

RESEARCH

Open Access



Applications of Orlicz–Pettis theorem in vector valued multiplier spaces of generalized weighted mean fractional difference operators

Kuldip Raj¹, Swati Jasrotia¹ and M. Mursaleen^{2*}

*Correspondence:

mursaleenm@gmail.com

²Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University (Taiwan), Taichung, Taiwan

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this study, we deal with some new vector valued multiplier spaces $S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$ and $S_{wG_h}(\sum_k z_k)$ related with $\sum_k z_k$ in a normed space Y . Further, we obtain the completeness of these spaces via weakly unconditionally Cauchy series in Y and Y^* . Moreover, we show that if $\sum_k z_k$ is unconditionally Cauchy in Y , then the multiplier spaces of G_h -almost convergence and weakly G_h -almost convergence are identical. Finally, some applications of the Orlicz–Pettis theorem with the newly formed sequence spaces and unconditionally Cauchy series $\sum_k z_k$ in Y are given.

MSC: 40A05; 40A30

Keywords: Almost convergence; Generalized weighted mean operator $G(u, v)$; Weakly unconditionally Cauchy series; Unconditionally Cauchy series; Orlicz–Pettis theorem

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Consider Ω as the space of real (or complex) valued sequences. Consider Y to be a sequence space with linear topology. Then Y is said to be a K -space provided that each of the maps $p_i : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $p_i(z) = z_i$ is continuous $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$. A K -space Y , where Y is a complete linear space, is called FK space. A normed FK space is called BK space. An FK space Y is said to have the property AK if for every sequence $y = (y_n)_{n \geq 1} \in Y$

$$y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k e^k,$$

where $e^k = (0, 0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots)$ such that 1 is in the k th-position $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. The spaces of bounded, convergent, and null sequences, which are denoted by ℓ_∞ , c , and c_0 , respectively, are BK spaces which are endowed with the sup norm $\|y\|_\infty = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |y_k|$. By ℓ_1 , we denote the space of absolutely summable sequences, bs and cs are the spaces consisting of all bounded and convergent series. Let Y and Z be two sequence spaces and $\mathcal{A} = (a_{nk})_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}$

© The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

be an infinite matrix. Then, for $z = (z_k) \in Y$, we have $\mathcal{A} : Y \rightarrow Z$ which is defined as

$$(\mathcal{A}z)_n = \sum_k a_{nk}z_k. \tag{1.1}$$

If $\sum_k a_{nk}z_k$ converges for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we call $\mathcal{A}z$ the \mathcal{A} -transform of z . Thus, $\mathcal{A} \in (Y, Z)$ iff the series in (1.1) converges $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{A}z \in Z$. A sequence $z = (z_k)$ is called \mathcal{A} -summable to $p \in \mathbb{C}$ (the set of complex numbers) if $(\mathcal{A}z)$ converges to p . For a detailed study about recent results in summability theory, one can refer to [8, 24, 33]. The Euler gamma functions are represented by $\Gamma(\gamma)$ where $\gamma \in (0, \infty)$ is defined as an improper integral such as $\Gamma(\gamma) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t}t^{\gamma-1} dt$. Let $(\gamma)_k$ be the generalized factorial function which is defined in terms of Euler gamma function as

$$(\gamma)_k = \begin{cases} 1, & k = 0, \\ \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+k)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} = \gamma(\gamma+1)(\gamma+2)(\gamma+3)\cdots(\gamma+k-1), & k \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

where \mathbb{N} is denoted by a set of all positive integers. Kizmaz [20] gave the idea of difference sequences spaces which was generalized by Et and Colak [15]. Recently, many specialists like Ahmad and Mursaleen [2], Tripathy [32], Altay and Basar [4] studied difference sequences spaces. For a detailed study about the difference sequence spaces, one can refer to [27, 28]. Furthermore, Baliarsingh ([6, 7]) defined the generalized fractional difference operator Δ^γ , which is given as

$$(\Delta^\gamma z)_k = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^i \Gamma(\gamma+1)}{i! \Gamma(\gamma-i+1)} z_{k+i} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0),$$

where $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $z \in \Omega$. In [25] the difference operator $\Delta^\gamma, \Delta^{(\gamma)}, \Delta^{-\gamma}, \Delta^{(-\gamma)}$ is defined from Ω to Ω as follows:

$$(\Delta^\gamma z)_k = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \frac{(-\gamma)_i}{i!} z_{k+i}, \tag{1.2}$$

$$(\Delta^{(\gamma)} z)_k = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \frac{(-\gamma)_i}{i!} z_{k-i}, \tag{1.3}$$

$$(\Delta^{-\gamma} z)_k = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \frac{(\gamma)_i}{i!} z_{k+i}, \tag{1.4}$$

$$(\Delta^{(-\gamma)} z)_k = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \frac{(\gamma)_i}{i!} z_{k-i}. \tag{1.5}$$

It is being assumed throughout that the above defined summations are convergent for $z \in \Omega$. For a detailed study of fractional difference operator, one may refer to [6]. Recently, Mohiuddine et al. [23] studied linear isomorphic spaces of fractional-order difference operators. A lot of research has been made in this field, one can refer to [1, 17, 34].

Let Y be a Banach space. Then $\sum_k z_k \in Y$ is called unconditionally convergent (uc) or unconditionally Cauchy (uC) if $\sum_k z_{\pi(k)}$ is convergent (or Cauchy, resp.) for every $\pi \in \mathbb{N}$, where π is the permutation. Further, $\sum_k z_k \in Y$ is called weakly unconditionally Cauchy

(*wuC*) if the sequence $(\sum_{k=1}^n z_{\pi(k)})$ is weakly Cauchy sequence or, alternatively, $\sum_k z_k$ is *wuC* iff $\sum_k |z^*(z_k)| < \infty \forall z^* \in Y^*$, the space of all linear and bounded (continuous) functionals defined on Y . For a detailed study, one can refer to [10]. Using the completeness property of a subspace of ℓ_∞ obtained by almost convergence, a depiction of *wuC* and *uc* series along with a new form of the Orlicz–Pettis theorem was presented by Aizpuru et al. [3]. Recently, a vector valued multiplier space through Cesàro convergence was introduced by Altay and Kama [5]. Esi [11] investigated some classes of generalized paranormed sequence spaces associated with multiplier sequences. Tripathy and Mahanta [31] also studied vector valued sequences associated with multiplier sequences. Furthermore, Karakus and Basar introduced the multiplier spaces $S_\Delta(\mathbb{T})$, $S_{w\Delta}(\mathbb{T})$ and studied some new multiplier spaces by using generalization of almost summability in [18, 19]. To know more about multiplier spaces, one may refer to [13, 14, 16, 29]. Lorentz proved that a sequence $z = (z_k) \in \ell_\infty$ is said to be almost convergent to $L \in \mathbb{C}$ and is denoted by $f - \lim z_k = L$ iff

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{z_{n+k}}{m+1} = L$$

uniformly in n . For a detailed study of almost convergence of the sequence spaces, one can refer to [12, 22, 35]. A sequence $z = (z_k) \in \ell_\infty$ is called $F_{\mathcal{A}}$ -summable if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} z_{k+m} = L$$

uniformly in $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Altay and Basar [4] first studied generalized weighted mean operator $G(p, q)$ which was further enlarged to a difference operator $G(p, q, \Delta)$ by Polat et al. [26]. Later, Demiriz and Cakan [9] introduced generalized weighted mean of order m as $G(p, q, \Delta^m)$. Consider a set of all sequences \mathbf{U} and $p = (p_n)$ such that $p_n \neq 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\frac{1}{p} = (\frac{1}{p_n})$, $\forall p \in \mathbf{U}$. As defined by Nayak et al. [25], the generalized weighted fractional difference mean or factorable fractional difference matrix $G(p, q, \Delta^{(\gamma)}) = (g_{nk}^{\Delta^{(\gamma)}})$ is defined as follows:

$$g_{nk}^{\Delta^{(\gamma)}} = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=k}^n p_n \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i & \text{when } 1 \leq k \leq n; \\ 0, & \text{when } k > n, \end{cases}$$

where $i, k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that p_n depends on n and q_k on k .

Let us consider $h = (h_k)$ to be a strictly increasing sequence of positive real numbers such that

$$0 < h_1 < h_2 < h_3 < \dots \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_k = \infty. \tag{1.6}$$

It is being assumed throughout that any term with a negative subscript is zero. The matrix $G(p, q, \Delta^{(\gamma)}, h) = (g_{hmk}^{\Delta^{(\gamma)}})$ is given by

$$g_{hmk}^{\Delta^{(\gamma)}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^n p_n \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i & \text{when } 1 \leq k \leq n; \\ 0, & \text{when } k > n. \end{cases}$$

A sequence $z = (z_k) \in \Omega$ is called G_h -convergent to $a \in \mathbb{R}$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_n q_k \Delta^{(\gamma)} z_k = a, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

or

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_n \left(\sum_{i=k}^n \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i \right) z_k = a, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Before going to our main results, we present some lemmas. For details, one may refer to [30].

Lemma 1.1

(i) Let Y be a normed space. Then $\sum_k z_k$ is said to be *wuC series* iff

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n t_k z_k \right\| : |t_k| \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \epsilon_k z_k \right\| : |\epsilon_k| \in \{-1, 1\} \right\} \\ &= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n |z^*(z_k)| : \forall z^* \in B_{Y^*} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $H \in \mathbb{R}^+$, where \mathbb{R}^+ is the set of positive real numbers and B_{Y^*} represents the closed unit ball of Y^* .

(ii) Suppose that Y is a normed space. Then a formal series $\sum_k z_k$ in Y is called *uC* (or *wuC*) iff, for any $(a_n) \in \ell_\infty$, $\sum_k a_k z_k$ converges, i.e., $\sum_k z_k$ is an ℓ_∞ - (respectively a c_0 -) multiplier convergent series.

2 Main results

Definition 2.1 Consider Y to be a normed space and $h = (h_n)$ to be the sequence fulfilling property (1.6). Then $z = (z_k)$ is called G_h -almost convergent (or wG_h -almost convergent) to $z_0 \in Y$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_n} \sum_{k=m}^{m+n} p_{m+n} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{m+n} \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i \right) z_k = z_0$$

uniformly in $m \in \mathbb{N}$ or

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_n} \sum_{k=m}^{m+n} p_{m+n} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{m+n} \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i \right) z^*(z_k) = z^*(z_0)$$

uniformly in $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\forall z^* \in Y^*$, where $z_0 \in Y$ is the G_h -limit (or weak G_h -limit) of $z = (z_k)$ and is denoted by $G_h - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = z_0$ or $(wG_h - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = z_0)$.

Let $\Omega(Y)$ be the Y -valued sequence space. Then the spaces of all G_h -almost convergent and wG_h -almost convergent sequences in Y are denoted by $G_h(Y)$ and $wG_h(Y)$, respectively, which are defined as

$$G_h(Y) = \left\{ (z_k) \in \Omega(Y) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_n} \sum_{k=m}^{m+n} p_{m+n} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{m+n} \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i \right) z_k, \right. \\ \left. \text{uniformly exists in } m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

and

$$wG_h(Y) = \left\{ z^*(z_k) \in \Omega(Y) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_n} \sum_{k=m}^{m+n} p_{m+n} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{m+n} \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i \right) z^*(z_k), \right. \\ \left. \text{uniformly exists in } m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

We may consider this definition as a generalization of almost convergence given by Lorentz [21].

Proposition 2.2 *Suppose that Y is a normed space. If $z = (z_k)$ is G_h -almost convergent in Y , then $z \in \ell_\infty(Y)$.*

Proof Since $z = (z_k)$ is an G_h -almost convergent sequence in Y , then $\exists z_0 \in Y, \forall \varepsilon > 0$ and $n'_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{h_n} \sum_{k=m}^{m+n} p_{m+n} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{m+n} \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i \right) z_k - z_0 \right\| < \varepsilon,$$

$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq n_0$, which implies that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{h_n} \sum_{k=m}^{m+n} p_{m+n} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{m+n} \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i \right) z_k \right\| \leq \|z_0\| + \varepsilon,$$

$\exists Z > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p_m}{h_{n'_0}} q_m \|\Delta^{(\gamma)} z_m\| &= \left\| \frac{h_{n'_0+1}}{h_{n'_0}} p_{m+n'_0+1} \sum_{k=m}^{m+n'_0+1} \frac{q_k}{h_{n'_0+1}} \Delta^{(\gamma)} z_k - p_{m+n'_0+1} \sum_{k=m+1}^{m+n'_0+1} \frac{q_k}{h_{n'_0}} \Delta^{(\gamma)} z_k \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{h_{n'_0+1}}{h_{n'_0}} p_{m+n'_0+1} \sum_{k=m}^{m+n'_0+1} \frac{q_k}{h_{n'_0+1}} \Delta^{(\gamma)} z_k \right\| + \left\| p_{m+n'_0+1} \sum_{k=m+1}^{m+n'_0+1} \frac{q_k}{h_{n'_0}} \Delta^{(\gamma)} z_k \right\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{h_{n'_0+1}}{h_{n'_0}} + 1 \right) (\|z_0\| + \varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$\|\Delta^{(\gamma)} z_m\| \leq \left(\frac{h_{n'_0+1} + h_{n'_0}}{p_m q_m} \right) (\|z_0\| + \varepsilon) = Z.$$

There exists an analog of Proposition 2.2 in weak topologies as, by the Banach–Mackey theorem, a weak bounded subset of Y is also bounded. \square

Proposition 2.3 *Let Y be the normed space. If $z = (z_k)$ is a wG_h -almost convergent sequence, then $(z_k) \in \ell_\infty(Y)$.*

Definition 2.4 Suppose that Y is a normed space and $h = (h_n)$ is the sequence fulfilling property (1.6). Then $\sum_k z_k \in Y$ is called G_h -almost convergent to $z_0 \in Y$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{h_n} \sum_{k=m}^{m+n} p_{m+n} q_k \Delta^\gamma s_k - z_0 \right\| = 0$$

uniformly in $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\Delta^\gamma s_k = \sum_{j=1}^k \Delta^\gamma z_j \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. So, we use the notation $G_h - \sum_k z_k = z_0$ for G_h -almost convergence. By some easy calculation, we have $G_h - \sum_k z_k = z_0$ iff

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{h_n} \sum_{k=1}^m p_m q_k \Delta^{(\gamma)} z_k + \frac{1}{h_n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{m+n} q_{m+k} \Delta^{(\gamma)} z_{m+k} \right] = z_0,$$

i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{h_n} \sum_{k=1}^m p_m \left(\sum_{i=k}^m \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i \right) z_k + \frac{1}{h_n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{m+n} \left(\sum_{i=k}^n \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i \right) z_{m+k} \right] = z_0$$

in the norm topology, uniformly in $m \in \mathbb{N} \forall m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. We can write $wG_h - \sum_k z_k = z_0$ if the series is weakly G_h -almost convergent to z_0 in the weak topology. To obtain the definition given in [3], we will take $h_n = n + 1, p_{n+m} = 1, \gamma = 0$ such that $q_k = \Delta q_{m+n} z_k$, where $q_n = n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

3 Multiplier spaces of G_h -almost convergence

This particular section deals with multiplier spaces of G_h -almost convergence and gives a theorem related to completeness through wuC series.

Definition 3.1 Suppose that Y is the normed space such that $\sum_k z_k$ belongs to Y . Then the Y -valued multiplier space of G_h -almost convergence of $\sum_k z_k$ is defined as

$$S_{G_h} \left(\sum_k z_k \right) = \left\{ y = (y_k) \in \ell_\infty : \sum_k z_k y_k \text{ is } G_h\text{-almost convergent} \right\}$$

equipped with \mathbf{S} (summing operator), and the sup norm is also defined by

$$\mathbf{S} : S_{G_h} \left(\sum_k z_k \right) \rightarrow Y, \quad y = (y_k) \mapsto \mathbf{S}(y) = G_h - \sum_k z_k y_k. \tag{3.1}$$

Theorem 3.2 *Suppose that Y is a Banach space such that the formal series $\sum_k z_k$ belongs to Y . Then the following are identical:*

- (i) $\sum_k z_k$ is wuC .

- (ii) $S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$ is complete.
- (iii) $c_0 \subseteq S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since $\sum_k z_k$ is *wuC* series in Y , then from Lemma 1.1 the following supremum is greater than zero, i.e., $Q > 0$ such that

$$Q = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n t_k z_k \right\| : |t_k| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Now, let $t^n \in S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$, where $t^n = (t_k^n)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|t^n - t^0\| = 0$ with $t^0 \in \ell_\infty$. We wish to prove that $t^0 \in S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$. Let $y_n = G_h - \sum_k t_k^n z_k$, then $y_n \in Y$ since $(t_k^n) \in S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$. Now $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n'_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v_1, v_2 > n'_0$ such that $\|t^{v_1} - t^{v_2}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3Q}$. Therefore, for $v_1, v_2 > n'_0, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ which satisfies the inequalities

$$\left\| y_{v_1} - \left[\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{p_{m+n}}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^m \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i t_k^{v_1} z_k + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p_{m+n}}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^n \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i t_{m+k}^{v_1} z_{m+k} \right] \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\left\| y_{v_2} - \left[\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{p_{m+n}}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^m \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i t_k^{v_2} z_k + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p_{m+n}}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^n \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i t_{m+k}^{v_2} z_{m+k} \right] \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{p_{m+n}}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^m \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i (t_k^{v_1} - t_k^{v_2}) z_k + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p_{m+n}}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^n \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i (t_{m+k}^{v_1} - t_{m+k}^{v_2}) z_{m+k} \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \tag{3.4}$$

uniformly in $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $\exists n'_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|y_{v_1} - y_{v_2}\| \leq (3.2) + (3.3) + (3.4) < \varepsilon$$

$\forall v_1, v_2 \geq n'_0$. To a further extent, $\exists y_0 \in Y$ such that $y_n \rightarrow y_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, as Y is complete.

Now, we also have to show that $G_h - \sum_k t_k^0 z_k = y_0$. For this, let $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, we have $\|t^j - t^0\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3Q}$, and for fixed j

$$\|y_j - y_0\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}. \tag{3.5}$$

Hence, $\exists n'_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left\| y_j - \left[\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{p_m}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^m \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i t_k^j z_k + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p_{m+n}}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^n \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i t_{m+k}^j z_{m+k} \right] \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \tag{3.6}$$

$\forall n \geq n'_0$, uniformly in $m \in \mathbb{N}$, since

$$y_j = G_h - \sum_k t_k^j z_k \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From Lemma 1.1, we get

$$\left[\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{p_m}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^m \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i \frac{(t_k^j - t_k^0)}{\|t^j - t^0\|} z_k + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p_{m+n}}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^n \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i \frac{(t_{m+k}^j - t_{m+k}^0)}{\|t^j - t^0\|} z_{m+k} \right] \leq Q. \tag{3.7}$$

Since $\sum_k z_k$ is a *wuC* series, so $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists n'_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| y_0 - \left[\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{p_m}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^m \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i t_k^0 z_k + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p_{m+n}}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^n \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i t_{m+k}^0 z_{m+k} \right] \right\| \\ & \leq (3.5) + (3.6) \\ & \quad + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{p_m}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^m \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i (t_k^j - t_k^0) z_k + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p_{m+n}}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^n \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i (t_{m+k}^j - t_{m+k}^0) z_{m+k} \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \|t^j - t^0\| \cdot Q \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3Q} \cdot Q = \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

$\forall n \geq n'_0$ uniformly in $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $t^0 = (t_k^0)_k \in S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) If $S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$ is a complete space with $t = (t_k)$ being an arbitrary sequence in the space c_0 , then we need to show that $t = (t_k) \in S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$. Now, since $S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$ is a complete space, then it contains the space of eventually zero sequences c_0 . That is, $\phi \subset S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$. Since c_0 is an *AK* space, we have $t^{[m]} = \sum_{k=1}^m t_k e^k \in S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$. Therefore, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|t^{[m]} - t\|_\infty = 0$. Thus $t = (t_k) \in S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let us consider that a series $\sum_k z_k$ is not *wuC*, then $\exists z^* \in B_{z^*}$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^\infty |z^*(z_k)| = +\infty$. Since $\sum_{k=1}^\infty |z^*(z_k)| = +\infty$, then there exists m_1 such that $\sum_{k=1}^{m_1} |z^*(z_k)| > n \cdot n$ for $n > 1$. Let us define

$$(t_k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n}, & \text{when } z^*(z_k) \geq 0; \\ -\frac{1}{n}, & \text{when } z^*(z_k) < 0, \end{cases}$$

for $k = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, which implies that $\sum_{k=1}^{m_1} t_k z^*(z_k) > n$ and $t_k z^*(z_k) \geq 0$. Let $m_2 > m_1$ such that $\sum_{k=m_1+1}^{m_2} t_k z^*(z_k) > n^2 \cdot n^2$. Now, we define

$$(t_k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n^2}, & \text{when } z^*(z_k) \geq 0; \\ -\frac{1}{n^2}, & \text{when } z^*(z_k) < 0, \end{cases}$$

for $k = \{m_1 + 1, \dots, m_2\}$, which shows that $\sum_{k=m_1+1}^{m_2} t_k z^*(z_k) > n^2$ and $t_k z^*(z_k) \geq 0$. Thus, for arbitrary null sequences $t = (t_k) \in S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$, we have $\sum_k t_k z^*(z_k) \rightarrow +\infty$, which is a contradiction since the sequences of partial sums $\{\sum_{k=1}^n t_k z^*(z_k)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ should be bounded by the hypothesis. Therefore, our claim is wrong, and hence the series $\sum_k z_k$ must be *wuC* series.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose that $S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$ is a Banach space and $t = (t_k) \in c_0(Y)$, which means $c_0(Y) \subseteq S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$ (already proved), which implies that $\sum_k t_k z_k$ is almost convergent for

all $t = (t_k) \in c_0(Y)$. From the monotonicity of $c_0(Y)$, $\sum_k t_k z_k$ is subseries almost convergent, and thus from the Orlicz–Pettis theorem, we get $\sum_k t_k z_k$ is wuC . \square

Corollary 3.3 *Let Y be the Banach space such that the formal series $\sum_k z_k$ belongs to Y . Then $\sum_k z_k$ is c_0 -multiplier convergent iff $c_0 \subseteq S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$.*

Aizpuru et al. [3] studied $S_{AC}(\sum_k z_k)$ which was given as

$$S_{AC}\left(\sum_k z_k\right) = \left\{ t = t_k \in \ell_\infty : AC \sum_k t_k z_k \text{ exists} \right\}.$$

We have $\sum_k z_k$ is almost convergent to $z_0 \in Y$. If $AC \sum_k z_k = z_0$, then $S_{AC}(\sum_k z_k) \subseteq S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$.

Corollary 3.4 *Suppose that Y is a Banach space such that the formal series $\sum_k z_k$ belongs to Y . Then the following are identical:*

- (i) $\sum_k z_k$ is (wuC) .
- (ii) $c_0(Y) \subseteq S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$.
- (iii) $S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$ is a Banach space.
- (iv) $c_0(Y) \subseteq AC \sum_k t_k z_k$.
- (v) $S_{AC}(\sum_k z_k)$ is a Banach space.

Theorem 3.5 *Suppose that Y is a normed space. Then Y is complete iff $S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$ is closed in ℓ_∞ for each wuC series $\sum_k z_k$.*

Proof If we consider Y to be complete, then Theorem 3.2 shows that $S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$ is complete for each wuC series $\sum_k z_k$. Conversely, suppose that Y is not complete, then we obtain a series $\sum_k z_k$ with $\|z_k\| < \frac{1}{k2^k}$ and $\sum_k z_k = z^{**} \in Y^{**} \setminus Y$. Thus, we have $G_h - \sum_k z_k = z^{**}$. Let us define the series $\sum_k x_k$, which is wuC , as it is defined that $x_k = kz_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider a sequence $t = (t_k) \in c_0$ given by $t_k = \frac{1}{k} \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, then we have $G_h - \sum_k t_k z_k \in Y^{**} \setminus Y$. Therefore, $t \notin S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$, which implies that there exists $\sum_k z_k$ such that $S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$ is not complete. \square

Theorem 3.6 *Suppose that Y is a Banach space such that the formal series $\sum_k z_k$ belongs to Y , then $\sum_k z_k$ is wuC iff \mathbf{S} defined in (3.1) is continuous.*

Proof Suppose that \mathbf{S} is continuous and I is a set such that

$$I = \left\{ \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n y_k z_k \right\| : \|y_k\| \leq 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \tag{3.8}$$

Thus, we have $Q = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I \leq \|\mathbf{S}\|$ such that $\sum_k z_k$ in Y is wuC as $\phi \subset S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$. Conversely, let $\sum_k z_k$ be wuC series, then $Q = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I$, since the set I in (3.8) is bounded. If $y = (y_k) \in S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$, then $\|\mathbf{S}(y)\| = \|G_h - \sum_k y_k z_k\| \leq Q\|y\|$. We can say that \mathbf{S} is continuous. \square

As defined in [3], the linear mapping T related with $\sum_k z_k$ in Y is given as

$$T : S_{AC} \left(\sum_k z_k \right) \rightarrow Y, \quad t = (t_k) \rightarrow A(t) = AC \sum_k a_k z_k. \tag{3.9}$$

Corollary 3.7 *Suppose that Y is a Banach space such that the formal series $\sum_k z_k$ belongs to Y . Then the following are identical:*

- (i) $\sum_k z_k$ is (wuC) .
- (ii) $T : S_{AC}(\sum_k z_k) \rightarrow Y$ is continuous.
- (iii) S described in (3.1) is continuous.

4 Multiplier spaces of weak G_h -almost convergence

This particular section deals with multiplier spaces of weak G_h -almost convergence and build on the prior results to weak topologies.

Definition 4.1 Let us consider $\sum_k z_k$ to be the formal series in the normed space Y . Then the Y -valued multiplier space of wG_h -almost convergence of $\sum_k z_k$ is defined as

$$S_{wG_h} \left(\sum_k z_k \right) = \left\{ y = (y_k) \in \ell_\infty : \sum_k z_k y_k \text{ is } wG_h\text{-almost convergent} \right\},$$

equipped with S (summing operator), and the sup norm is also defined by

$$S : S_{wG_h} \left(\sum_k z_k \right) \rightarrow Y, \quad y \rightarrow S(y) = wG_h - \sum_k z_k y_k. \tag{4.1}$$

Theorem 4.2 *Suppose that Y is a Banach space such that the formal series $\sum_k z_k$ belongs to Y . Then the following are identical:*

- (i) $\sum_k z_k$ is (wuC) .
- (ii) $S_{wG_h}(\sum_k z_k)$ is a Banach space.
- (iii) $c_0 \subseteq S_{wG_h}(\sum_k z_k)$.

Proof Consider $\sum_k z_k$ is wuC series in Y . Then $\exists Q$ such that $Q = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I$ as defined in (3.8). If (t_k^n) is a Cauchy sequence in $S_{wG_h}(\sum_k z_k)$, then we have $t^0 = (t_k^0) \in \ell_\infty(Y)$ such that $t^n \rightarrow t^0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\ell_\infty(Y)$ is a Banach space, we wish to prove that $t^0 \in S_{wG_h}(\sum_k z_k)$. Let $y_n = wG_h - \sum_k t_k^n z_k$, then $y_n \in Y$ since $(t_k^n) \in S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists n'_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|t^{v_1} - t^{v_2}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3Q} \forall v_1, v_2 > n'_0$. Thus, for $v_1, v_2 > n'_0 \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following inequalities are satisfied for all $y^* \in Y^*$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| y^*(y_{v_1}) - \left[\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{p_{m+n}}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^m \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i y^*(t_k^{v_1} z_k) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p_{m+n}}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^n \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i y^*(t_{m+k}^{v_1} z_{m+k}) \right] \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \\ & \left\| y^*(y_{v_2}) - \left[\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{p_{m+n}}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^m \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i y^*(t_k^{v_2} z_k) \right. \right. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

$$+ \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p_{m+n}}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^n \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i y^* (t_{m+k}^{v_2} z_{m+k}) \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{p_{m+n}}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^m \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i y^* [(t_k^{v_1} - t_k^{v_2}) z_k] \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p_{m+n}}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^n \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i y^* [(t_{m+k}^{v_1} - t_{m+k}^{v_2}) z_{m+k}] \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

uniformly in $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$

$$\|y_{v_1} - y_{v_2}\| = |y^*(y_{v_1}) - y^*(y_{v_2})| \leq (4.2) + (4.3) + (4.4) < \varepsilon$$

$\forall v_1, v_2 \geq n'_0$ and $y^* \in Y^*$. To a further extent, $\exists y_0^* \in Y^*$ such that $y_n \rightarrow y_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, as Y is complete.

Now, we also have to show that $wG_h - \sum_k t_k^0 z_k = y_0$. For this, let $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, we have $\|t^j - t^0\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3Q}$, and for fixed j and $y^* \in Y^*$, we have

$$\|y^*(y_j - y_0)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}. \tag{4.5}$$

Hence, $\exists n'_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| y^*(y_j) - \left[\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{p_m}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^m \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i y^*(t_k^j z_k) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p_{m+n}}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^n \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i y^*(t_{m+k}^j z_{m+k}) \right] \right\| \\ & < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

$\forall n \geq n'_0$, uniformly in $m \in \mathbb{N}$, since

$$y_j = wG_h - \sum_k t_k^j z_k \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now, from Lemma 1.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{p_m}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^m \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i y^* \frac{(t_k^j - t_k^0)}{\|t^j - t^0\|} z_k \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p_{m+n}}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^n \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i y^* \frac{(t_{m+k}^j - t_{m+k}^0)}{\|t^j - t^0\|} z_{m+k} \right] \leq Q. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Since $\sum_k z_k$ is wuC , so $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists n'_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| y^*(y_0) - \left[\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{p_m}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^m \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i y^*(t_k^0 z_k) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p_{m+n}}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^n \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i y^*(t_{m+k}^0 z_{m+k}) \right] \right\| \\ & \leq (4.5) + (4.6) + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{p_m}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^m \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i y^* [(t_k^j - t_k^0) z_k] \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p_{m+n}}{h_n} \sum_{i=k}^n \frac{(-\gamma)_{i-k}}{(i-k)!} q_i \gamma^* [(t_{m+k}^i - t_{m+k}^0) z_{m+k}] \Big\| \\
 & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \|t^j - t^0\| \cdot Q \\
 & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3Q} \cdot Q = \varepsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

$\forall n \geq n'_0$, uniformly in $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus,

$$t^0 = (t_k^0)_k \in S_{wG_h} \left(\sum_k z_k \right).$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) If $S_{wG_h}(\sum_k z_k)$ is complete with $t = (t_k)$ being a sequence in c_0 , then we need to prove that $t = (t_k) \in S_{wG_h}(\sum_k z_k)$. Now, since $S_{wG_h}(\sum_k z_k)$ is a complete space, then it contains the space of eventually zero sequences c_0 . That is, $\phi \subset S_{wG_h}(\sum_k z_k)$. Since c_0 is an AK space, we have $t^{[m]} = \sum_{k=1}^m t_k e^k \in S_{wG_h}(\sum_k z_k)$. Therefore, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|t^{[m]} - t\|_\infty = 0$. Thus $t = (t_k) \in S_{wG_h}(\sum_k z_k)$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) We can prove this with the same example as given in Theorem 3.2.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose that $S_{wG_h}(\sum_k z_k)$ is a Banach space and $t = (t_k) \in c_0(Y)$, which means $c_0(Y) \subseteq S_{wG_h}(\sum_k z_k)$ (already proved), which implies that $\sum_k t_k z_k$ is almost convergent for all $t = (t_k) \in c_0(Y)$. Therefore, from the monotonicity of $c_0(Y)$, $\sum_k t_k z_k$ is subseries almost convergent, and thus we get $\sum_k t_k z_k$ is wuC from the Orlicz–Pettis theorem. \square

Corollary 4.3 *Suppose that Y is a Banach space such that the formal series $\sum_k z_k$ belongs to Y . Then $\sum_k z_k$ is c_0 -multiplier convergent iff $c_0 \subseteq S_{wG_h}(\sum_k z_k)$.*

$S_{wG_h}(\sum_k z_k)$ of almost summability related with $\sum_k z_k$ was studied by Aizpuru et al. [3] which is given as

$$S_{wAC} \left(\sum_k z_k \right) = \left\{ t = (t_k) \in \ell_\infty : wAC \sum_k t_k z_k \text{ exists} \right\}.$$

Corollary 4.4 *Suppose that Y is a Banach space such that the formal series $\sum_k z_k$ belongs to Y . Then the following are identical:*

- (i) $\sum_k z_k$ is (wuC) .
- (ii) $c_0(Y) \subseteq S_{wG_h}(\sum_k z_k)$.
- (iii) $S_{wG_h}(\sum_k z_k)$ is a Banach space.
- (iv) For all $t = (t_k) \in c_0$ there exists $wAC \sum_k t_k z_k$.
- (v) $S_{wAC}(\sum_k z_k)$ is a Banach space.

Theorem 4.5 *Suppose that Y is a normed space. Then Y is complete iff $S_{wG_h}(\sum_k z_k)$ is closed in ℓ_∞ for each wuC series $\sum_k z_k$.*

Proof The proof is similar to Theorem 3.5. So, we omit the details. \square

Theorem 4.6 *Suppose that Y is a Banach space such that the formal series $\sum_k z_k$ belongs to Y , then $\sum_k z_k$ is wuC iff \mathbf{S} defined in (4.1) is continuous.*

Proof The proof is similar to Theorem 3.5. So, we omit the details. □

Corollary 4.7 *Suppose that Y is a Banach space such that the formal series $\sum_k z_k$ belongs to Y . Then the following are identical:*

- (i) $\sum_k z_k$ is (wuC) .
- (ii) $T : S_{wAC}(\sum_k z_k) \rightarrow Y$ is continuous.
- (iii) S described in (4.1) is continuous.

Remark 4.8 Suppose that χ is a linear space and μ_1 and μ_2 are linear topologies on χ such that μ_2 has a neighborhood base at 0 consisting of μ_1 closed sets [in a sense of Wilanski]. If $z = (z_i) \subset \chi$ is a Cauchy sequence converging to z in (χ, μ_1) , then it will converge to z in (χ, μ_2) .

Proposition 4.9 *Let $\sum_k z_k$ be uC in Y . Then $S_{wG_h}(\sum_k z_k) = S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$.*

Proof Suppose that $y = (y_k) \in S_{wG_h}(\sum_k z_k)$. This implies that the partial sum of $\sum_k y_k z_k$ obtains a Cauchy sequence that is again weakly G_h -convergent. Since the weak topology is connected with the norm topology, it will converge to the same point as in the norm topology. □

5 Orlicz–Pettis theorem for weak G_h -almost convergence

This particular section deals with a new version of the Orlicz–Pettis theorem for a Banach space Y . As noted earlier, the classical form of the Orlicz–Pettis theorem for the normed space claims that a series is subseries convergent in weak topology for the space is subseries convergent to the norm topology for the same space. In addition to that, if Y is complete, then $\sum_k z_k$ is ℓ_∞ -multiplier convergent. The Orlicz–Pettis theorem proportionately states that if Y is a Banach space and if $\forall M \subset \mathbb{N}$ there exists a weakly sum $\sum_{k \in M} z_k$, then $\sum_k z_k$ is uc .

Theorem 5.1 *Suppose that Y is a Banach space and sum $\sum_{k \in M} z_k$ is wG_h -almost convergent for every $M \subset \mathbb{N}$, then $\sum_k z_k$ is uc .*

Proof From the previous results, we know that $\sum_k z_k$ is wuC . Let $M \subset \mathbb{N}$, then $wG_h - \sum_{k \in M} z_k = z_0 \forall z_0 \in Y$. From the classical Orlicz–Pettis theorem and the equalities given below

$$\sum_{k \in M} z^*(z_k) = G_h - \sum_{k \in M} z^*(z_k) = z^*(z_0) \quad \forall z^* \in Y^*,$$

we get $\sum_k z_k$ is uc series. □

Corollary 5.2 *Suppose that Y is a Banach space and $\sum_k z_k$ belongs to Y . Then the given assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\sum_k z_k$ is uc .
- (ii) $\ell_\infty \subseteq S_{G_h}(\sum_k z_k)$.
- (iii) $\ell_\infty \subseteq S_{wG_h}(\sum_k z_k)$.

Here, we remark that if $\sum_k z_k$ is *wuC* series in Y , then $\sum_k y_k z_k$ is *wuC* series for all $y_k \in \ell_\infty$. Thus,

$$S_{G_{\tilde{h}}}\left(\sum_k z_k\right) \subset S_w\left(\sum_k z_k\right),$$

where $S_w(\sum_k z_k) = \{y = (y_k) \in \ell_\infty : w \sum_k y_k z_k \text{ exists}\}$.

Acknowledgements

The first author thanks the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), India, for partial support under Grant No. 25(0288)/18/EMR-II, dated 24/05/2018.

Funding

None.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors have equal contributions. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹School of Mathematics, Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University, Katra 182320, J & K, India. ²Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University (Taiwan), Taichung, Taiwan.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 29 March 2021 Accepted: 28 July 2021 Published online: 06 August 2021

References

1. Ahmad, N., Sharma, S.K., Mohiuddine, S.A.: Generalized entire sequence spaces defined by fractional difference operator and sequence of modulus functions. *TWMS J. Appl. Eng. Math.* **10**, 63–72 (2020) Special Issue
2. Ahmad, Z.U., Mursaleen, M.: Kothe-Toeplitz duals of some new sequence spaces and their matrix maps. *Publ. Inst. Math. (Belgr.)* **42**(56), 57–61 (1987)
3. Aizpuru, A., Armario, R., Perez-Fernandez, F.J.: Almost summability and unconditionally Cauchy series. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **15**(4), 635–644 (2008)
4. Altay, B., Basar, F.: Some paranormed sequence spaces of non-absolute type derived by weighted mean. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **319**(2), 494–508 (2006)
5. Altay, B., Kama, R.: On Cesàro summability of vector valued multiplier spaces and operator valued series. *Positivity* **22**(2), 575–586 (2018)
6. Baliarsingh, P.: Some new difference sequence spaces of fractional order and their dual spaces. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **219**(18), 9737–9742 (2013)
7. Baliarsingh, P., Dutta, S.: On the classes of fractional order difference sequence spaces and their matrix transformations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **250**, 665–674 (2015)
8. Basar, F.: *Summability Theory and Its Applications*. Bentham Sci. Pub., Istanbul (2012)
9. Demiriz, S., Çakan, C.: Some new paranormed difference sequence space and weighted core. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **64**(6), 1726–1739 (2012)
10. Diestel, J.: *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*. Springer, New York (1984)
11. Esi, A.: On some classes of generalized paranormed sequence spaces associated with multiplier sequences. *Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A, Sci.* **32**(4), 283–288 (2008)
12. Esi, A.: Lacunary strongly almost convergent sequences of fuzzy numbers. *J. Concr. Appl. Math.* **7**(1), 64–69 (2009)
13. Esi, A.: Some classes of generalized difference paranormed sequence spaces associated with multiplier sequences. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **11**(3), 536–545 (2009)
14. Esi, A., Tripathy, B.C.: Strongly almost convergent generalized difference sequences associated with multiplier sequences. *Math. Slovaca* **57**(4), 339–348 (2007)
15. Et, M., Çolak, R.: On some generalized difference sequence spaces. *Soochow J. Math.* **21**(4), 377–386 (1995)
16. Hazarika, B., Esi, A.: On ideal convergent sequence spaces of fuzzy real numbers associated with multiplier sequences defined by a sequence of Orlicz functions. *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.* **7**(2), 289–301 (2014)

17. Jena, B.B., Paikray, S.K., Mohiuddine, S.A., Mishra, V.N.: Relatively equi-statistical convergence via deferred Nörlund mean based on difference operator of fractional-order and related approximation theorems. *AIMS Math.* **5**(1), 650–672 (2020)
18. Karakus, M.: On certain vector valued multiplier spaces and series of operator. *J. Math. Anal.* **10**(2), 1–11 (2019)
19. Karakus, M., Basar, F.: On generalization of almost convergence, completeness of some normed spaces with wuC series and a version of Orlicz–Pettis theorem. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat.* **113**(4), 3461–3475 (2019)
20. Kizmaz, H.: On certain sequence spaces. *Can. Math. Bull.* **24**(2), 169–176 (1981)
21. Lorentz, G.G.: A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences. *Acta Math.* **80**, 167–190 (1948)
22. Mohiuddine, S.A.: An application of almost convergence in approximation theorems. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24**(11), 1856–1860 (2011)
23. Mohiuddine, S.A., Raj, K., Mursaleen, M., Alotaibi, A.: Linear isomorphic spaces of fractional-order difference operators. *Alex. Eng. J.* **60**(1), 1155–1164 (2021)
24. Mursaleen, M.: *Applied Summability Methods*, Springer Briefs. Springer, New York (2014)
25. Nayak, L., Et, M., Baliarsingh, P.: On certain generalized weighted mean fractional difference sequence spaces. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. India Sect. A* **89**(1), 163–170 (2019)
26. Polat, H., Karakaya, V., Şimşek, N.: Difference sequence spaces derived by using a generalized weighted mean. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24**(5), 608–614 (2011)
27. Raj, K., Jamwal, S.: On some generalized statistically convergent sequence spaces. *Kuwait J. Sci.* **42**(3), 86–104 (2015)
28. Raj, K., Sharma, S.K., Sharma, A.K.: Difference sequence spaces in n -normed spaces defined by Musielak-Orlicz function. *Armen. J. Math.* **3**(3), 127–141 (2011)
29. Saha, S., Esi, A., Roy, S.: Some new classes of multiplier ideal convergent triple sequence spaces of fuzzy numbers defined by Orlicz functions. *Palest. J. Math.* **9**(1), 174–186 (2020)
30. Swartz, C.: *Multiplier Convergent Series*. World Scientific, Singapore (2009)
31. Tripathy, B.C., Mahanta, S.: On a class of vector valued sequences associated with multiplier sequences. *Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser.* **20**(3), 487–494 (2004)
32. Tripathy, B.C.: Generalized difference sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function in a locally convex space. *J. Anal. Appl.* **3**(1), 175–192 (1995)
33. Wilansky, A.: *Summability Through Functional Analysis*. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 85 (1984)
34. Yaying, T., Hazarika, B., Mohiuddine, S.A.: On difference sequence spaces of fractional order involving Padovan numbers. *Asian-Eur. J. Math.* <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793557121500959>
35. Zeltser, M., Mursaleen, M., Mohiuddine, S.A.: On almost conservative matrix methods for double sequence spaces. *Publ. Math. (Debr.)* **75**(3–4), 387–399 (2009)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)
