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## 1. Introduction

Multipoint boundary value problems (BVPs) for second-order differential equations in a finite interval have been studied extensively and many results for the existence of solutions, positive solutions, multiple solutions are obtained by use of the Leray-Schauder continuation theorem, Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem, and so on; for details see [1-4] and the references therein.

In the last several years, boundary value problems in an infinite interval have been arisen in many applications and received much attention; see [5, 6]. Due to the fact that an infinite interval is noncompact, the discussion about BVPs on the half-line is more complicated, see [5-14] and the references therein. Recently, in [15], Lian and Ge studied the following three-point boundary value problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+f\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)=0, \quad 0<t<+\infty, \\
x(0)=\alpha x(\eta), \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x^{\prime}(t)=0, \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\alpha \in R, \alpha \neq 1$, and $\eta \in(0,+\infty)$ are given. In this paper, we will study the following $m$-point boundary value problems:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+f\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)=0, \quad 0<t<+\infty, \\
x(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\eta_{i}\right), \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x^{\prime}(t)=0, \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\alpha_{i} \in R, \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \neq 1, \alpha_{i}$ have the same signal, and $0<\eta_{1}<\eta_{2}<\cdots<\eta_{m-2}<+\infty$ are given. We first present the Green function for second-order multipoint BVPs on the half-line and then give the existence results for (1.2) using the properties of this Green function and the Leray-Schauder continuation theorem.

We use the space $C_{\infty}^{1}[0,+\infty)=\left\{x \in C^{1}[0,+\infty), \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x(t)\right.$ exists, $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x^{\prime}(t)$ exists $\}$ with the norm $\|x\|=\max \left\{\|x\|_{\infty},\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right\}$, where $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ is supremum norm on the half-line, and $L^{1}[0,+\infty)=\{x:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow R$ is absolutely integrable on $[0,+\infty)\}$ with the norm $\|x\|_{L^{1}}=$ $\int_{0}^{\infty}|x(t)| d t$.

We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\int_{0}^{+\infty} p(s) d s, \quad P_{1}=\int_{0}^{+\infty} s p(s) d s, \quad Q=\int_{0}^{+\infty} q(s) d t \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we suppose $\alpha_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, m-2$ are the same signal in this paper and we always assume $\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}$.

## 2. Preliminary Results

In this section, we present some definitions and lemmas, which will be needed in the proof of the main results.

Definition 2.1 (see [15]). It holds that $f:[0,+\infty) \times R^{2} \longmapsto R$ is called an S-Carathéodory function if and only if
(i) for each $(u, v) \in R^{2}, t \mapsto f(t, u, v)$ is measurable on $[0,+\infty)$,
(ii) for almost every $t \in[0,+\infty),(u, v) \mapsto f(t, u, v)$ is continuous on $R^{2}$,
(iii) for each $r>0$, there exists $\varphi_{r}(t) \in L^{1}[0,+\infty)$ with $t \varphi_{r}(t) \in L^{1}[0,+\infty), \varphi_{r}(t)>0$ on $(0,+\infty)$ such that $\max \{|u|,|v|\} \leq r$ implies $|f(t, u, v)| \leq \varphi_{r}(t)$, for a.e. $t \in[0,+\infty)$.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \neq 1$, if for any $v(t) \in L^{1}[0,+\infty)$ with $t v(t) \in L^{1}[0,+\infty)$, then the BVP,

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+v(t)=0, \quad 0<t<+\infty, \\
x(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\eta_{i}\right), \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x^{\prime}(t)=0, \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

has a unique solution. Moreover, this unique solution can be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} G(t, s) v(s) d s, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G(t, s)$ is defined by

$$
G(t, s)=\frac{1}{\Lambda} \begin{cases}\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} s+\Lambda s, & s \leq \eta_{1}, s \leq t,  \tag{2.3}\\ \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} s+\Lambda t, & s \leq \eta_{1}, t \leq s, \\ \sum_{k=1}^{i} \alpha_{k} \eta_{k}+\sum_{k=i+1}^{m-2} \alpha_{k} s+\Lambda s, & 0<\eta_{i} \leq s \leq \eta_{i+1}, s \leq t, i=1,2, \ldots, m-3, \\ \sum_{k=1}^{i} \alpha_{k} \eta_{k}+\sum_{k=i+1}^{m-2} \alpha_{k} s+\Lambda t, & 0<\eta_{i} \leq s \leq \eta_{i+1}, t \leq s, i=1,2, \ldots, m-3, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}+\Lambda s, & s \geq \eta_{m-2}, s \leq t, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}+\Lambda t, & s \geq \eta_{m-2}, t \leq s,\end{cases}
$$

here note $\Lambda=1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}$.
Proof. Integrate the differential equation from $t$ to $+\infty$, noticing that $v(t), \operatorname{tv}(t) \in L^{1}[0,+\infty)$, then from 0 to $t$ and one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=x(0)+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{s}^{+\infty} v(\tau) d \tau d s \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\eta_{i}\right)$, from (2.4), it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\frac{1}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i} \int_{\eta_{i}}^{+\infty} v(s) d s+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \int_{0}^{\eta_{i}} s v(s) d s\right]+t \int_{t}^{+\infty} v(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} s v(s) d s . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0 \leq t \leq \eta_{1}$, the unique solution of (2.1) can be stated by

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t)= & \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} s}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}+s\right) v(s) d s+\int_{t}^{\eta_{1}}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} s}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}+t\right) v(s) d s \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{m-3} \int_{\eta_{i}}^{\eta_{i+1}}\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{i} \alpha_{k} \eta_{k}+\sum_{k=i+1}^{m-2} \alpha_{k} s+\Lambda t}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}\right) v(s) d s+\int_{\eta_{m-2}}^{+\infty}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}+t\right) v(s) d s . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\eta_{i} \leq t \leq \eta_{i+1}, 1 \leq i \leq m-3$, the unique solution of (2.1) can be stated by

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t)= & \int_{0}^{\eta_{1}}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} s}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}+s\right) v(s) d s \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \int_{\eta_{j}}^{\eta_{j+1}}\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{j} \alpha_{k} \eta_{k}+\sum_{k=j+1}^{m-2} \alpha_{k} s+\Lambda s}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}\right) v(s) d s \\
& +\int_{\eta_{i}}^{t}\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{i} \alpha_{k} \eta_{k}+\sum_{k i+1}^{m-2} \alpha_{k} s+\Lambda s}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}\right) v(s) d s  \tag{2.7}\\
& +\int_{t}^{\eta_{i+1}}\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{i} \alpha_{k} \eta_{k}+\sum_{k=i+1}^{m-2} \alpha_{k} s+\Lambda t}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}\right) v(s) d s \\
& +\sum_{j=i+1}^{m-3} \int_{\eta_{j}}^{\eta_{j+1}}\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{j} \alpha_{k} \eta_{k}+\sum_{k=j+1}^{m-2} \alpha_{k} s+\Lambda t}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}\right) v(s) d s \\
& +\int_{\eta_{m-2}}^{+\infty}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}+t\right) v(s) d s .
\end{align*}
$$

If $\eta_{m-2} \leq t<+\infty$, the unique solution of (2.1) can be stated by

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t)= & \int_{0}^{\eta_{1}}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} s}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}+s\right) v(s) d s+\sum_{i=1}^{m-3} \int_{\eta_{i}}^{\eta_{i+1}}\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{i} \alpha_{k} \eta_{k}+\sum_{k=i+1}^{m-2} \alpha_{k} s+\Lambda s}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}\right) v(s) d s  \tag{2.8}\\
& +\int_{\eta_{m-2}}^{t}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}+s\right) v(s) d s+\int_{t}^{+\infty}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}}+t\right) v(s) d s .
\end{align*}
$$

We note $\Lambda=1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}$, then

$$
G(t, s)=\frac{1}{\Lambda} \begin{cases}\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} s+\Lambda s, & s \leq \eta_{1}, s \leq t,  \tag{2.9}\\ \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} s+\Lambda t, & s \leq \eta_{1}, t \leq s, \\ \sum_{k=1}^{i} \alpha_{k} \eta_{k}+\sum_{k=i+1}^{m-2} \alpha_{k} s+\Lambda s, & 0<\eta_{i} \leq s \leq \eta_{i+1}, s \leq t, i=1,2, \ldots, m-3, \\ \sum_{k=1}^{i} \alpha_{k} \eta_{k}+\sum_{k=i+1}^{m-2} \alpha_{k} s+\Lambda t, & 0<\eta_{i} \leq s \leq \eta_{i+1}, t \leq s, i=1,2, \ldots, m-3, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}+\Lambda s, & s \geq \eta_{m-2}, s \leq t, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}+\Lambda t, & s \geq \eta_{m-2}, t \leq s\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, the unique solution of (2.1) is $x(t)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} G(t, s) v(s) d s$, which completes the proof.

Remark of Lemma 2.2.Obviously $G(t, s)$ satisfies the properties of a Green function, so we call $G(t, s)$ the Green function of the corresponding homogeneous multipoint BVP of (2.1) on the half-line.

Lemma 2.3. For all $t, s \in[0,+\infty)$, it holds that

$$
|G(t, s)| \leq \begin{cases}s, &  \tag{2.10}\\ \frac{s}{\Lambda}, & \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}<0, \\ \Lambda^{\prime} \\ \max \left\{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} s}{-\Lambda}, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \eta_{m-2}}{-\Lambda}\right\}, & 0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}<1, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}>1 .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. For each $s \in[0,+\infty), G(t, s)$ is nondecreasing in $t$. Immediately, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min \left\{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} s}{\Lambda}, \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{i} \alpha_{k} \eta_{k}+\sum_{k=i+1}^{m-2} \alpha_{k} s}{\Lambda}, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}}{\Lambda}\right\} \leq G(t, s) \leq G(s, s) \\
& =\frac{s \leq \eta_{1},}{\Lambda} \begin{cases}s, & \eta_{i} \leq s \leq \eta_{i+1}<+\infty, i=1,2, \ldots, m-3, \\
\sum_{k=1}^{i} \alpha_{k} \eta_{k}+\left(\sum_{k=i+1}^{m-2} \alpha_{k}+\Lambda\right) s, \\
\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}+\Lambda s, & s \geq \eta_{m-2} .\end{cases} \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Further, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} s}{\Lambda} \leq G(t, s) \leq s, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}<0, \\
0<\min \left\{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} s}{\Lambda}, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \eta_{1}}{\Lambda}\right\} \leq G(t, s) \leq \frac{s}{\Lambda}, \quad 0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}<1,  \tag{2.12}\\
\min \left\{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} s}{\Lambda}, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \eta_{m-2}}{\Lambda}\right\} \leq G(t, s) \leq s, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}>1 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, we get the result.

Lemma 2.4. For the Green function $G(t, s)$, it holds that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} G(t, s) & =\bar{G}(s) \\
& =\frac{1}{\Lambda} \begin{cases}s, & s \leq \eta_{1}, \\
\sum_{k=1}^{i} \alpha_{k} \eta_{k}+\left(\sum_{k=i+1}^{m-2} \alpha_{k}+\Lambda\right) s, & \eta_{i} \leq s \leq \eta_{i+1}<+\infty, i=1,2, \ldots, m-3 \\
\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}+\Lambda s, & s \geq \eta_{m-2}\end{cases} \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.5. For the function $x \in C^{1}[0,+\infty)$, it is satisfied that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\eta_{i}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\alpha_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, m-2)$ have the same signal, $0<\eta_{1}<\eta_{2}<\cdots<\eta_{m-2}<+\infty$, then there exists $\eta \in\left[\eta_{1}, \eta_{m-2}\right]$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(0)=\alpha x(\eta) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}$.
Proof. Let $\alpha_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, m-2)$ are positive, and note $M^{*}=\max \left\{x(t) \mid t \in\left[\eta_{1}, \eta_{m-2}\right]\right\}, m^{*}=$ $\min \left\{x(t) \mid t \in\left[\eta_{1}, \eta_{m-2}\right]\right\}$, then for every $i(i=1,2, \ldots, m-2)$, we have $m^{*} \leq x\left(\eta_{i}\right) \leq M^{*}$, so $m^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\eta_{i}\right) \leq M^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}$, that is, $m^{*} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\eta_{i}\right) / \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x \leq M^{*}$. Because $x(t)$ is continuous on the interval [ $\eta_{1}, \eta_{m-2}$ ], there exists $\eta \in\left[\eta_{1}, \eta_{m-2}\right]$ satisfying $x(0)=\alpha x(\eta)$, where $\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}$.

Theorem 2.6 (see [5]). Let $M \subset C_{\infty}[0,+\infty)=\left\{x \in C[0,+\infty), \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x(t)\right.$ exists $\}$. Then $M$ is relatively compact in $X$ if the following conditions hold:
(a) $M$ is uniformly bounded in $C_{\infty}[0,+\infty)$;
(b) the functions from $M$ are equicontinuous on any compact interval of $[0,+\infty)$;
(c) the functions from $M$ are equiconvergent, that is, for any given $\epsilon>0$, there exists a $T=$ $T(\epsilon)>0$ such that $|f(t)-f(+\infty)|<\epsilon$, for any $t>T, f \in M$.

## 3. Main Results

Consider the space $X=\left\{x \in C_{\infty}^{1}[0,+\infty), x(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\eta_{i}\right), \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x^{\prime}(t)=0\right\}$ and define the operator $T: X \times[0,1] \rightarrow X$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x, \lambda)(t)=\lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty} G(t, s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right) d s, \quad 0 \leq t<+\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main result of this paper is following.

Theorem 3.1. Let $f:[0,+\infty) \times R^{2} \mapsto R$ be an $S$-Carathéodory function. Suppose further that there exists functions $p(t), q(t) r(t) \in L^{1}[0,+\infty)$ with $t p(t), \operatorname{tq}(t) \operatorname{tr}(t) \in L^{1}[0,+\infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(t, u, v)| \leq p(t)|u|+q(t)|v|+r(t) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost every $t \in[0,+\infty)$ and all $(u, v) \in R^{2}$. Then (1.2) has at least one solution provided:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\eta_{m-2} P+P_{1}+Q<1, \quad \alpha<0, \\
\frac{\alpha \eta_{m-2}}{1-\alpha} P+P_{1}+Q<1, \quad 0 \leq \alpha<1,  \tag{3.3}\\
\max \left\{\frac{\alpha \eta_{m-2}}{\alpha-1} P+P_{1}+Q, \frac{\alpha P_{1}}{\alpha-1}+\frac{\alpha \eta_{m-2} P}{\alpha-1}\right\}<1, \quad \alpha>1 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $f:[0,+\infty) \times R^{2} \rightarrow R$ be an $S$-Carathéodory function. Then, for each $\lambda \in$ $[0,1], T(x, \lambda)$ is completely continuous in X .

Proof. First we show $T$ is well defined. Let $x \in X$; then there exists $r>0$ such that $\|x\| \leq r$. For each $\lambda \in[0,1]$, it holds that

$$
\begin{align*}
T(x, \lambda)(t) & =\lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty} G(t, s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right) d s  \tag{3.4}\\
& \leq \int_{0}^{+\infty}|G(t, s)| \varphi_{r}(s) d s<+\infty, \quad \forall t \in[0, \infty) .
\end{align*}
$$

Further, $\mathrm{G}(t, s)$ is continuous in $t$ so the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|T(x, \lambda)\left(t_{1}\right)-T(x, \lambda)\left(t_{2}\right)\right| & \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|G\left(t_{1}, s\right)-G\left(t_{2}, s\right)\right|\left|f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)\right| d s \\
& \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|G\left(t_{1}, s\right)-G\left(t_{2}, s\right)\right| \varphi_{r}(s) d s  \tag{3.5}\\
& \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } t_{1} \longrightarrow t_{2} \\
\left|T(x, \lambda)^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-T(x, \lambda)^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)\right| & \leq \lambda \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left|f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)\right| d s \\
& \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \varphi_{r}(s) d s \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } t_{1} \longrightarrow t_{2}, \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $0 \leq t_{1}, t_{2}<+\infty$. Thus, $T x \in C^{1}[0,+\infty)$.

Obviously, $T(x, \lambda)(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} T(x, \lambda)\left(\eta_{i}\right)$. Notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} T(x, \lambda)^{\prime}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{t}^{+\infty} f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right) d s=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

so we can get $T(x, \lambda)(t) \in X$.
We claim that $T(x, \lambda)$ is completely continuous in $X$, that is, for each $\lambda \in[0,1], T(x, \lambda)$ is continuous in $X$ and maps a bounded subset of $X$ into a relatively compact set.

Let $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ in $X$. Next we prove that for each $\lambda \in[0,1], T\left(x_{n}, \lambda\right) \rightarrow T(x, \lambda)$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ in $X$. Because $f$ is a S-Carathéodory function and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{0}^{+\infty} \bar{G}(s)\left(f\left(s, x_{n}(s), x_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right)-f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)\right) d s\right| \leq 2 \int_{0}^{+\infty}|\bar{G}(s)| \varphi_{r_{0}}(s) d s<+\infty, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{0}>0$ is a real number such that $\max \left\{\max _{n \in N \backslash\{0\}}\left\|x_{n}\right\|,\|x\|\right\} \leq r_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|T\left(x_{n}, \lambda\right)(+\infty)-T(x, \lambda)(+\infty)\right| & \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty}|\bar{G}(s)|\left|f\left(s, x_{n}(s), x_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right)-f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)\right| d s  \tag{3.9}\\
& \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow+\infty
\end{align*}
$$

Also, we can get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|T\left(x_{n}, \lambda\right)(t)-T\left(x_{n}, \lambda\right)(+\infty)\right| & \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{+\infty}|G(t, s)-\bar{G}(s)|\left|f\left(s, x_{n}(s), x_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right)\right| d s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{+\infty}|G(t, s)-\bar{G}(s)| \varphi_{r_{0}}(s) d s  \tag{3.10}\\
& \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } t \longrightarrow+\infty \\
\left|T\left(x_{n}, \lambda\right)^{\prime}(t)-T\left(x_{n}, \lambda\right)^{\prime}(+\infty)\right| & \leq \int_{t}^{+\infty}\left|f\left(s, x_{n}(s), x_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right)\right| d s  \tag{3.11}\\
& \leq \int_{t}^{+\infty} \varphi_{r_{0}}(s) d s \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } t \longrightarrow+\infty
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& |T(x, \lambda)(t)-T(x, \lambda)(+\infty)| \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } t \longrightarrow+\infty,  \tag{3.12}\\
& \left|T(x, \lambda)^{\prime}(t)-T(x, \lambda)^{\prime}(+\infty)\right| \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } t \longrightarrow+\infty
\end{align*}
$$

For any positive number $T_{0}<+\infty$, when $t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|T\left(x_{n}, \lambda\right)(t)-T(x, \lambda)(t)\right| & \leq \int_{0}^{+\infty}|G(t, s)|\left|f\left(s, x_{n}(s), x_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right)-f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)\right| d s \\
& \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow+\infty \\
\left|T\left(x_{n}, \lambda\right)^{\prime}(t)-T(x, \lambda)^{\prime}(t)\right| & \leq \int_{t}^{+\infty}\left|f\left(s, x_{n}(s), x_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right)-f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)\right| d s  \tag{3.13}\\
& \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow+\infty .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.9)-(3.13), we can see that $T(\cdot, \lambda)$ is continuous. Let $B \subset X$ be a bounded subset; it is easy to prove that $T B$ is uniformly bounded. In the same way, we can prove (3.5),(3.6), and (3.12), we can also show that $T B$ is equicontinuous and equiconvergent. Thus, by Theorem 2.6, $T(\cdot, \lambda): X \times[0,1] \rightarrow X$ is completely continuous. The proof is completed.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. In view of Lemma 2.2, it is clear that $x \in X$ is a solution of the BVP (1.2) if and only if $x$ is a fixed point of $T(\cdot, 1)$. Clearly, $T(x, 0)=0$ for each $x \in X$. If for each $\lambda \in[0,1]$ the fixed points $T(\cdot, \lambda)$ in $X$ belong to a closed ball of $X$ independent of $\lambda$, then the LeraySchauder continuation theorem completes the proof. We have known $T(\cdot, \lambda)$ is completely continuous by Lemma 3.2. Next we show that the fixed point of $T(\cdot, \lambda)$ has a priori bound $M$ independently of $\lambda$. Assume $x=T(x, \lambda)$ and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}=\int_{0}^{+\infty} s q(s) d s, \quad R=\int_{0}^{+\infty} r(s) d s, \quad R_{1}=\int_{0}^{+\infty} s r(s) d t \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Lemma 2.5, we know that for any $x \in X$, there exists $\eta \in\left[\eta_{1}, \eta_{m-2}\right]$ satisfying $x(0)=\alpha x(\eta)$. Hence, there are three cases as follow.

Case $1(\alpha<0)$. For any $x \in X, x(0) x(\eta) \leq 0$ holds and, therefore, there exists a $t_{0} \in[0, \eta]$ such that $x\left(t_{0}\right)=0$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x(t)|=\left|\int_{t_{0}}^{t} x^{\prime}(s) d s\right| \leq(t+\eta)\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left(t+\eta_{m-2}\right)\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty^{\prime}} \quad t \in[0, \infty) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so it holds that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} & \leq\left\|\lambda f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}} \leq\left\|f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}} \\
& \leq\left\|p(t)|x(t)|+q(t)\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|+r(t)\right\|_{L^{1}}  \tag{3.16}\\
& \leq\left(\eta_{m-2} P+P_{1}+Q\right)\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}+R,
\end{align*}
$$

therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{R}{1-\eta_{m-2} P-P_{1}-Q}=M_{1}^{\prime} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the same time, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
|x(t)| & \leq \lambda\left|\int_{0}^{\infty} G(t, s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right) d s\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|s f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right)\right| d s  \tag{3.18}\\
& \leq P_{1}\|x\|_{\infty}+Q_{1} M_{1}^{\prime}+R_{1}, \quad t \in[0, \infty),
\end{align*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{Q_{1} M_{1}^{\prime}+R_{1}}{1-P_{1}}=M_{1} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $M=\max \left\{M_{1}^{\prime}, M_{1}\right\}$, which is independent of $\lambda$.
Case $2(0 \leq \alpha<1)$. For any $x \in X$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x(t)|=\left|\alpha x(\eta)+\int_{0}^{t} x^{\prime}(s) d s\right| \leq \alpha|x(\eta)|+t\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty^{\prime}} \quad t \in[0, \infty) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $|x(t)| \leq(\alpha \eta /(1-\alpha)+t)\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left(\alpha \eta_{m-2} /(1-\alpha)+t\right)\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}$ for all $t \in[0, \infty)$. In the same way as for Case 1 , we can get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{(1-\alpha) R}{(1-\alpha)\left(1-P_{1}-Q\right)-\alpha \eta_{m-2} P}=M_{2}^{\prime} \\
\|x\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{Q_{1} M_{2}^{\prime}+R_{1}}{1-\alpha-P_{1}}=M_{2} \tag{3.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

Set $M=\max \left\{M_{2}^{\prime}, M_{2}\right\}$, which is independent of $\lambda$ and is what we need.
Case $3(\alpha>1)$. For $x \in X$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x(t)|=\left|x(\eta)+\int_{\eta}^{t} x^{\prime}(s) d s\right| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}|x(0)|+\mid t-\eta\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}, \quad t \in[0, \infty) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $|x(t)| \leq(\alpha \eta /(\alpha-1)+t)\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left(\alpha \eta_{m-2} /(\alpha-1)+t\right)\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}$ for all $t \in[0, \infty)$.
Similarly, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{(\alpha-1) R}{(\alpha-1)\left(1-P_{1}-Q\right)-\alpha \eta_{m-2} P}=M_{3}^{\prime} \\
\|x\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\alpha\left(Q_{1} M_{3}^{\prime}+R_{1}\right)+\alpha \eta_{m-2}\left(Q M_{3}^{\prime}+R\right)}{\alpha-1-\alpha \eta_{m-2} P}=M_{3} \tag{3.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

Set $M=\max \left\{M_{3}^{\prime}, M_{3}\right\}$ and which is we need. So (1.2) has at least one solution.
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