

RESEARCH

Open Access



Well-posed results for nonlocal biparabolic equation with linear and nonlinear source terms

Le Dinh Long¹, Ho Duy Binh¹, Kim Van Ho Thi¹ and Van Thinh Nguyen^{2*}

*Correspondence:
vnguyen@snu.ac.kr

²Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, we consider the biparabolic problem under nonlocal conditions with both linear and nonlinear source terms. We derive the regularity property of the mild solution for the linear source term while we apply the Banach fixed-point theorem to study the existence and uniqueness of the mild solution for the nonlinear source term. In both cases, we show that the mild solution of our problem converges to the solution of an initial value problem as the parameter epsilon tends to zero. The novelty in our study can be considered as one of the first results on biparabolic equations with nonlocal conditions.

Keywords: Biparabolic equation; Source term; Nonlocal condition; Mild solution; Existence; Uniqueness; Convergence

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 1$) with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. In this paper, we consider the following biparabolic equation:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt}(x, t) + 2\Delta u_t(x, t) + \Delta^2 u(x, t) = F(u(x, t)) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T], \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = \Delta u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_t(x, 0) = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

under temporal nonlocal condition

$$u(x, 0) + \varepsilon u(x, T) = f(x), \quad x \in \partial\Omega. \quad (1.2)$$

Here $u(x, t)$ is a function of temperature or concentration, $F(u)$ is a source function, ε is a parameter, and $f \in L^2(\Omega) \cap \mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)$. When $\varepsilon = 0$, the problem becomes an initial conditional problem.

The main equation of problem (1.1) is equivalent to

$$P^2 u = P(Pu) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} u + 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{A}u + \mathcal{A}^2 u = G(x, t; u),$$

© The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

where P is the main operator for the classical parabolic equation,

$$Pu = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{A} \right) u = G(x, t; u).$$

As mentioned by Fushchich, Galitsyn, and Polubinskii [9], the new fourth-order partial differential equation (1.1) is invariant with respect to the Galilei group. From the results in [9] we realize that the classical heat equations

$$u_t - \Delta u = 0$$

do not completely describe heat and mass transfer processes. Therefore, in many situations of heat conduction, it tends to replace the classical thermal equation by one of the hyperbolic form, such as problem (1.1). Problem (1.1) is a form of quadratic PDEs equations, which have a wide range of applications in various scientific and engineering disciplines, such as conduction of heat [7, 9, 24, 33], dynamics of filtration consolidation [6, 8], strongly damped wave equations [14, 23, 34], ice formulation and accretion problems on structures, ships, and aircraft [19–21], the transport of liquids and insoluble surfactant through the lung airways [11, 12], brain imaging for the detection and mapping of subtle abnormalities of shape and volume in the brains of patients with metastatic tumors [18, 26, 27], and so on.

Whereas there were a number of studies focused on parabolic equations [1–4, 10, 13, 15, 22, 25, 28], the studies on bipolarabolic equations are still limited. Let us mention previous works related to bipolarabolic equation (1.1). Lakhdari and Boussetila [16] applied Kozlov–Maz'ya iteration method for approximating the final value problem for bipolarabolic equation. Bulavatsky [7] studied some boundary value problems for bipolarabolic equations with nonlocal boundary conditions. Besma et al. [5] considered the problem of approximating a solution of an ill-posed bipolarabolic problem in the abstract Hilbert space. They introduced a modified quasi-boundary value method to get stable solutions for regularizing the ill-posedness of a bipolarabolic equation. Tuan et al. [32] studied the problem of finding the initial distribution for a linear inhomogeneous or nonlinear bipolarabolic equation. Recently, Phuong et al. [25] studied an inverse source problem of the bipolarabolic equation. Very recently, Tuan et al. [31] investigated two terminal value problems for stochastic bipolarabolic equations perturbed by a standard Brownian motion or a fractional Brownian motion.

The nonlocal problem focused in this paper is considered as one of the most interesting areas for the readers in various applications, such as chaos, chemistry, biology, and physics; see [30]. In comparison with the initial or final conditions, the nonlocal conditions are more difficult to handle. The novelty of our problem is the presence of condition of nonlocal type (1.2). In many real-world applications, it is difficult to collect accurate data at the beginning or at the end of a process. In addition, many processes happen so fast and in a short period, in which we only can observe the data at the beginning and the end of a process, not the data at a specific time in the range of $(0, T)$. Therefore studies on nonlocal conditional problems can help us to track down a process in more detail and in an effective way.

To the best of our knowledge, up to date, there is still no any study considering problem (1.1) under the nonlocal condition (1.2). This motivates us to focus on problems (1.1)–(1.2). The main contributions of the paper are as follows.

- For the linear source function, we give the well-posedness and investigate the convergence of the mild solution to problem (1.1)–(1.2) as ε approaches 0. In more detail, we prove that the solution of problem (1.1)–(1.2) converges to a mild solution with the initial value problem for (1.1).
- For nonlinear source functions, we prove the existence and uniqueness of mild solutions. In the main analysis, we apply the Banach fixed point theorem. Our next aim is to demonstrate the convergence of the mild solution as the parameter ε tends to 0.

The main techniques to handle the above problem are based on the ideas of some recent publications [17, 29, 30]. We overcome some difficulties by setting up complex evaluations on Hilbert scale spaces. Choosing the right spaces for the input f and for the solution is also not simple task.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we provide some useful notations and the definition of a solution in the mild sense. In Sect. 3, we focus on the well-posed results for the linear case and discuss on what happens as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The well-posed results for the nonlinear source term are introduced in Sect. 4. Eventually, the results are summarized in Sect. 5.

2 Preliminary results and mild solution

In this section, we introduce the notation and the functional setting used in our paper. Recall that the spectral problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \psi_n(x) = -\lambda_n \psi_n(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ \psi_n(x) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

admits eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \leq \dots$ with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The corresponding eigenfunctions are $\psi_n \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Definition 2.1 (Hilbert scale space) We recall the Hilbert scale space given as follows:

$$\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega) = \left\{ f \in L^2(\Omega), \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2s} \left(\int_{\Omega} f(x) \psi_n(x) dx \right)^2 < \infty \right\}$$

for $s \geq 0$. It is well known that $\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)$ is the Hilbert space corresponding to the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2s} \left(\int_{\Omega} f(x) \psi_n(x) dx \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad f \in \mathbb{H}^s(\Omega).$$

Let us give an explicit formula of the mild solution. First, taking the inner product of both sides of (1.1) with $\psi_n(x)$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left(\int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \psi_n(x) dx \right) + 2\lambda_n \left(\int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \psi_n(x) dx \right) \\ + \lambda_n^2 \left(\int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \psi_n(x) dx \right) = \int_{\Omega} F(u(x, t)) \psi_n(x) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

It is easy to see that the latter problem has a solution given by

$$\int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \psi_n(x) \, dx = e^{-t\lambda_n} (1 + t\lambda_n) \int_{\Omega} u(x, 0) \psi_n(x) \, dx + \int_0^t (t-r) e^{-(t-r)\lambda_n} \left(\int_{\Omega} F(u(x, r)) \psi_n(x) \, dx \right) dr. \tag{2.2}$$

The condition $u(x, 0) + \varepsilon u(x, T) = f(x)$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} u(x, 0) \psi_n(x) \, dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u(x, T) \psi_n(x) \, dx \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon e^{-T\lambda_n} (1 + T\lambda_n)) \int_{\Omega} u(x, 0) \psi_n(x) \, dx \\ & \quad + \varepsilon \int_0^T (T-r) e^{-(T-r)\lambda_n} \left(\int_{\Omega} F(u(x, r)) \psi_n(x) \, dx \right) dr \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f(x) \psi_n(x) \, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

We rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} u(x, 0) \psi_n(x) \, dx \\ &= \frac{\int_{\Omega} f(x) \psi_n(x) \, dx - \varepsilon \int_0^T (T-r) e^{-(T-r)\lambda_n} \left(\int_{\Omega} F(u(x, r)) \psi_n(x) \, dx \right) dr}{1 + \varepsilon e^{-T\lambda_n} (1 + T\lambda_n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Combining (2.2) and (2.4), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \psi_n(x) \, dx &= \frac{e^{-t\lambda_n} (1 + t\lambda_n)}{1 + \varepsilon e^{-T\lambda_n} (1 + T\lambda_n)} \int_{\Omega} f(x) \psi_n(x) \, dx \\ & \quad - \frac{\varepsilon e^{-t\lambda_n} (1 + t\lambda_n) \int_0^T (T-r) e^{-(T-r)\lambda_n} \left(\int_{\Omega} F(u(x, r)) \psi_n(x) \, dx \right) dr}{1 + \varepsilon e^{-T\lambda_n} (1 + T\lambda_n)} \\ & \quad + \int_0^t (t-r) e^{-(t-r)\lambda_n} \left(\int_{\Omega} F(u(x, r)) \psi_n(x) \, dx \right) dr. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

For any $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, we define

$$\mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon(t)f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t\lambda_n} (1 + t\lambda_n)}{1 + \varepsilon e^{-T\lambda_n} (1 + T\lambda_n)} \left(\int_{\Omega} f(x) \psi_n(x) \, dx \right) \psi_n(x) \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\mathbf{S}(t)f = e^{-t\Delta} f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda_n} \left(\int_{\Omega} f(x) \psi_n(x) \, dx \right) \psi_n(x). \tag{2.7}$$

From (2.5) we give an explicit formula of the solution to problem (1.1)–(1.2) in the mild setting:

$$u_\varepsilon(t) = \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon(t)f + \int_0^t (t-r)\mathbf{S}(t-r)F(u_\varepsilon(r)) dr - \varepsilon \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon(t) \int_0^T (T-r)\mathbf{S}(T-r)F(u_\varepsilon(r)) dr. \tag{2.8}$$

3 Well-posed results for linear case

In this section, we focus on the case $F(t, u) = F(t)$. Under the linear case, we recall the mild solution u_ε to problem (1.1)–(1.2):

$$u_\varepsilon(t) = \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon(t)f + \int_0^t (t-r)\mathbf{S}(t-r)F(r) dr - \varepsilon \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon(t) \int_0^T (T-r)\mathbf{S}(T-r)F(r) dr. \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.1 *Let $f \in \mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)$.*

a) *If $s < m + 1$, then*

$$\|\mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon(t)f\|_{\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega)} \leq C(s, m)t^{s-m-1} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)}. \tag{3.2}$$

b) *If $s < m$, then*

$$\|\mathbf{S}(t)f\|_{\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega)} \leq C(s, m)t^{s-m} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)}. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof Using Parseval’s equality, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{Q}(t)f\|_{\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n^{2m} \left(\frac{e^{-t\lambda_n}(1+t\lambda_n)}{1+\varepsilon e^{-T\lambda_n}(1+T\lambda_n)} \right)^2 \left(\int_\Omega f(x)\psi_n(x) dx \right)^2 \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n^{2m} e^{-2t\lambda_n} (1+t^2\lambda_n^2) \left(\int_\Omega f(x)\psi_n(x) dx \right)^2 \\ &\leq 2C_T \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n^{2m+2} e^{-2t\lambda_n} \left(\int_\Omega f(x)\psi_n(x) dx \right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

In view of the inequality $e^{-z} \leq C_\nu z^{-\nu}$ for all $\nu > 0$, we know that

$$\lambda_n^{2m+2} e^{-2t\lambda_n} \leq C_\nu \lambda_n^{2m+2} (t\lambda_n)^{-2\nu} = t^{-2\nu} \lambda_n^{2m+2-2\nu}.$$

It follows from (3.4) that

$$\|\mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon(t)f\|_{\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega)}^2 \leq t^{-2\nu} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n^{2m+2-2\nu} \left(\int_\Omega f(x)\psi_n(x) dx \right)^2, \tag{3.5}$$

which gives the estimate

$$\|\mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon(t)f\|_{\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega)} \leq C_T t^{-\nu} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^{m+1-\nu}(\Omega)}. \tag{3.6}$$

Setting $\nu = m + 1 - s > 0$, we know that

$$\|Q_\varepsilon(t)f\|_{\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega)} \leq C(m, s)t^{s-m-1}\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)}. \tag{3.7}$$

Using again $e^{-z} \leq C_\nu z^{-\nu}$ for all $\nu > 0$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(t)f\|_{\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega)}^2 &= \|e^{-t\Delta}f\|_{\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega)}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n^{2m} e^{-2t\lambda_n} \left(\int_\Omega f(x)\psi_n(x) dx \right)^2 \\ &\leq C_\nu t^{-2\nu} \lambda_n^{2m-2\nu} \left(\int_\Omega f(x)\psi_n(x) dx \right)^2 \\ &= C_\nu t^{-2\nu} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^{m-\nu}(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Setting $\nu = m - s$ for $s < m$, we get

$$\|S(t)f\|_{\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega)} \leq C(s, m)t^{s-m}\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)}. \tag{3.9}$$

□

Theorem 3.1 *Let $F \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H}^{s-1}(\Omega))$ and $f \in \mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\mu(0, T; \mathbb{H}^m(\Omega))} \leq C(T, s, m, \mu) (\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)} + \|F\|_{L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H}^{s-1}(\Omega))}). \tag{3.10}$$

Proof Applying Lemma 3.1 and noting that $m < s < m + 1$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega)} &\leq \|Q_\varepsilon(t)f\|_{\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega)} + \int_0^t (t-r)\|S(t-r)F(r)\|_{\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega)} dr \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \left\| Q_\varepsilon(t) \int_0^T (T-r)S(T-r)F(r) dr \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C(m, s)t^{s-m-1}\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)} + \int_0^t (t-r)^{s-m}\|F(r)\|_{\mathbb{H}^{s-1}(\Omega)} dr \\ &\quad + \varepsilon t^{s-m-1} \int_0^T (T-r)\|S(T-r)F(r)\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)} dr \\ &= I_1(t) + I_2(t) + I_3(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Let μ be such that $1 < \mu < \frac{1}{m+1-s}$. The first term I_1 is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_1\|_{L^\mu(0, T; \mathbb{H}^m(\Omega))} &\leq \left(\int_0^T |C(m, s)t^{s-m-1}\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)}|^\mu dt \right)^{1/\mu} \\ &= \left(\frac{T^{(s-m-1)\mu+1}}{(s-m-1)\mu+1} \right)^{1/\mu} C(m, s)\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

For the second term I_2 , we easily observe that

$$I_2(t) \leq \left(\int_0^t (t-r)^{s-m} dr \right) \|F\|_{L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H}^{s-1}(\Omega))} = \frac{T^{s-m+1}}{s-m+1} \|F\|_{L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H}^{s-1}(\Omega))}. \tag{3.13}$$

Then we get the bound

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_2\|_{L^\mu(0,T;\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega))} &\leq \left(\int_0^T \left| \frac{T^{s-m+1}}{s-m+1} \|F\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{H}^{s-1}(\Omega))} \right|^\mu dt \right)^{1/\mu} \\ &= \frac{T^{s-m+1}}{s-m+1+1\mu} \|F\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{H}^{s-1}(\Omega))}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

For the third term I_3 , using Lemma (3.1), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq \varepsilon t^{s-m-1} \int_0^T (T-r) \|S(T-r)F(r)\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)} dr \\ &\leq \varepsilon C(m,s) t^{s-m-1} \int_0^T (T-r)(T-r)^{-1} \|F(r)\|_{\mathbb{H}^{s-1}(\Omega)} dr \\ &\leq \varepsilon C(m,s) T \|F\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{H}^{s-1}(\Omega))} t^{s-m-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

This immediately implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_3\|_{L^\mu(0,T;\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega))} &\leq \left(\int_0^T |\varepsilon C(m,s) T \|F\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{H}^{s-1}(\Omega))} t^{s-m-1}|^\mu dt \right)^{1/\mu} \\ &= \varepsilon C(m,s) T \|F\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{H}^{s-1}(\Omega))} \left(\frac{T^{(s-m-1)\mu+1}}{(s-m-1)\mu+1} \right)^{1/\mu}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Combining (3.11), (3.12), (3.14), and (3.16), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\mu(0,T;\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega))} &\leq \|I_1\|_{L^\mu(0,T;\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega))} + \|I_2\|_{L^\mu(0,T;\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega))} + \|I_3\|_{L^\mu(0,T;\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega))} \\ &\leq C(T,s,m,\mu) (\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)} + \|F\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{H}^{s-1}(\Omega))}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Let us recall the formula

$$u(t) = S(t)f + \int_0^t (t-r)S(t-r)F(r) dr. \tag{3.18}$$

Since (3.1), we get that

$$u_\varepsilon(t) - u(t) = (Q_\varepsilon(t) - S(t))f - \varepsilon Q_\varepsilon(t) \int_0^T (T-r)S(T-r)F(r) dr. \tag{3.19}$$

From (3.16) we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \varepsilon Q_\varepsilon(t) \int_0^T (T-r)S(T-r)F(r) dr \right\|_{L^\mu(0,T;\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega))} \\ \leq \varepsilon C(m,s) T \|F\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{H}^{s-1}(\Omega))} \left(\frac{T^{(s-m-1)\mu+1}}{(s-m-1)\mu+1} \right)^{1/\mu}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Our next aim is estimating the term $(Q_\varepsilon(t) - S(t))f$. We clearly see that

$$(Q_\varepsilon(t) - S(t))f = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left[\frac{e^{-t\lambda_n}(1+t\lambda_n)}{1+\varepsilon e^{-T\lambda_n}(1+T\lambda_n)} - e^{-t\lambda_n}(1+t\lambda_n) \right] \left(\int_\Omega f(x)\psi_n(x) dx \right) \psi_n(x)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\varepsilon e^{-T\lambda_n} (1 + T\lambda_n) e^{-t\lambda_n} (1 + t\lambda_n)}{1 + \varepsilon e^{-T\lambda_n} (1 + T\lambda_n)} \right] \left(\int_{\Omega} f(x) \psi_n(x) dx \right) \psi_n(x).$$

Parseval’s equality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathbf{Q}_{\varepsilon}(t) - \mathbf{S}(t))f\|_{\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega)}^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2m} \left[\frac{\varepsilon e^{-T\lambda_n} (1 + T\lambda_n) e^{-t\lambda_n} (1 + t\lambda_n)}{1 + \varepsilon e^{-T\lambda_n} (1 + T\lambda_n)} \right]^2 \left(\int_{\Omega} f(x) \psi_n(x) dx \right)^2 \\ &\leq \varepsilon^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2m} e^{-2T\lambda_n} (1 + T\lambda_n)^2 e^{-2t\lambda_n} \left(\int_{\Omega} f(x) \psi_n(x) dx \right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Using the inequality $e^{-z} \leq C_{\nu} z^{-\nu}$ for all $\nu > 0$, we arrive at

$$e^{-2t\lambda_n} \leq C(m, s) (t\lambda_n)^{-2(m+1-s)}. \tag{3.22}$$

It is obvious that

$$e^{-2T\lambda_n} (1 + T\lambda_n)^2 \leq C.$$

It follows from (3.21) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathbf{Q}_{\varepsilon}(t) - \mathbf{S}(t))f\|_{\mathbb{H}^m(\Omega)}^2 &\leq C\varepsilon^2 t^{2m-2s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2s-2} \left(\int_{\Omega} f(x) \psi_n(x) dx \right)^2 \\ &= C(m, s) \varepsilon^2 t^{2s-2m-2} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^{s-1}(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathbf{Q}_{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{S})f\|_{L^{\mu}(0, T; \mathbb{H}^m(\Omega))} &\leq C(m, s) \varepsilon \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^{s-1}(\Omega)} \left(\int_0^T t^{(s-m-1)\mu} dt \right)^{1/\mu} \\ &= C(m, s) \varepsilon \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^{s-1}(\Omega)} \left(\frac{T^{(s-m-1)\mu+1}}{(s-m-1)\mu+1} \right)^{1/\mu}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

where we recall that $1 < \mu < \frac{1}{m+1-s}$. Combining (3.19), (3.20), and (3.24), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_{\varepsilon} - u\|_{L^{\mu}(0, T; \mathbb{H}^m(\Omega))} \\ &\leq \|(\mathbf{Q}_{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{S})f\|_{L^{\mu}(0, T; \mathbb{H}^m(\Omega))} + \left\| \varepsilon \mathbf{Q}_{\varepsilon}(t) \int_0^T (T-r) \mathbf{S}(T-r) F(r) dr \right\|_{L^{\mu}(0, T; \mathbb{H}^m(\Omega))} \\ &\leq C(m, s) \varepsilon \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^{s-1}(\Omega)} \left(\frac{T^{(s-m-1)\mu+1}}{(s-m-1)\mu+1} \right)^{1/\mu} \\ &\quad + \varepsilon C(m, s) T \|F\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T; \mathbb{H}^{s-1}(\Omega))} \left(\frac{T^{(s-m-1)\mu+1}}{(s-m-1)\mu+1} \right)^{1/\mu}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

□

4 Well-posed results for nonlinear case

Theorem 4.1 *Let $f \in \mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)$ for $s \geq p$. Let F be such that*

$$\|F(\varphi) - F(\psi)\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} \leq K_f \|\varphi - \psi\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} \tag{4.1}$$

for all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)$ and $p < q < p + 1$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and K_f small enough, problem (1.1)–(1.2) has a unique mild solution in $\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0, T]; \mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))$, which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} u_\varepsilon(t) &= \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon(t)f + \int_0^t (t-r)\mathbf{S}(t-r)F(u_\varepsilon(r)) \, dr \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon(t) \int_0^T (T-r)\mathbf{S}(T-r)F(u_\varepsilon(r)) \, dr, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\max(0, p + 1 - s) \leq a < 1. \tag{4.3}$$

In addition,

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\mu(0,T;\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))} \leq \frac{2C_T T^{\frac{1}{\mu} + s - p - 1}}{(1 - a\mu)^{1/\mu}} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)} \tag{4.4}$$

for $1 < \mu < \frac{1}{a}$.

Proof We look for the solution in the space $\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0, T]; \mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))$. Let us define the function

$$\begin{aligned} B_\varepsilon(\psi)(t) &= \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon(t)f + \int_0^t (t-r)\mathbf{S}(t-r)F(\psi(r)) \, dr \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon(t) \int_0^T (T-r)\mathbf{S}(T-r)F(\psi(r)) \, dr. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

If $\psi = 0$, then by the assumption $F(0) = 0$ we have that

$$t^a \|B_\varepsilon \psi(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} = t^a \|\mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon(t)f\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} \leq C_T t^{a-\nu} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^{p+1-\nu}(\Omega)}. \tag{4.6}$$

Since $s < p + 1$, we set $\nu = p + 1 - s$. Then it follows from (4.6) that

$$t^a \|B_\varepsilon \psi(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} \leq C_T t^{s+a-p-1} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)}. \tag{4.7}$$

Under the assumption $p + 1 \leq s + a$, if $\psi = 0$, then we find that for any $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$t^a \|B_\varepsilon(\psi(t) = 0)\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} \leq C_T T^{s+a-p-1} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)}, \tag{4.8}$$

which allows us to derive that $B_\varepsilon \psi$ belongs to the space $\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0, T]; \mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))$ if $\psi = 0$.

Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0, T]; \mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))$. It is obvious that

$$B_\varepsilon(\psi)(t) - B_\varepsilon(\varphi)(t) = \int_0^t (t-r)\mathbf{S}(t-r)(F(\psi(r)) - F(\varphi(r))) \, dr$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\varepsilon \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon(t) \int_0^T (T-r)\mathbf{S}(T-r)(F(\psi(r)) - F(\varphi(r))) \, dr \\
 & = J_1(t) + J_2(t).
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

By the second part of Lemma 3.1 the term J_1 is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|J_1(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} & \leq \int_0^t (t-r) \|\mathbf{S}(t-r)(F(\psi(r)) - F(\varphi(r)))\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} \, dr \\
 & \leq \int_0^t (t-r)(t-r)^{q-p} \|F(\psi(r)) - F(\varphi(r))\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} \, dr,
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where we note that $p > q$. Since F is globally Lipschitz as in (4.1), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|J_1(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} & \leq K_f \int_0^t (t-r)^{q-p+1} \|\psi(r) - \varphi(r)\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} \, dr \\
 & \leq K_f \left(\int_0^t (t-r)^{q-p+1} r^{-a} \, dr \right) \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} r^a \|\psi(r) - \varphi(r)\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} \right) \\
 & = K_f B(2+q-p, 1-a) t^{2-a+q-p} \|\psi - \varphi\|_{\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0,T];\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))},
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

where we note that $q + 2 > p$ and $a < 1$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 t^a \|J_1(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} & \leq K_f B(2+q-p, 1-a) t^{2+q-p} \|\psi - \varphi\|_{\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0,T];\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))} \\
 & \leq K_f B(2+q-p, 1-a) T^{2+q-p} \|\psi - \varphi\|_{\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0,T];\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

The right-hand side of this expression is independent of t , and we deduce that

$$\|J_1\|_{\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0,T];\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))} \leq K_f B(2+q-p, 1-a) T^{2+q-p} \|\psi - \varphi\|_{\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0,T];\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))}. \tag{4.13}$$

Since $q < p + 1$ and $a > 0$, we can choose a real number s' such that

$$\max(p + 1 - a, q) \leq s' < p + 1.$$

Then we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon(t) \int_0^T (T-r)\mathbf{S}(T-r)(F(\psi(r)) - F(\varphi(r))) \, dr \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} \\
 & \leq t^{s'-p-1} \left\| \int_0^T (T-r)\mathbf{S}(T-r)(F(\psi(r)) - F(\varphi(r))) \, dr \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Since $s' > q$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \int_0^T (T-r)\mathbf{S}(T-r)(F(\psi(r)) - F(\varphi(r))) \, dr \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)} \\
 & \leq \int_0^T (T-r)^{q-s'+1} \|F(\psi(r)) - F(\varphi(r))\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} \, dr
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq K_f \int_0^T (T-r)^{q-s'+1} \|\psi(r) - \varphi(r)\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} \, dr \\
 &= K_f \int_0^T (T-r)^{q-s'+1} r^{-a} r^a \|\psi(r) - \varphi(r)\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} \, dr \\
 &\leq K_f B(2+q-s', 1-a) T^{2+q-s'-a} \|\psi - \varphi\|_{\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0,T];\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))}. \tag{4.15}
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.14) and (4.15) and noting that $s' + a \geq p + 1$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 t^a \|J_2(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} &\leq \varepsilon t^{a+s'-p-1} K_f B(2+q-s', 1-a) T^{2+q-s'-a} \|\psi - \varphi\|_{\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0,T];\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))} \\
 &\leq \varepsilon K_f B(2+q-s', 1-a) T^{q+1-p} \|\psi - \varphi\|_{\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0,T];\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))}. \tag{4.16}
 \end{aligned}$$

The condition $q + 1 > p$ ensures that the right-hand side is defined. Therefore we can deduce that

$$\|J_2\|_{\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0,T];\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))} \leq \varepsilon K_f B(2+q-s', 1-a) T^{q+1-p} \|\psi - \varphi\|_{\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0,T];\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))}. \tag{4.17}$$

Combining (4.9), (4.13), and (4.17), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|B_\varepsilon(\psi) - B_\varepsilon(\varphi)\|_{\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0,T];\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))} \\
 &\leq \|J_1\|_{\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0,T];\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))} + \|J_2\|_{\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0,T];\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))} \\
 &\leq K_f B(2+q-p, 1-a) T^{2+q-p} \|\psi - \varphi\|_{\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0,T];\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))} \\
 &\quad + \varepsilon K_f B(2+q-s', 1-a) T^{q+1-p} \|\psi - \varphi\|_{\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0,T];\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))}. \tag{4.18}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let K_f be small enough such that

$$M_T = K_f B(2+q-p, 1-a) T^{2+q-p} + \varepsilon K_f B(2+q-s', 1-a) T^{q+1-p} < 1/2.$$

It follows from (4.7) that

$$B_\varepsilon(\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0, T]; \mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))) \subset \mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0, T]; \mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)),$$

and together with (4.18), we find that B_ε is a contraction mapping. By using the Banach fixed point theorem we deduce that problem (1.1)–(1.2) has a unique solution $u_\varepsilon \in \mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0, T]; \mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))$.

It follows from (4.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|u_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0,T];\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))} &= \|B_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)\|_{\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0,T];\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))} \\
 &\leq M_T \|u_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0,T];\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))} + C_T T^{s+a-p-1} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)}. \tag{4.19}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get that

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbf{X}^{a,\infty}((0,T];\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))} \leq \frac{C_T T^{s+a-p-1} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)}}{1 - M_T} \leq 2C_T T^{s+a-p-1} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)}. \tag{4.20}$$

This estimate implies that

$$\|u_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} \leq 2C_T T^{s+a-p-1} t^{-a} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)}. \tag{4.21}$$

Since $a < 1$, we can find that $0 < \mu < \frac{1}{a}$. Thus we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\mu(0,T;\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))} &= \left(\int_0^T \|u_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)}^\mu dt \right)^{1/\mu} \\ &\leq 2C_T T^{s+a-p-1} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)} \left(\int_0^T t^{-a\mu} dt \right)^{1/\mu}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

which allows us to get that

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\mu(0,T;\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))} \leq \frac{2C_T T^{\frac{1}{\mu}+s-p-1}}{(1-a\mu)^{1/\mu}} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)}. \tag{4.23}$$

The proof is completed. □

Theorem 4.2 *Let F be as in (4.1). Let $f \in \mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)$ for $p < s < p + 1$. Let K_f be small enough such that $K_f T^{q-p+2} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon - u\|_{L^\mu(0,T;\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))} &\leq 2C(p,s)\varepsilon \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^{s-1}(\Omega)} \left(\frac{T^{(s-p-1)\mu+1}}{(s-p-1)\mu+1} \right)^{1/\mu} \\ &\quad + 4\varepsilon K_f T^{q-p} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)} \left(\frac{T^{(s-p-1)\mu+1}}{(s-p-1)\mu+1} \right)^{1/\mu}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

where $1 < \mu < \frac{1}{p+1-s}$.

Proof Let us recall that

$$u(t) = \bar{S}(t)f + \int_0^t (t-r)\mathbf{S}(t-r)F(u(r)) dr, \tag{4.25}$$

where we recall that

$$\bar{S}(t)f = \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-t\lambda_n} (1 + t\lambda_n) \left(\int_\Omega f(x)\psi_n(x) dx \right) \psi_n(x).$$

By (4.2) we immediately have the result on the difference between $u_\varepsilon(t)$ and $u(t)$ which is split as the sum of three terms

$$\begin{aligned} u_\varepsilon(t) - u(t) &= \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon(t)f - \bar{S}(t)f + \int_0^t (t-r)\mathbf{S}(t-r)(F(u_\varepsilon(r)) - F(u(r))) dr \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon(t) \int_0^T (T-r)\mathbf{S}(T-r)F(u_\varepsilon(r)) dr \\ &= H_1(t) + H_2(t) + H_3(t). \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Let us first treat the first term $H_1(t)$. By applying (3.24) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{S})f\|_{L^\mu(0,T;\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))} &\leq C(p,s)\varepsilon \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^{s-1}(\Omega)} \left(\int_0^T t^{(s-p-1)\mu} dt \right)^{1/\mu} \\ &= C(p,s)\varepsilon \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^{s-1}(\Omega)} \left(\frac{T^{(s-p-1)\mu+1}}{(s-p-1)\mu+1} \right)^{1/\mu}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

where we recall that $p + 1 > s > p$ and $1 < \mu < \frac{1}{p+1-s}$.

The second term $H_2(t)$ by the second part of Lemma 3.1 is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_2(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} &\leq \int_0^t (t-r) \|\mathbf{S}(t-r)(F(u_\varepsilon(r)) - F(u(r)))\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} dr \\ &\leq \int_0^t (t-r)(t-r)^{q-p} \|F(u_\varepsilon(r)) - F(u(r))\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} dr, \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

where we note that $p > q$. Since F is globally Lipschitz as in (4.1), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t (t-r)(t-r)^{q-p} \|F(u_\varepsilon(r)) - F(u(r))\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} dr \\ &\leq K_f \int_0^t (t-r)^{q-p+1} \|u_\varepsilon(r) - u(r)\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} dr. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_2(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} &\leq K_f \int_0^t (t-r)^{q-p+1} \|u_\varepsilon(r) - u(r)\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} dr \\ &\leq K_f T^{q-p+1} \int_0^t \|u_\varepsilon(r) - u(r)\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} dr \\ &\leq K_f T^{q-p+2} \left(\int_0^t \|u_\varepsilon(r) - u(r)\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)}^\mu dr \right)^{1/\mu} \\ &\leq K_f T^{q-p+2} \|u_\varepsilon - u\|_{L^\mu(0,T;\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

Thus we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_2\|_{L^\mu(0,T;\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))} &\leq \left(\int_0^T (K_f T^{q-p+2} \|u_\varepsilon - u\|_{L^\mu(0,T;\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))})^\mu \right)^{1/\mu} \\ &= K_f T^{q-p+2+\frac{1}{\mu}} \|u_\varepsilon - u\|_{L^\mu(0,T;\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

For the third term $H_3(t)$, we apply Lemma 3.1 (noting that $s < p + 1$) to get that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon(t) \int_0^T (T-r) \mathbf{S}(T-r) F(u_\varepsilon(r)) dr \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} \\ &\leq t^{s-p-1} \left\| \int_0^T (T-r) \mathbf{S}(T-r) F(u_\varepsilon(r)) dr \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

Since $s > q$, it follows from this estimate that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^T (T-r)\mathbf{S}(T-r)F(u_\varepsilon(r)) \, dr \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)} &\leq \int_0^T (T-r)^{q-s+1} \|F(u_\varepsilon(r))\|_{\mathbb{H}^q(\Omega)} \, dr \\ &\leq K_f \int_0^T (T-r)^{q-s+1} \|u_\varepsilon(r)\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} \, dr, \end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

where in the last line, we have used that F is globally Lipschitz. Recalling (4.21), we find that the right-hand side of (4.32) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &K_f \int_0^T (T-r)^{q-s+1} \|u_\varepsilon(r)\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} \, dr \\ &\leq 2K_f C_T T^{s+a-p-1} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)} \int_0^T (T-r)^{q-s+1} r^{-a} \, dr \\ &= 2K_f C_T T^{s+a-p-1} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)} T^{q-s+1-a} B(q-s+2, 1-a) = 2K_f T^{q-p} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

Combining (4.31), (4.32), and (4.33), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_3(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega)} &\leq \varepsilon \left\| \int_0^T (T-r)\mathbf{S}(T-r)F(u_\varepsilon(r)) \, dr \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)} \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon K_f T^{q-p} t^{s-p-1} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_3\|_{L^\mu(0,T;\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))} &\leq 2\varepsilon K_f T^{q-p} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)} \left(\int_0^T t^{(s-p-1)\mu} \, dt \right)^{1/\mu} \\ &= 2\varepsilon K_f T^{q-p} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)} \left(\frac{T^{(s-p-1)\mu+1}}{(s-p-1)\mu+1} \right)^{1/\mu}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

where we recall that $p+1 > s > p$ and $1 < \mu < \frac{1}{p+1-s}$. Combining (4.26), (4.27), (4.30), and (4.35), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon - u\|_{L^\mu(0,T;\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^3 \|H_j\|_{L^\mu(0,T;\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))} \\ &\leq C(p,s)\varepsilon \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^{s-1}(\Omega)} \left(\frac{T^{(s-p-1)\mu+1}}{(s-p-1)\mu+1} \right)^{1/\mu} \\ &\quad + 2\varepsilon K_f T^{q-p} \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^s(\Omega)} \left(\frac{T^{(s-p-1)\mu+1}}{(s-p-1)\mu+1} \right)^{1/\mu} \\ &\quad + K_f T^{q-p+2} \|u_\varepsilon - u\|_{L^\mu(0,T;\mathbb{H}^p(\Omega))}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

Let K_f be small enough such that $K_f T^{q-p+2} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then from (4.36) the desired result follows. The proof is completed. \square

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we considered a biparabolic equation under temporal nonlocal conditions with linear and nonlinear source terms. We derived the regularity of the mild solution

for the linear source term and applied the Banach fixed point theorem to study the existence and uniqueness of a mild solution for the nonlinear source term. In both cases, we demonstrated that the mild solution of our problem converges to the solution of an initial value problem as the parameter $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The most compelling findings of our study can be considered as one of the first results on biparabolic equations with nonlocal conditions.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the supports from the National Research Foundation of Korea under grant number NRF-2020K1A3A1A05101625 and from the Institute of Construction and Environmental Engineering at Seoul National University. The authors also would like to thank the handling editor and two anonymous referees for their valuable and constructive comments to improve our manuscript.

Funding

This research was funded by the National Research Foundation of Korea under grant number NRF-2020K1A3A1A05101625 and the support from Institute of Construction and Environmental Engineering at Seoul National University.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

The authors contributed equally. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Division of Applied Mathematics, Thu Dau Mot University, Thu Dau Mot, Binh Duong Province, Vietnam. ²Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 30 August 2021 Accepted: 22 September 2021 Published online: 01 October 2021

References

1. Adigüzel, R.S., Aksoy, U., Karapinar, E., Erhan, I.M.: On the solution of a boundary value problem associated with a fractional differential equation. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 1–12 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.6652>
2. Adigüzel, R.S., Aksoy, U., Karapinar, E., Erhan, I.M.: On the solutions of fractional differential equations via Geraghty type hybrid contractions. *Appl. Comput. Math.* **20**(2), 313–333 (2021)
3. Adigüzel, R.S., Aksoy, U., Karapinar, E., Erhan, I.M.: Uniqueness of solution for higher-order nonlinear fractional differential equations with multi-point and integral boundary conditions. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat., Ser. A Mat.* **115**(3), 155 (2021)
4. Alaoui, A.L., Azroul, E., Hamou, A.A.: Monotone iterative technique for nonlinear periodic time fractional parabolic problems. *Adv. Theory Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* **4**(3), 194–213 (2020) 2020
5. Besma, K., Nadji, B., Faouzia, R.: A modified quasi-boundary value method for an abstract ill-posed biparabolic problem. *Open Math.* **15**, 1649–1666 (2017)
6. Bulavatsky, V.M.: Mathematical modeling of filtration consolidation of soil under motion of saline solutions on the basis of biparabolic model. *J. Autom. Inf. Sci.* **35**(8), 13–22 (2003)
7. Bulavatsky, V.M.: Fractional differential analog of biparabolic evolution equation and some its applications. *Cybern. Syst. Anal.* **52**(5), 337–347 (2016)
8. Bulavatsky, V.M., Skopetsky, V.V.: Generalized mathematical model of the dynamics of consolidation processes with relaxation. *Cybern. Syst. Anal.* **44**(5), 646–654 (2008)
9. Fushchich, V.L., Galitsyn, A.S., Polubinskii, A.S.: A new mathematical model of heat conduction processes. *Ukr. Math. J.* **42**, 210–216 (1990)
10. Georgiev, S.G., Zennir, K.: New results on IBVP for class of nonlinear parabolic equations. *Adv. Theory Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* **2**(4), 202–216 (2018)
11. Halpern, D., Grotberg, J.B.: Dynamics and transport of a localized soluble surfactant on a thin film. *J. Fluid Mech.* **237**, 1–11 (1992)
12. Halpern, D., Jensen, O.E., Grotberg, J.B.: A theoretical study of surfactant and liquid delivery into the lung. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **85**, 333–352 (1998)
13. Hammou, M.A., Azroul, E.: Existence of weak solution for a nonlinear parabolic equations by topological degree. *Adv. Theory Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* **4**(4), 292–298 (2020)
14. Kalantarov, V., Zelik, S.: Finite-dimensional attractors for the quasi-linear strongly-damped wave equation. *J. Differ. Equ.* **247**(4), 1120–1155 (2009)
15. Karapinar, E., Binh, H.D., Luc, N.H.: On continuity of the fractional derivative of the time-fractional semilinear pseudo-parabolic systems. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2021**, 70 (2021)

16. Lakhdari, A., Boussetila, N.: An iterative regularization method for an abstract ill-posed biparabolic problem. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2015**, 55, 1–17 (2015)
17. Luc, N.H., Long, L.D., Van, H.T.K., Nguyen, V.T.: A nonlinear fractional Rayleigh–Stokes equation under nonlocal integral conditions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2021**, 388 (2021)
18. Memoli, F., Sapiro, G., Thompson, P.: Implicit brain imaging. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* **23**, 179–188 (2004)
19. Myers, T.G.: Extension to the Messinger model for aircraft icing. *AIAA J.* **39**(2), 211–218 (2001)
20. Myers, T.G., Charpin, J.P.F.: A mathematical model for atmospheric ice accretion and water flow on a cold surface. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* **47**(25), 5483–5500 (2004)
21. Myers, T.G., Charpin, J.P.F., Chapman, S.J.: The flow and solidification of a thin fluid film on an arbitrary three-dimensional surface. *Phys. Fluids* **14**(8), 2788–2803 (2002)
22. Nam, D.H.Q., Baleanu, D., Luc, N.H., Can, N.H.: On a Kirchhoff diffusion equation with integral condition. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**(1), 617, 1–15 (2020)
23. Pata, V., Squassina, M.: On the strongly damped wave equation. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **253**(3), 511–533 (2005)
24. Payne, L.E.: On a proposed model for heat conduction. *IMA J. Appl. Math.* **71**, 590–599 (2006)
25. Phuong, N.D., Luc, N.H., Long, L.D.: Modified quasi boundary value method for inverse source problem of the bi-parabolic equation. *Adv. Theory Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* **4**(3), 132–142 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.31197/atnaa.752335>
26. Thompson, P., Toga, A.W.: A surface-based technique for warping three-dimensional images of the brain. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* **15**(4), 402–417 (1996)
27. Toga, A.: *Brain Warping*. Academic Press, New York (1998)
28. Triet, N.A., Binh, T.T., Phuong, N.D., Baleanu, D., Can, N.H.: Recovering the initial value for a system of nonlocal diffusion equations with random noise on the measurements. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **44**(6), 5188–5209 (2021)
29. Tuan, N.A., Hammouch, Z., Karapinar, E., Tuan, N.H.: On a nonlocal problem for a Caputo time-fractional pseudoparabolic equation. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.7743>
30. Tuan, N.A., O'Regan, D., Baleanu, D., Tuan, N.H.: On time fractional pseudo-parabolic equations with nonlocal integral conditions. *Evol. Equ. Control Theory* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.3934/eect.2020109>
31. Tuan, N.H., Caraballo, T., Thach, T.N.: On terminal value problems for bi-parabolic equations driven by Wiener process and fractional Brownian motions. *Asymptot. Anal.* **123**(3–4), 335–366 (2021)
32. Tuan, N.H., Kirane, M., Nam, D.H.Q., Au, V.V.: Approximation of an inverse initial problem for a biparabolic equation. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **15**, 18, 18 pages (2018)
33. Wang, L., Zhou, X., Wei, X.: *Heat Conduction: Mathematical Models and Analytical Solutions*. Springer, Berlin (2008)
34. Yang, Y., Salik Ahmed, M., Qin, L., Xu, R.: Global well-posedness of a class of fourth-order strongly damped nonlinear wave equations. *Opusc. Math.* **39**(2), 297–313 (2019)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)
