2$ since $\{R_n\}_0^\infty$ is bounded and $\{p_n^{-1}\}_{-1}^\infty \in \ell^1$. Also, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in $\ell^{2,n}$, we see that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{m=0}^n [y_m(p_n^{1/2}\Delta x_n) - x_m(p_n^{1/2}\Delta y_n)]f_m w_m \leq \frac{C}{p_n^{1/2}} \left(\sum_{m=0}^n w_m \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{m=0}^n w_m |f_m|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad (3.49)$$

where C is a constant. Hence,

$$\{p_n^{1/2}\Delta z_n\}_{-1}^\infty \in \ell^2. \quad (3.50)$$

Finally,

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n \Delta x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n < \infty$,
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n \Delta y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [1/x_n + (p_n \Delta x_n) \sum_{k=0}^n (1/p_{k-1} x_k x_{k-1})] < \infty$ since the limits $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1/x_n$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n \Delta x_n$ exist and $\sum_{k=0}^\infty (1/p_{k-1} x_k x_{k-1})$ is absolutely convergent,
- (iii) For $K < \infty$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| p_n \Delta x_n \sum_{m=0}^n y_m (w_m f_m) \right| \leq K \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{m=0}^n w_m \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{m=0}^n w_m |f_m|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty, \quad (3.51)$$

- (iv) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |p_n \Delta y_n \sum_{m=0}^n x_m (w_m f_m)| \leq C \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |p_n \Delta y_n \sum_{m=0}^n w_m f_m| < \infty$.

A consequence of (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) is that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n \Delta z_n$ exists. We know also that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n$ exists from (3.48). Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n \Delta z_n \bar{z}_{n+1} \text{ exists.} \quad (3.52)$$

It is a consequence of (3.50) and (3.52) that M is CD . This completes the desired example.

THEOREM 3.6. *Suppose that $p_n > 0$ for all n , although $\{q_n\}_{-1}^\infty$ may still be complex. If either $\{w_m \sum_{n=-1}^m p_n^{-1}\}_{m=-1}^\infty \notin \ell^1$ or $\{q_n\}_{-1}^\infty \notin \ell^1$, then*

$$M \text{ is } D \text{ on } D_{T(M)} \iff \{ |q_n|^{1/2} x_n \}_{-1}^\infty \in \ell^2, \quad x \in D_{T(M)}. \quad (3.53)$$

Proof. Since M is D on $D_{T(M)} \Rightarrow \{ |q_n|^{1/2} x_n \}_{-1}^\infty \in \ell^2$ for all $x \in D_{T(M)}$, we only need to prove the other implication. So, suppose that $\{ |q_n|^{1/2} x_n \}_{-1}^\infty \in \ell^2$ for all $x \in D_{T(M)}$. In the formula

$$\sum_{n=0}^m p_n |\Delta x_n|^2 = p_m \Delta x_m \bar{x}_{m+1} - p_{-1} \Delta x_{-1} \bar{x}_0 + \sum_{n=0}^m \bar{x}_n M x_n - \sum_{n=0}^m q_n |x_n|^2, \quad (3.54)$$

the sums on the right converge as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we see that $\{p_n^{1/2} |\Delta x_n|\}_{-1}^\infty \notin \ell^2$ only if $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m \Delta x_m \bar{x}_{m+1} = \infty$. But,

$$p_m |\Delta x_m \bar{x}_{m+1}| \leq p_m |\Delta x_m| (|x_{m+1}| + |x_m|) \leq p_m \Delta (|x_m|^2), \quad (3.55)$$

and hence

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m \Delta(|x_m|^2) = \infty. \quad (3.56)$$

This implies, since $p_m > 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, that $\{|x_n|^2\}_{-1}^{\infty}$ is monotonic increasing, that is, $\Delta|x_n|^2 \geq 0$ for all large n . We now have two cases: either $\{q_n\}_{-1}^{\infty} \notin \ell^1$ or $\{q_n\}_{-1}^{\infty} \in \ell^1$. If $\{q_n\}_{-1}^{\infty} \notin \ell^1$, then we get a contradiction to the assumption since this would imply that $\{|q_n|^{1/2}x_n\}_{-1}^{\infty} \notin \ell^1$. So, $\{q_n\}_{-1}^{\infty}$ must be in ℓ^1 . Then, $\Delta(|x_n|^2) > p_n^{-1}$ since, from (3.56), $p_n \Delta(|x_n|^2) > 1$ for large enough $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies, for some $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, that

$$|x_m|^2 \geq |x_m|^2 - |x_{m_0-1}|^2 > \sum_{n=m_0}^m p_n^{-1} \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, m > m_0. \quad (3.57)$$

So,

$$\infty > \sum_{n=m_0}^{\infty} w_n |x_n|^2 > \sum_{n=m_0}^{\infty} w_n \left(\sum_{k=m_0}^n p_k^{-1} \right), \quad (3.58)$$

which is a contradiction to the assumption that $\{w_m \sum_{n=-1}^m p_n^{-1}\}_{m=-1}^{\infty} \notin \ell^1$, and hence $\{p_n^{1/2}|\Delta x_n|\}_{-1}^{\infty}$ is in ℓ^2 , and M is D on $D_{T(M)}$ and the theorem is therefore proved. \square

Remarks 3.7. (1) $w \notin \ell^1$ is a sufficient condition for Theorem 3.6 to hold. But, if $w \in \ell^1$, then the condition on p and w , that is,

$$\left\{ w_m \sum_{n=-1}^m p_n^{-1} \right\}_{m=-1}^{\infty} \notin \ell^1, \quad (3.59)$$

is in general stronger than the requirement that $p^{-1} \notin \ell^1$.

(2) If $w \in \ell^1$, then, for any $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{-1\}$,

$$\sum_{n=-1}^m w_n \left(\sum_{k=-1}^n p_k^{-1} \right) = \sum_{n=-1}^m p_n^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=n}^m w_k \right), \quad n < m. \quad (3.60)$$

This follows by using the summation-by-parts formula. As $m \rightarrow \infty$, we see that the condition in Theorem 3.6 is equivalent to the condition that

$$\left\{ p_n^{-1} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} w_k \right\}_{n=-1}^{\infty} \notin \ell^1 \quad \text{when } w \in \ell^1. \quad (3.61)$$

For example, if $m < \infty$ and $w = 1$, this condition becomes

$$\sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} p_n^{-1} (m - n) = \infty. \quad (3.62)$$

THEOREM 3.8. *Suppose that $p_n > 0$ for all n , $w/p \notin \ell^1$, and $\{w_n/w_{n+1}\}_{-1}^{\infty}$ is bounded above. Then, M is SLP on $D_{T(M)}$ if and only if M is WD on $D_{T(M)}$.*

Proof. Since *SLP* always implies *WD* by Corollary 2.4, we only need to prove that *WD* \Rightarrow *SLP* under the conditions in the hypothesis. So, suppose that M satisfies the *WD* property, that is, $\beta = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_n \Delta x_n x_{n+1}$ exists and is finite for all $x \in D_{T(M)}$, but M is not *SLP*, that is, $\beta \neq 0$. We show that $\beta \neq 0$ leads to a contradiction under the hypothesis, and hence M is *SLP*. So, suppose that

$$\beta = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p_m \Delta x_m x_{m+1} \neq 0 \quad \forall x \in D_{T(M)}. \quad (3.63)$$

Now, multiplying both sides of the following by $\bar{\beta}$ and w_m , and summing over m :

$$x_{m+1} \Delta x_m = x_{m+1}^2 - x_m x_{m+1}, \quad (3.64)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (\bar{\beta} p_m \Delta x_m x_{m+1}) w_m p_m^{-1} \\ &= \bar{\beta} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} w_{m+1} x_{m+1}^2 \left(\frac{w_m}{w_{m+1}} \right) - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (w_m w_{m+1})^{1/2} x_m x_{m+1} \left(\frac{w_m}{w_{m+1}} \right)^{1/2} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.65)$$

Under the conditions of the hypothesis, the left-hand side of this equality is ∞ while the right-hand side is finite. This contradiction leads us to say that $\beta = 0$ and M is *SLP* on $D_{T(M)}$. Hence the theorem is proved. \square

Remark 3.9. As a final remark, Theorem 3.1(c) demonstrates that when $w, p^{-1}, q \in \ell^1$ *WD* does not imply *SLP* or even *LP*. Thus, for the equivalency of *WD* and *SLP*, the hypothesis of Theorem 3.8 is needed. For example, when $w = 1$, the requirements for the result *SLP* \Leftrightarrow *WD* become $\sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} p_n^{-1} = \infty$.

Acknowledgment

The author is grateful to the referee for a careful scrutiny of the manuscript and for pointing out a number of ambiguities.

References

- [1] R. J. Amos, "On a Dirichlet and limit-circle criterion for second-order ordinary differential expressions," *Quaestiones Mathematicae*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 53–65, 1978.
- [2] B. M. Brown and W. D. Evans, "On an extension of Copson's inequality for infinite series," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh. Section A. Mathematics*, vol. 121, no. 1-2, pp. 169–183, 1992.
- [3] J. Chen and Y. Shi, "The limit circle and limit point criteria for second-order linear difference equations," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 47, no. 6-7, pp. 967–976, 2004.
- [4] A. Delil and W. D. Evans, "On an inequality of Kolmogorov type for a second-order difference expression," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 183–214, 1999.
- [5] W. D. Evans and W. N. Everitt, "A return to the Hardy-Littlewood integral inequality," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A. Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, vol. 380, no. 1779, pp. 447–486, 1982.
- [6] D. B. Hinton and R. T. Lewis, "Spectral analysis of second order difference equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 421–438, 1978.

- [7] M. K. Kwong, "Conditional Dirichlet property of second order differential expressions," *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 329–338, 1977.
- [8] M. K. Kwong, "Note on the strong limit point condition of second order differential expressions," *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 28, no. 110, pp. 201–208, 1977.
- [9] D. Race, "On the strong limit-point and Dirichlet properties of second order differential expressions," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh. Section A. Mathematics*, vol. 101, no. 3-4, pp. 283–296, 1985.
- [10] S. Sun, Z. Han, and S. Chen, "Strong limit point for linear Hamiltonian difference system," *Annals of Differential Equations*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 407–411, 2005.
- [11] F. V. Atkinson, *Discrete and Continuous Boundary Problems*, vol. 8 of *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1964.
- [12] A. Delil, "İkinci mertebe fark ifadesinin Dirichlet ve limit-nokta özellikleri," in *17th National Symposium of Mathematics*, pp. 26–31, Bolu, Turkey, August 2004.

A. Delil: Eğitim Fakültesi, Celal Bayar Üniversitesi, 45900 Demirci, Manisa, Turkey
Email address: ahmet.delil@bayar.edu.tr